Combination peptide immunotherapy suppresses antibody and helper T-cell responses to the major human platelet autoantigen glycoprotein IIb/IIIa in HLA-transgenic mice
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Introduction

Immune thrombocytopenia (ITP) is a bleeding disorder defined by an isolated thrombocytopenia and caused by immune responses against self-antigens expressed on platelets and/or megakaryocytes. The most commonly targeted autoantigen is platelet glycoprotein (GP) IIb/IIIa, with responses against other glycoproteins such as GP Ib/IX or GPIV seen in a minority of cases. GP IIb/IIIa, also known as integrin \( \alpha_{IIb}\beta_{3} \), functions as the main fibrinogen and von Willebrand factor receptor and is highly expressed on the plasma membranes of platelets. Characterization of this major autoantigen is a key step in the development of specific immunotherapy that could selectively inhibit the pathogenic responses against platelets.

Platelet destruction in ITP is believed to be mediated by IgG autoantibodies, which opsonize platelet and/or megakaryocyte surfaces, leading to clearance via Fcγ-receptors on macrophages, predominantly in bone marrow, spleen and liver. T-
cell cytotoxicity has also been demonstrated against platelets and megakaryocytes. \cite{30,31} Class switching and somatic mutation of the autoantibodies\cite{26} indicate that their production is T-dependent, in line with the finding that autoreactive CD4+ helper T (Th) cells specific for the corresponding platelet antigens are activated in the disease.\cite{7,13-15} Evidence has accumulated that the loss of peripheral tolerance in ITP reflects an imbalance between regulatory and effector CD4+ T-cell activity, with, in particular, impairment of the suppressive function of the CD25-FoxP3+ regulatory T (Treg) subset.\cite{21,16-18} ITP therefore fits the paradigm emerging in other autoantibody-mediated diseases, in which pathogenic responses are helper-dependent and arise and/or are sustained due to inadequate Treg suppression.\cite{9-21}

The current first-line treatment for ITP, steroids, inhibits autoantibody production and platelet degradation by inducing generalized immunosuppression.\cite{23,24} However, the numerous side effects of this treatment include infection and cardiovascular disease, which are more frequent causes of death than bleeding in ITP patients.\cite{25} Second-line treatments, for instance rituximab to deplete B cells, immunoglobulin infusions or splenectomy, are also non-specific and typically further intensify immunosuppression. Third-line thrombopoietic agents are expensive, and although they can induce lasting remission in some patients\cite{26} and induce immune tolerance to platelet autoantigens in a murine model of ITP,\cite{27} these effects are not fully understood, and longer term side effects have yet to be fully reported.\cite{23,24} In contrast to previous untargeted approaches, antigen-specific immunotherapy offers the prospect of rebalancing pathogenic CD4+ Th and Treg responses, without compromising the rest of the immune system.\cite{23,24} Precedents in models of other immune-mediated diseases demonstrate that this can be achieved by appropriate administration of short, synthetic peptides containing CD4+ T-cell epitopes from the respective target antigens, with peptide products being tested in clinical trials for the treatment of a number of conditions, including type 1 diabetes, multiple sclerosis and rheumatoid arthritis.\cite{26,32} The approach induces immune regulation, which, in addition to suppressing inflammatory T-cell responses, also has the potential to inhibit helper-dependent antibody production, as demonstrated by the success of peptide therapy in blocking IgG responses to the RhD blood group protein in a humanized murine model.\cite{26,32} Once peptides that span major T-cell epitopes have been mapped, key requirements for effective immunotherapy include delivery of these sequences in soluble form, in the absence of any adjuvant material.\cite{23,24,31,34} Despite an initial focus on mucosal administration of peptides, a variety of routes are now known to be effective, including subcutaneous.\cite{31,34}

The fine specificity of autoreactive Th cells in ITP has now been characterized with a view to developing specific peptide therapy that restores helper tolerance and avoids long-term immunosuppressive steroid treatment or splenectomy.\cite{17} When a panel of 15-mer peptides spanning the entire length of GPIIIa was screened for helper epitopes, particular sequences were found to elicit recall responses by CD4+ T cells from patients with ITP. Despite variation between different cases, seven GPIIIa peptides (aa6-20, aa331-345, aa561-375, aa421-435, aa591-605, aa661-675 and aa711-725) were commonly recognized, with one or more eliciting responses by T cells from 84% of patients. Of these peptides, two predominated (aa6-20 and aa711-725), with either or both being stimulatory in 65% of patients.

The aim of the current work, and the next step in developing specific immunotherapy for ITP patients, was to test and compare the efficacy of the seven dominant GPIIIa peptides, particularly aa6-20 and aa711-725, in suppressing responses to GPIlb/IIa in a pre-clinical animal model. In order to replicate restriction and peptide-binding preferences of the human major histocompatibility complex (MHC), the model used was a humanized transgenic mouse expressing HLA-DR rather than murine class II molecules. A strain transgenic for HLA-DR15 was chosen for these proof-of-principle studies, since it is an established model for investigating responses restricted by human class II\cite{33,34} and, although there is no consensus as to whether certain class II alleles predispose to, or protect against, ITP,\cite{26,35} DRB1*1501 was well represented in our cohort of patients and is a common allele in many populations. We demonstrate that sequences aa6-20 and aa711-725 in combination are effective in suppressing Th and IgG antibody responses to immunization of the mice with GPIIIa, and that this effect is associated with induction of a Treg population.

### Methods

**Purification of glycoprotein IIb/IIIa**

GPIlb/IIa was purified as previously reported,\cite{36} with minor modifications. In brief, spheredized platelets (provided by the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Peptide nomenclature</th>
<th>GPIIIa sequence</th>
<th>Amino acids</th>
<th>Modified to improve manufacture</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P2</td>
<td>TTRGVSSCQQCCLAVS-acid</td>
<td>aa6-20</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P44</td>
<td>KRGVLSMDSSNVLQLRK-acid</td>
<td>aa331-345</td>
<td>Yes*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P47</td>
<td>DLPEELSLSFNATCL-acid</td>
<td>aa361-375</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P53</td>
<td>FKDSLIVQVTFDCDC-acid</td>
<td>aa421-435</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P70</td>
<td>PGSYGTCEKCPFTC-acid</td>
<td>aa591-605</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P77</td>
<td>DDCWRFQYYEDSSG-acid</td>
<td>aa661-675</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P82</td>
<td>KRAIILWKLLITIDRRKRK-acid</td>
<td>aa711-725</td>
<td>Yes*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Modified by inclusion of an RK wrapper – added in bold.
Scottish National Blood Transfusion Service) were incubated in 50 mM octylglucopyranoside (Abcam, Cambridge, UK). GPIIb/IIIa was extracted from the supernatant onto sepharose beads displaying GPIIa-specific peptide GRGDSPK (Cambridge Research Biochemicals, Billingham, UK), and eluted with peptide GRGDSP (Merck Chemicals, Nottingham, UK). The purity of the eluted GPIIb/IIIa preparation was confirmed by western blotting.

**Peptides**

Peptides containing GPIIa epitopes (Table 1) were manufactured to >95% purity (Cambridge Research Biochemicals). To achieve solubility in aqueous media, which is a key property for efficient production and purification, as well as for efficacy in immunotherapy,30,34,35 two sequences, P44 (aa331-345) and P82 (aa711-725), were extended by an arginine-lysine wrapper.

**Mouse immunization and peptide treatment**

Animal work was approved by the University of Aberdeen Ethical Review Committee and authorized by the UK Government Home Office (project license 70/8744). Mice transgenic for HLA-DRA1*1010 and HLA-DRB1*1501, which express HLA-DR15 but not murine MHC class II, were originally supplied by Professor Daniel Altman (Imperial College London, UK)39 and maintained as a genotyped and phenotyped colony at the University of Aberdeen.

Anti-platelet GPIIb/IIIa responses were induced by subcutaneous injection of mice with 10 μg purified GPIIb/IIIa antigen, repeated 2 weeks later. Mice immunized with control antigen received injections of ovalbumin (Sigma, Poole, UK) instead of GPIIb/IIIa. Mice were treated with GPIIIa peptides by subcutaneous injection, receiving 100 μg of each peptide selected, either alone or in combination.

**Blood sampling and tissue preparation**

Blood samples were collected into heparinized tubes. Single cell suspensions of splenocytes were prepared using the Miltenyi spleen dissociation kit™ and gentleMacs octo-dissociator™ (Miltenyi Biotec, Bisley, UK). Where required, Treg were depleted from splenocytes using the Miltenyi CD4+ CD25+ regulatory T-cell isolation kit.

**Cell culture**

As previously described,30,34 splenocytes were cultured at 1.25x10⁶ cells/mL in αMEM (Sigma, Poole, UK), supplemented with 0.5% syngeneic serum. Cultures were stimulated with purified GPIIb/IIIa antigen at a final concentration of 1 μg/mL, or with the control antigen ovalbumin at a final concentrations of 10 μg/mL, or with Dynabeads™ Mouse T-Activator CD3/CD28 (Invitrogen, Fisher Scientific, UK), or with peptides, either individually or in combination, at final concentrations of 10 μg/mL each.

**Flow cytometry**

After 5 days of culture, splenocytes were stained with fluorescein isothiocyanate-conjugated anti-CD4 (eBioscience, Fisher Scientific, Loughborough, UK) and Pacific blue-conjugated anti-CD25 (Biolegend, London, UK) antibodies, permeabilized and stained with phycoerythrin-conjugated anti-FoxP3 (eBioscience). Samples were analyzed on an LSR Fortessa™ flow cytometer (BD Bioscience, Oxford, UK) using FACSDiva™ software. Regulatory T cells were defined as CD4+CD25+FoxP3+40.

**Proliferation assays and cytokine enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays**

As described elsewhere, after 5 days of culture,33-35 splenocyte proliferation was estimated from 3H-thymidine incorporation and presented as a stimulation index, representing the ratio of counts per minute (CPM) in stimulated versus unstimulated wells (with a ratio >3 taken as being significant41). Interleukin-10 (IL-10) production in cultures was measured by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) (antibody pairs from BD Bioscience).

**Measurement of serum antibody specific for glycoprotein IIb/IIIa or control antigen**

ELISA to measure murine IgG antibodies specific for GPIIb/IIIa, (Miltenyi Biotec, Bisley, UK). Where required, Treg were depleted from splenocytes using the Miltenyi CD4+ CD25+ regulatory T-cell isolation kit.

**Figure 1.** Treatment of HLA-DR15 transgenic mice with individual glycoprotein IIIa peptides containing Th epitopes neither elicits antibody specific for glycoprotein IIb/IIIa, nor consistently blocks the antibody response to immunization with purified glycoprotein IIb/IIIa. (A) Purified human GPIIb/IIIa or individual peptides were administered by subcutaneous injection to HLA-DR15 transgenic mice on days 0 and 14, with the levels of plasma IgG antibodies reactive to purified GPIIb/IIIa measured by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) on day 28. (B) Individual peptides were administered to mice on days 0 and 14, followed by purified GPIIb/IIIa on days 28 and 42, with IgG antibodies measured by ELISA on day 70. Data points represent results from individual mice (n=3 per group). ***P<0.0001, one way analysis of variance. Ab: antibody.
or for the control antigen ovalbumin, were adapted from a published method.38 Microtiter plates (Nunc, Fisher Scientific, Loughborough, UK) were coated with either purified GPIIb/IIIa or ovalbumin, incubated with plasma samples diluted 1:20 in phosphate-buffered saline, probed with anti-mouse IgG conjugated to alkaline phosphatase (Invitrogen, Fisher Scientific), and developed with p-nitrophenyl phosphate substrate (Sigma). The absorbance was measured at 405 nm.

Statistical analyses
Statistical tests were performed using SigmaPlot (Systat Software).

Results
Seven peptides (Table 1) containing GPIIIa epitopes that are commonly recognized by Th cells from patients with ITP and are, therefore, candidates for inclusion in an immunotherapeutic product7 were screened for their effects on immunity to GPIIb/IIIa in HLA-DR15 transgenic mice. The genetically modified strain was used, not to ensure responses to immunization with human GPIIb/IIIa, but in order to recapitulate in vivo the presentation of particular GPIIIa peptides by human MHC class II molecules. GPIIa peptides containing the T-cell epitopes that had been mapped in ITP patients would not necessarily be presented by murine class II molecules to modify responses of wild-type mice to GPIIb/IIIa, and any effects would not be of direct relevance to human disease. The administration of the peptides, given in soluble form via the subcutaneous route in the absence of adjuvant signals, was designed to favor induction of regulatory versus effector responses.25,29,34,35

Stimulation of antibody responses to glycoprotein IIb/IIIa
It was first necessary to confirm that HLA-DR15 transgenic mice could mount an antibody response to GPIIb/IIIa after immunization with the purified full-length antigen, and that no such response was elicited by any of the seven candidate peptides considered as putative suppressive treatment. Purified GPIIb/IIIa or individual GPIIIa peptides were administered to mice, with IgG anti-
bodies reactive to purified GPIIb/IIa subsequently measured by ELISA (Figure 1A). It can be seen that only purified GPIIb/IIa, and none of the peptides, elicited a significant antibody response.

Prevention of antibody responses to glycoprotein IIb/IIia by individual peptides

The seven peptides were next tested individually for their ability to prevent the IgG antibody response to GPIIb/IIia immunization. Different groups of mice were each given one of the peptides, or left untreated, before immunization, and the development of anti-GPIIb/IIia plasma antibodies compared (Figure 1B). None of the peptides consistently blocked the development of antibody, although there were non-significant trends for lower responses after delivery of peptides 2 (aa6-20), 70 (aa591-605) or 82 (aa711-725).

Prevention and inhibition of antibody responses to glycoprotein IIb/IIia by a peptide combination

It has been demonstrated that peptides used in combination can be more effective than individual sequences in preventing or reversing allo- or auto-antibody production.32,34 Peptides 2 (aa6-20) and 82 (aa711-725) were selected to be tested in combination here, since epitopes they contain were previously identified as the most immunodominant,7 capable of eliciting Th responses in 65% of ITP patients tested, and they had also each demonstrated some, albeit variable, inhibition of antibody generation in the individual peptide experiments. It was determined whether prior treatment with an equimolar mixture of peptides 2 (aa6-20) and 82 (aa711-725) prevented induction of the antibody response to immunization with purified GPIIb/IIia. Given that the antibody levels are measured as relative optical density (OD) values in

Figure 3. Treatment of HLA-DR15 transgenic mice with glycoprotein IIa peptides containing Th epitopes modulates splenocyte proliferative responses in vitro. Splenocytes were obtained from mice that were untreated controls (non-immunized); immunized with GPIIb/IIia only (immunized only); pre-treated with a mixture of GPIIb/IIia peptides 2 (aa6-20) and 82 (aa711-725) before GPIIb/IIia immunization (prevention); given the mixture of peptides after GPIIb/IIia immunization (inhibition); or given the mixture of peptides only (tolerized). The panels show proliferation of splenocytes in response to stimulation in vitro with (A) purified GPIIb/IIia, (B) peptide 2 or (C) peptide 82. Data points represent results from individual mice: (A) non-immunized (n=10), immunized only (n=9), prevention (n=9), inhibition (n=12), tolerated (n=3); (B and C) non-immunized (n=7), immunized only (n=6), prevention (n=6), inhibition (n=5). *P<0.05, **P<0.001, t-test. CPM: counts per minute.
ELISA, and not absolute concentrations, the results were designed to be interpreted as longitudinal studies of mice in each group. In contrast to control mice that received only the immunization, no significant antibody response against GPIIb/IIIa could be detected in animals pre-treated with the peptide combination (Figure 2A, left and middle panels). Extending the time after GPIIb/IIIa immunization by a further 14 days in another group of pre-treated mice (n=3) saw no increase in antibody levels, consistent with a sustained loss, rather than delay, in responsiveness (mean ± standard deviation OD: 2.92±0.09 at day 42 versus 3.03±0.1 at day 56, n=3).

Having demonstrated that, unlike either individual peptide, pre-treatment with the combination of peptides 2
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**Figure 4.** Treatment of HLA-DR15 transgenic mice with glycoprotein IIIa peptides containing Th epitopes modulates splenocyte populations with a CD4+CD25+FoxP3+ Treg phenotype and suppressive function. Splenocytes were obtained from mice that were untreated controls (non-immunized); immunized with GPIIb/IIIa only (immunized only); pre-treated with a mixture of GPIIb/IIIa peptides 2 (aa 6-20) and 82 (aa 711-725) before GPIIb/IIIa immunization (prevention); given the mixture of peptides after GPIIb/IIIa immunization (inhibition). The cells were left as unstimulated controls (none; no stimulus), or stimulated in vitro with either purified GPIIb/IIIa (GPIIIa; GPIIIa stimulation) or a mixture of all seven GPIIIa peptides (Pmix; Pmix stimulation). (A) Representative examples of flow cytometric analyses of CD25 and FoxP3 expression after gating on CD4+ cells. (B) Proportions of CD25+FoxP3+ cells within the splenic CD4+ populations from all the mice. Data points represent results from individual mice (non-immunized) (n=7), immunized only (n=6), prevention (n=6), inhibition (n=5). (C) The effect of depleting CD25+CD4+ cells (CD25-) on the ability of splenocytes from mice in the prevention group (n=3) to make proliferative responses when stimulated with GPIIb/IIIa. **P<0.005, t-test. CPM: counts per minute.
(aa6-20) and 82 (aa711-725) could prevent anti-GPIIb/IIIa antibody generation, we next determined whether the mixture could also suppress responses that had already been induced, by administering the peptide product after immunization with GPIIb/IIIa (Figure 2A, right panel). As expected, a significant response to immunization was detectable by the time of the peptide administration, but there was a slight, non-significant fall in mean antibody levels over the next 14 days after treatment (mean ± standard deviation OD: 0.55±0.1 at peptide treatment on day 28 versus 0.54±0.1 on day 42, n=8; P=0.8). By contrast, mean antibody levels continued to rise, modestly but significantly, in the group of control mice that received only immunization (Figure 2A, left panel) (mean ± standard deviation OD: 0.96±0.53 on day 28 versus 1.1±0.54 on day 42, n=14; P<0.05). Thus, the peptide combination blunted, but did not significantly reverse, ongoing responses to GPIIb/IIIa.

The suppressive effect of treatment of transgenic mice with the peptide combination was specific to GPIIb/IIIa responses, since there was no effect on their ability to make antibody responses to immunization with the unrelated control antigen ovalbumin (Figure 2B).

**Prevention and inhibition of T-cell responses to glycoprotein IIb/IIIa by the peptide combination**

Class-switched antibody responses classically depend on antigen-specific Th cells,\(^{19,20}\) which peptide immunotherapy is intended to target and render unresponsive.\(^{61,63}\) To determine the effect of treatment with the combination of GPIIIa peptides 2 (aa6-20) and 82 (aa711-725) on Th effector function, we obtained splenocytes from control unimmunized or GPIIb/IIIa-immunized mice, or from animals that had received the peptides either before or after immunization, and compared their ability to proliferate *in vitro* when stimulated with purified GPIIb/IIIa (Figure 3A). As expected, splenocytes from mice that been immunized with GPIIb/IIIa proliferated in response to the antigen, whereas there were no responses in control, unimmunized animals. Flow cytometric analyses demonstrated that T cells with the CD4⁺ helper phenotype predominated in the proliferating cultures (>70% in all cases, n=6). Proliferation against GPIIb/IIIa was significantly reduced when immunized mice had been pre-treated with the combination of peptides 2 (aa6-20) and 82 (aa711-725), and there was also a non-significant trend for responses to be inhibited when mice were treated with the peptides after immunization.

Splenocyte responsiveness to the individual GPIIIa peptides 2 (aa6-20) or 82 (aa711-725) was also assayed (Figure 3B). Peptide 2 (aa6-20) elicited no consistent proliferative responses in any group, but peptide 82 (aa711-725) demonstrated significant stimulatory ability in both groups of animals that had received the peptide combination in addition to immunization.

**Induction of regulatory T cells by the peptide combination**

Having demonstrated that treatment with a combination of GPIIIa peptides can suppress both antibody and effector T-cell responses to GPIIb/IIIa immunization, we determined whether this was associated with induction of Treg cells. The proportions of cells exhibiting the CD25⁺FoxP3⁺ Treg phenotype within the CD4⁺ splenocyte population were compared in control, unimmunized and GPIIb/IIIa-immunized mice, and in animals that had received the peptide mixture either before or after immunization. Treg cells were enumerated both when spleno-
cytes had received no further stimulus in vitro, and after incubation with either purified GPIIb/IIIa, or the peptide mix. Figure 4A illustrates examples of contour plots obtained from individual animals from each group, and Figure 4B summarizes all the data. Without stimulation in culture, the proportions of cells with the Treg phenotype were low, typically <5% of CD4+ cells, irrespectively of whether the mice had been immunized or received peptide. However, after in vitro stimulation with purified GPIIb/IIIa the Treg population increased, typically to >10% of CD4+ cells, in all the immunized groups, both peptide-treated and -untreated, reaching proportions of Treg that were significantly higher than those seen in non-immunized controls. When stimulated in vitro with the peptide mix, increases in Treg were seen in mice that had received peptide either before or after immunization, but not in animals given only the immunization. Overall, the observed inhibition of antibody and Th effector function by peptide therapy is therefore associated with recruitment of cells with a Treg phenotype, which differ in responsiveness from those induced by the purified full-length antigen. This is consistent with induction of suppression to GPIIb/IIIa by peptide-specific Treg. It was confirmed that Treg cells contribute to the suppressive effect in peptide-treated mice since splenocyte proliferative responsiveness to GPIIIb/IIIa was restored by depletion of the CD25+ T-cell population that contains the Treg cells (Figure 4C).

Production of the regulatory cytokine IL-10 is not necessarily associated with the classic CD25+FoxP3+ Treg population, but represents another mechanism by which effector responses can be downregulated following peptide immunotherapy.19,20,21,23,44 When we measured production of IL-10 by splenocytes (Figure 5), there were no differences between levels of the cytokine in cultures from mice that had been non-immunized, immunized, or given immunization and peptides, although across all groups there was a trend for IL-10 production to be higher when splenocytes were stimulated with the peptide mix compared to unstimulated or GPIIb/IIIa-stimulated cultures. Therefore, in this model of peptide therapy, there is little evidence for IL-10 having a role in suppression.

Discussion

As part of a strategy to develop and evaluate specific peptide therapy for ITP, this study determined the ability of sequences containing immunodominant helper epitopes to suppress responses to the major human platelet autoantigen GPIIb/IIIa by humanized mice expressing HLA-DR15. None of seven GPIIa peptides, when tested individually, was able reliably to inhibit antibody responses by the mice to immunization with purified GPIIb/IIIa, but the combination of peptides 2 (aa6-20) and 82 (aa711-725) did suppress the development of antibodies and proliferative effector T-cell responses. These inhibitory effects were associated with induction of a specific population of Treg cells, and raise the prospect that the peptide combination may be effective in ameliorating ITP in patients.

Previous studies in other diseases have suggested that combinations of peptides are markedly more effective than individual sequences in suppressing pathogenic immune responses in murine models,8,43,44 and several of these combinations have progressed into the clinic, with promising results recently reported from human trials. These include phase I trials of a combination of three HLA-DQ2-restricted immunodominant peptides in the ImmusanT product Nexvax2 to treat celiac disease,45 phase IIa trials of a mixture of four myelin peptides in the Apitope product ATX-MS-1467 in patients with multiple sclerosis (ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT01973491), and a phase I trial in type 1 diabetes of the product MultiPepT1De containing proinsulin sequences.46 Combinations of peptides may be more effective than individual sequences in triggering therapeutic suppression for a number of reasons. First, different class II molecules vary in their peptide binding preferences,47 and so mixtures of peptides can maximize the likelihood of effective presentation to CD4+ T cells in HLA-disparate patient populations.48-51 However, this does not account for the superior efficacy of the combination of peptides 2 (aa6-20) and 82 (aa711-725) versus the individual sequences in the current murine model, in which only HLA-DR15 is available. Here, a second explanation, that additional peptides interact with a wider pool of T-cell specificities and are therefore more likely to tip the balance of the response towards regulation, is more relevant.54-57 In vivo studies such as these provide the opportunity to catalogue the complex immune properties that therapeutic peptides can exhibit alone or in combination. Individual peptides can induce different balances between effector and regulatory T cells,2,25 yet can synergize in suppression, as illustrated here by the ability of peptide 2 (aa6-20), but not 82 (aa711-725), to stimulate the proliferation of splenocytes, when mice need to be given both peptides to inhibit GPIIb/IIIa responses. The combination of peptides 2 (aa6-20) and 82 (aa711-725) is attractive as a candidate product to treat ITP, not only because of the current demonstration of efficacy in a pre-clinical model, but also because the sequences appear promiscuous in their ability to be presented by different HLA-DR molecules, and are together recognized by T cells from the majority of patients. The finding that the inhibitory effects of the peptides are limited to responses to GPIIb/IIIa, and not control antigen, demonstrates specificity of suppression and suggests that immunity to infection would not be compromised by treatment.

In many animal models of immune-mediated disease, and clinical investigations, the efficacy of peptide immunotherapy is associated with suppression of effector T cells and induction of Treg populations.49-52,48-46 The approach is attractive for development as a novel treatment for ITP since the disease is associated with an impairment of CD4+CD25+FoxP3+ Treg cells, with their frequency being reduced in the circulation, spleen and bone marrow.2,16-18 Dysregulation of Treg cells is also restored in line with platelet counts after treatment with dexamethasone, rituximab or thrombopoietin.43 Analysis of a SCID murine model of ITP, which is induced by adoptive transfer of splenocytes from CD61+ mice that have been immunized with CD61+ platelets, further demonstrated a dysregulation of CD4+CD25+FoxP3+ Treg cells.43 These mice were successfully treated with intravenous immunoglobulins, which normalized both platelet and Treg counts.44 The suppression of antibody responses to GPIIb/IIIa in HLA-DR transgenic mice reported here now raises the prospect that Treg can be boosted therapeutically in ITP, with populations of antigen-responsive cells with a CD4+CD25+FoxP3+ Treg phenotype induced in the
spleens after specific peptide therapy. Treg cells can suppress antibody responses not only by blocking Th activity, but also by direct effects on B cells.64 Unlike some other examples of successful peptide therapy,25,31,44 suppression in our model was not associated with IL-10 responses, suggesting it is mediated by the CD4+CD25+FoxP3+ Treg we observed, which are classically cytokine independent, rather than by other cells such as the T regulatory 1 (Tr1) type. One notable feature of our study is that, while both immunization of mice with purified GPIb/IIa, and treatment with the peptide combination, induced Treg phenotype cells, the populations differed in specificity. This difference is demonstrated by the ability of the splenic Treg phenotype population induced in immunized mice to respond to GPIb/IIa, but not the peptides, and suggests an explanation for the success of immunization, and the ability of splenocytes to proliferate, in the face of such a population. Thus, the cells with the Treg phenotype induced as part of the response to GPIb/IIa are unable to block immunization, at least within the timeframe of our experiments, but the peptides tip the balance to suppression by recruiting more effective, or additional, Treg cells not induced by the antigen alone. It is recognized that antigens and peptides can induce both effector and regulatory cells, and that an evolving balance between these populations, particularly inter-conversion of T eff and Treg, determines the functional outcome.21,22 Our approach to peptide therapy demonstrated high efficacy in preventing antibody and T-cell responses to GPIb/IIa when the peptides were given prior to immunization, and although developing responses were blunted when mice were treated after immunization, antibody levels did not fall. This may reflect a relatively slow decay of established responses in the face of regulation, which, if necessary, could be augmented by additional peptide doses,41 since our regimen for combination peptide therapy was a single subcutaneous injection, for easy translation to clinical practice. Alternatively, peptide therapy could also be combined with existing, more rapidly acting approaches, such as use of the biologic rituximab to target B cells directly, while peptide-induced Treg cells block longer-term recruitment to the immune response. Solubility is a key feature in the efficient manufacture of pure peptides, and in their ability to induce regulation,28,29,34,35 and the data demonstrate that this goal could be achieved by peptide immunotherapy. The ability of a combination of peptides to suppress antibody and T-cell responses to GPIb/IIa, and to induce Treg, in our pre-clinical model provides further justification for human clinical trials of this approach in ITP. Differences between species inevitably present a risk that positive results from murine studies do not translate to human patients, but we have reduced this by testing mice with partially humanized immune systems, and by asking highly defined questions as to the ability of GPIIa peptides presented in vivo by human MHC class II molecules to induce suppression. A product containing GPIIa peptides 2 (aa6-20) and 82 (aa711-725) therefore represents the basis for development, with the initial indication being those ITP patients in whom conventional immunosuppressive treatments have failed.
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