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Dear Editor,

Thank you for the management of our submission and for proving helpful comments and suggestions. In the following pages we reply to all issues raised by the two reviewers and yourself.

Reviewer 1: The paper presents interesting results in the dating of three moraine blocks, for which they provide very similar results. Despite the low number of samples, the remarkably homogeneous results obtained allow to include this moraine within a period of widespread glacial advance in the Mediterranean environment and, more generally, on a planetary scale. The work is very well written and very clear. However, it shows some methodological deficiencies, mainly in two fields: A) Chronological analysis of the samples: the manner of taking the samples and the field data is described in great detail, but no details are given of how the geochemical analyses, the calibration method, or the methods for isotope production have been performed. Besides, indicating that "standard laboratory procedures" have been adopted is not sufficient, since these can indeed vary widely.

REPLY: The details about laboratory procedures are now included (lines 134-146). Moreover, the details of chemical analytical results are reported in a new Table placed in the supplementary material of the paper.

Furthermore, the analysis does not describe the values entered in the age calculator used to obtain the ages, nor does it indicate the spallation scaling scheme that has been chosen from the possible ones offered by the age calculator used, nor is it clear what the uncertainty values refer to.

REPLY: The values entered in the age calculator are now isolated in Tab 1. The internal uncertainty (analytical uncertainties which are dominated by AMS uncertainties) and the external uncertainty (both analytical and production rate uncertainties) are both indicated in Tab. 2, following Balco et al. 2008 (Quaternary Geochronology 3, 174–195). In the discussion we refer only to the external uncertainty. Moreover, the scaling scheme for spallation is now referred at lines 229-230.
For this reason, it is essential to clarify all the details of the laboratory analysis carried out and the parameters used, so that the reader can better understand the results. In addition, it is necessary to include a table with all the field and analytical data for the three 10Be samples, and another one with all possible results according to the spallation scaling scheme applied.

REPLY: Field and analytical data are now given in the Supplementary material and Tab 1. Tab 2 now include details relative to exposure ages calculation with a constant production rate model (Tab 2a), scaling system for spallation of Lal (1991) and Stone (2000), and with a time-varying production models (different scaling systems for spallation) (Tab. 2b).

B) Calculation of ELAs during the Oldest Dryas in various Mediterranean mountains: The discussion shows the data for the ELA parameter during the Oldest Dryas in various Mediterranean mountains, according to a methodology set out in the corresponding section. In the first place, these data are actually results, so it would be necessary to include them in a separate section in the results chapter, rather than showing them in the discussion.

REPLY: It is right, the ELA data were calculated in this study. However, the focus of the paper is on the age and the ELA of this specific moraine in the Pelister area. Similar to what we do for the chronological correlation to other dated moraines in Europe, we prefer to maintain the ELAs comparison across the Mediterranean mountain in the discussion section.

But again, the description of the data that have been examined to obtain these results is missing here. Therefore, the reader may begin to have serious doubts as to how these data have been obtained. They do not seem to originate from the previous literature either, since it is not cited, even though there are specific publications where the same methodology has been applied, for example, in Sierra Nevada (see Palma et al., 2017). The doubt becomes greater as few Oldest Dryas glacial landforms have been dated in most of these mountains, which, in most cases, makes it impossible to reconstruct the exact extent of the glaciers during this period. This parameter is fundamental to obtain the ELAs.

REPLY: The ELAs were (re)calculated starting from the position of the frontal moraine reported in the original papers, which are all cited in the caption of Figure 7. These refer to dated moraines. As stated in the methodological section, and described in the original GIS tool paper, the glacier reconstruction is based on the position of the frontal moraine and requires a topographic dataset (DTM) as accurate as possible. To reinforce this, a more
extended description of the adopted approach is now added to the Method section (lines 176-190).

For this reason, it is essential that in addition obtaining results, the manuscript must describe the parameters used to obtain the ELAs analysis in each case, and the source from which these parameters have been taken: are they drawn from the authors’ own observations, or do they come from the literature? And, if the latter is the case, they must be cited accordingly.

REPLY: Again, the sources are the information (position of the frontal moraine) reported in the corresponding literature (cited in the manuscript). The ways to automatically reconstruct the glacier and to calculate the ELA are described in the paper by Pellitero et al 2015 and Pellitero et al 2016. A description of the GIS tools is reported in the Method section., along with the new added description of the approach adopted to reconstruct the palaeo-glaciers in other Mediterranean mountains (lines 177-190).

Reviewer 2:

The paper is an important and the first contribution about the deglaciation chronology in Macedonia mountains. Unfortunately the authors used only one spot for filling their goal there. Moreover they used 3 ages only but enough to starts the glaciation chronology puzzle in Macedonia. For now I suggests to use more details data from lake sediments around the spot (Prespa and Maliq).

REPLY: The data from nearby Lake Prespa and Maliq provide a good palaeo-environment characterization of the area at the time when the studied palaeo-glacier deposited the dated moraine. This data is referred to in the discussion of our paper. Unfortunately there are not further data from these lakes that can be used to infer the onset of glaciation/deglaciation phases. Besides these two lakes, we are unaware of other nearby sources of palaeo-environmental data relative to the age of the dated moraine.

For future I suggest to core the glacial lake there (Golemo) for linking glacial and lake sediments chronology in order to fill the gap chronology.

REPLY: This possibility was taken into account but, apart for logistic and financial difficulties, it should be considered that the bottom of the lake could be partly covered by a layer of large blocks coming from the moraine sides and the scree in the back, making a coring really hard to achieve.

Resuming only to deglaciation chronology I would suggests also the paper of Rinterknecht et al. (2012). Expression of the Younger Dryas cold event in the Carpathian Mountains,
Ukraine? (QSR) which focused also only on one spot in Ukraine (for the first time in the Ukraine Carpathians) but using 10 ages. So I am wondering if your data really are an expression of Oldest/Older or it could be Younger Dryas deposits reworked.

REPLY: From and exposure age point of view, the reworking (remobilization) of Younger Dryas moraine blocks should only yield a younger age. However, the close consistency of the obtained ages from blocks tens of meter apart is, in our opinion, a very clear evidence of minimal or null reworking.

Editor’s Comments

- You use the NENA (NE North America) calibration for calibrating the 10Be ages (Balco et al. 2009). This is fine and acceptable. However, there is now a newer calculator, the one by Borchers et al and version 2.3 in CRONUS. Check whether this is appropriate for your age calculations, otherwise stick with NENA:

REPLY: Thank you for the suggestion. We checked the new calculator and found ages only a little bit younger (2-3 hundreds of years), so we prefer to maintain NENA.

- For the ages replace commas with decimal: 14,95± 0.85 ka should be 14.95± 0.85 ka

REPLY: Corrected

- Lateglacial should be Late-glacial

REPLY: Corrected

- Your ages clearly indicate Older Dryas moraines in the cirque. This means that the Younger Dryas in your area must have been associated with ELAs above the cirque floors, and probably above the peaks, i.e.>2400 m a.s.l. In northern Greece the Younger Dryas ELAs were 2425 m a.s.l. according to Hughes, P.D., Woodward, J.C., Gibbard, P.L. (2006) The last glaciers of Greece. Zeitschrift für Geomorphologie 50, 37-61. So in Macedonia, which is in the rain shadow of the Pindus Mtns-Albanian Alps I would expect the ELA of
Younger Dryas glaciers to be higher. So, the fact that you do not find Younger Dryas moraines in the cirque is not surprising and this supports your observations.

REPLY: Thank you for your input. The comparison with northern Greece Younger Dryas glaciers, indicating the potential climatic reason of the ELA differences, is now included at lines 279-283.

- In the section chronological correlation I recommend including the recent 36Cl dating from Greece by Pope, R.J., Hughes, P.D., Skourtsos, E., 2015. Glacial history of Mt Chelmos, Peloponnesus, Greece. In: Hughes, P.D., Woodward, J.C. (2016) Quaternary glaciation in the Mediterranean Mountains. Geological Society of London Special Publications 433. Here they find Younger Dryas moraines associated with ELAs of 2173 m. This is obviously a lot lower than in northern Greece, which appears contradictory. However, Pope et al argued that this was because Late Pleistocene depressions must have had a more southerly track than today with wetter conditions in the south compared with the north. Of course this then implies that the western Balkan glaciers like Reovci must have had a different depression sources (probably a northern lee-side Alpine source from Gulf of Genoa/Adriatic).

REPLY: The recent data from Mt Chelmos are now added to the section on chronological correlation (lines 256-258). We prefer not to speculate about the climatic implication of corresponding ELA because the Mt Chelmos moraine is dated to Younger Drays.

- One word of caution in comparing ELAs between small cirque glaciers. Niche glacier settings do tend to produce glaciers with ELAs that do not conform to the regional ELA. It might not the case that all cirque moraines in neighbouring mountains are Older Dryas. Some sites with specific local topoclimatic controls may have facilitated glaciers during the Younger Dryas too. For example, today in Albania and Montenegro small niche glaciers survive well below the regional snowline because of avalanching and windblown snow (see Hughes papers from those areas). That said, your study clearly shows that this was not the case in the NE cirque of Veternica Mt and glaciers have not existed here for at least 15 ka.

REPLY: This important point is now acknowledged at lines 311-315, where we provide a reference (Hughes and Woodward 2017) where examples, from the Mediterranean region, of exiting glaciers surviving below the regional snow line are reported.
- Do not use BP for cosmogenic ages. Before Present (BP) is usually used for radiocarbon ages, i.e. before 1950

**REPLY. CORRECTED**

- Please acknowledge the funding sources for the dating and the wider project: university, funding body or other?

**REPLY:** We added in the acknowledgments the funds used to sustain this research and the thanks to the reviewers and to whom assisted us in data compilation.
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Abstract

We provide a geomorphological analysis of a glacial valley in the Pelister mountain, in Macedonia. Three boulders from a frontal moraine were dated with cosmogenic nuclide isotope $^{10}$Be. Results demonstrate that the boulders have been exposed since 15.24 ± 0.85 ka. This age constrains the formation of the frontal moraine to the Oldest Dryas cold event. This age fits with that of the other glacier deposits dated to the Older Dryas in the Alps, Balkans, Carpathians and Turkey mountains. The Pelister palaeo glacier has been reconstructed and its equilibrium line altitude extracted, returning a value of 2,250 m asl. This is in good agreement with the equilibrium line altitudes of most other reconstructed glaciers of the same age in the circum-Mediterranean mountains, demonstrating a comparable response to the Oldest Dryas event. Other palaeoenvironmental records near the Pelister mountain indicate that the Older Dryas was here characterized by a cold and remarkably-dry event. The temporal relationship between Older Dryas glacier advances in the Balkan region and recorded changes in the Atlantic thermohaline circulation during the Laurentide Ice Sheet massive ice discharge (H1 event), confirms the strong climatic link between the pan Mediterranean regions and the North Atlantic Ocean.

Supplementary material: geochemical laboratory results are available at: http://geolsoc.figshare.com
In the last decades, the increased interest on the size and geometry of palaeo glaciers in the pan-Mediterranean region and their climatic significance has stimulated a number of new studies on many of its mountain ranges (Hughes et al. 2006a; Hughes & Woodward 2008; 2017 and reference therein). The chronological information included in some of these data has been used to interpret glacier dynamics and, when integrated with other terrestrial and marine climate proxies, to derive palaeoenvironmental conditions (Federici et al. 2017; Palacios et al. 2017). In particular, palaeoglaciological reconstructions can be used to infer the climate of the past, based on the paradigm that glaciers respond to changes in air temperature and precipitation by adjusting their mass balance with a consequent advance/retreat of the glacier’s front (Oerlemans 2005).

The climate of the Mediterranean mountains is influenced by atmospheric perturbations originated off the North Atlantic, along with southward outbreaks of the polar front and of the Siberian high pressure (Lionello et al. 2006; Florinet & Schlüchter 2000; Kuhlemann et al. 2008). Moreover, zones of cyclogenesis can be generated in the central Mediterranean area (Gulf of Lions and Genova) as well as in the subtropical high-pressure zone. How these various components interplayed in the past remains an unresolved and discussed question in palaeoclimatology (Kuhlemann et al. 2008). Within this context, the behavior of Mediterranean mountain glaciers across the last glacial cycle may help to unravel the effect of the various climatic components that affected the Mediterranean atmospheric circulations during this time.

The chronological data collected so far indicate that, following the Last Glacial Maximum (~23 ka, LGM hereafter, Hughes & Gibbard 2015), the Mediterranean mountain glaciers recorded at least two Late-glacial (~23-10 ka) advances, approximately at 16-15 ka and 13-11 ka (Giraudi & Frezzotti 1997; Ivy-Ochs et al. 2006; Federici et al. 2008; Hughes & Woodward 2008; Akçar et al. 2014; Hughes & Gibbard 2015; Federici et al. 2017; Palacios et al. 2016). These two advances match with the cold periods known as GS-2a and GS-1 stadials recognized in the oxygen isotope record of Greenland cores (Björk et al. 1998; Rasmussen et al. 2006), and with the Oldest and Younger Dryas in many other studies (Clark et al. 2012; Palacios et al. 2017 and reference therein).

Despite the relative large number of recent publications, the distribution of chronologically-constrained glacier advances is spatially discontinuous, with some mountain ranges still completely or partially unstudied. For example, in the Dinaric Alps and Greek mountains, the LGM and older glacial cycles are well documented (Hughes et al. 2003; 2006a; 2010), whereas Late-glacial advances are less documented and rarely dated, with the exception
of the mountains of Kosovo and Montenegro (Kuhlemann et al. 2008; Hughes et al. 2011). Even worse is the situation of the mountainous regions within the Former Yugoslavian Republic of Macedonia (from now on referred to as Macedonia). Here, despite evidence of multiple glacier advances (Menković et al. 2004; Ribolini et al. 2011; Milevsky 2015), no chronological constraints have ever been obtained, thus putting this region completely outside of the palimpsest of cold climate events of the Mediterranean. The aim of this work is to illustrate the glacial geomorphology and chronology of a frontal moraine in the Pelister mountain range, SW Macedonia (Fig. 1). The ages, obtained by cosmogenic 10Be dating of the glacial deposit, and the equilibrium line altitude (ELA) of the reconstructed glacier that deposited it, are then discussed in the context of other glacier advances of similar age across the Mediterranean, as well as in relation to other palaeoenvironmental records.

**Setting**

The Pelister mountain range (Fig. 1) is characterized by a number of summits exceeding an elevation of 2,500 m asl, with the Pelister peak being the highest at 2,601 m asl. Similar to the other mountain ranges belonging to the West Macedonian Zone (Arsovski 1997), the Pelister’s has a NE-SW main axis strike. Since the Late Caenozoic, the area experienced differential movements along normal, oblique and strike slip faults that led to the formation of horst and graben systems roughly aligned to the NE-SW direction. Some of these grabens are now filled by lakes, such as the Ohrid and Prespa lakes (Burchfiel et al. 2004; Hoffmann 2013; Milevski 2015). From the main watershed, the Pelister mountain flanks steeply descend towards the Lake Prespa and Bitola plain, to the W and E respectively. Three valleys drain the eastern flank, all joining a main SW-NE oriented river that runs along the mountain’s foot, and which enters the Bitola plain. One of these valleys, the Veternica Valley, hosts a typical glacial cirque lake, the Golemo Ezero (Fig. 2), in its uppermost part. The lake is located at 2,222 m asl, in proximity to the main watershed, between the Veternica (2,420 m asl) and Mrazarnik (2,236 m asl) peaks. It is 17 m deep and is dammed by a moraine, which is the focus of the chronological work and palaeoglacier reconstruction presented here.

The bedrock of the Pelister mountain range is mainly composed of an Ordovician alkaline-granites and granodiorites, frequently embedded within Paleozoic shales, and quartz- and quartz-sericite schists. Locally, amphibolites and amphibolite-schists crop out.
The geomorphology of the region includes cirques and thick glacial and fluvio-glacial deposits, along with extensive periglacial landforms such as block streams, block fields, solifluction lobes and ploughing blocks (Stojadinović 1970; Kolčakovski 1996; Andonovski & Milevski 2001). As it was not possible to retrieve any local meteorological data, climate information was sourced from a global dataset obtained by interpolating weather stations at a resolution of 30 arc seconds (http://www.worldclim.org) (Hijmans et al. 2005). From this, it appears that the top of the Vertnica Valley is presently characterized by total annual precipitation of 980 mm, with November and August being the wettest (110 mm) and the driest (53 mm) months respectively. The mean annual temperature is 2.7 °C, with January and July being the coldest (-5.8 °C) and warmest (11.5 °C) months respectively.

Methods

The Veternica Valley was surveyed during two field campaigns in 2013 and 2014. The survey led to detailed geomorphological mapping undertaken on topographic maps at 1:25,000 scale. The mapping was later implemented by the analysis of satellite images (Quick Bird imagery: QB02 sensor and Pan_MS1 band, 60 cm spatial resolution). Sampling and dating were focused on the frontal moraine that dams the Golemo Ezero Lake. The moraine is matrix-supported and characterized by a large number of boulders resting on its crest. The top surface of three of these boulders was sampled for the purpose of obtaining an exposure age through the measurement of the $^{10}$Be cosmogenic isotope concentration. The sampled boulders stand 0.5 to 1 meter above the surrounding moraine's crest and are characterized by a quartz-rich crystalline and metamorphic lithology, i.e. quartz-rich schist. Each sample was collected from a flat (though not necessarily horizontal) surface as far away as possible from the boulder's edges. Only the first 3-4 cm of rock from the surface of the boulder were collected. The angle to the horizon was measured at 30-degree intervals, as well as the strike and dip of the sampled surface in order to calculate topographic and self-shielding (Dunne et al. 1999).

Quartz was obtained from each sample using magnetic separation to isolate iron-bearing minerals, froth flotation to separate feldspars and micas, and density separation to remove heavy minerals. The quartz was finished with hydrofluoric and nitric acid leaches and purity checked with Inductively Coupled Plasma Optical Emission Spectroscopy (ICP-OES) analysis. This purified quartz was spiked with approximately 0.250 mg of Be in a carrier solution prepared from beryl and dissolved in hydrofluoric and nitric acids. After
volume reduction, fluorides were decomposed in sulfuric acid and the resulting solids were converted to chlorides and added to a pH>14 sodium hydroxide solution to remove residual iron, titanium, calcium, and magnesium. Beryllium was precipitated out of this solution, taken up with oxalic acid, and purified on cation columns. Purified beryllium was oxidized in a propane flame, mixed with niobium powder, and packed into stainless steel cathodes for the AMS measurement at PRIME Lab, Purdue University, using standards described by Nishiizumi et al. (2007).

Exposure ages were calculated adopting the North-East North American production rate and using LM as time-dependent adaptation scaling scheme (Balco et al. 2009). The calculations were undertaken with the online CRONUS-Earth tool (http://hess.ess.washington.edu/math/) version 2.2. A rock density of 2.7 g/cm³ was considered in the age calculation.

Limited features of weathering (i.e. grooves, cavities, micro-relief) were visible on the surface of sampled boulders, indicating a negligible erosion. Therefore, and because a robust, independent control on the erosion rate could not be obtained, the ages were not corrected for this factor. Analogously, information about boulder snow cover today as well as during the Late-glacial are lacking. Therefore, ages were not corrected for this factor either. By not accounting for erosion and snow cover, the ages discussed in this paper are likely to be some hundred years younger than the actual deposition of the moraine.

Tectonic uplift could cause a production rate lower than expected because the Pelister mountain experienced high vertical movement (up to 4-5 mm/yr) in the Late Quaternary (Lilienberg, 1968). Given the exposure ages, this effect may account for up to ~50-70 meters increase in elevation since the calculated ages. This would have affected the calculated ages by no more than 450 hundred years. Glacial isostatic adjustment can be ruled out for the studied area due to the limited thickness of the palaeoglacier. Accordingly, no corrections were applied for changes in elevation of the sampled boulders.

A GIS approach, based on the numerical technique of Benn & Hulton (2010), has been used to semi-automatically reconstruct the thickness and extent of the former cirque glacier that deposited the sampled moraine (Pellitero et al. 2016). The approach is based on a user given shear stress, which by default is set to 100 kilopascals (kpa). In this case a shear stress of 50 kpa has been used in the lower portion of the reconstructed glacier in order to match the ice level suggested by the front-lateral moraine, and a default 100 kpa for the rest of the glacier. Further GIS tools (Pellitero et al. 2015) have been adopted to automatically derive the Equilibrium Line Altitude (ELA) value of the reconstructed glacier,
by applying the classic Area Altitude Balance Ratio (AABR) method (Osmatson 2005), with a ratio value of 1.6, same as the average obtained on present-day glaciers in other parts of the Mediterranean mountains (Rea, 2009). The same approach was used to reconstruct the extent of, and calculate the ELAs of, other Mediterranean range palaeo-glaciers, coeval of the glacier that deposited the sampled moraine. Mapped frontal moraines which had been dated to the Oldest Dryas (see location and references in Fig. 5) were used to reconstruct the 3D surface of the glaciers that deposited them. Glacier reconstruction was made using the GIS tool mentioned above (Pellitero et al. 2016) with a standard 100 kilopascals shear stress, and the ASTER DEM as the bedrock DEM, with the exception of the glacial landsystems located in Spain and Italy, for which a better quality DEM was available. The resulting 3D surface was checked with the glacial geomorphology, so the resulting glacier surface properly adapted to the landforms (cirques, frontal and lateral moraines) that evidenced a constraint on its extension. The 3D surface of these palaeo-glaciers were then used to derive their ELA, calculated using the GIS tool described in Pellitero et al. (2015). As done for the Pelister ELA calculation, an Accumulation Area Balance Ratio of 1.6 was used in all pan-Mediterranean ELA reconstructions, following Rea (2009).

Results

Glacial and periglacial evidences

The head of the Veternica Valley is a classic glacial cirque (Fig. 2), with well evident lateral spur and a steep rock headwall. A minor, relatively smoothed depression along the eastern watershed, 30-40 m above the cirque/valley floor, suggests the presence of a glacial transfluence into the adjacent valley, most likely during the LGM. The cirque floor is at an elevation of approximately 2,200 m asl. Between this elevation and 1,900 m asl, the Veternica Valley is occupied by glacial deposits and interspersed bedrock outcrops (Fig. 2). The deposits are made of massive diamicton supported by a coarse sandy-gravel matrix with frequent decimetric clasts. Numerous metric and plurimetric boulders are standing on the deposit’s surface. The dominant lithologies of the clastic fraction are prevalently quartz-schistose and more rarely granitic.

Locally, moraine ridges can be identified within the glacial deposits (Fig. 3a, b, c). The lowermost frontal moraine is composed of two long lateral ridges converging at about
2,060 m asl. (Fig. 2, 3a and 3b). Right upvalley, a set of ridges delineates two portions of the same, indented, moraine at a similar altitude (2,110-2,120 m asl), separated by the current river channel (Fig. 2, 3a and 3b). Further upvalley, the frontal moraine damming the Golemo Ezero Lake is the most prominent of all the moraines in the valley (Fig. 2 and 3c). It stands 25-30 m above the cirque floor and reaches a maximum elevation of 2,230 m at its eastern end, where it progressively becomes buried under scree deposits. Numerous granite and quartz-schist boulders stand on the moraine crest. Another lateral-frontal moraine system is evident further to the East, close to the cirque wall (Fig. 2 and 3c). This small moraine system partly overlaps onto the lateral flank of the large frontal moraine damming the lake.

Many protalus ramparts are present in the slope deposits on the Valley’s western flank and at the base of the eastern side of the cirque wall (Fig. 2 and 3d). The rampart crests are partly coalescent and exhibit a close upvalley concavity. Extended block fields and sheets cover the topmost part of the Valley’s slope (Fig. 2).

**Chronology**

Sampling was restricted to the 3 largest blocks residing on the moraine crest (Fig. 4a, b). The field and laboratories values entered in the age calculator are reported in the Tab 1. The dated boulders from the Golemo Ezero moraine returned overlapping ages of 15.03 ± 0.85 ka, 15.56± 0.85 ka and 15.14 ± 0.86 ka (Tab. 2a), thus demonstrating a considerable consistency. In particular, a constant production rate model and the scaling system for spallation of Lal (1991) and Stone (2000) were chosen. The alternative adoption of time-varying production models would have returned ages that are only a few hundred years younger (Tab. 2b).

The mean age of 15.24 ± 0.85 ka is fully consistent with the Late-glacial stadial known as the Oldest Dryas (Björk et al. 1998; Rasmussen et al. 2006). Given the good reproducibility of the data, the possibilities that the ages are from boulders that were exhumed from moraine fine matrix (minimum age) or boulders exposed prior to be deposited in the frontal moraine (supraglacial debris) (maximum age) can be ruled out. In these regards, the obtained exposure ages represent the time of deglaciation.

**Discussion**
Chronological correlation

Recent studies have evidenced the existence of post LGM glacial advances in the mountain of Turkey, Balkan and Carpathian (Fig. 5). Late-glacial glacial advances in Turkey were cosmogenically-dated at 14-16 ka and ~13 ka (Zahno et al. 2010; Sarikaya et al. 2008; Sarikaya et al. 2009; Ackar et al. 2014) (Fig. 6). Similar ages were also obtained in the Šara mountain chain (Kosovo), where a glacial advance was dated at ~14 ka, followed by a new advance at 11-12 ka (Kuhlemann et al. 2009). A Late-glacial phase was also dated in the south Carpathians (Retezat Mountains) at 14-17 ka (Reuther et al. 2007), followed by a more recent glacial advance (Fig. 6). These data match with the minimum ages obtained by secondary calcite in moraine deposits in the Massif of Orjen, Montenegro, where a Late-glacial phase older than 12-13 ka is proposed, along with a phase older than 8-9 ka BP (Hughes et al. 2011). A glacial advance at 14-19 ka (16.2 ± 2.7 ka) was documented in the Rila mountains (Bulgaria), although it has been interpreted as a late phase of the LGM (Kuhlemann et al. 2013) (Fig. 6). Recently, a Younger Dryas glacial phase (10-13 ka) was dated in the mountains of Peloponnesus (Mt Chelmos, Greece), where Oldest Dryas evidences seem to lack (Pope et al. 2017).

All these data converge in defining a glacial advance framed in the 14.5-17.5 ka interval, between the LGM and the Younger Dryas. Similar ages have also been reported from other circum-Mediterranean mountain ranges. These include the Alps (the Gschnitz stadial) (Ivy-Ochs et al. 2006), some of the main Spanish ranges (Palacio et al. 2016 and reference therein) and possibly the Central Apennines, where a stadial at ~15 ka has been hypothesised (Giraudi & Frezzotti 1997). These glacial advances throughout the Mediterranean are all chronologically linked to the climatic cold interval known as the Oldest Dryas, recognized in the oxygen isotope record of Greenland cores (GS-2a in the GRIP ice core) (Björk et al. 1998; Rasmussen et al. 2006).

In this framework, the frontal moraine in the Pelister mountain represents the first dated evidence of an Oldest Dryas glacial advance in the mountains of Macedonia. The high climatic instability that characterized this interval determined a number of glaciers’ minor oscillations and the formation of moraine clusters in various regions (Ivy-Ochs et al. 2006; Darnault et al. 2012; Palacios et al. 2016). The few small moraines found immediately downvalley the dated Golemo Ezero moraine also, most likely, resulted from various minor advances within the Oldest Dryas interval. The moraine dated at ~15 ka represents the last advance before the Bolling/Allerod climatic amelioration.
Unlike other Balkan, Carpathians, Turkey mountains (Fig. 6) and Pindus mountain in Greece, there is no evidence of a Younger Dryas glacier advance in this Pelister’s Veternica Valley, most likely because the lack of accommodation space for snow/ice deposition and because of limited elevations. In northern Greece, the Younger Dryas ELAs were 2,425 m a.s.l. according to Hughes et al. (2006b). In the Pelister mountain, which is in the rain shadow of the Pindus Mt-Albanian Alps, it is realistic to expect the ELA of Younger Dryas glaciers to be higher and certainly above the highest elevation of 2,420 m a.s.l. reached in the Veternica Valley above the dated moraine (Fig. 2). However, the presence of protalus ramparts nearby the Golemo Ezero moraine suggests that the top most part of the valley may have responded to the cold phase of the Younger Dryas with the formation of periglacial features.

ELA calculation and correlation across the Mediterranean region

At ~15 ka the glacier extended down to the Golemo Ezero moraine with a length of ~500 m, a maximum width of ~300 m and a thickness of up to 85 m. While the North-West side of the reconstructed glacier is flanked by the steep valley side, the South-East side appears to be less confined. This suggests that a second glacial mass could have been contemporaneously present in this area, partly in contact with the reconstructed glacier. This secondary glacier could be responsible for the deposition of the latero-frontal moraine system at the base of the eastern part of the cirque wall (Fig. 2).

The ELA calculation with the AABR method, adopting a ratio value of 1.6, yielded a value of 2,250 m asl. This value can be tentatively correlated with the ELAs obtained from reconstructed glaciers, associated to moraines dated to the Oldest Dryas, in other, circum-Mediterranean mountain ranges (Fig. 7). A summary plot of ELA vs. longitude (Fig. 8) shows how the ELA tends to be relatively consistent in the 7°-30° degree of longitude interval (varying in the 1,960-2,320 m asl altitudinal interval), with the notable exceptions of the Reovci glacier near the Adriatic coast of the Balkans (11 in Fig. 8) and of the Spain glaciers. The low value of ELA (1,425 m asl) of the Reovci Oldest Dryas glacier can be attributed to the role of the Adriatic Sea that generated a relatively high amount of humidity, which was eventually captured by the westernmost Balkan ranges (Hughes et al. 2010). The ELA of the Spain glaciers is on average higher than that of the other settings. For at least some of these Spain cases, it is possible that specific topoclimatic conditions controlled the increased ELA. For example, the high ELA value (2819 m asl) of the Seco
glacier in the Sierra Nevada (5 in Fig. 8) is probably due to the south aspect of the glacial basin. More in general, it must be taken into account that niche settings may tend to produce glaciers with ELAs that might not conform to the regional ELA. Indeed, there are examples of Mediterranean glaciers still surviving today below the regional snowline because of avalanching and windblown snow contribution (Hughes and Woodward 2017 and references therein).

Despite these exceptions, overall the ELA analysis demonstrates that the glacier in the Pelister Mountain responded to the Oldest Dryas cold interval in a way comparable to most of the central-eastern Mediterranean glaciers.

**Correlations with climate proxies**

Atmospheric circulation during the LGM, and, most probably, the Late-glacial, is thought to have been dominated by advection of cold air masses from the Atlantic Ocean over the Mediterranean region (Kuhlemann et al. 2008; Florinet & Schlüchter 2000). Indeed, various marine and continental climate proxies and palaeoenvironmental records registered the effect of changes in the North Atlantic thermohaline circulation during massive ice discharge, e.g. Heinrich event (Bar-Matthew et al. 1999; Bartov et al. 2003; Cacho et al. 2001; Fleitmann et al. 2009; Stanford et al. 2011) (Fig. 9a, b, c).

The fossiliferous contents of cores from Adriatic and North Aegean seas indicate that the Oldest Dryas has been a cold event that relevantly impacted on the conditions of the sea surface and terrestrial ecosystem (Combouieu et al. 1998; Siani et al. 2001; Kotthoff et al. 2011; Zonneveld 1996). Particularly, the planktonic foraminifera and dinocyst cold indicators (Turborotalia Quinqueloba, Neogloboquadrina Pachyderma, Nemaosphaeropsis Labyrinthus, Spiniferites Elongates) suggests that the decline of Sea Surface Temperature (SST) culminated in a minimum at ~17 cal ka BP (Fig. 8d). Moreover, the fossil pollen assemblage in these cores indicates that the Oldest Dryas interval was characterized by pronounced dry condition, as evidenced by a great development of semi-desertic vegetation (Artemisia) and a scarce presence of trees. The pronounced dry condition is also demonstrated by the δ¹⁸O signals recorded in speleothems (Fleitman et al. 2009) (Fig. 8c) and endogenic and biogenic carbonate deposits from lakes in the Mediterranean region (Roberts et al. 2008).

The palaeoenvironmental data nearer to the Pelister mountain are those inferred by the cores extracted at the bottom of the current Prespa and Ohrid lakes, along with that from
the now disappeared Lake Maliq (Fig. 1). The pollen assemblages are in agreement with a pronounced dryness during the Oldest Dryas, as evidenced by the dominance of cold-tolerant herbs and minimal occurrence of arboreal plants (cold steppa environment) (Aufgebauer et al. 2012; Wagner et al. 2010; Bordon et al. 2009; Panagiotopulus et al. 2014). Accordingly, the recorded, limited supply of Ca$^{2+}$ and HCO$_3^-$ ions to the lakes could be caused by inhibited soil formation and chemical weathering in the catchment associated with an open steppa vegetation (Aufgebauer et al. 2012; Panagiotopulus et al. 2013). A peak in the abundance curve of *Staurosirella Pinnata* (Fig. 8e), a typical glacial type species of diatom, correlates with a minimum of arboreal plants during the Oldest Dryas interval (Cvetkoska et al. 2015). The high content of Oldest Dryas clastic material (high K counts) (Fig. 8f) in the lake cores has been explained by a spring-summer water discharge linked to a seasonal melting of glaciers in the catchment (Aufgebauer et al. 2012; Damaschke et al. 2013). However, this high content of clastic material characterized both the LGM and the first part of the Late-glacial, thus suggesting that this seasonal glacier behavior was not restricted to the Oldest Dryas. Although the glaciers within the lake catchments did not reach the shores during the Oldest Dryas (Ribolini et al. 2011), a seasonal ice covering the lake (at least near the shores) should have been present, as testified by frequent Ice Rafted Debris (IRD) (Aufgebauer 2012; Wagner et al. 2010). Moreover, Mn Late-glacial peak in the Prespa Lake core (Fig. 8g) was associated to mixing phenomena in the water column, consistent with higher aeolian activity (Wagner et al. 2010). An increase in sand content suggesting dry conditions was also observed during the Oldest Dryas interval in the Lake Prespa core (Fig. 8h) (Aufgebauer et al. 2012).

Local Oldest Dryas temperature and precipitation were tentatively reconstructed using the fossil pollen assemblages of Lake Maliq (812 m asl, see Fig. 1 for location) (Bordon et al. 2009). An estimated mean annual air temperature (MAAT) from -3 to 1 °C (Fig. 8i) and mean annual precipitation lower than 400 mm were suggested. The reconstructed air temperature for the Oldest Dryas warmest month at Lake Maliq (8-10 °C) (Bordon et al. 2009) is partly in agreement with the Chironomid-inferred temperature of July (5.2-5.3 °C) calculated for the same interval at Lake Brazi (1,740 m asl), in the Southern Carpathians (Tóth et al. 2012) (Fig. 8j).

Local and regional palaeobotanical, geochemical and sedimentological data collectively converge in defining, directly or indirectly, a cold, dry and windy Oldest Dryas interval in the Mt Pelister region. More in general, the estimated dry conditions, together with the
correlation between the Pelister glacier advance and the H1 event, confirms that cold periods controlled by North Atlantic changes to the thermohaline circulation corresponded to aridity in the Mediterranean (Bartov et al. 2003; Roberts et al. 2008).

In the context of regional aridity, it is worth noting the relevant role of the mountain ranges facing the Adriatic Sea, which capture (today, and most likely in the past) most of the humidity contained in air masses sourced from the Adriatic Sea and further west, leaving the interior of the Balkan region relatively dry (Hughes et al. 2010).

**Conclusion**

The Oldest Dryas glacial advance is now dated for the first time in the mountain of Macedonia (Pelister Mountain) to the mean age of 15.24 ± 0.85 ka yr. This age adds a crucial piece to the puzzle of dated glacier advances in the Balkan Peninsula, and it represents a geographical bridge between these and other, nearby Mediterranean mountains, e.g. Turkey and Carpathian ranges. Furthermore, this exposure age fits well with other glacier advance dated in the Alps (Gschnitz advance) and the Balkan, Carpathians and Turkey mountains, thus drawing a coherent picture of glaciers response to the Oldest Dryas cold interval across the Mediterranean.

The ELA of the Oldest Dryas Pelister glacier is in good agreement with that of other circum-Mediterranean, reconstructed mountain glaciers of the same age. However, some relevant regional and inter-regional differences exist, indicating a glacier response to the Oldest Dryas cold period also modulated by the vicinity to source of atmospheric humidity, local topoclimatic factors, as well as diverse components in the atmospheric circulation.

Palaeoenvironmental records provided by local lakes indicate that the Oldest Dryas has been a cold interval, characterized by a pronounced aridity.

This confirms how the interior of the Balkan region was more arid than the mountain ranges near the Adriatic coast, where the great amount of humidity sourced by the Adriatic Sea caused a pronounced depression of the ELA of local glaciers during the Oldest Dryas (Hughes et al. 2010).

The results of this work show how glacier advances may be incorporated in the record of the palaeoenvironmental data of the interior region of the Balkans, and cross-correlated with regional marine and terrestrial climate-proxy data. Moreover, the temporal relation between glacier advances in the Balkan region and changes in the thermohaline
circulation during the massive ice discharge event H1, confirms the climatic link between
the pan Mediterranean regions and the North Atlantic Ocean.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Lat (° N)</th>
<th>Long (° E)</th>
<th>Elev (m asl)</th>
<th>Atm Press</th>
<th>Sample thick. (cm)</th>
<th>Sample density gr/cm²</th>
<th>Shield corr.</th>
<th>Er. rate</th>
<th>10Be conc (at gr⁻¹)</th>
<th>Uncert. 10Be (at gr⁻¹)</th>
<th>10Be stand.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EZ1</td>
<td>40.5809</td>
<td>21.122</td>
<td>2215</td>
<td>Std</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>0.991</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>302700</td>
<td>8783</td>
<td>07KNSTD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EZ2</td>
<td>40.9633</td>
<td>21.206</td>
<td>2215</td>
<td>Std</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>0.991</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>315600</td>
<td>7691</td>
<td>07KNSTD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EZ3</td>
<td>40.9636</td>
<td>21.206</td>
<td>2213</td>
<td>Std</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>0.994</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>307900</td>
<td>9006</td>
<td>07KNSTD</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. ¹⁰Be exposure ages of the Golemo Ezero moraine, with sample name, coordinates and elevation, and the concentration of ¹⁰Be measured at the PRIME Lab against standard 07KNSTD. The evaluated thickness and shielding factors are reported.
### Table 2. Details of exposure ages calculation.

**a**: exposure ages calculated with a constant production rate model, scaling system for spallation of Lal (1991) and Stone (2000); the internal uncertainty (analytical uncertainties which are dominated by AMS uncertainties) and the external uncertainty (both analytical and production rate uncertainties) are given.  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample name</th>
<th>Thickness scaling factor</th>
<th>Shielding factor</th>
<th>Prod. rate (muons)</th>
<th>Int. uncertainty (yr)</th>
<th>Exp.age (yr)</th>
<th>Ext. uncertainty (yr)</th>
<th>Prod. rate (spallation) (atoms/g/yr)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EZ1</td>
<td>0.9833</td>
<td>0.991</td>
<td>0.369</td>
<td>443</td>
<td>15034</td>
<td>857</td>
<td>20.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EZ2</td>
<td>0.9833</td>
<td>0.991</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>386</td>
<td>15560</td>
<td>852</td>
<td>20.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EZ3</td>
<td>0.9833</td>
<td>0.994</td>
<td>0.369</td>
<td>451</td>
<td>15149</td>
<td>865</td>
<td>20.29</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**b**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample name</th>
<th>Exp age (yr)</th>
<th>Ext uncertainty (yr)</th>
<th>Exp age (yr)</th>
<th>Ext uncertainty (yr)</th>
<th>Exp age (yr)</th>
<th>Ext uncertainty (yr)</th>
<th>Exp age (yr)</th>
<th>Ext uncertainty (yr)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EZ1</td>
<td>14302</td>
<td>825</td>
<td>14498</td>
<td>837</td>
<td>14222</td>
<td>819</td>
<td>14847</td>
<td>851</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EZ2</td>
<td>14750</td>
<td>817</td>
<td>14923</td>
<td>828</td>
<td>14666</td>
<td>812</td>
<td>15346</td>
<td>845</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EZ3</td>
<td>14383</td>
<td>831</td>
<td>14563</td>
<td>843</td>
<td>14302</td>
<td>825</td>
<td>14956</td>
<td>859</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Scaling scheme for spallation:

- **Desilets et al. (2003, 2006)**
- **Dunai (2001)**
- **Lifton et al. (2005)**

*Table 2. Details of exposure ages calculation. (a): exposure ages calculated with a constant production rate model, scaling system for spallation of Lal (1991) and Stone (2000); the internal uncertainty (analytical uncertainties which are dominated by AMS uncertainties) and the external uncertainty (both analytical and production rate uncertainties) are given. (b): exposure ages calculated with a time-varying production models.*
Figure captions

**Fig. 1.** Hillshade model of the Lake Ohrid and Lake Prespa region. The study area on the Pelister mountain range is indicated.

**Fig. 2.** Geomorphological map of the upper Veternica Valley. 1: bedrock; 2: glacial deposit; 3: moraine ridge; 4: glacial cirque; 5: block field; 6: protalus rampart; 7: slope debris; 8: rockfall; 9: debris flow fan.

**Fig. 3.** Principal geomorphological features of the upper Veternica Valley. a, b: the lowermost frontal moraines in the studied area; c: the uppermost frontal moraine in the studied area (Golemo Ezero moraine) with samples locations indicated; d: protalus ramparts on the western flank of the valley. See also Figure 2.

**Fig. 4.** Sampled boulders on the top of the Golemo Ezero moraine. Sampling strategy favored flat-topped boulder emerging for some decimeters from the moraine crest.

**Fig. 5.** Locations in the Balkans, Carpathians and Turkey mountains where moraines were dated according to cosmogenic isotopes. 1: Šara mountain chain (Balkans, Kosovo) ($^{10}$Be) (Kuhlemann *et al.* 2009); 2: Pelister mountain (Balkans, Macedonia) (this work); 3: Rila mountains (Carpathian, Bulgaria) ($^{10}$Be) (Kuhlemann *et al.* 2013); 4: Retezat mountains (Carpathians, Romania) ($^{10}$Be) (Reuther *et al.* 2007); 5: Sandiras Mountains (SW Turkey) ($^{36}$Cl) (Sarikaya *et al.* 2008); 6: Uludag Mountain (NW Turkey) ($^{10}$Be) (Zahno *et al.* 2010); 7: Erciyes Mountain (centre-south Turkey) ($^{36}$Cl) (Sarikaya *et al.* 2009); 8: Erciyes Mountain (centre-south Turkey) ($^{36}$Cl) (Sarikaya *et al.* 2009); 9: Uludag mountain (NW Turkey) ($^{10}$Be) (Ackar *et al.* 2014); 10: Dodegol mountain (SW Turkey) ($^{10}$Be) (Zahno *et al.* 2009); 11: Kaçkar Mountain-Kavron Valley (NE Turkey) ($^{10}$Be) (Ackar *et al.* 2007).

**Fig. 6.** Plot showing the exposure age obtained in the Pelister mountain (Golemo Ezero moraine) compared with the (averaged) exposure ages found the Balkans, Carpathians and Turkey mountains. For site details and references see Fig. 4.

**Fig. 7.** Locations in the circum-Mediterranean mountains where ELA were recalculated. 1: Cuerpo de Hombre glacier (Central range, Spain) (Carrasco 2015); 2: Asuente glacier (Cantabrian range, Spain) (Rodriguez-Rodriguez 2016); 3: Pinar glacier (Central range, Spain) (Palacios 2012); 4: Hoya Mora glacier (Sierra Nevada, Spain) (Palacios *et al.*
2016); 5: Seco glacier (Sierra Nevada, Spain) (Palacios et al. 2016); 6: Piniecho glacier (Pyrenees, Spain) (Palacios et al. 2015); 7: Aranser glacier (Pyrenees, Spain) (Palacios et al. 2014); 8: Orri glacier (Pyrenees, Spain) (Pallas et al. 2010; 9: Gesso glacier (Maritime Alps, NW Italy) (Federici et al. 2017); 10: Aquila glacier (three reconstructed glaciers in the same valley) (Apennines, central Italy) (Giraudi & Frezzotti 1997); 11: Reovci glacier (Balkans, Montenegro) (Hughes et al. 2010); 12: Pelister glacier (Balkans, Macedonia) (this work); 13: Pietrele glacier (south Carpathians, Romania) (Ruszkiczay-Rudiger et al. 2015); 14: Rila glacier (Balkans, Bulgaria) (Kulhemann et al. 2013); 15: Sandiras glacier (Taurus mountain, SW Turkey) (Sarikaya et al. 2008); 16: Karagol glacier (Uludag mountain, NW Turkey) (Zahno et al. 2010).

Fig. 8. ELA of Oldest Dryas glaciers vs. longitude of the principal circum-Mediterranean mountains. For site details and references see Fig. 7.

Fig. 9. Climate proxy data and palaeoenvironmental records compared with glacial advances in the Balkan and Carpathian mountains. (a): δ¹⁸O recorded in the Greenland ice core (GRIP) (Rasmussen et al., 2006); (b): alkenone-based Sea Surface Temperature in the Alboran Sea (Cacho et al. 2001); (c): δ¹⁸O record of speleothem from the Sofular cave (Turkey) (Fleitmann et al. 2009); (d): planktonic foraminifera-based Sea Surface Temperature in the Adriatic Sea (Siani et al. 2001); (e): record of Staurosirella Pinnata diatom in the Prespa Lake (Cvetkoska et al. 2015); (f): K counts (peaks indicate increase in clastic debris input) in the Prespa Lake (Damaschke et al. 2013); (g): Mn record in the Prespa Lake core (peaks are associated to mixing phenomena in the water column, consistent with increased aeolian activity) (Wagner et al. 2010); (h): sand content in the Prespa lake core (Aufgebauer et al. 2012); (i): Mean Annual Air Temperature based on pollen assemblage at Lake Maliq (see Fig. 1 for location) (Bordon et al. 2009); (j): Chironomid-inferred air temperature of July at Lake Brazi (Southern Carpathians) (Tóth et al. 2012); (k): exposure ages of stadial moraines in the Balkans and Carpathians, 1) Šara mountain chain (Balkans, Kosovo) (Kuhlemann et al. 2009), 2) Rila mountains (Carpathian, Bulgaria) (Kuhlemann et al. 2013), 3) Rezetat mountains (Carpathians, Romania) (Reuther et al. 2007), 4) Rezetat mountains (Carpathians, Romania) (Reuther et al. 2007), 5) Pelister Mountain (this work). Timing of H₀ and H₁ Heinrich events according to Rasmussen et al. 2014.
Table 1. $^{10}$Be exposure ages of the Golemo Ezero moraine, with sample name, coordinates and elevation, and the concentration of $^{10}$Be measured at the PRIME Lab against standard 07KNSTD. The evaluated thickness and shielding factors are reported.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>sample</th>
<th>Lat (° N)</th>
<th>Long (° E)</th>
<th>Elev (m asl)</th>
<th>Atm Press</th>
<th>Sample thickness (cm)</th>
<th>Sample density gcm$^{-3}$</th>
<th>Shield corr.</th>
<th>Er. rate</th>
<th>10Be conc. (at gr$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Uncert. 10Be (at gr$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>10Be stand.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EZ1</td>
<td>40.5889</td>
<td>21.122</td>
<td>2215</td>
<td>Std</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>0.991</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>302700</td>
<td>8783</td>
<td>07KNSTD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EZ2</td>
<td>40.9693</td>
<td>21.206</td>
<td>2215</td>
<td>Std</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>0.991</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>315800</td>
<td>7691</td>
<td>07KNSTD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EZ3</td>
<td>40.9836</td>
<td>21.206</td>
<td>2213</td>
<td>Std</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>0.994</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>307900</td>
<td>9006</td>
<td>07KNSTD</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Details of exposure ages calculation. (a): exposure ages calculated with a constant production rate model, scaling system for spallation of Lal (1991) and Stone (2000); the internal uncertainty (analytical uncertainties which are dominated by AMS uncertainties) and the external uncertainty (both analytical and production rate uncertainties) are given. (b): exposure ages calculated with a time-varying production models.

### Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample name</th>
<th>Thickness scaling factor</th>
<th>Shielding factor</th>
<th>Prod. rate (muons)</th>
<th>Int. uncertainty (yr)</th>
<th>Exp. age (yr)</th>
<th>Ext. uncertainty (yr)</th>
<th>Prod. rate (spallation) (atoms/g/yr)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EZ1</td>
<td>0.9833</td>
<td>0.991</td>
<td>0.369</td>
<td>443</td>
<td>15034</td>
<td>857</td>
<td>20.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EZ2</td>
<td>0.9833</td>
<td>0.991</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>386</td>
<td>15560</td>
<td>852</td>
<td>20.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EZ3</td>
<td>0.9833</td>
<td>0.994</td>
<td>0.369</td>
<td>451</td>
<td>15149</td>
<td>865</td>
<td>20.29</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### (b)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample name</th>
<th>Exp age (yr)</th>
<th>Ext. uncertainty (yr)</th>
<th>Exp. age (yr)</th>
<th>Ext. uncertainty (yr)</th>
<th>Exp. age (yr)</th>
<th>Ext. uncertainty (yr)</th>
<th>Exp. age (yr)</th>
<th>Ext. uncertainty (yr)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EZ1</td>
<td>14302</td>
<td>825</td>
<td>14498</td>
<td>837</td>
<td>14222</td>
<td>819</td>
<td>14847</td>
<td>851</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EZ2</td>
<td>14750</td>
<td>817</td>
<td>14923</td>
<td>828</td>
<td>14666</td>
<td>812</td>
<td>15346</td>
<td>845</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EZ3</td>
<td>14383</td>
<td>831</td>
<td>14563</td>
<td>843</td>
<td>14302</td>
<td>825</td>
<td>14956</td>
<td>859</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Scaling scheme for spallation:**

- Desilets and others (2003, 2006)
- Dunai (2001)
- Lifton and others (2005)