Calibrated FEM modelling of rock cutting with PDC cutter
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Abstract. Complexity of bit-rock interactions and formation fracture makes rock drilling a challenging task from modelling perspective. A finite element model is developed which can plausibly capture the rock fractures while it is capable of evaluating drilling forces for various values of Rate of Penetration (ROP), bit angular velocity and cutter configuration. Firstly, experiments on a single cutter rig are performed with various drilling parameters to be compared to the proposed FE model. Prior to detailed numerical simulation, a rigorous computation test on material model characterization and the element size and type is conducted to ensure feasible and reliable simulation results. The cutting forces are analysed for different values of WOB, rake angle, initial depth of cut and cutter speed for a single-cutter linear cutting. The formation fragmentation process and computed results are comparable to laboratory tests.

1 Introduction

Polycrystalline diamond compact (PDC) bits have been used in drilling industry from early 1970 [4, 5]. PDC bits consist of a set of PDC cutters on a tungsten carbide body which shear the rock [4, 5]. Rock drilling modelling is still a challenge due to the rock material nature and complexity of rock-bit interaction. In order to enhance the efficiency of drilling and improve the drill bit performance, a calibrated model which is able to estimate the drilling forces would be highly beneficial.

In Oil and Gas drilling industry, an axial force is exerted on the bit due to the drill-string weight which is called weight on bit (WOB) while the bit is rotated with an angular velocity (ω) creating a torque on bit (TOB). In order to investigate drilling forces on each PDC cutter, a linear single cutter rig was designed and built in drilling lab within Centre for Applied Dynamics Research (CADR) at University of Aberdeen. Linear single cutter rig has been used for a long time by many researchers [3, 7, 9] to model rock-cutter interaction. Similar tests have been performed to assess the unconfined compressive strength of the rock at very small depth of cuts [9].

Numerical simulation methods such as Discrete Element Method (DEM), Finite Element Method (FEM), Finite Difference Method (FEM) and Boundary Element Method (BEM) have been widely used to model rock fracturing and rock-cutter interaction [6, 8]. The aim of this study is to develop a Finite Element model utilizing ABAQUS CAE which can simulate the rock fracturing and predict the cutting forces in different operating parameters such as the cutter back rake angle, depth of cut and cutter velocity. The model assists in understanding the stress and strain field in the region of rock involved in drilling accompanied by how the cracks are formed and create a chip in the formation. The FE models are then tested against the experimental results.

2 Experiments

This section briefly introduces the linear cutting rig followed by test results.

2.1 Experimental setup

The linear single cutter rig is a metal shaper machine which is modified to suit for rock cutting. It consists of a stationary table which accommodates the rock, a single PDC cutter (3mm diameter) which is attached to a three dimensional force sensor moving along the rock length to cut a groove. The test is conducted under kinematic control which means the depth of cut and the cutting velocity is constant. Figure 1 represents linear cutting parameters. The cutter velocity in direction of the cutting is equal to  on bit centre. Depth of cut (h) is defined as the bit rate
of penetration per revolution \((2\pi \times ROP/\omega)\). Interfacial frictional angle \((\psi)\) is the angle between the resultant cutting force and the resolved force normal to the cutter [5].

\[ \omega_D = \int \frac{\dot{\varepsilon}^{pl}}{\varepsilon_D^p(\sigma)} \, d\varepsilon^{pl} = 1 \]  

(1)

Where \(\dot{\varepsilon}^{pl}\) is equivalent plastic strain at the onset of damage and \(\dot{\varepsilon}^{pl}\) is its rate and \(\omega_D\) is the damage parameter which increases with plastic deformation [1]. As soon as the damage initiated, the stiffness is degraded which is identified by damage evolution law. In ABAQUS, the damage evolution is governed by [1]:

\[ \sigma = (1 - D)\bar{\sigma} \]  

(2)

Where D is damage variable, \(\sigma\) is stress tensor at any time and \(\bar{\sigma}\) is undamaged stress tensor. Published data on triaxial experiments on Vosges Sandstone [2] along with some experimental results were used as material model parameters.

### 3.2 Mesh size sensitivity analysis

The results of FE models are highly sensitive to mesh size and type. After a careful analysis, it is found that triangular elements are better representative of rock heterogeneity compared to quadrilateral elements. In order to select element size for rock, the model was run with various numbers of elements and the resultant force values were compared to each other (Figure 4). As displayed in Figure 4, the results cutting force value is converged when 48542 elements are used where the size of each element in cutting zone is 0.05mm. As the high stress region in rock cutting is at the vicinity of the contact, the mentioned element size is used in top part of the formation where cutting takes place and coarser elements forms the rest of the rock.
3.3 Numerical model results

3.3.1 Fixed depth of cut

Figure 5 shows the stress field of the FE model when a 3mm cutter with 20° back rake angle is cutting a groove of 1.4mm along the rock with 500mm/sec velocity. The force responses of the numerical simulation for the same cutter with 0.7mm depth of cut are demonstrated in Figure 6.

3.3.2 Unfixed depth of cut

In real drilling condition depth of cut is not fixed throughout the cutting process and it is increasing by time. As a result, there would be a velocity in Z direction. Consequently, work done (W), volume of cut (V), cutting velocities (v_x & v_y) and area of cut (A) are calculated as:

\[ W = F_x dl + F_z dh \]  
\[ V = A_{cutting} \times L \]  
\[ v_x = \frac{dl}{dt}, \quad v_z = \frac{dh}{dt} \]  
\[ A_{cutting} = \left[ \arccos \left( \frac{r-h}{r} \right) r^2 - \sqrt{r^2 - (r-h)^2} (r-h) \right] \]

Where h is depth of cut and L is the length of the rock in X direction.

Assuming that cutting efficiency (\( \eta \)) is a parameter equal to:

\[ \eta = \frac{\text{cutting volume rate}}{\text{work rate}} \]

So:

\[ \eta = \frac{dv/dt}{dv/dw} = \frac{dv}{dw} = f(r, v_x, v_z) = g \left( r, \frac{v_z}{v_x} \right) = g \left( r, \frac{dh}{dl} \right) \]

Because r is fixed:

\[ \eta = \eta \left( \frac{dh}{dl} \right) \]

Consequently, at a ratio of horizontal velocity to vertical velocity, the efficiency of drilling is optimum.

The model was run for various quantities of velocity ratios and Figure 7 is an example of the stress field and computed force response when \( v_z/v_x \) is 0.2.

Figures 8 and 9 demonstrate FEM computed forces and efficiencies for various velocity ratios. It is shown that for each test condition, there is an optimum velocity ratio which enhances the cutting efficacy.
4 Conclusion

In this study, a drilling process has been studied with both experimental and numerical simulations. To compare to the proposed numerical model, experiments on a single cutter rig have been first performed with various drilling parameters. Then a finite element model has been developed, and the cutting forces have been analysed for different values of WOB, rake angle, initial depth of cut and cutter speed for a single-cutter linear cutting. To evaluate the drilling process, a new parameter, the drilling efficiency, has been proposed. Initial numerical simulations demonstrated that this parameter can be employed to optimize the drilling parameters. The proposed parameter will be analysed further by being used for complex drilling.
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