

Review Article

Hosting Infection: Experimental Models to Assay *Candida* Virulence

Donna M. MacCallum

Aberdeen Fungal Group, School of Medical Sciences, Institute of Medical Sciences, University of Aberdeen, Foresterhill, Aberdeen, AB25 2ZD, UK

Correspondence should be addressed to Donna M. MacCallum, d.m.maccallum@abdn.ac.uk

Received 1 August 2011; Accepted 13 October 2011

Academic Editor: Arianna Tavanti

Copyright © 2012 Donna M. MacCallum. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Although normally commensals in humans, *Candida albicans*, *Candida tropicalis*, *Candida parapsilosis*, *Candida glabrata*, and *Candida krusei* are capable of causing opportunistic infections in individuals with altered physiological and/or immunological responses. These fungal species are linked with a variety of infections, including oral, vaginal, gastrointestinal, and systemic infections, with *C. albicans* the major cause of infection. To assess the ability of different *Candida* species and strains to cause infection and disease requires the use of experimental infection models. This paper discusses the mucosal and systemic models of infection available to assay *Candida* virulence and gives examples of some of the knowledge that has been gained to date from these models.

1. *Candida* and Man

1.1. Carriage of *Candida* Species. In healthy individuals *Candida* species are harmless members of the normal gastrointestinal (GI), oral, and vaginal microbial flora. It is assumed that everyone carries *Candida* in their GI tract (reviewed in [1]), with *C. albicans* the species most frequently identified in faecal sampling, representing 40–70% of isolates [2–4]. Other isolates are usually identified as *C. parapsilosis*, *C. glabrata*, *C. tropicalis*, or *C. krusei* [2–4].

In comparison to GI carriage, oral carriage is observed in only ~40% of healthy individuals, with considerable variation found between studies (reviewed in [1]). Higher carriage levels are generally associated with diabetes, cancer, HIV, or denture use (reviewed in [1]). Again, the majority of isolates (~80%) are identified as *C. albicans*, with *C. glabrata* or *C. parapsilosis* making up the remainder [5–9].

Vaginal carriage occurs in an even smaller proportion of the healthy population, with only ~20% of healthy women found to have vaginal *Candida* carriage [10–13]. *C. albicans* is again the most commonly identified species, with *C. glabrata* the only other species usually found [10, 12, 14–17].

Therefore, *C. albicans* is the major species found as a commensal in healthy individuals, with four other species, *C. tropicalis*, *C. parapsilosis*, *C. glabrata*, and *C. krusei*, also found.

1.2. *Candida* and Disease. *Candida* species, however, have an alternative lifestyle, causing opportunistic infection in hosts with altered physiological or immune response. The infections caused by *Candida* species range from self-limiting, superficial mucosal lesions (commonly referred to as thrush), chronic and/or recurrent mucosal, skin, and nail infections, through to life-threatening invasive or disseminated infection [1, 18–21].

In humans, the most common infections caused by *Candida* species are superficial infections of the mucosa, skin, and nails [20–24]. Pseudomembranous oral thrush is common in babies and in the elderly, but is also found in HIV-positive individuals and cancer patients (reviewed in [1, 25]). Denture stomatitis is also a significant infection, occurring in approximately 60% of denture wearers [26, 27]. In oral candidiasis most infections are caused by *C. albicans*

(58%), with the remainder caused by *C. parapsilosis*, *C. tropicalis*, *C. glabrata*, and *C. krusei* [28, 29].

Vaginal candidiasis, or thrush, another form of superficial infection, affects approximately 75% of women of child-bearing age [30, 31]. *C. albicans* is most commonly isolated, with *C. glabrata* also found, but at a lower frequency [17, 30, 32–35], reflecting the species normally carried in the vulvovaginal area.

An additional form of candidiasis involving the mucous membranes, as well as the skin and nails, is chronic mucocutaneous candidiasis. Unlike other forms of candidiasis, there is evidence that this condition can be inherited or is associated with thymoma, with almost every infection caused by *C. albicans* [20–24, 36].

The most serious infections caused by *Candida* species, however, are invasive or disseminated infections. *Candida* species cause ~11% of all bloodstream infections and 20% of those occurring in the ICU population [37–39]. However, in comparison to bacterial infections occurring in the same patient population, these infections are much more serious as mortality rates remain high (~45%) [1, 40]. This is due, in part, to diagnostic difficulties and limited antifungal therapies. Invasive infections occur in those patients who are already seriously ill, with major risk factors including admission to ICU, surgery (especially abdominal surgery), and neutropenia (reviewed in [1]). The five *Candida* species commonly isolated from the human GI tract are also responsible for 90% of invasive *Candida* infections [1, 41]. Geographical variations in the epidemiology of these infections do occur, with *C. tropicalis* the most common cause of invasive *Candida* infection in both India and Singapore [42–44]. In addition, in patients with haematological malignancies and in young children and babies, there is increased incidence of *C. tropicalis* and *C. parapsilosis* [45–49].

Patients with invasive *Candida* infection usually present with clinical symptoms similar to those associated with invasive bacterial infection and can eventually develop sepsis [50]. From autopsy reports, it is evident that the lungs and the kidneys are the organs most commonly affected, with fungal lesions also found in the heart, liver, and spleen [51–55]. Infection most likely originates from the GI tract, as the majority of invasive infections show GI involvement (oesophagus, stomach, and intestines) [51, 53] and *Candida* isolates from the bloodstream are identical, or closely related, to isolates from nonsterile sites of the same patient [56].

Increasing numbers of patients suffering immunosuppression and undergoing invasive treatments, for example, for cancers and organ transplants, mean that there is an ever-increasing population at risk of invasive fungal infection. With a medical need for the development of new and more efficient diagnostics and therapies for fungal infection, we need a better understanding of *Candida* pathogenesis, that is, how do the major *Candida* species cause opportunistic infections?

2. Experimental Models of *Candida* Infection

Experimental infection models allow disease development to be followed from the moment that fungal cells are introduced into the host. To be a good model, a model should be reproducible, relatively easy to set up, and should reproduce the major clinical symptoms seen in the human disease. It is also an added advantage if the model is cost effective. Models which satisfy these conditions allow further in-depth investigation of *Candida* virulence to be carried out and, subsequently, allow inferences about *Candida* virulence in human disease to be made.

Although a great deal of preliminary research on virulence can be carried out by laboratory experiment, infection modelling requires the involvement of a host organism. It is only in a whole organism that the complex host-fungus interactions that determine whether or not disease will occur can be investigated. Although larger animals have been used to study *Candida* infections, for example, macaques [57, 58], piglets [59], rabbits [60–62], and guinea pigs [63, 64], the majority of *Candida* virulence studies use rodent infection models. This is due to economic factors, ease of handling, and the availability of genetically modified mouse strains, which allow human genetic conditions to be mimicked.

In this paper, experimental animal models that have been developed for *Candida* virulence assays are discussed. It should be noted that the majority of models focus on *C. albicans* as this is the major species associated with human *Candida* infections.

2.1. Mucosal Infection Models. To model *Candida* oral and vaginal infections, mucosal models have been developed mainly in rats and mice. The procedures used in rats and mice are generally similar. However, the larger animal has the added advantage that denture-associated fungal biofilms formation can also be studied in a host [65]. Establishment of infection at mucosal sites generally requires treatment with immunosuppressive agents, oestrogen, or antibiotics prior to infection, or the use of germ-free animals [66–68]. However, the nude (*Foxn1^{nu}*) mouse model of oral infection allows infection to be established without any immunosuppression or other pretreatment [69]. Greater detail can be found in more extensive reviews of these infection models [67, 68, 70, 71].

In order to assess virulence in mice using the oral infection model, mice are routinely pretreated with corticosteroids and *Candida* cells are administered into the oral cavity of anaesthetised animals either by applying a *Candida*-soaked cotton bud under the tongue or by applying the inoculum directly onto the teeth, gums, and oral cavity [67, 70, 72]. Virulence in this model is usually determined by fungal organ burden and histopathology.

Both rat and mouse models have been used to compare the virulence of *C. albicans* mutant strains and also clinical isolates [73–77]. Using these models, *C. albicans* mutant strains which are unable to switch between the yeast and hyphal growth forms were found to be unable to cause oral infection, demonstrating a requirement for yeast-hypha switching in oral infection [75]. In addition, protein kinase

Ck2 was also shown to be required for oropharyngeal *C. albicans* infections [77].

Mouse and rat models have also been developed to assay *Candida* virulence in vaginal infection. In these models the rodents are maintained in oestrus in order to maintain colonisation and infection, which probably mimics pregnancy-associated candidiasis [78–81]. In rats, this generally involves surgery to remove the ovaries, with subsequent administration of oestrogen [81]. Recently, however, a new rat model has been developed, similar to the mouse model, where oestrus is maintained merely through administration of oestrogen [82], which will increase the ease of setting up the infection model. Immunosuppression of the host can also prolong colonisation by *Candida* species [83]. These models allow us to examine single vaginitis episodes; however, a satisfactory model of recurrent, chronic vaginitis is not yet available.

The virulence of *C. albicans* clinical isolates has been compared in rodent vaginitis models, demonstrating that isolates have varying capacities to cause disease [84, 85]. This model has also been used to assess virulence of genetically modified *C. albicans* mutants [85–87].

In addition to assessing *C. albicans* virulence, this model can be used to examine virulence of other *Candida* species. As *C. glabrata* is also associated with human vaginal infection, researchers have used the rat vaginitis model to evaluate the virulence of a *C. glabrata* petite mutant, discovering that the mutant was more virulent than the parental strain [88]. In addition, *C. parapsilosis* isolates have also been assessed for their ability to cause vaginal infection in the rat model [80]. In this study only a single isolate, recently obtained from a woman with active vaginal infection, was capable of initiating infection [80].

A major development in *Candida* virulence testing at mucosal surfaces occurred recently with the development of a concurrent oral and vaginal infection model by Rahman et al. [72]. This mouse model allows both oral and vaginal infections to be initiated in the same host, greatly reducing the numbers of animals required for these virulence assays. A comparison of the virulence of three different *C. albicans* isolates in this model clearly demonstrated that *C. albicans* isolates were not equally virulent, with obvious differences in their ability to initiate mucosal infections [72].

2.2. Invasive Infection Models. Mouse models of invasive fungal infection have been the most popular methods to assess *Candida* virulence up until the present day, although assays have also been carried out in rabbits, guinea pigs, and rats also used in some studies. There are two major models of *Candida* invasive infection, the intravenous (IV) challenge model and the gastrointestinal (GI) colonisation with subsequent dissemination model. These models were recently reviewed [89].

2.2.1. Intravenous Challenge Model. The mouse IV challenge model has been used to study *Candida* virulence since the 1960s and is both well characterised and reproducible [90–92]. *Candida* cells are injected directly into the lateral tail

vein, bypassing any requirement of the fungus to cross epithelial and endothelial barriers to gain entry into the bloodstream. In this mouse model, which is similar to human invasive infection occurring with catheter involvement, fungal cells are found in all organs, but disease progresses only in the kidneys and brain, which depends upon inoculum level and mouse strain [91–93]. Sepsis develops as invasive disease progresses, which eventually leads to the death of the mouse [92, 94, 95].

In these models of *Candida* invasive infection, virulence is determined by monitoring survival of infected mice and/or by quantifying fungal organ burdens at predetermined times after infection. Drug treatments can also be administered to the host to allow host conditions to be mimicked, for example, immunosuppression [88, 96–110] or diabetes [99], with greater *Candida* virulence in both of these treatments.

Using immunocompetent mice, the IV challenge model has been used to compare the virulence of different *Candida* species [97–99, 107, 111–114]. *C. albicans* is clearly the most virulent species [97, 98, 111, 112, 114], followed closely by *C. tropicalis* [97, 98, 111, 112, 114]. In contrast, *C. krusei* and *C. parapsilosis* were unable to kill the infected animals, even at high inoculum levels, and fungi were eventually cleared from the host [98, 111, 114].

In immunosuppressed mice, *C. tropicalis* showed greater virulence, with disease progressing in the kidneys, rather than infection being controlled which occurs in immunocompetent mice [96, 98, 99, 107, 115]. *C. parapsilosis* and *C. krusei* remained unable to initiate progressive infections, even with addition of immunosuppressive treatments [98, 107], although administration of a very high inoculum potentially allows some *C. parapsilosis* isolates to initiate disease [108, 110].

Within each *Candida* species, clinical isolates were found to show considerable virulence differences in the IV challenge model. This was true for *C. albicans* [97, 107, 116, 117], *C. tropicalis* [97, 99, 112, 115, 118], and *C. parapsilosis* [108, 119], with some isolates unable to initiate invasive infections. This raises questions as to whether virulence results found for a single strain or isolate are representative of the entire species. This could be of particular importance for *C. albicans* studies where the vast majority of gene disruption studies have been carried out in a single strain, SC5314, background.

Numerous studies have evaluated *C. tropicalis* clinical isolate virulence differences; however, there are very few studies published on the virulence of genetically modified *C. tropicalis* strains. One study which has been published was able to demonstrate that a secreted acid protease was required for full virulence of *C. tropicalis* in immunocompetent mice [120]. In contrast to *C. tropicalis*, vast numbers of studies have been published on the virulence of *C. albicans* mutants, with over 200 genes identified as contributing to the *C. albicans* virulence in this model (reviewed in [89]).

C. glabrata behaves very differently from the other *Candida* species in the mouse model of invasive infection. Although *C. glabrata* is maintained, or tolerated, at high levels in the kidneys of immunocompetent mice, the mice did not die and there was little inflammation associated with the fungal cells [113, 114]. Immunosuppression appears to

increase virulence of *C. glabrata* in terms of higher fungal organ burdens, but mouse survival is only increased in some *C. glabrata* infections [100, 103–106]. However, because immunosuppression may allow invasive disease to develop in *C. glabrata*-infected mice, these treatments have been added to an infection model used in some studies to compare the virulence of genetically modified *C. glabrata*, with fungal burdens used as the virulence estimate [88, 101, 102, 105]. The immunosuppressed mouse infection model has demonstrated the importance of hypertonic stress responses, the cell wall integrity pathway, and nitrogen starvation responses in *C. glabrata* virulence [103, 104, 106]. In addition, this model has identified a petite mutant, strains expressing hyperactive alleles of the transcription factor gene *PDR1* and the *ace2* null mutant as being more virulent than their parent strains [88, 105, 121]. However, it should be noted that the hypervirulent phenotype of the *C. glabrata ace2* null was completely lost in immunocompetent mice [122]. In other virulence experiments in immunocompetent mice, where virulence was determined from fungal organ burdens at day 7 after infection, researchers were able to demonstrate that the cell wall integrity pathway [123, 124] and oxidative stress response [125], as well as the transcription factor Pdr1p and some of the genes that it regulates [101, 121], contribute to *C. glabrata* virulence.

2.2.2. Gastrointestinal Colonisation and Dissemination Model.

Gastrointestinal models can either be set up in neonatal or adult mice. Intra-gastric infection of neonatal mice leads to persistent colonisation, without any requirement for pretreatment of the mice. However, to obtain colonisation of adult mice, the natural mouse gastrointestinal flora must first be removed by treatment with broad spectrum antibiotics. Adult mice can either be infected by gavage (intra-gastrically) or orally via their chow or drinking water. Subsequent treatment of *Candida* colonised mice with immunosuppressants and/or drugs which damage the gut wall allow fungal dissemination to occur (reviewed in [70, 126]).

In the gastrointestinal models fungal colonisation is highest in the stomach, caecum, and small intestine [107, 127–129], reflecting some of the clinical findings seen in human invasive infection. During the model, persistent colonisation is routinely monitored by noninvasive faecal fungal counts, and after dissemination *Candida* cells can be cultured from the liver, kidneys, and spleen [128–130]. However, differences may be seen between mouse strains [131].

This murine model is believed to be a more accurate reflection of the events occurring in the human patient, with broad spectrum antibiotics allowing fungal overgrowth and later invasive therapies causing mucosal damage. Mucosal damage then allows *Candida* to enter the bloodstream and disseminate to the internal organs. In the mouse, similar to human patients, there is increased animal-to-animal variation compared to the intravenous challenge model, requiring higher numbers of animals per group to obtain statistically significant results [128–130].

Comparison of *Candida* species virulence in this model demonstrated that *C. parapsilosis* had lower virulence compared to *C. albicans* and *C. tropicalis*, as there was little evidence of dissemination from the gut [107, 132]. However, *C. parapsilosis* was successful in establishing persistent colonisation of the GI tract [107]. In separate studies, *C. tropicalis* appeared to be more virulent than *C. albicans* in the gastrointestinal model, with greater dissemination to the internal organs [133, 134] and higher mortality rates [97, 134]. However, given the levels of variation observed in other models for the virulence of strains of different *Candida* species, further isolates will require to be assayed before a definitive conclusion on the relative virulence of the two species can be made.

To date, only a limited number of *C. albicans* mutant strains have been tested in the gastrointestinal colonisation and dissemination infection model, with only 6 mutants identified so far as contributing to virulence [89, 135]. However, this model has demonstrated that a constitutively filamentous *C. albicans* mutant was unable to disseminate, suggesting that the ability to switch between morphological forms may be more important for dissemination [136].

C. glabrata also behaved differently from the other four major *Candida* species in this model, being unable to colonise the oesophageal tissue in the neonatal mouse gastrointestinal colonisation and dissemination model [137]. Again, there was little host inflammatory response to *C. glabrata* [137], suggesting that *C. glabrata* virulence mechanisms may be quite different from those of the other species studied.

3. Beyond the Genome: Challenges of *Candida* Virulence Testing in the Postgenomic Era

The genome sequences of *C. albicans*, *C. glabrata*, *C. tropicalis*, and *C. parapsilosis* are now available [138, 139], encouraging the creation of large-scale mutant libraries. The challenge comes, however, when these large libraries are to be screened for genes involved in fungal virulence, with logistical, financial, and ethical issues to be considered.

In library screening programmes carried out to date different virulence testing strategies have been taken. Noble et al. [140] used signature-tagged mutagenesis to allow pools of mutants to be assayed in small numbers of animals, significantly reducing the animal numbers required for testing. By contrast, in order to screen a library of 177 *C. albicans* strains for altered virulence, Becker et al. [141] assayed each strain in 15 mice. From these two examples it is clear that traditional testing methods can lead to large numbers of mice being required to assay virulence. However, researchers have recently begun to address the issues of virulence testing large numbers of *Candida* strains by developing a range of minihosts, which are mainly based on invertebrate hosts.

Minihosts may not initially appear relevant to the human disease, but these hosts do possess an innate immune system and this is known to be critical in the development of *Candida* infections [142]. However, many of the minihosts

do not possess an adaptive immune system, which may limit their usefulness. In addition, the majority of invertebrate models have the disadvantage that they must be kept at temperatures below normal human body temperature, with the exception of *Galleria* which can be incubated at 37°C. Potentially, incubation at lower temperatures may induce physiological changes in the fungus, affecting host-fungus interactions during disease development.

3.1. Wax Moth and Silk Worm Larval Models. The first minihost model developed for *Candida* virulence testing was the *Galleria mellonella* (wax moth) larval model [143]. In this model fungi are injected into larvae, via a proleg, and survival is monitored over a short time period. The model is relatively cheap and has the added advantage that large numbers of larvae can be infected with each mutant strain, increasing the statistical power of the assay. The *Galleria* model has been successfully used to model *C. albicans* virulence, with results roughly similar to those found in mouse infection models [143–146]. A similar model has also been developed using the silk worm (*Bombyx mori*) [147, 148]. Both *C. albicans* and *C. tropicalis* are capable of killing silk worm larvae within two days [148], and *C. albicans* virulence differences were shown to correlate with results previously found in a mouse model [147].

3.2. *Drosophila melanogaster*. The fruit fly, *Drosophila melanogaster*, has also been used to assay *Candida* virulence [149–152]. The susceptibility of wild-type *D. melanogaster* continues to be debated; however, both Toll- and Spätzle-deficient fruit flies are susceptible to infection by *Candida* species when fungi are injected directly into the thorax [149–151]. Again, *D. melanogaster* models also have the advantage that large numbers of flies (>30 flies) can be infected with each *Candida* strain, increasing the statistical power of the assay.

In fruit flies, *C. albicans* was shown to be more virulent than other *Candida* species, confirming the results found in mammalian models (see above; [149]). In addition, virulence results for *C. albicans* clinical isolates and mutants were broadly similar to those found in the mouse systemic model [149–151]. However, differences do occur. In the fruit fly, CO₂ sensing is important for virulence, but this was not the case in the mouse IV challenge model [153]. This model has already been successfully used to screen a *C. albicans* mutant library, identifying Cas5, a transcription factor involved in cell wall integrity, as being required for full virulence [154].

In addition to the systemic *D. melanogaster* infection model, a new gastrointestinal infection model has also been developed recently, which should provide new options for virulence screening in a gastrointestinal model [152].

3.3. *Caenorhabditis elegans*. In addition to fly and larval models, the nematode *Caenorhabditis elegans* has also been evaluated as an infection model for assaying *Candida* virulence [155]. This model is particularly suited to high-throughput screening, as the *Candida* cells are fed to the

nematodes in their food and assays are carried out in multi-well plates. This model has also been used successfully to screen a *C. albicans* transcription factor mutant library, allowing identification of transcription factor genes involved in hypha formation [155].

3.4. A Vertebrate Minihost: Zebrafish (*Danio rerio*). Zebrafish are the first vertebrate minihost model developed for virulence testing of *Candida*. This organism has the added advantage of having both innate and adaptive immune systems [156], and methods are also available to allow fish gene expression to be manipulated to mimic human genetic conditions [157].

The first virulence assay developed in zebrafish involved intraperitoneal injection of *C. albicans* into 7-month-old zebrafish [158]. In this model, similar to mouse models, progressive infection depends upon dose and is associated with increased proinflammatory gene expression. This model also allows increased group sizes, with group sizes of 20 fish being used to date. Using this model, researchers demonstrated that a clinical isolate with reduced virulence in a mouse model also showed reduced virulence in this model [158]. In addition, a *C. albicans* mutant (*efg1/cph1*) known to have attenuated virulence due to filamentation defects also had reduced virulence in this model [159, 160]. Of greater interest was the finding that, although these mutants were unable to form filaments *in vitro*, they clearly formed filaments when growing within fish. This model also allows interactions between zebrafish immune cells and *Candida* cells to be imaged, which will be made even easier in the future with the development of the new transparent adult (*casper*) zebrafish [161].

A second zebrafish infection model has also been described, where each fish larva (36 h after fertilization) is infected directly into the hindbrain ventricle with approximately 10 fungal cells [162]. In this model the *C. albicans efg1/cph1* mutant again demonstrated attenuated virulence, similar to results found in the mouse IV challenge model [162].

There are, however, disadvantages to the zebrafish infection models. One of the major drawbacks of this model, in common with the majority of other minihosts, is that the fish need to be kept at 28–29°C, which does not allow accurate mimicking of human infection.

4. Assaying Virulence in Experimental Models: Final Considerations

There are some important points to remember when evaluating *Candida* virulence in experimental infections. The first concerns the *Candida* species of interest. Although *C. albicans*, *C. tropicalis*, *C. glabrata*, and *C. krusei* are all associated with human carriage and infection, they are not natural mouse commensals or pathogens [163]. As such, there may be different interactions occurring between the fungus and the two different host species. This is of particular relevance when considering *C. glabrata* and its inability to initiate disseminated infection in the IV challenge models,

especially when we know that *C. glabrata* can cause lethal infections in severely ill humans [164].

The second point to consider is that, although the immune systems of mice and men are similar, there are differences that could affect how the host and fungus interact [165–168]. Of particular relevance to *Candida* infections are differences in proportions of neutrophils and lymphocytes in the blood, complement receptor expression, and T-cell differentiation, to name but a few (reviewed in [168]). In addition, different mouse strains show differing susceptibility to infection, which could potentially alter virulence results [93, 169–172].

The third point to consider is which model should be used to evaluate *Candida* virulence. Some *C. albicans* isolates exhibit virulence differences depending upon the infection model being used [72, 134, 173]. A good example is the *C. albicans* genome sequenced strain SC5314. In the IV challenge model, SC5314 is one of the most virulent *C. albicans* isolates, causing lethal infection in a relatively short time [92, 116]; however, in a vaginal infection model, SC5314 is a very poor coloniser of the vaginal mucosa [72]. In addition, a nongerminative *C. albicans* strain [173] and a *ura3* minus *C. albicans* strain [174], both of which were attenuated in systemic infection models [173, 175–177], successfully established mucosal infections [173, 174].

Only careful consideration of the above points will allow the *Candida* researcher to select the appropriate experimental *Candida* infection model to answer a particular research question. These models remain essential for increasing our understanding of fungal pathogenesis since both fungal attributes and host responses are known to contribute to the development of clinical disease.

Acknowledgment

The author would like to apologise to those whose work could not be included in this review due to lack of space.

References

- [1] D. M. MacCallum, "Candida infections and modelling disease," in *Pathogenic Yeasts, The Yeast Handbook*, H. R. Ashbee and E. Bignell, Eds., pp. 41–67, Springer, 2010.
- [2] M. E. Bougnoux, D. Diogo, N. François et al., "Multilocus sequence typing reveals intrafamilial transmission and microevolutions of *Candida albicans* isolates from the human digestive tract," *Journal of Clinical Microbiology*, vol. 44, no. 5, pp. 1810–1820, 2006.
- [3] S. Kusne, D. Tobin, A. W. Pasculle, D. H. Van Thiel, M. Ho, and T. E. Starzl, "Candida carriage in the alimentary tract of liver transplant candidates," *Transplantation*, vol. 57, no. 3, pp. 398–402, 1994.
- [4] P. D. Scanlan and J. R. Marchesi, "Micro-eukaryotic diversity of the human distal gut microbiota: qualitative assessment using culture-dependent and -independent analysis of faeces," *ISME Journal*, vol. 2, no. 12, pp. 1183–1193, 2008.
- [5] M. Belazi, A. Velegraki, A. Fleva et al., "Candidal overgrowth in diabetic patients: potential predisposing factors," *Mycoses*, vol. 48, no. 3, pp. 192–196, 2005.
- [6] H. Ben-Aryeh, E. Blumfield, R. Szargel, D. Laufer, and I. Berdicevsky, "Oral *Candida* carriage and blood group antigen secretor status," *Mycoses*, vol. 38, no. 9–10, pp. 355–358, 1995.
- [7] G. Campisi, G. Pizzo, M. E. Milici, S. Mancuso, and V. Margiotta, "Candidal carriage in the oral cavity of human immunodeficiency virus-infected subjects," *Oral Surgery, Oral Medicine, Oral Pathology, Oral Radiology, and Endodontics*, vol. 93, no. 3, pp. 281–286, 2002.
- [8] S. Thaweboon, B. Thaweboon, T. Srithavaj, and S. Choonharuangdej, "Oral colonization of *Candida* species in patients receiving radiotherapy in the head and neck area," *Quintessence International*, vol. 39, no. 2, pp. e52–57, 2008.
- [9] J. Wang, T. Ohshima, U. Yasunari et al., "The carriage of *Candida* species on the dorsal surface of the tongue: the correlation with the dental, periodontal and prosthetic status in elderly subjects," *Gerodontology*, vol. 23, no. 3, pp. 157–163, 2006.
- [10] M. Dan, R. Segal, V. Marder, and A. Leibovitz, "Candida colonization of the vagina in elderly residents of a long-term-care hospital," *European Journal of Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases*, vol. 25, no. 6, pp. 394–396, 2006.
- [11] I. W. Fong, "The rectal carriage of yeast in patients with vaginal candidiasis," *Clinical and Investigative Medicine*, vol. 17, no. 5, pp. 426–431, 1994.
- [12] M. V. Pirotta and S. M. Garland, "Genital *Candida* species detected in samples from women in Melbourne, Australia, before and after treatment with antibiotics," *Journal of Clinical Microbiology*, vol. 44, no. 9, pp. 3213–3217, 2006.
- [13] E. Rylander, A. L. Berglund, C. Krassny, and B. Petrini, "Vulvovaginal *Candida* in a young sexually active population: prevalence and association with oro-genital sex and frequent pain at intercourse," *Sexually Transmitted Infections*, vol. 80, no. 1, pp. 54–57, 2004.
- [14] E. M. de Leon, S. J. Jacober, J. D. Sobel, and B. Foxman, "Prevalence and risk factors for vaginal *Candida* colonization in women with type 1 and type 2 diabetes," *BMC Infectious Diseases*, vol. 2, article 1, 2002.
- [15] A. Beltrame, A. Matteelli, A. C. C. Carvalho et al., "Vaginal colonization with *Candida* spp. in human immunodeficiency virus - Infected women: a cohort study," *International Journal of STD and AIDS*, vol. 17, no. 4, pp. 260–266, 2006.
- [16] O. Grigoriou, S. Baka, E. Makrakis, D. Hassiakos, G. Kapparos, and E. Kouskouni, "Prevalence of clinical vaginal candidiasis in a university hospital and possible risk factors," *European Journal of Obstetrics Gynecology and Reproductive Biology*, vol. 126, no. 1, pp. 121–125, 2006.
- [17] A. Paulitsch, W. Weger, G. Ginter-Hanselmayer, E. Marth, and W. Buzina, "A 5-year (2000–2004) epidemiological survey of *Candida* and non-*Candida* yeast species causing vulvovaginal candidiasis in Graz, Austria," *Mycoses*, vol. 49, no. 6, pp. 471–475, 2006.
- [18] F. C. Odds, *Candida and Candidosis*, Bailliere Tindall, London, UK, 1988.
- [19] B. Havlickova, V. A. Czaika, and M. Friedrich, "Epidemiological trends in skin mycoses worldwide," *Mycoses*, vol. 51, supplement 4, pp. 2–15, 2008.
- [20] C. H. Kirkpatrick and H. R. Hill, "Chronic mucocutaneous candidiasis," *Pediatric Infectious Disease Journal*, vol. 20, no. 2, pp. 197–206, 2001.
- [21] C. H. Kirkpatrick, "Chronic mucocutaneous candidiasis," *European Journal of Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases*, vol. 8, no. 5, pp. 448–456, 1989.
- [22] A. Puel, C. Picard, S. Cypowyj, D. Lilic, L. Abel, and J. L. Casanova, "Inborn errors of mucocutaneous immunity to *Candida albicans* in humans: a role for IL-17 cytokines?"

- Current Opinion in Immunology*, vol. 22, no. 4, pp. 467–474, 2010.
- [23] K. Kisand, D. Lilic, J. L. Casanova, P. Peterson, A. Meager, and N. Willcox, “Mucocutaneous candidiasis and autoimmunity against cytokines in APECED and thymoma patients: clinical and pathogenetic implications,” *European Journal of Immunology*, vol. 41, no. 6, pp. 1517–1527, 2011.
- [24] A. Puel, S. Cypowyj, J. Bustamante et al., “Chronic mucocutaneous candidiasis in humans with inborn errors of interleukin-17 immunity,” *Science*, vol. 332, no. 6025, pp. 65–68, 2011.
- [25] M. D. Richardson and D. W. Warnock, “Superficial candidosis,” in *Fungal Infection: Diagnosis and Management*, pp. 78–93, Blackwell Science, London, UK, 1997.
- [26] T. Daniluk, G. Tokajuk, W. Stokowska et al., “Occurrence rate of oral *Candida albicans* in denture wearer patients,” *Advances in Medical Sciences*, vol. 51, pp. 77–80, 2006.
- [27] M. H. Figueiral, A. Azul, E. Pinto, P. A. Fonseca, F. M. Branco, and C. Scully, “Denture-related stomatitis: identification of aetiological and predisposing factors—a large cohort,” *Journal of Oral Rehabilitation*, vol. 34, no. 6, pp. 448–455, 2007.
- [28] J. P. Lyon, S. C. da Costa, V. M. G. Totti, M. F. V. Munhoz, and M. A. De Resende, “Predisposing conditions for *Candida* spp. carriage in the oral cavity of denture wearers and individuals with natural teeth,” *Canadian Journal of Microbiology*, vol. 52, no. 5, pp. 462–467, 2006.
- [29] R. H. Pires-Gonçalves, E. T. Miranda, L. C. Baeza, M. T. Matsumoto, J. E. Zaia, and M. J. S. Mendes-Giannini, “Genetic relatedness of commensal strains of *Candida albicans* carried in the oral cavity of patients’ dental prosthesis users in Brazil,” *Mycopathologia*, vol. 164, no. 6, pp. 255–263, 2007.
- [30] J. D. Sobel, “Vulvovaginal candidosis,” *Lancet*, vol. 369, no. 9577, pp. 1961–1971, 2007.
- [31] J. D. Sobel, S. Faro, R. W. Force et al., “Vulvovaginal candidiasis: epidemiologic, diagnostic, and therapeutic considerations,” *American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology*, vol. 178, no. 2, pp. 203–211, 1998.
- [32] A. B. Guzel, M. Ilkit, T. Akar, R. Burgut, and S. C. Demir, “Evaluation of risk factors in patients with vulvovaginal candidiasis and the value of chromID *Candida* agar versus CHROMagar *Candida* for recovery and presumptive identification of vaginal yeast species,” *Medical Mycology*, vol. 49, no. 1, pp. 16–25, 2010.
- [33] M. A. Kennedy and J. D. Sobel, “Vulvovaginal Candidiasis caused by non-*albicans* *Candida* species: new insights,” *Current Infectious Disease Reports*, vol. 12, no. 6, pp. 465–470, 2010.
- [34] S. Asticcioli, L. Sacco, R. Daturi et al., “Trends in frequency and in vitro antifungal susceptibility patterns of *Candida* isolates from women attending the STD outpatients clinic of a tertiary care hospital in Northern Italy during the years 2002–2007,” *New Microbiologica*, vol. 32, no. 2, pp. 199–204, 2009.
- [35] S. Corsello, A. Spinillo, G. Osnengo et al., “An epidemiological survey of vulvovaginal candidiasis in Italy,” *European Journal of Obstetrics Gynecology and Reproductive Biology*, vol. 110, no. 1, pp. 66–72, 2003.
- [36] F. L. van de Veerdonk, T. S. Plantinga, A. Hoischen et al., “STAT1 mutations in autosomal dominant chronic mucocutaneous candidiasis,” *New England Journal of Medicine*, vol. 365, no. 1, pp. 54–61, 2011.
- [37] H. Markogiannakis, N. Pachylaki, E. Samara et al., “Infections in a surgical intensive care unit of a university hospital in Greece,” *International Journal of Infectious Diseases*, vol. 13, no. 2, pp. 145–153, 200.
- [38] G. B. Orsi, L. Scorzolini, C. Franchi, V. Mondillo, G. Rosa, and M. Venditti, “Hospital-acquired infection surveillance in a neurosurgical intensive care unit,” *Journal of Hospital Infection*, vol. 64, no. 1, pp. 23–29, 2006.
- [39] E. Sarvikivi, O. Lyytikäinen, M. Vaara, and H. Saxén, “Nosocomial bloodstream infections in children: an 8-year experience at a tertiary-care hospital in Finland,” *Clinical Microbiology and Infection*, vol. 14, no. 11, pp. 1072–1075, 2008.
- [40] M. Morrell, V. J. Fraser, and M. H. Kollef, “Delaying the empiric treatment of *Candida* bloodstream infection until positive blood culture results are obtained: a potential risk factor for hospital mortality,” *Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy*, vol. 49, no. 9, pp. 3640–3645, 2005.
- [41] H. Wisplinghoff, H. Seifert, R. P. Wenzel, and M. B. Edmond, “Inflammatory response and clinical course of adult patients with nosocomial bloodstream infections caused by *Candida* spp.,” *Clinical Microbiology and Infection*, vol. 12, no. 2, pp. 170–177, 2006.
- [42] Y. A. L. Chai, Y. Wang, A. L. Khoo et al., “Predominance of *Candida tropicalis* bloodstream infections in a Singapore teaching hospital,” *Medical Mycology*, vol. 45, no. 5, pp. 435–439, 2007.
- [43] S. Shivaprakasha, K. Radhakrishnan, and P. Karim, “*Candida* spp. other than *Candida albicans*: a major cause of fungaemia in a tertiary care centre,” *Indian Journal of Medical Microbiology*, vol. 25, no. 4, pp. 405–407, 2007.
- [44] I. Xess, N. Jain, F. Hasan, P. Mandal, and U. Banerjee, “Epidemiology of candidemia in a tertiary care centre of North India: 5-Year study,” *Infection*, vol. 35, no. 4, pp. 256–259, 2007.
- [45] A. C. Pasqualotto, D. D. Rosa, L. R. Medeiros, and L. C. Severo, “Candidaemia and cancer: patients are not all the same,” *BMC Infectious Diseases*, pp. 50–56, 2006.
- [46] R. Hachem, H. Hanna, D. Kontoyiannis, Y. Jiang, and I. Raad, “The changing epidemiology of invasive candidiasis: *Candida glabrata* and *Candida krusei* as the leading causes of candidemia in hematologic malignancy,” *Cancer*, vol. 112, no. 11, pp. 2493–2499, 2008.
- [47] E. Presterl, F. Daxböck, W. Graninger, and B. Willinger, “Changing pattern of candidaemia 2001–2006 and use of antifungal therapy at the University Hospital of Vienna, Austria,” *Clinical Microbiology and Infection*, vol. 13, no. 11, pp. 1072–1076, 2007.
- [48] S. Vigouroux, O. Morin, P. Moreau, J. L. Harousseau, and N. Milpied, “Candidemia in patients with hematologic malignancies: analysis of 7 years’ experience in a single center,” *Haematologica*, vol. 91, no. 5, pp. 717–718, 2006.
- [49] R. Saha, S. Das Das, A. Kumar, and I. R. Kaur, “Pattern of *Candida* isolates in hospitalized children,” *Indian Journal of Pediatrics*, vol. 75, no. 8, pp. 858–860, 2008.
- [50] B. Spellberg and J. E. Edwards, “The pathophysiology and treatment of *Candida* Sepsis,” *Current Infectious Disease Reports*, vol. 4, no. 5, pp. 387–399, 2002.
- [51] J. Berenguer, M. Buck, F. Witebsky, F. Stock, P. A. Pizzo, and T. J. Walsh, “Lysis-centrifugation blood cultures in the detection of tissue-proven invasive candidiasis: disseminated versus single-organ infection,” *Diagnostic Microbiology and Infectious Disease*, vol. 17, no. 2, pp. 103–109, 1993.
- [52] T. Lehrnbecher, C. Frank, K. Engels, S. Kriener, A. H. Groll, and D. Schwabe, “Trends in the postmortem epidemiology of invasive fungal infections at a university hospital,” *Journal of*

- Infection*, vol. 61, no. 3, pp. 259–265, 2010.
- [53] K. Donhuijsen, P. Petersen, and K. W. Schmid, “Trend reversal in the frequency of mycoses in hematological neoplasias: autopsy results from 1976 to 2005,” *Deutsches Arzteblatt*, vol. 105, no. 28–29, pp. 501–506, 2008.
- [54] S. Antinori, M. Nebuloni, C. Magni et al., “Trends in the postmortem diagnosis of opportunistic invasive fungal infections in patients with AIDS: a retrospective study of 1,630 autopsies performed between 1984 and 2002,” *American Journal of Clinical Pathology*, vol. 132, no. 2, pp. 221–227, 2009.
- [55] G. Schwesinger, D. Junghans, G. Schröder, H. Bernhardt, and M. Knoke, “Candidosis and aspergillosis as autopsy findings from 1994 to 2003,” *Mycoses*, vol. 48, no. 3, pp. 176–180, 2005.
- [56] F. C. Odds, A. D. Davidson, M. D. Jacobsen et al., “*Candida albicans* strain maintenance, replacement, and microvariation demonstrated by multilocus sequence typing,” *Journal of Clinical Microbiology*, vol. 44, no. 10, pp. 3647–3658, 2006.
- [57] E. Budtz-Jorgensen, “Denture stomatitis. IV. An experimental model in monkeys,” *Acta Odontologica Scandinavica*, vol. 29, no. 5, pp. 513–526, 1971.
- [58] C. Steele, M. Ratterree, and P. L. Fidel, “Differential susceptibility of two species of macaques to experimental vaginal candidiasis,” *Journal of Infectious Diseases*, vol. 180, no. 3, pp. 802–810, 1999.
- [59] K. A. Andrutis, P. J. Riggle, C. A. Kumamoto, and S. Tzipori, “Intestinal lesions associated with disseminated candidiasis in an experimental animal model,” *Journal of Clinical Microbiology*, vol. 38, no. 6, pp. 2317–2323, 2000.
- [60] S. G. Filler, M. A. Crislip, C. L. Mayer, and J. E. Edwards, “Comparison of fluconazole and amphotericin B for treatment of disseminated candidiasis and endophthalmitis in rabbits,” *Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy*, vol. 35, no. 2, pp. 288–292, 1991.
- [61] C. A. Lyman, C. Gonzalez, M. Schneider, J. Lee, and T. J. Walsh, “Effects of the hemoregulatory peptide SKandF 107647 alone and in combination with amphotericin B against disseminated candidiasis in persistently neutropenic rabbits,” *Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy*, vol. 43, no. 9, pp. 2165–2169, 1999.
- [62] A. Polanco, E. Mellado, C. Castilla, and J. L. Rodriguez-Tudela, “Detection of *Candida albicans* in blood by PCR in a rabbit animal model of disseminated candidiasis,” *Diagnostic Microbiology and Infectious Disease*, vol. 34, no. 3, pp. 177–183, 1999.
- [63] J. Fransen, J. van Cutsem, R. Vandesteene, and P. A. J. Janssen, “Histopathology of experimental systemic candidosis in guinea-pigs,” *Sabouraudia*, vol. 22, no. 6, pp. 455–469, 1984.
- [64] J. van Cutsem and D. Thienpont, “Experimental cutaneous *Candida albicans* infection in guinea-pigs,” *Sabouraudia*, vol. 9, no. 1, pp. 17–20, 1971.
- [65] J. E. Nett, K. Marchillo, C. A. Spiegel, and D. R. Andes, “Development and validation of an *in vivo* *Candida albicans* biofilm denture model,” *Infection and Immunity*, vol. 78, no. 9, pp. 3650–3659, 2010.
- [66] Y. Kamai, M. Kubota, Y. Kamai, T. Hosokawa, T. Fukuoka, and S. G. Filler, “New model of oropharyngeal candidiasis in mice,” *Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy*, vol. 45, no. 11, pp. 3195–3197, 2001.
- [67] Y. H. Samaranayake and L. P. Samaranayake, “Experimental oral candidiasis in animal models,” *Clinical Microbiology Reviews*, vol. 14, no. 2, pp. 398–429, 2001.
- [68] P. L. Fidel Jr. and J. D. Sobel, “Murine models of *Candida* vaginal infections,” in *Handbook of Animal Models of Infection: Experimental Models in Antimicrobial Chemotherapy*, O. Zak and M. A. Sande, Eds., pp. 741–748, Academic Press, New York, NY, USA, 1999.
- [69] C. S. Farah, S. Elahi, K. Drysdale et al., “Primary role for CD4+ T lymphocytes in recovery from oropharyngeal candidiasis,” *Infection and Immunity*, vol. 70, no. 2, pp. 724–731, 2002.
- [70] J. R. Naglik, P. L. Fidel, and F. C. Odds, “Animal models of mucosal *Candida* infection,” *FEMS Microbiology Letters*, vol. 283, no. 2, pp. 129–139, 2008.
- [71] F. de Bernardis, R. Lorenzini, and A. Cassone, “Rat model of *Candida* vaginal infection,” in *Handbook of Animal Models of Infection: Experimental Models in Antimicrobial Chemotherapy*, O. Zak and M. A. Sande, Eds., pp. 735–740, Academic Press, New York, NY, USA, 1999.
- [72] D. Rahman, M. Mistry, S. Thavaraj, S. J. Challacombe, and J. R. Naglik, “Murine model of concurrent oral and vaginal *Candida albicans* colonization to study epithelial host-pathogen interactions,” *Microbes and Infection*, vol. 9, no. 5, pp. 615–622, 2007.
- [73] H. Badrane, M. H. Nguyen, S. Cheng et al., “The *Candida albicans* phosphatase Inp51 p interacts with the EH domain protein Irs4p, regulates phosphatidylinositol-4,5-bisphosphate levels and influences hyphal formation, the cell integrity pathway and virulence,” *Microbiology*, vol. 154, no. 11, pp. 3296–3308, 2008.
- [74] W. P. Holbrook, J. A. Sofaer, and J. C. Southam, “Experimental oral infection of mice with a pathogenic and a non-pathogenic strain of the yeast *Candida albicans*,” *Archives of Oral Biology*, vol. 28, no. 12, pp. 1089–1091, 1983.
- [75] C. J. Nobile, N. Solis, C. L. Myers et al., “*Candida albicans* transcription factor Rim101 mediates pathogenic interactions through cell wall functions,” *Cellular Microbiology*, vol. 10, no. 11, pp. 2180–2196, 2008.
- [76] H. Park, C. L. Myers, D. C. Sheppard et al., “Role of the fungal ras-protein kinase a pathway in governing epithelial cell interactions during oropharyngeal candidiasis,” *Cellular Microbiology*, vol. 7, no. 4, pp. 499–510, 2005.
- [77] L. Y. Chiang, D. C. Sheppard, V. M. Bruno, A. P. Mitchell, J. E. Edwards, and S. G. Filler, “*Candida albicans* protein kinase CK2 governs virulence during oropharyngeal candidiasis,” *Cellular Microbiology*, vol. 9, no. 1, pp. 233–245, 2007.
- [78] Z. Chen and X. Kong, “Study of *Candida albicans* vaginitis model in Kunming mice,” *Journal of Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Medical Science*, vol. 27, no. 3, pp. 307–310, 2007.
- [79] K. V. Clemons, J. L. Spearow, R. Parmar, M. Espiritu, and D. A. Stevens, “Genetic susceptibility of mice to *Candida albicans* vaginitis correlates with host estrogen sensitivity,” *Infection and Immunity*, vol. 72, no. 8, pp. 4878–4880, 2004.
- [80] F. de Bernardis, R. Lorenzini, L. Morelli, and A. Cassone, “Experimental rat vaginal infection with *Candida parapsilosis*,” *FEMS Microbiology Letters*, vol. 53, no. 1–2, pp. 137–141, 1989.
- [81] J. D. Sobel, G. Muller, and J. F. McCormick, “Experimental chronic vaginal candidosis in rats,” *Sabouraudia*, vol. 23, no. 3, pp. 199–206, 1985.
- [82] M. A. Carrara, L. Donatti, E. Damke, T. I. E. Svidizinski, M. E. L. Consolaro, and M. R. Batista, “A new model of vaginal infection by *Candida albicans* in rats,” *Mycopathologia*, vol. 170, no. 5, pp. 331–338, 2010.

- [83] M. Foldvari, J. Radhi, G. Yang, Z. He, R. Rennie, and L. Wearley, "Acute vaginal candidosis model in the immunocompromized rat to evaluate delivery systems for antimycotics," *Mycoses*, vol. 43, no. 11-12, pp. 393–401, 2000.
- [84] A. Tavanti, D. Campa, S. Arancia, L. A. M. Hensgens, F. de Bernardis, and S. Senesi, "Outcome of experimental rat vaginitis by *Candida albicans* isolates with different karyotypes," *Microbial Pathogenesis*, vol. 49, no. 1-2, pp. 47–50, 2010.
- [85] B. N. Taylor, C. Fichtenbaum, M. Saavedra et al., "In vivo virulence of *Candida albicans* isolates causing mucosal infections in people infected with the human immunodeficiency virus," *Journal of Infectious Diseases*, vol. 182, no. 3, pp. 955–959, 2000.
- [86] T. Bader, K. Schröppel, S. Bentink, N. Agabian, G. Köhler, and J. Morschhäuser, "Role of calcineurin in stress resistance, morphogenesis, and virulence of a *Candida albicans* wild-type strain," *Infection and Immunity*, vol. 74, no. 7, pp. 4366–4369, 2006.
- [87] Y. Fu, G. Luo, B. J. Spellberg, J. E. Edwards, and A. S. Ibrahim, "Gene overexpression/suppression analysis of candidate virulence factors of *Candida albicans*," *Eukaryotic Cell*, vol. 7, no. 3, pp. 483–492, 2008.
- [88] S. Ferrari, M. Sanguinetti, F. De Bernardis et al., "Loss of mitochondrial functions associated with azole resistance in *Candida glabrata* results in enhanced virulence in mice," *Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy*, vol. 55, no. 5, pp. 1852–1860, 2011.
- [89] E. K. Szabo and D. M. MacCallum, "The contribution of mouse models to our understanding of systemic candidiasis," *FEMS Microbiology Letters*, vol. 320, no. 1, pp. 1–8, 2011.
- [90] D. B. Louria, R. G. Brayton, and G. Finkel, "Studies on the pathogenesis of experimental *Candida albicans* infections in mice," *Sabouraudia*, vol. 2, pp. 271–283, 1963.
- [91] J. M. Papadimitriou and R. B. Ashman, "The pathogenesis of acute systemic candidiasis in a susceptible inbred mouse strain," *Journal of Pathology*, vol. 150, no. 4, pp. 257–265, 1986.
- [92] D. M. MacCallum and F. C. Odds, "Temporal events in the intravenous challenge model for experimental *Candida albicans* infections in female mice," *Mycoses*, vol. 48, no. 3, pp. 151–161, 2005.
- [93] R. B. Ashman, A. Fulurija, and J. M. Papadimitriou, "Strain-dependent differences in host response to *Candida albicans* infection in mice are related to organ susceptibility infectious load," *Infection and Immunity*, vol. 64, no. 5, pp. 1866–1869, 1996.
- [94] B. Spellberg, A. S. Ibrahim, J. E. Edwards, and S. G. Filler, "Mice with disseminated candidiasis die of progressive sepsis," *Journal of Infectious Diseases*, vol. 192, no. 2, pp. 336–343, 2005.
- [95] D. M. MacCallum, L. Castillo, A. J. P. Brown, N. A. R. Gow, and F. C. Odds, "Early-expressed chemokines predict kidney immunopathology in experimental disseminated *Candida albicans* infections," *PLoS ONE*, vol. 4, no. 7, Article ID e6420, 2009.
- [96] J. R. Graybill, L. K. Najvar, J. D. Holmberg, and M. F. Luther, "Fluconazole, D0870, and flucytosine treatment of disseminated *Candida tropicalis* infections in mice," *Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy*, vol. 39, no. 4, pp. 924–929, 1995.
- [97] L. De Repentigny, M. Phaneuf, and L. G. Mathieu, "Gastrointestinal colonization and systemic dissemination by *Candida albicans* and *Candida tropicalis* in intact and immunocompromised mice," *Infection and Immunity*, vol. 60, no. 11, pp. 4907–4914, 1992.
- [98] F. Bistoni, A. Vecchiarelli, and E. Cenci, "A comparison of experimental pathogenicity of *Candida* species in cyclophosphamide-immunodepressed mice," *Sabouraudia*, vol. 22, no. 5, pp. 409–418, 1984.
- [99] D. B. Louria, M. Busé, R. G. Brayton, and G. Finkel, "The pathogenesis of *Candida tropicalis* infections in mice," *Sabouraudia*, vol. 5, no. 1, pp. 14–25, 1967.
- [100] I. D. Jacobsen, S. Brunke, K. Seider et al., "*Candida glabrata* persistence in mice does not depend on host immunosuppression and is unaffected by fungal amino acid auxotrophy," *Infection and Immunity*, vol. 78, no. 3, pp. 1066–1077, 2010.
- [101] S. Ferrari, M. Sanguinetti, R. Torelli, B. Posteraro, and D. Sanglard, "Contribution of CgPDR1-regulated genes in enhanced virulence of azole-resistant *Candida glabrata*," *PLoS ONE*, vol. 6, no. 3, 2011.
- [102] H. Nakayama, K. Ueno, J. Uno et al., "Growth defects resulting from inhibiting *ERG20* and *RAM2* in *Candida glabrata*," *FEMS Microbiology Letters*, vol. 317, no. 1, pp. 27–33, 2011.
- [103] A. M. Calcagno, E. Bignell, T. R. Rogers, M. D. Jones, F. A. Mühlischleger, and K. Haynes, "*Candida glabrata* Ste11 is involved in adaptation to hypertonic stress, maintenance of wild-type levels of filamentation and plays a role in virulence," *Medical Mycology*, vol. 43, no. 4, pp. 355–364, 2005.
- [104] A. M. Calcagno, E. Bignell, T. R. Rogers, M. Canedo, F. A. Mühlischleger, and K. Haynes, "*Candida glabrata* Ste20 is involved in maintaining cell wall integrity and adaptation to hypertonic stress, and is required for wild-type levels of virulence," *Yeast*, vol. 21, no. 7, pp. 557–568, 2004.
- [105] M. Kamran, A. M. Calcagno, H. Findon et al., "Inactivation of transcription factor gene *ACE2* in the fungal pathogen *Candida glabrata* results in hypervirulence," *Eukaryotic Cell*, vol. 3, no. 2, pp. 546–552, 2004.
- [106] A. M. Calcagno, E. Bignell, P. Warn et al., "*Candida glabrata* STE12 is required for wild-type levels of virulence and nitrogen starvation induced filamentation," *Molecular Microbiology*, vol. 50, no. 4, pp. 1309–1318, 2003.
- [107] E. Mellado, M. Cuenca-Estrella, J. Regadera, M. González, T. M. Díaz-Guerra, and J. L. Rodríguez-Tudela, "Sustained gastrointestinal colonization and systemic dissemination by *Candida albicans*, *Candida tropicalis* and *Candida parapsilosis* in adult mice," *Diagnostic Microbiology and Infectious Disease*, vol. 38, no. 1, pp. 21–28, 2000.
- [108] F. De Bernardis, L. Morelli, T. Ceddia, R. Lorenzini, and A. Cassone, "Experimental pathogenicity and acid proteinase secretion of vaginal isolates of *Candida parapsilosis*," *Journal of Medical and Veterinary Mycology*, vol. 28, no. 2, pp. 125–137, 1990.
- [109] C. Girmenia, P. Martine, F. De Bernardis et al., "Rising incidence of *Candida parapsilosis* fungemia in patients with hematologic malignancies: clinical aspects, predisposing factors, and differential pathogenicity of the causative strains," *Clinical Infectious Diseases*, vol. 23, no. 3, pp. 506–514, 1996.
- [110] E. Anaissie, R. Hachem, U. C. K-Tin, L. C. Stephens, and G. P. Bodey, "Experimental hematogenous candidiasis caused by *Candida krusei* and *Candida albicans*: species differences in pathogenicity," *Infection and Immunity*, vol. 61, no. 4, pp. 1268–1271, 1993.
- [111] C. Y. Koga-Ito, E. Y. Komiyama, C. A. de Paiva Martins et al., "Experimental systemic virulence of oral *Candida dubliniensis* isolates in comparison with *Candida albicans*, *Candida tropicalis* and *Candida krusei*," *Mycoses*, vol. 54, no.

- 5, pp. e278–e285, 2010.
- [112] H.F. Hasenclever and W. O. Mitchell, “Pathogenicity of *C. albicans* and *C. tropicalis*,” *Sabouraudia*, vol. 1, pp. 16–21, 1961.
- [113] J. Brieland, D. Essig, C. Jackson et al., “Comparison of pathogenesis and host immune responses to *Candida glabrata* and *Candida albicans* in systemically infected immunocompetent mice,” *Infection and Immunity*, vol. 69, no. 8, pp. 5046–5055, 2001.
- [114] M. Arendrup, T. Horn, and N. Frimodt-Møller, “*In vivo* pathogenicity of eight medically relevant *Candida* species in an animal model,” *Infection*, vol. 30, no. 5, pp. 286–291, 2002.
- [115] R. A. Fromtling, G. K. Abruzzo, and D. M. Giltinan, “*Candida tropicalis* infection in normal, diabetic and neutropenic mice,” *Journal of Clinical Microbiology*, vol. 25, no. 8, pp. 1416–1420, 1987.
- [116] D. M. MacCallum, L. Castillo, K. Nather et al., “Property differences among the four major *Candida albicans* strain clades,” *Eukaryotic Cell*, vol. 8, no. 3, pp. 373–387, 2009.
- [117] P. Sampaio, M. Santos, A. Correia et al., “Virulence attenuation of *Candida albicans* genetic variants isolated from a patient with a recurrent bloodstream infection,” *PLoS ONE*, vol. 5, no. 4, Article ID e10155, 2010.
- [118] Y. Okawa, M. Miyauchi, and H. Kobayashi, “Comparison of pathogenicity of various *Candida tropicalis* strains,” *Biological and Pharmaceutical Bulletin*, vol. 31, no. 8, pp. 1507–1510, 2008.
- [119] A. Cassone, F. De Bernardis, E. Pontieri et al., “Biotype diversity of *Candida parapsilosis* and its relationship to the clinical source and experimental pathogenicity,” *Journal of Infectious Diseases*, vol. 171, no. 4, pp. 967–975, 1995.
- [120] G. Togni, D. Sanglard, and M. Monod, “Acid proteinase secreted by *Candida tropicalis*: virulence in mice of a proteinase negative mutant,” *Journal of Medical and Veterinary Mycology*, vol. 32, no. 4, pp. 257–265, 1994.
- [121] S. Ferrari, F. Ischer, D. Calabrese et al., “Gain of function mutations in *CgPDR1* of *Candida glabrata* not only mediate antifungal resistance but also enhance virulence,” *PLoS Pathogens*, vol. 5, no. 1, Article ID e1000268, 2009.
- [122] D. M. MacCallum, H. Findon, C. C. Kenny, G. Butler, K. Haynes, and F. C. Odds, “Different consequences of *ACE2* and *SWI5* gene disruptions for virulence of pathogenic and nonpathogenic yeasts,” *Infection and Immunity*, vol. 74, no. 9, pp. 5244–5248, 2006.
- [123] T. Miyazaki, T. Inamine, S. Yamauchi et al., “Role of the Slt2 mitogen-activated protein kinase pathway in cell wall integrity and virulence in *Candida glabrata*,” *FEMS Yeast Research*, vol. 10, no. 3, pp. 343–352, 2010.
- [124] T. Miyazaki, S. Yamauchi, T. Inamine et al., “Roles of calcineurin and *Crz1* in antifungal susceptibility and virulence of *Candida glabrata*,” *Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy*, vol. 54, no. 4, pp. 1639–1643, 2010.
- [125] T. Saijo, T. Miyazaki, K. Izumikawa et al., “*Skn7p* is involved in oxidative stress response and virulence of *Candida glabrata*,” *Mycopathologia*, vol. 169, no. 2, pp. 81–90, 2010.
- [126] G. T. Cole, A. A. Halawa, and E. J. Anaissie, “The role of the gastrointestinal tract in hematogenous candidiasis: from the laboratory to the bedside,” *Clinical Infectious Diseases*, vol. 22, supplement 2, pp. S73–S88, 1996.
- [127] S. M. Wiesner, R. P. Jechorek, R. M. Garni, C. M. Bendel, and C. L. Wells, “Gastrointestinal colonization by *Candida albicans* mutant strains in antibiotic-treated mice,” *Clinical and Diagnostic Laboratory Immunology*, vol. 8, no. 1, pp. 192–195, 2001.
- [128] K. V. Clemons, G. M. Gonzalez, G. Singh et al., “Development of an orogastrintestinal mucosal model of candidiasis with dissemination to visceral organs,” *Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy*, vol. 50, no. 8, pp. 2650–2657, 2006.
- [129] H. Sandovsky-Losica, L. Barr-Nea, and E. Segal, “Fatal systemic candidiasis of gastrointestinal origin: an experimental model in mice compromised by anti-cancer treatment,” *Journal of Medical and Veterinary Mycology*, vol. 30, no. 3, pp. 219–231, 1992.
- [130] A. Y. Koh, J. R. Köhler, K. T. Coggshall, N. Van Rooijen, and G. B. Pier, “Mucosal damage and neutropenia are required for *Candida albicans* dissemination,” *PLoS Pathogens*, vol. 4, no. 2, p. e35, 2008.
- [131] M. T. Cantorna and E. Balish, “Mucosal and systemic candidiasis in congenitally immunodeficient mice,” *Infection and Immunity*, vol. 58, no. 4, pp. 1093–1100, 1990.
- [132] M. J. Kennedy and P. A. Volz, “Dissemination of yeasts after gastrointestinal inoculation in antibiotic-treated mice,” *Sabouraudia*, vol. 21, no. 1, pp. 27–33, 1983.
- [133] J. R. Wingard, J. D. Dick, and W. G. Merz, “Pathogenicity of *Candida tropicalis* and *Candida albicans* after gastrointestinal inoculation in mice,” *Infection and Immunity*, vol. 29, no. 2, pp. 808–813, 1980.
- [134] J. R. Wingard, J. D. Dick, and W. G. Merz, “Differences in virulence of clinical isolates of *Candida tropicalis* and *Candida albicans* in mice,” *Infection and Immunity*, vol. 37, no. 2, pp. 833–836, 1982.
- [135] M. S. Skrzypek, M. B. Arnaud, M. C. Costanzo et al., “New tools at the *Candida* genome database: biochemical pathways and full-text literature search,” *Nucleic Acids Research*, vol. 38, pp. D428–D432, 2010.
- [136] C. M. Bendel, D. J. Hess, R. M. Garni, M. Henry-Stanley, and C. L. Wells, “Comparative virulence of *Candida albicans* yeast and filamentous forms in orally and intravenously inoculated mice,” *Critical Care Medicine*, vol. 31, no. 2, pp. 501–507, 2003.
- [137] C. Westwater, D. A. Schofield, P. J. Nicholas, E. E. Paulling, and E. Balish, “*Candida glabrata* and *Candida albicans*; dissimilar tissue tropism and infectivity in a gnotobiotic model of mucosal candidiasis,” *FEMS Immunology and Medical Microbiology*, vol. 51, no. 1, pp. 134–139, 2007.
- [138] G. Butler, M. D. Rasmussen, M. F. Lin et al., “Evolution of pathogenicity and sexual reproduction in eight *Candida* genomes,” *Nature*, vol. 459, no. 7247, pp. 657–662, 2009.
- [139] B. Dujon, D. Sherman, G. Fischer et al., “Genome evolution in yeasts,” *Nature*, vol. 430, no. 6995, pp. 35–44, 2004.
- [140] S. M. Noble, S. French, L. A. Kohn, V. Chen, and A. D. Johnson, “Systematic screens of a *Candida albicans* homozygous deletion library decouple morphogenetic switching and pathogenicity,” *Nature Genetics*, vol. 42, no. 7, pp. 590–598, 2010.
- [141] J. M. Becker, S. J. Kauffman, M. Hauser et al., “Pathway analysis of *Candida albicans* survival and virulence determinants in a murine infection model,” *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, vol. 107, no. 51, pp. 22044–22049, 2010.
- [142] K. Kavanagh and E. P. Reeves, “Exploiting the potential of insects for *in vivo* pathogenicity testing of microbial pathogens,” *FEMS Microbiology Reviews*, vol. 28, no. 1, pp. 101–112, 2004.
- [143] G. Cotter, S. Doyle, and K. Kavanagh, “Development of an insect model for the *in vivo* pathogenicity testing of yeasts,” *FEMS Immunology and Medical Microbiology*, vol. 27, no. 2, pp. 163–169, 2000.

- [144] M. Brennan, D. Y. Thomas, M. Whiteway, and K. Kavanagh, "Correlation between virulence of *Candida albicans* mutants in mice and *Galleria mellonella* larvae," *FEMS Immunology and Medical Microbiology*, vol. 34, no. 2, pp. 153–157, 2002.
- [145] B. B. Fuchs, J. Eby, C. J. Nobile, J. B. El Khoury, A. P. Mitchell, and E. Mylonakis, "Role of filamentation in *Galleria mellonella* killing by *Candida albicans*," *Microbes and Infection*, vol. 12, no. 6, pp. 488–496, 2010.
- [146] G. B. Dunphy, U. Oberholzer, M. Whiteway, R. J. Zakarian, and I. Boomer, "Virulence of *Candida albicans* mutants toward larval *Galleria mellonella* (Insecta, Lepidoptera, Galleridae)," *Canadian Journal of Microbiology*, vol. 49, no. 8, pp. 514–524, 2003.
- [147] N. Hanaoka, Y. Takano, K. Shibuya, H. Fugo, Y. Uehara, and M. Niimi, "Identification of the putative protein phosphatase gene *PTC1* as a virulence-related gene using a silkworm model of *Candida albicans* infection," *Eukaryotic Cell*, vol. 7, no. 10, pp. 1640–1648, 2008.
- [148] H. Hamamoto, K. Kurokawa, C. Kaito et al., "Quantitative evaluation of the therapeutic effects of antibiotics using silkworms infected with human pathogenic microorganisms," *Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy*, vol. 48, no. 3, pp. 774–779, 2004.
- [149] G. Chamilos, M. S. Lionakis, R. E. Lewis et al., "*Drosophila melanogaster* as a facile model for large-scale studies of virulence mechanisms and antifungal drug efficacy in *Candida* species," *Journal of Infectious Diseases*, vol. 193, no. 7, pp. 1014–1022, 2006.
- [150] A. M. Alarco, A. Marcil, J. Chen, B. Suter, D. Thomas, and M. Whiteway, "Immune-Deficient *Drosophila melanogaster*: a model for the innate immune response to human fungal pathogens," *Journal of Immunology*, vol. 172, no. 9, pp. 5622–5628, 2004.
- [151] M. T. Glittenberg, S. Silas, D. M. MacCallum, N. A.R. Gow, and P. Ligoxygakis, "Wild-type *Drosophila melanogaster* as an alternative model system for investigating the pathogenicity of *Candida albicans*," *DMM Disease Models and Mechanisms*, vol. 4, no. 4, pp. 504–514, 2011.
- [152] M. T. Glittenberg, I. Kounatidis, D. Christensen et al., "Pathogen and host factors are needed to provoke a systemic host response to gastrointestinal infection of *Drosophila* larvae by *Candida albicans*," *DMM Disease Models and Mechanisms*, vol. 4, no. 4, pp. 515–525, 2011.
- [153] R. A. Hall, L. de Sordi, D. M. MacCallum et al., "CO₂ acts as a signalling molecule in populations of the fungal pathogen *Candida albicans*," *PLoS Pathogens*, vol. 6, no. 11, 2010.
- [154] G. Chamilos, C. J. Nobile, V. M. Bruno, R. E. Lewis, A. P. Mitchell, and D. P. Kontoyiannis, "*Candida albicans* Cas5, a regulator of cell wall integrity, is required for virulence in murine and toll mutant fly models," *Journal of Infectious Diseases*, vol. 200, no. 1, pp. 152–157, 2009.
- [155] R. Pukkila-Worley, A. Y. Peleg, E. Tampakakis, and E. Mylonakis, "*Candida albicans* hyphal formation and virulence assessed using a *Caenorhabditis elegans* infection model," *Eukaryotic Cell*, vol. 8, no. 11, pp. 1750–1758, 2009.
- [156] N. D. Meeker and N. S. Trede, "Immunology and zebrafish: spawning new models of human disease," *Developmental and Comparative Immunology*, vol. 32, no. 7, pp. 745–757, 2008.
- [157] J. P. Levrud, E. Colucci-Guyon, M. J. Redd, G. Lutfalla, and P. Herbomel, "In vivo analysis of zebrafish innate immunity," *Methods in Molecular Biology*, vol. 415, pp. 337–363, 2008.
- [158] C. C. Chao, P. C. Hsu, C. F. Jen et al., "Zebrafish as a model host for *Candida albicans* infection," *Infection and Immunity*, vol. 78, no. 6, pp. 2512–2521, 2010.
- [159] H. J. Lo, J. R. Köhler, B. Didomenico, D. Loebenberg, A. Cacciapuoti, and G. R. Fink, "Nonfilamentous *C. albicans* mutants are avirulent," *Cell*, vol. 90, no. 5, pp. 939–949, 1997.
- [160] G. G. Chen, Y. L. Yang, H. H. Cheng et al., "Non-lethal *Candida albicans* *cph1/cph1 efg1/efg1* transcription factor mutant establishing restricted zone of infection in a mouse model of systemic infection," *International Journal of Immunopathology and Pharmacology*, vol. 19, no. 3, pp. 561–565, 2006.
- [161] R. M. White, A. Sessa, C. Burke et al., "Transparent adult zebrafish as a tool for *in vivo* transplantation analysis," *Cell Stem Cell*, vol. 2, no. 2, pp. 183–189, 2008.
- [162] K. M. Brothers, Z. R. Newman, and R. T. Wheeler, "Live imaging of disseminated candidiasis in zebrafish reveals role of phagocyte oxidase in limiting filamentous growth," *Eukaryotic Cell*, vol. 10, no. 7, pp. 932–944, 2011.
- [163] D. C. Savage and R. J. Dubos, "Localization of indigenous yeast in the murine stomach," *The Journal of Bacteriology*, vol. 94, no. 6, pp. 1811–1816, 1967.
- [164] N. V. Sipsas, R. E. Lewis, J. Tarrand et al., "Candidemia in patients with hematologic malignancies in the era of new antifungal agents (2001–2007): stable incidence but changing epidemiology of a still frequently lethal infection," *Cancer*, vol. 115, no. 20, pp. 4745–4752, 2009.
- [165] M. Rehli, "Of mice and men: species variations of Toll-like receptor expression," *Trends in Immunology*, vol. 23, no. 8, pp. 375–378, 2002.
- [166] X. Jiang, C. Shen, H. Yu, K. P. Karunakaran, and R. C. Brunham, "Differences in innate immune responses correlate with differences in murine susceptibility to *Chlamydia muridarum* pulmonary infection," *Immunology*, vol. 129, no. 4, pp. 556–566, 2010.
- [167] D. L. Gibbons and J. Spencer, "Mouse and human intestinal immunity: same ballpark, different players; different rules, same score," *Mucosal Immunology*, vol. 4, no. 2, pp. 148–157, 2011.
- [168] J. Mestas and C. C. W. Hughes, "Of mice and not men: differences between mouse and human immunology," *Journal of Immunology*, vol. 172, no. 5, pp. 2731–2738, 2004.
- [169] G. Marquis, S. Montplaisir, M. Pelletier, P. Auger, and W. S. Lapp, "Genetics of resistance to infection with *Candida albicans* in mice," *British Journal of Experimental Pathology*, vol. 69, no. 5, pp. 651–660, 1988.
- [170] G. Marquis, S. Montplaisir, and M. Pelletier, "Strain-dependent differences in susceptibility of mice to experimental candidosis," *Journal of Infectious Diseases*, vol. 154, no. 5, pp. 906–909, 1986.
- [171] R. B. Ashman, E. M. Bolitho, and J. M. Papadimitriou, "Patterns of resistance to *Candida albicans* in inbred mouse strains," *Immunology and Cell Biology*, vol. 71, no. 3, pp. 221–225, 1993.
- [172] I. Radovanovic, A. Mullick, and P. Gros, "Genetic control of susceptibility to infection with *Candida albicans* in mice," *PLoS ONE*, vol. 6, no. 4, 2011.
- [173] F. De Bernardis, D. Adriani, R. Lorenzini, E. Pontieri, G. Carruba, and A. Cassone, "Filamentous growth and elevated vaginopathic potential of a nongerminative variant of *Candida albicans* expressing low virulence in systemic infection," *Infection and Immunity*, vol. 61, no. 4, pp. 1500–1508, 1993.
- [174] E. Balish, "A *URA3* null mutant of *Candida albicans* (CAI-4) causes oro-oesophageal and gastric candidiasis and is lethal for gnotobiotic, transgenic mice (Tge26) that are deficient in both natural killer and T cells," *Journal of Medical*

Microbiology, vol. 58, no. 3, pp. 290–295, 2009.

- [175] A. Brand, D. M. MacCallum, A. J. P. Brown, N. A. R. Gow, and F. C. Odds, “Ectopic expression of *URA3* can influence the virulence phenotypes and proteome of *Candida albicans* but can be overcome by targeted reintegration of *URA3* at the *RPS10* locus,” *Eukaryotic Cell*, vol. 3, no. 4, pp. 900–909, 2004.
- [176] J. Lay, L. K. Henry, J. Clifford, Y. Koltin, C. E. Bulawa, and J. M. Becker, “Altered expression of selectable marker *URA3* in gene-disrupted *Candida albicans* strains complicates interpretation of virulence studies,” *Infection and Immunity*, vol. 66, no. 11, pp. 5301–5306, 1998.
- [177] P. Sundstrom, J. E. Cutler, and J. F. Staab, “Reevaluation of the role of *HWPI* in systemic candidiasis by use of *Candida albicans* strains with selectable marker *URA3* targeted to the *ENO1* locus,” *Infection and Immunity*, vol. 70, no. 6, pp. 3281–3283, 2002.