The Jabal Akhdar dome in the Oman Mountains: evolution of a dynamic fracture system
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ABSTRACT. The Mesozoic succession of the Jabal Akhdar dome in the Oman Mountains hosts complex networks of fractures and veins in carbonates, which are a clear example of dynamic fracture opening and sealing in a highly overpressured system. The area underwent several tectonic events during the Late Cretaceous and Cenozoic, including the obduction of the Samail ophiolite and Hawasina nappes, followed by uplift and compression due to the Arabia-Eurasia convergence. This study presents the results of an extensive tectonic survey, and correlates subsurface-scale structures in Jabal Akhdar (faults, fractures, veins and stylolites) with the main tectonic events in the Northeastern Arabian plate. As some of the studied formations host large oil reserves in neighboring areas, determining the relative timing of these events in the exhumed rocks is important to understand hydrocarbon distribution and fracture patterns in these reservoirs. The formation of early veins and stylolites in the Oman Mountains is followed by top-to-the-South layer-parallel shearing that may be associated with the obduction of the Samail and Hawasina nappes. This compressional tectonic event is followed by normal (dip-slip) to oblique-slip faults and veins. Top-to-the-Northeast layer-parallel shearing, which corresponds to the first stage of exhumation of the autochthonous rocks offsets these structures. Our new data indicate that this first phase of events is overprinted by complex strike-slip networks of veins and fractures, as well as by the reactivation and onset of seismic-scale faults. Strike slip structures belong to three distinct events. The first one (NW-SE-oriented compression) is probably associated with the oblique collision of the Indian plate against the Arabian platform during the Late Campanian to the Mid Eocene. The second event (E-W-oriented compression) is likely to have been formed during the Late Oligocene-Middle Miocene during uplift. The last event (NE-SW-oriented compression) probably took place during the Miocene-Pliocene. Structures of the first two strike-slip events have the same orientation as seismic-scale faults observed in the subsurface of Oman and Abu Dhabi. In addition, increasing vein intensity towards the top of the autochthonous formations in the Oman mountains, as well as the small angle between conjugate vein sets, indicate that high fluid pressures that are thought to be present during strike-slip deformation.
INTRODUCTION

Subseismic-scale fractures, veins and stylolites play a fundamental role on controlling fluid flow in the upper crust (for example, Cox and others, 2001; Koehn and others, 2007), they are proxies for paleostress directions (for example, Van Noten and others, 2011; 2012), deformation kinematics, fluid pressures (for example, Jaeger and others, 2007) and can be used to unravel the geological history of an area. Mineralogy and geochemistry of vein-filling minerals and properties of fluid inclusions provide significant information on the conditions during vein formation (temperature, depth, pressure) as well as on the fluid properties (composition, origin) (for example, Bons and others, 2012 and references therein). Stylolites can be utilized to determine stress directions and magnitudes (Ebner and others, 2010; Koehn and others, 2012) and layer-parallel stylolites that developed as a consequence of sediment load can provide estimates of the burial depth at which they formed (for example, Koehn and others, 2007; Koehn and others, 2012).

Fluid overpressure causes a decrease of effective stress, enhances rock failure (Hubbert and Rubey, 1959; Etheridge, 1983; Jaeger and others, 2007) and is often found in sedimentary basins (for example, Law and others, 1998). Abnormal pressures, which are of importance for hydrocarbon exploration and production, may be due to disequilibrium compaction, fluid volume expansion, gas generation or thermal expansion, formation of cements, transfer of fluids from overpressured rocks, hydraulic head and hydrocarbon buoyancy (for example, Swarbrick and Osborne, 1998; Nordgard Bolas and others, 2004). Although overpressured reservoirs are typical for low-permeability shale-like rock (Law and others, 1998) they can also occur in other sedimentary rocks, like carbonates.

The Mesozoic succession of the Jabal Akhdar dome in the Oman Mountains (Glennie and others, 1974; Breton and others, 2004; Glennie, 2005; Searle, 2007) is an example of a highly dynamic thermal, hydraulic and mechanical system (Holland and others, 2009a), where complex networks of fractures, veins and stylolites are well exposed and fluid pressures were interpreted to have been close to lithostatic (Hilgers and others, 2006; Holland and others, 2009a; 2009b). Some of the Early Cretaceous formations in neighbouring areas host large oil reserves so that studying the Jabal Akhdar area is not only important in order to unravel fracture and vein network development, but, despite differences in metamorphic and tectonic history, may also help to understand subseismic-scale fracture distributions in the oil fields of the NE Arabian plate.

Based on previous studies (Hilgers and others, 2006; Holland and others, 2009a; 2009b) we have carried out a detailed structural field study in the exhumed Jurassic and Cretaceous rocks of the Autochthonous B succession in the Jabal Akhdar area (Oman Mountains; figs. 1, 2). Our new data show that strike-slip deformation played a more important role in the area than previously thought. Holland and others (2009a) and Holland and Urai (2010) proposed that veins and fractures formed in a high-pressure cell. Here we evaluate this hypothesis in the context of a complex system of fractures that is constantly opening and resealing during numerous tectonic events coupled with high fluid pressures in a changing stress field.
The presented study also provides an example of how the large-scale tectonic evolution of a complex area can be unraveled from the analysis of outcrop-scale structures like faults, fractures, veins and stylolites. Moreover, we illustrate how such a study can complement the results of seismic surveys, by providing information at the small-scale that is undetectable in seismic profiles.

GEOLOGICAL SETTING

The Jabal Akhdar dome is located in the central part of the Oman Mountains and extends for 700 km from the Musandam Peninsula in the northwest to the Batin coast in the southeast. The Oman Mountains are part of the Alpine-Himalayan chain, and were formed during several tectonic events, including a northeast-directed subduction of the Arabian below the Eurasian plate, and further exhumation, transtension, uplift and doming (fig. 1; for example, Breton and others, 2004 and references therein). According to Searle and Malpas (1980), Pearce and others, (1981), Searle and Malpas (1982) and Lippard (1983), intra-oceanic subduction was initiated in the Cenomanian and continued throughout the early Campanian. This process gave rise to the obduction and emplacement of two major nappe units: (a) the volcano-sedimentary Hawasina and Sumeini nappes and (b) the Samail Ophiolite nappe, together with exotic blocks of distal origin (Glennie and others, 1974; Searle and Graham, 1982; Boudier and others, 1985; Béchennec, 1988; Beurrier and others, 1988; Hacker, 1994; Hacker and others, 1996; Pillevuit and others, 1997; Breton and others, 2004; Searle, 2007).

Three major structural units (for example, Breton and others, 2004) form the Oman Mountains: Autochthonous, Allochthonous and Neo-Autochthonous. The first unit consists of an Autochthonous A, formed by a late Proterozoic crystalline basement (Roger and others, 1991) and a late Proterozoic to Devonian sedimentary sequence (Le Métour, 1988). These are unconformably overlain by Autochthonous B, which consists of a 2.5 km-thick middle Permian to late Cretaceous sedimentary sequence, made up of mostly shallow marine carbonates of the Arabian Platform. The Allochthonous is composed of the obducted and stacked Hawasina, Sumeini and Samail nappes, where the later is a relic of Cretaceous Neotethyan oceanic lithosphere (for example, Beurrier, 1988). The Neo-Autochthonous unit overlies the other units above an unconformity and represents the post-obduction sedimentary cover of late Cretaceous to late Tertiary age (Glennie and others, 1974; Roger and others 1991; Breton and others, 2004; Fournier and others, 2006).

Several tectonic windows outcrop in the Oman Mountains below the ophiolites, but only two of them expose autochthonous rocks (fig. 1): the Jabal Akhdar window, which is the study area of this contribution, and the Saih Hatat window. The degree of metamorphism is higher in the Saih Hatat area, which has blueschist and eclogite facies metamorphism, whereas there is a gradient of metamorphism from SW to NE in Jabal Akhdar, from upper anchizone up to pumpellyite/lawsonite facies (Le Métour, 1988; Breton and others, 2004; Searle and others, 2004). Metamorphic peaks in the Saih Hatat window have been dated at ~80 Ma (Warren and others, 2003) and ~110 Ma (Gray and others, 2004a,b). The Jabal Akhdar is a 60 km wide flat top dome with flexure flanks parallel to the various directions of the borders.
Fig. 1. (A) Geological map of the central part of the Oman Mountains. The Jabal Akhdar and Saih Hatat tectonic windows expose rocks of the Autochthons A and B. (B) NE-SW cross-section. Figure (A) is modified from Breton and others (2004), who compiled it from the Geological Map of Oman, scale 1:1,000,000 (Le Métour and others, 1993). Figure (B) is modified from Searle (2007).
A ~2500 m thick succession of Permian to Cenomanian carbonates is exposed in the core of the Jabal Akhdar dome (fig. 2; for example, Glennie and others, 1974). We have focused this study on structures developed within Jurassic and Cretaceous limestones, clayey limestones and shales that are exposed along the southern, northern and eastern limbs of the Jabal Akhdar dome. These units are part of the Autochthonous B unit and belong to the Sahtan, Kahmah and Wasia groups of the Hajar Super group (Glennie and others, 1974; Beurrier and others, 1986; Glennie, 2005).

The sequence of Mesozoic carbonates of the Autochthonous B was deposited on the southern passive margin of the Tethyan Ocean during the breakup of Gondwana (Glennie and others, 1974; Hanna, 1990; Pratt & Smewing, 1993; Loosveld and others, 1996; Masse and others 1997, 1998; Hillgärtner and others, 2003; Breton and others, 2004; Glennie, 2005; Searle, 2007). According to Ziegler (2001) and Searle (2007) sedimentation was roughly continuous in the area.

The oldest rocks we have included in this study are Jurassic brownish shaley layers and blue limestones of the Awabi formation (Sahtan Group; fig. 2). A transition from a deepwater environment to an open-marine shelf and a shallow marine carbonate platform took place from the Tithonian (late Jurassic) to the end of the Cenomanian (Upper Cretaceous). During this time, the Kahmah and Wasia groups were deposited (fig. 2; Ziegler, 2001; Breton and others, 2004; Sharland and others, 2004). The Kahmah group consists of the Birkat and Shams formations. The first one is equivalent to the subsurface Salil formation (Hughes Clarke, 1988), and consists of clayey limestone and limestone. The Shams formation includes the subsurface Habshan, Lekhwair, Kharaiib and Shu'aiba...

![Fig. 2. Simplified lithostratigraphic column of the Autochthonous B units of the Jabal Akhdar area. The log is modified from the Geological Map of Seeb, scale 1:250,000 (Béchenec and others, 1992). The ages of the formations are from figure 2 of Breton and others (2004).](image-url)

The oldest rocks we have included in this study are Jurassic brownish shaley layers and blue limestones of the Awabi formation (Sahtan Group; fig. 2). A transition from a deepwater environment to an open-marine shelf and a shallow marine carbonate platform took place from the Tithonian (late Jurassic) to the end of the Cenomanian (Upper Cretaceous). During this time, the Kahmah and Wasia groups were deposited (fig. 2; Ziegler, 2001; Breton and others, 2004; Sharland and others, 2004). The Kahmah group consists of the Birkat and Shams formations. The first one is equivalent to the subsurface Salil formation (Hughes Clarke, 1988), and consists of clayey limestone and limestone. The Shams formation includes the subsurface Habshan, Lekhwair, Kharaiib and Shu'aiba...
formations (Hughes Clarke, 1988) and contains thick-bedded limestone. The Wasia group is formed by the Nahr Umr and the Natih formations. They consist of clayey limestone and thick-bedded limestone, respectively. The Mesozoic sequence formed by the Akhdar, Sahtan, Kahmah and Wasia groups was uplifted during the Early Turonian in response to the flexural bending of the foreland associated with the ongoing subduction, which was intra-oceanic according to Searle (2007) or intra-continental along the continental margin in the model by Breton and others (2004).

The last Autochthonous B unit is the Muti Formation (Aruma Group). It is composed of Turonian to Santonian wildflysch megabreccias and olistoliths. These sediments were deposited as a consequence of the transition from a passive continental margin to a foredeep basin (Breton and others 2004), and register a variation in sedimentary facies caused by migration of the depocenter towards the south due to the intra-margin subduction (Breton and others 2004) or intra-oceanic subduction (Searle, 2007). The Muti Fm was deposited during subduction, and obsdution in the foredeep is stopped from North to South by the progressing overthrust. The Allochthonous unit is formed by the overthrustened Hawasina and Samail nappes (fig. 1). According to Glennie and others (1974), Nolan and others (1990) and Searle (2007) the nappe emplacement ended in the Early Campanian. The Campanian to early Tertiary limestones were deposited after the nappe emplacement (for example, Glennie and others, 1973; Hanna, 1990).

The whole study area underwent later several episodes of extension and compression, uplift and doming. Filbrandt and others (2006) provided a synthesis of structural styles observed in the oil producing area Block 6, south of Jabal Akhdar. They identified strike-slip and normal faults formed under an overall NW-SE-oriented compression during the Campanian, associated with the oblique collision of the Indian and Arabian plates. Filbrandt and others (2006) interpreted that uplift and erosion during the Maastrichtian to Paleocene followed this faulting event. Finally, these authors propose a change of the stress field during the Late Cenozoic to NE-SW-oriented compression, which was probably associated with the uplift of the Oman Mountains. Fournier and others (2006) described structures formed in an extensional tectonic regime in the post-nappe units during the Late Cretaceous and early Cenozoic times. They found two undistinguishable extension directions (NNE-SSW and NW-SE) during the upper Eocene and Oligocene, followed by a compressional event (NE-SW to E-W-oriented compression) during the late Oligocene (associated with the Arabia-Eurasia collision), and finally a recent N-S compression event during the Miocene-Pliocene. It is still debated whether uplift and doming took place during the Late Cretaceous (for example, Bernoulli and others, 1990; Hanna, 1990), the Tertiary (for example, Glennie and others, 1974) or during multi-phase deformation in the late Cretaceous to Early Paleocene and Miocene-Pliocene (for example, Searle, 1985; 2007; Glennie, 1995; Poupeau and others, 1998; Gray and others, 2000).

PREVIOUS STUDIES OF VEINS, FAULTS AND FRACTURES IN JABAL AKHDAR

Filbrandt and others (2006) present a summary of structures found in Jabal Akhdar, and compare them to seismic-scale fault orientations found in Block 6 with seismic surveys. These authors pointed out that, due to the overburden of the Samail and Hawasina Nappes, the structures in Jabal Akhdar formed at a greater depth than those of Block 6. The
outcropping structures described by Filbrandt and others (2006) include: (a) two sets of calcite-filled faults (striking 100-110° and 130-160°) related to the collision of Arabia with the India Plate during the Late Cretaceous; and (b) an additional set of NE-SW-striking open fractures formed during NE-SW-oriented compression and inversion during Miocene to recent collision of the Arabian plate with the Iran/Eurasia plates.

Fig. 3. Simplified map of the western part of the Jabal Akhdar dome. Squares with numbers indicate the location of the areas used for this study. Dashed lines are rivers or water streams that define wadis (valleys). A complete list of localities with coordinates is shown in table 1. Figure compiled from the Geological Map of Oman (Rustaq sheet), scale 1:100,000 (Beurrier and others, 1986). Locality 12 is out of the map. Thin lines indicate fault traces. The geographical coordinates of the upper left corner are (57°00'E, 23°30'N).
Hilgers and others (2006) proposed a total of 7 generations of veins and faults in the Jabal Akhdar dome. Their first generation of calcite veins formed in steeply inclined segments of stylolite seams ($V_1$). These veins are crosscut by calcite veins perpendicular to bedding ($V_2$), which are interpreted as extensional veins and strike N-S to NW-SE. A third generation of veins is described to occur in the form of pinch-and-swell structures, within cleaved marls ($V_3$) and are interpreted to be consistent with a top-to-the-east shearing. $V_3$ is followed by the formation of bedding-parallel veins ($V_4$) that truncate veins of set ($V_2$) and show a top-to-NE layer-parallel shearing. The next vein event consists of veins associated with normal faults ($V_5$ and $V_6$). $V_5$ veins are conjugate en-échelon arrays oriented perpendicular to bedding and strike parallel to normal faults ($V_4$), while $V_6$ veins developed in dilatational jogs along the normal faults. Hilgers and others (2006) describe sub-vertical and sub-horizontal striations on the surfaces of the dilational jog veins. They interpret this strike-slip movement to have occurred after the normal faulting. The last vein event ($V_7$) described by Hilgers and others (2006) consists of veins developed within small WSW dipping thrusts and associated structures (folds and reverse folds). These are interpreted to result from the doming of Jabal Akhdar during the Tertiary (see Glennie and others, 1974; Gray and others, 2000). Based on stable isotope analysis, Hilgers and others (2006) argued that the fluids responsible for the formation of veins sets $V_1$ to $V_5$ were evolved meteoric waters or formation fluids buffered by host rocks. They associate the development of veins of sets $V_6$ and $V_7$ with more pristine fluids that had isotopically exchanged with silicates. According to them, this exchange probably took place during the drainage of the overpressured fluid, which led to a fluid pressure decrease at the time of normal faulting allowing the infiltration of meteoric fluids into the dome.

Holland and others (2009a; 2009b) studied the evolution of fractures and faults in the southern flank of the Jabal Akhdar dome. In the first of two publications Holland and others (2009a) summarize field observations of fracture and vein occurrence, together with descriptions of overprinting relationships, in several outcrops, including fluid inclusion microthermometry of some veins. The second contribution (Holland and others, 2009b) consists of a multiscale fracture and fault analysis based on satellite images. The authors concluded that there are four main vein/fracture/fault generations in the area: vein sets normal to bedding ($d_1$), structures parallel to bedding ($d_2$) including duplex structures indicating top to the South thrusting ($d^*$) and structures with shear indicators showing top-to the NE shear, ($d_3$) normal faulting with throws up to 700 m, locally reactivated in strike-slip and ($d_4$) uncemented or partly cemented joints and other recent structures. Holland and others (2009a; 2009b) did not discuss in detail the correspondence in relationships of their structural events with those of Hilgers and others (2006). In the study of Holland and others (2009a; 2009b) the definition of the first group of structures (bedding-normal veins, $d_1$) was based on strike directions of veins and their relative overprinting relationships, and overprinting of bedding-normal veins by bedding-parallel shear. They found four strike classes ($d_1$), and based on analysis of overprinting relationships, interpreted these as four generations of extensional veins with a progressive anti-clockwise rotation of the stress field. N-S striking veins were interpreted to have developed first, followed by NW-SE, E-W and, finally, NE-SW striking veins. A prominent process during the evolution of these veins was interpreted to have been repeated crack-seal cycles (Ramsay, 1980). Holland and Urai (2010) presented a first attempt to relate the vein microstructure evolution to the strength contrast between vein and host rock.
Holland and others (2009a) obtained homogenization temperatures ranging from 84º and 130ºC and salinities from 3.8 to 6.0 eq. wt% NaCl measured from fluid inclusions, which were found in one of these bedding-parallel veins. After \( d_2 \), normal faults of different scales \( (d_3) \) were interpreted to have overprinted previous structures in response to a new stress field. Holland and others (2009a) associate en-échelon veins to this event and suggest that these structures were formed in a high-pressure environment. Finally, they describe recent structures, such as joints and uncemented or partly cemented fractures \( (d_4) \) that strike into the directions of the first vein group perpendicular to the bedding \( (d_1) \). These structures are interpreted as a result of exhumation and/or weathering.

The study of Holland and others (2009a; 2009b) was followed up by the work of Virgo and others (2013a), which confirmed the satellite-based data acquisition of faults, veins and joints of Holland and others (2009b) in a small area. The development of veins at high angle to bedding \( (d_1) \) of Holland and others (2009a) was interpreted to extend to after the normal faulting \( (d_3) \) of Holland and others 2009a) and the timing of the normal faulting was interpreted to have started after the nappe emplacement and ended during the Campanian. In the interpretation of Holland and others (2009) and Virgo and others (2013a) the normal faults are of the same age as the regional normal- to oblique-slip faults in the subsurface of northern Oman and the United Arab Emirates, which evolved during the early deposition of the Fija Formation in the Campanian (Filbrandt and others 2006), and to be coeval with Phase I extension of Fournier and others (2006). According to Virgo and others (2013a), the reactivation of these faults and the evolution of new veins were followed by folding of the Jabal Akhdar dome and final uplift and jointing by reactivation of preexisting microveins.

METHODS OF ANALYSIS OF FRACTURES, VEINS AND STYLOLITES

Building on the studies reviewed above, we have collected over 600 three-dimensional measurements of fracture, fault and vein orientations, opening directions, striations on exposed planes, stretched crystals and slickenfibres in veins, as well as stylolite orientations and roughness analysis. Overprinting relationships between the various structures (fig. 4) are used to constrain the tectonic evolution of the area. Our survey was performed in several outcrops in different areas of the Jabal Akhdar dome (fig. 3, table 1) located at the northern, eastern and southern limbs.

Veins were classified according to their geometry, internal structures (crystal growth direction, crystal morphology) and vein growth mechanism (Durney and Ramsay, 1973; Ramsay and Huber, 1983; Passchier and Trouw, 2005; Bons, 2001; Bons and others, 2012). The two main vein types we found are syntaxial and stretching or ataxial veins. Crystals in syntaxial veins grow towards the centre of the vein, where the vein opens. Opening can be one or several cracking events where the crack is located in the centre of the vein. Stretching (ataxial) veins are veins that form during crack-seal events where the location of the crack surface is randomly distributed through the vein. Transitions of one type to the other can be found in single veins (Bons and others, 2012). In the Oman Mountains many en-échelon vein arrays (Beach, 1975) can be found, where small parallel vein sets are offset relative to each other (fig. 4B). If these veins are curved they form
sigmoidal sets. *En-échelon* vein sets are useful because they can be used as paleostress direction-indicators.

In the following section we describe the structures in the Oman Mountains by relative age. The orientation of the principal stress axes is determined using stress inversion.
methods (for example, Ramsay and Lisle, 2000) (fig. 4A,B) and for cases where no fault-slip data are present, the principal axes of deformation were deduced from the geometric pattern of tectonic veins (for example, Hancock, 1985). We have assumed that the principal stresses $\sigma_1$, $\sigma_2$ and $\sigma_3$ are parallel to the shortening, intermediate and extension axes, respectively.

### Table 1. List of the areas used for this study. Note that locality 12 is out of the map of figure 3. Coordinates are in decimal degrees.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Locality Number</th>
<th>Geographic coordinates</th>
<th>Host rocks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Wadi Nakhar</td>
<td>23.204344 °N 57.212668 °E Sahtan Gp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Wadi Bani Awf</td>
<td>23.311712 °N 57.480088 °E Sahtan Gp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Wadi Bani Awf</td>
<td>23.323553 °N 57.487778 °E Shams Fm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Road Tanuf to Hatt</td>
<td>23.165308 °N 57.417064 °E Shams Fm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Top of Wadi Nakhar</td>
<td>23.196403 °N 57.203803 °E Nahr Umr Fm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Hayl Al Shaz</td>
<td>23.167877 °N 57.271193 °E Shams and Nahr Umr Fm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Wadi Bani Awf</td>
<td>23.329036 °N 57.487370 °E Natih Fm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Wadi Dam</td>
<td>23.230574 °N 57.069394 °E Natih Fm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Wadi Ghul</td>
<td>23.201594 °N 57.141022 °E Natih Fm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Sidaq</td>
<td>23.441775 °N 57.048858 °E Natih Fm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>&quot;Gorge pavement&quot;</td>
<td>23.273979 °N 57.134070 °E Natih Fm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Wadi Halfayn</td>
<td>23.076254 °N 57.793983 °E Muti Fm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Wadi Ghul (ramp North of Ghul)</td>
<td>23.151703 °N 57.221413 °E Natih Fm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Wadi Nakhr</td>
<td>23.151367 °N 57.207314 °E Natih Fm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**RESULTS: SEQUENCE OF EVENTS AND PALEOSTRESS ORIENTATIONS**

*Event #1: Diagenetic Stylolites and Early Veins*

The oldest structures after sedimentary bedding in Jabal Akhdar seem to be diagenetic stylolites and calcite veins with various orientations (Hilgers and others, 2006; fig. 7 of Holland and others, 2009). Two-dimensional spectral analysis of the roughness of these stylolites was used to estimate the paleodepth of their formation (see Ebner and others (2009) for details of the method). Five sedimentary stylolites were used for the analysis (fig. 5A), one from the Muti Fm, two from the Shams Fm (both Cretaceous), one from the uppermost part of the Awabi Fm (Jurassic) and one from the Saiq Fm (Permian). All these stylolites give a paleodepth estimate that is in accordance with their original stratigraphic depth. This suggests that the stylolites formed during the initial formation of the sequence before the nappes were thrusted on top of the sequence. Some of the highest diagenetic stylolites in the stratigraphic sequence tend to dissolve bedding-perpendicular veins. We interpret this as a reactivation of the stylolites due to the additional load that was applied on the sequence when the nappes were emplaced. Early veins are not frequent, do not show conjugate sets and so far show no consistent orientations, so that we cannot attribute them to a specific stress field. These bedding-confined veins are interpreted to be related to layer-normal compression due to burial (fig. 5B,C; Bons and others, 2012). Some of the early layer-perpendicular veins in Jabal Akhdar are crossect by later veins that belong to a normal faulting event (event #3) and conjugate sets of strike-slip veins (events #5 to #7).
Fig. 5. Structures of event #1. (A) Plot summarizing formation depth of sedimentary stylolites. Arrows point towards the stratigraphic position and unit from where they were collected. (B) Bedding-confined calcite veins with lenticular shape in cross-section. (C) Calcite-filled veins confined to dm-scale beds. Both images show rocks of the Natih Fm. These veins are consistently rotated with bedding due to top-to-the-South thrusting event, which corresponds to event #2. Photographs (A) and (B) were taken at locality 13, and locality 14, respectively.

Event #2: Top-to-the-South Layer-parallel Shearing

The second event that can clearly be distinguished is top-to-the-South shearing, as shown by layer-parallel veins with ca. N-S-oriented slickenfibres (fig. 6A,B,D). To our knowledge, these have not yet been reported in the literature. However, we found these at three different localities (numbers 1, 6 and 8 of table 1), where their slickenfibres show average azimuth orientations of 017º, 200º and 356º if we assume that layers were horizontal when the structures were developed (fig. 6C, table 2).

Event #3: Normal (dip-slip) Faults and Veins

Thrusting towards the south (event #2) is followed by the development of normal (dip-slip) to oblique-slip faults (event #3) (figs. 7, 8). Large-scale faults with a rough E-W strike have stratigraphic offsets between meters and hundreds of meters (Holland and others, 2009a; Virgo and others, 2013a). Conjugate sets of normal faults show vertical or sub-vertical striations (fig. 7A,B, table 2). Normal faults are associated with sets of conjugate outcrop-scale faults and calcite en-échelon veins with an inferred sub-vertical principal compressive stress that can be identified in outcrops 1, 4, 5, 6, 7, 11 and 12 (tables 1, 2, figs. 7 A,B,E, 8). Sometimes only one fault set is present, although vertical striations indicate dip-slip movement (fig. 7C,D). Faults and veins can occasionally be oblique-slip and some 100 m to km-scale faults also show oblique-slip striations. In cases where multiple striation directions were observed on a single fault plane, the oblique-slip striations consistently overprint the dip-slip ones, and both are overprinted by later strike-slip striations (see sections below). Large-scale faults are offsetting structures related to
Fig. 6. Structures of event #2. (A) Slickenfibres on shallow-dipping veins indicating top-to-the-South sense of layer-parallel shearing in limestones. (B) Detail of photo (A). The diameter of the 1-cent Euro coin is 16.25 mm. (C) Summary of the orientations of slickenfibres on layer-parallel veins at the three localities where they were found. Each dot represents an individual measurement. Note that the original data have been rotated in this plot assuming that layers were horizontal when the structures developed. (D) Stepped layer-parallel vein showing top-to-South movement.
Fig. 7. Structures of event #3. (A) Conjugate normal faults in the Nahr Umr Fm. (B) detail of vertical striations on the right normal fault of photo (A). (C) One set of normal faults filled with calcite in limestones of the Shams Fm. (D) detail of vertical striations on fault plane of photo (C). (E) View of an outcrop in the Natih Fm with conjugate sets of en-échelon and sigmoidal veins formed due to a σ1 perpendicular to layers (that is, subvertical). (F) Normal fault (event #3) offsetting a layer-parallel vein with a top-to-S sense of shearing (event #2). (G) Summary of the orientations of principal compression stresses σ1 and σ3 at the localities where these structures were found. Each square represents the average of measurements at each
outcrop. Note that the original orientation data have been rotated in this plot assuming that layers were horizontal when these structures formed. (H) Detail of photo (F).

event #2 (top-to-the-South layer-parallel shearing; fig. 7F,H). Figure 7G shows the orientation of the average principal compressive stress for each outcrop calculated from normal faults and veins and illustrates that $\sigma_1$ was nearly vertical. The orientation of the stress field was calculated for each outcrop and then rotated back assuming that layers were horizontal at the time when the structures developed. If $\sigma_1$ and $\sigma_2$ are of similar magnitude, it is difficult to distinguish between an extensional and transtensional stress regime. But because overprinting striations consistently show a change from dip- and oblique-slip (event #3) towards strike-slip movement (see descriptions of events #5 and #6 below), we favor the interpretation that the stress regime was indeed extensional during event #3 and later became transtensional to strike-slip. The interpretation of an extensional stress field is consistent with that of Hilgers and others (2006), Holland and others (2009a; 2009b) and Virgo and others (2013a). The damage zones of these faults are full of veins and carbonate breccias (fig. 8C-F) suggesting that they were at some stage preferential fluid pathways with high fluid pressures (Hilgers and others, 2006), probably during subsequent deformation events.

Event #4: Top-to-the-Northeast Layer-parallel Veins

Top-to-the-Northeast layer parallel shearing (event #4) can be clearly identified at localities 1, 3, 4, 5, 8 and 11 (tables 1, 2, fig. 9), and postdates structures of previous events. This regional event also produced ramps and duplexes that can be found in the northern flank of the dome (Breton and others, 2004; Hilgers and others, 2006; Al-Wardi and Butler, 2007). Calcite and quartz slickenfibres and striations can be found on veins parallel to layers. In addition, veins roughly parallel to cleavage planes are found in clayey beds (fig. 9A-C). Both structures show a top-to-the-Northeast sense of shearing. The azimuth of these striations and the direction of slickenfibres in veins vary on average between 025º and 072º when they plunge towards the northeast and 231º when they plunge towards the southwest. These orientations have been calculated considering that layers were horizontal when the structures were developed. According to Breton and others (2004) and Al-Wardi and Butler (2007), cleavage in clayey layers formed during this tectonic event. As the veins are roughly parallel to cleavage they probably formed late, after the cleavage had rotated to an orientation favorable for the formation of shear veins. The top-to-NE layer-parallel shearing is interpreted to be the result of tectonic exhumation of the autochthonous as a consequence of a reversal of the movement that produced the allochthonous emplacement (Miller and others; 1998). This tectonic event was extensively reported by many studies, including le Métour (1988), Rabu (1988), Breton and others (2004 and references therein), Al-Wardi and Butler (2006), Hilgers and others (2006), Searle (2007) and Holland and others (2009a). These studies described structures produced by this event, including layer-parallel shearing, formation of cleavage and nucleation of NE-directed ramps and duplexes.

Small conjugate sets of normal faults filled with calcite are sheared parallel to layers towards the NE in several outcrops of localities 4 and 5 (fig. 9A-C). The same overprint relationship was also reported by Breton and others (2004). Some of the pre-existing veins are passively sheared and form sigmoidal veins (fig. 9D). These relationships suggest that
the top-to-the-Northeast layer parallel shear is postdating a normal faulting event (#3), indicating that $\sigma_1$ rotated from layer-normal (vertical) towards North- to Northeast-plunging, which would have resulted in a net layer-parallel shear stress. Existing faults probably remained active as long as their orientation with respect to the stress field was favorable for it. Hilgers and others (2006) and Holland and others (2009a) concluded that normal faulting also postdates NE layer parallel shearing, because faults with dip-slip movements offsetting layer-parallel veins can also be found throughout the area (figs. 3 and

---

**Fig. 8.** Structures of event #3, probably reactivated by younger events (#5, #6, #7). (A) Large-scale dip-slip fault with a stratigraphic offset of ~700 m. (B) Large-scale dip- to oblique-slip fault that was later reactivated in strike-slip. (C) The damage zones of some of these faults are containing numerous calcite veins, probably formed during subsequent strike-slip events. (D-E-F) Details of breccias found in the damage zones of one of these large-scale faults. In photo (E), which is oriented W-E, a tectonic stylolite is dissolving the interface between the breccia and the host rock, indicating that the breccia formed before the stylolite. (F) Microphotograph of the fault breccia, taken under plane polarized light.
4 of Hilgers and others, 2006). However, as explained in the discussion section, it is not clear whether these structures formed by truly normal faulting or by vertical block movement during subsequent strike-slip deformation events (see below), after the top-to-NE layer-parallel shearing.

Table 2. Summary of datasets collected at each outcrop. Please note that mean $\sigma_1$ orientations have been calculated from fracture and vein populations. Striation orientations refer to striations on fault planes or striations and stretched crystal directions on layer-parallel veins. Note that original orientations have been rotated in this plot considering that layers were horizontal when these structures formed, except for those of event #8 and that of event #6 at locality 10.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Locality Number - Name</th>
<th>Host rock (Fm or Gp)</th>
<th>Structures</th>
<th>Number of data</th>
<th>Mean $\sigma_1$ or slickenfibre (s.f.) orientation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Event #2 - top-to-the-South layer-parallel shearing</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1- Wadi Nakhar</td>
<td>Shams Fm</td>
<td>layer-parallel veins</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>024/04 (s.f.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 - Hayl Al Shaz</td>
<td>Shams Fm &amp; Nahr Umr Fm</td>
<td>layer-parallel veins</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>356/21 (s.f.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 - Wadi Dam</td>
<td>Natih Fm</td>
<td>layer-parallel veins</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>200/02 (s.f.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Event #3 - normal (dip-slip) to oblique-slip faults and veins</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 - Wadi Nakhar</td>
<td>Shams Fm</td>
<td>fault &amp; striations</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>093/75 ($\sigma_1$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 - Road Tanuf to Hatt</td>
<td>Shams Fm</td>
<td>faults &amp; striations</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>233/57 ($\sigma_1$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 - Top of Wadi Nakhar</td>
<td>Nahr Umr Fm</td>
<td>faults, striations &amp; veins</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>343/87 ($\sigma_1$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 - Hayl Al Shaz</td>
<td>Shams Fm &amp; Nahr Umr Fm</td>
<td>faults &amp; striations</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>213/55 ($\sigma_1$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 - Wadi Bani Awf</td>
<td>Natih Fm</td>
<td>faults, striations &amp; veins</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>112/65 ($\sigma_1$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 - &quot;Gorge pavement&quot;</td>
<td>Natih Fm</td>
<td>veins</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>262/79 ($\sigma_1$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 - &quot;Gorge pavement&quot;</td>
<td>Natih Fm</td>
<td>veins</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>119/62 ($\sigma_1$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 - &quot;Gorge pavement&quot;</td>
<td>Natih Fm</td>
<td>faults &amp; striations</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>not calculated (only one set of faults)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 - &quot;Gorge pavement&quot;</td>
<td>Natih Fm</td>
<td>faults &amp; striations</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>not calculated (only one set of faults)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Event #4 - top-to-the-Northeast layer- and cleavage-parallel veins</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 - Wadi Nakhar</td>
<td>Shams Fm</td>
<td>layer-parallel veins</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>034/18 (s.f.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 - Wadi Bani Awf</td>
<td>Shams Fm</td>
<td>cleavage &amp; layer-parallel veins</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>044/15 (s.f.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 - Road Tanuf to Hatt</td>
<td>Shams Fm</td>
<td>layer-parallel veins</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>065/01 (s.f.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 - Top of Wadi Nakhar</td>
<td>Nahr Umr Fm</td>
<td>cleavage-parallel veins</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>231/03 (s.f.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 - Wadi Dam</td>
<td>Natih Fm</td>
<td>layer-parallel veins</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>070/08 (s.f.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 - &quot;Gorge&quot;</td>
<td>Natih Fm</td>
<td>layer-parallel veins</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>016/24 (s.f.)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Event #5 - strike-slip faults and veins with NW-SE-oriented compression

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Event</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Formation</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Angle (°)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Wadi Dam</td>
<td>Natih Fm</td>
<td>veins</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>314/01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Wadi Ghul</td>
<td>Natih Fm</td>
<td>veins</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>174/00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Wadi Halfayn</td>
<td>Muti Fm</td>
<td>veins faults</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>139/04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>9</td>
<td>314/06</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Event #6 - strike-slip faults and veins: E-W-oriented principal compression

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Event</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Formation</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Angle (°)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Wadi Nakhar</td>
<td>Sahtan Gp</td>
<td>veins</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>107/19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Wadi Bani Awf</td>
<td>Shams Fm</td>
<td>veins</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>113/01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Wadi Bani Awf</td>
<td>Shams Fm</td>
<td>veins</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>228/12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Top of Wadi Nakhar</td>
<td>Shams Fm</td>
<td>veins</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>098/09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Hayl Al Shaz</td>
<td>Shams &amp; Nahr Umr Fm</td>
<td>veins faults &amp; striations</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>not calculated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Wadi Dam</td>
<td>Shams Fm</td>
<td>veins</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>086/26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Wadi Ghul</td>
<td>Natih Fm</td>
<td>veins (event #6a)</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>075/02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Sidaq</td>
<td>Natih Fm</td>
<td>veins (event #6b)</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>116/11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>&quot;Gorge pavement&quot;</td>
<td>Natih Fm</td>
<td>veins faults &amp; striations</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>not calculated</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Event #7 - strike-slip veins: N-S to NE-SW-oriented principal compression

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Event</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Formation</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Angle (°)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Wadi Nakhar</td>
<td>Sahtan Gp</td>
<td>veins</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>177/09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Road Tanuf to Hatt</td>
<td>Shams Fm</td>
<td>veins</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>213/01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Hayl Al Shaz</td>
<td>Shams &amp; Nahr Umr Fm</td>
<td>veins</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>034/05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Event #9 - joints and hairline veins

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Event</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Formation</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Angle (°)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Wadi Nakhar</td>
<td>Shams Fm</td>
<td>joints</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>124/11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Wadi Dam</td>
<td>Natih Fm</td>
<td>joints</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>123/23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Wadi Ghul</td>
<td>Natih Fm</td>
<td>veins</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>296/05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig. 9. Effects of event #4. (A) S-N view of top-the-Northeast shearing of the normal faults of figure 7A-B (Nahr Umr Fm). (B) Detail of layer-parallel striations pointing towards the Northeast. (C) Detail of top-to-the-northeast layer-parallel shearing. (D) Sigmoidal calcite veins sheared towards the NE (Shams Fm). (E) Summary of the orientations of striations and slickenfibres directions at the six localities were layer- and cleavage-parallel veins with a NE shearing direction were found (event #4). Each dot represents the average of measurements at each outcrop. Note that original orientations have been rotated in this plot considering that layers were horizontal when these structures were formed.
We find three distinct strike-slip events ($\sigma_1$ and $\sigma_3$ approximately horizontal) in the Jabal Akhdar area. The related structures include faults on different scales (from meters to kilometers), \textit{en-échelon} conjugate sets of veins and tectonic stylolites that indicate a horizontal compression direction. The first strike-slip structures are \textit{en-échelon} conjugate sets of veins formed during a NW-SE-oriented compression. These veins can be recognized at localities 8, 9 and 12, and are formed by many veins that crop out on polished surfaces or "pavements" (tables 1, 2, fig. 10). The vertical intersection of dextral and sinistral conjugate vein sets, together with the presence of sub-horizontal slickenfibres in veins (fig. 10A) show that these veins were formed as a consequence of a strike-slip stress field (fig. 10H). Tectonic stylolites that show a NW-SE oriented compression direction are also indicative of this strike slip event (fig. 11). Al-Wardi and Butler (2007) also found arrays of conjugate strike-slip faults accommodating NW-SE compression in Jebel Nakhl.

Some of the E-W striking large-scale normal faults (event #3) show sub-horizontal striations that postdate dip- or oblique-slip ones (for example, fig. 10F). This indicates that the normal faults are reactivated during the strike slip events. In addition conjugate sets of \textit{en-échelon} veins and new strike-slip faults formed. In many cases these sets of veins are strongly influenced by mechanical stratigraphy and rock texture, so that they are often restricted to certain layers, or they are continuous in one layer and segmented in the adjacent layer. Strike-slip deformation is postdating layer-parallel shearing as well as normal and oblique-slip faults and veins. On fault planes sub-horizontal striations are always postdating sub-vertical and oblique ones. \textit{En-échelon} strike slip veins are crosscutting bedding parallel veins. Veins of strike-slip events (#5, #6, #7) typically have crack-seal microstructures, and were formed by hundreds to thousands of micro-cracking events (fig. 10G).

The initial group of strike-slip veins and faults (event #5) are clearly crosscut by a second group of strike-slip faults and \textit{en-échelon} veins that formed under E-W-oriented compression (fig. 10B-E, I). A second set of tectonic stylolites also shows an E-W oriented compressive stress (fig. 11). This group of structures can be found in many outcrops across the Jabal Akhdar dome, including all the localities listed in tables 1 and 2, except for 4, 7 and 12. Veins that developed during event #6 are similar to the event #5 veins and are strongly influenced by the mechanical stratigraphy of the sequence. Some layer-parallel veins with top-to-the-East striations and slickenfibres can be found at locality 1. They seem contemporaneous with conjugate veins of event #6 and, moreover, their displacement direction is compatible with E-W-oriented $\sigma_1$. We therefore suggest the possibility that local top-to-E slip also took place during event #6. Two events of strike-slip veins with $\sigma_1$ oriented approximately E-W, namely #6a and #6b, can be recognized at locality 1, with $\sigma_1$ oriented E-W and ESE-WNW, respectively (fig. 10B-E).
Fig. 10. (A) Vein of event #5 with subhorizontal slickenfibres crystals. (B) en-échelon conjugate sets of veins of event #6a (E-W-compression oriented) crosscutting veins of event #5 (NW-SE-compression oriented). (C) en-échelon veins of event #6b (NNE-SSW-oriented compression) crosscutting veins of event #5. (D-E) Examples of cross-cutting relationships between the different strike-slip vein sets. A stylolite dissolves the center of a vein of event #6b. (F) E-W-oriented large-scale fault with two sets of striations indicating older dip-slip and younger strike-slip movements. (G) Microphotograph showing the typical crack-seal microstructures of veins of the three strike-slip events. These veins are generally formed by hundreds or thousands of micro-crack events. This photo illustrates the transition from distributed to localized crack-sealing of a vein of event #6b. (H-I) Summary of the orientations of
principal compression stresses \( \sigma_1 \) and \( \sigma_3 \) at the localities were the structures of events \#5, \#6 and \#7 were measured. Each square represents the average of measurements at each outcrop. Note that the original orientations have been rotated in this plot assuming that layers were horizontal when these structures were formed. The structures shown in all these photos are all from rocks of the Natih Fm.

**Event \#7: Strike-slip Veins and Tectonic Stylolites: N-S to NE-SW-oriented Principal Compression**

The last group of strike-slip veins was formed due to a N-S to NE-SW-oriented \( \sigma_1 \) (fig. 10J), and consist of conjugate sets of *en-échelon* veins, and can be identified at localities 1, 4 and 6 of tables 1 and 2. They cut veins of events \#5 and \#6 and their presence is considerably less intense than that of event \#6. A small number of tectonic stylolites are associated with this tectonic event.

**Event \#8: Folding (Domining)**

The uplift and folding of the Jabal Akhdar area (event \#8) postdates the previously described events (\#1 to \#7). Our data suggest that structures of all events \#1 to \#7 were tilted together with the sedimentary layers when Jabal Akhdar was folded.

The relative orientations and crosscutting relationships of structures of events \#1 to \#7, measured at different outcrops through the Jabal Akhdar dome, coincide when data is rotated so that layering is horizontal. All the presented stereograms up to event \#7 display rotated data. The doming could have reactivated old layer-parallel veins as well as some of the faults as normal or oblique-slip faults. However, we have not identified recent striations postdating strike-slip ones.

Hilgers and others (2006) mention late North-directed ramps in the Northern flank of the dome, and they leave open the possibility that these structures formed by flexural slip folding of the dome. Holland and others (2009a; 2009b) reported one South-directed ramp structure in Wadi Ghul, on the Southern flank of Jabal Akhdar (locality 13 in table 1). Bedding-confined veins (event \#1) are rotated together with bedding in this ramp, implying that ramping postdates these veins. Holland and others (2009a) could not constrain the timing of this ramping in relation to other structures. The top-to-the-South movement on this ramp could have taken place either during event \#2 or during event \#8.

**Event \#9: Joints**

A final group of structures that can be recognized in the area are recent joints (fig. 12A,B) and very long and thin veins parallel to them (fig. 12C). These structures generally show no shear component and no displacements but are often parallel to thicker veins and could have been reactivated. The orientation of joints is constant across the whole area (fig. 12D). We measured joints in localities 1, 8 and 9 of tables 1 and 2 and found them to strike NNW-SSE and E-W. According to borehole breakouts from Block 6 (Filbrandt and others, 2006) the orientation of the present-day stress field corresponds to a NE-SW-oriented compression, with local variations to E-W or NW-SE. The last orientation is more compatible with the joint orientations in Jabal Akhdar.
**Fig. 11.** (A) Detail of a tectonic stylolite (developed during stage #6) dissolving a vein of event #5. (B) Tectonic stylolites dissolving dip-slip and strike-slip striations on a large-scale fault plane. (C) Complex relationships between structures: tectonic stylolite dissolves a calcite vein of event #5 and the same vein crosscuts tectonic stylolites. (D) Bedding-parallel stylolites cutting a vein of event #5. (E) Stereoplot showing the data collected from tectonic stylolites in the southern limb of the Jabal Akhdar area. Figure displays pole plots and Kamb contours indicating two maximum compression directions: NW-SE (event #5) and E-W (event #6). A total of 41 measurements are displayed in this plot. White triangles show the average orientations of $\sigma_1$ calculated from tectonic stylolites of both sets. For comparison, black squares indicate the average orientations of $\sigma_1$ for the sets of fractures and veins of events #5 and #6.
Fig. 12. (A, B) Sets of joints of different scales in the Natih Fm. (C) Thin and straight veins in the Natih Fm. (D) Orientations of joints and associated thin veins. Each great circle represents the average orientation calculated at one outcrop. These orientations are compatible with the present-day stress field in Oman.

DISCUSSION

Synthesis of Tectonic Episodes and Geodynamic Interpretation

On the basis of the presented field survey 9 structural events were recognized in Jabal Akhdar (table 3, fig. 13):

#1 - Diagenetic stylolites and early bedding-confined veins
#2 - Top-to-the-South layer-parallel shearing
#3 - Normal (dip-slip) faults and veins
#4 - Top-to-the-Northeast layer-parallel veins
#5 - Strike-slip veins, faults and tectonic stylolites: NW-SE-oriented compression
#6 - Strike-slip veins, faults and tectonic stylolites: E-W-oriented principal compression
#7 - Strike-slip veins and tectonic stylolites: N-S to NE-SW-oriented principal compression
#8 - Folding (doming)
#9 - Joints
The first event (#1) can be recognized by the presence of diagenetic stylolites and veins that appear in isolated sets, mostly perpendicular to layering. As mentioned earlier, a paleodepth analysis on some stylolites indicates that they formed during the initial compaction of the basin before the obduction of the Samail and Hawasina nappes. Holland and others (2009a) describe four families of bedding-normal veins with different strikes and suggest an anticlockwise rotation of the stress field (with vertical $\sigma_1$), before the onset of bedding-parallel shear. However, most of the layer-perpendicular veins described by Holland and others (2009a) are interpreted here as belonging to a later event. Hilgers and others (2006) found bedding-normal veins that formed after veins that can be found in inclined segments of stylolite seams. We interpret event #1 veins in accordance with Hilgers and others (2006) as extensional veins that formed as a result of a vertical $\sigma_1$ that represents the overburden stress during basin subsidence. The existence of veins indicates that fluid pressures were probably supra-hydrostatic leading to a negative effective $\sigma_3$ in at least the more competent layers.

Bedding-normal veins of event #1 are postdated by top-to-the-South layer-parallel veins with striations and slickenfibres (event #2). Breton and others (2004) interpret that at his time the top of the autochthonous was separated from the base of the nappes by the southern corner of the North Muscat microplate, which existed between the intra-continental and the intraoceanic subduction zones. Contrary to this, we interpret event #2 as south-directed shearing of the sequence that was induced by the obduction of the Samail ophiolite and Hawasina nappes during the Mid Turonian to Late Santonian.

Event #3 records a large-scale extension of the area with normal faults and veins that are offset by a second bedding-parallel shearing event but with a top-to-NE movement (event #4). This event was also recorded by Breton and others (2004) and Hilgers and others (2006). Breton and others (2004) place the formation of the normal faults prior to the obduction event (#2), as a consequence of an extensional stress field associated with the intracontinental subduction (Cenomanian to Middle Turonian). However, these authors did not recognize the top-to-the-South layer-parallel shear veins. We found that faults with dip-slip striations offset veins of event #2, which implies that these faults postdate obduction. It should be noted, however, that normal faults can easily be reactivated as dip-, oblique- or strike-slip faults in later tectonic events. It is thus also possible that normal faults formed before event #2, as proposed by Breton and others (2004), and were later reactivated during strike-slip events (likely #5 or #6) producing dip-slip movements and thus offsetting top-to-the-South layer-parallel veins (as in fig. 7F,H). Our field observations (fig. 9A,B), as well as those by Breton and others (2004) show that outcrop-scale normal faults are clearly sheared by the top to the NE movement, contrary to Holland and others (2009a; 2009b), who place the onset of normal faults after top-to-the-NE shear. Hilgers and others (2006) suggest that normal faults occur both before and after top-to-NE shearing. Fault reactivation during strike-slip events (namely #5, #6 and #7) causing vertical block movements with a dip-slip component can explain the apparent normal faulting after event #4. A second, truly normal faulting event (that is, with vertical $\sigma_1$) is thus uncertain, but cannot be excluded. Hilgers and others (2006) propose fluid pressures close to lithostatic for these phases.

The formation of regional-scale cleavage across Jabal Akhdar during N- to NE-directed shearing has been extensively described by many authors, including le Métour (1988), Rabu and others (1993), Breton and others (2004), Al-Wardi (2006), Al-Wardi and Butler (2006) and...
Fig. 13. Sketches summarizing the structural evolution of the Jabal Akhdar dome, with the order of identified events and calculated stress fields orientations. The stereogram illustrates the evolution of \( \sigma_1 \) showing two main trends: firstly obduction (\#2), normal (dip-slip) and oblique-slip faulting (\#3), reverse thrusting and exhumation (\#4), and secondly a far horizontal \( \sigma_1 \) that comprises three events (\#5 to \#7). It is possible that event \#3 did not form after obduction but before it.
Table 3. Comparison of tectonic events found in this study with those of previous publications.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(this study)</th>
<th>structures (Hilgers and others, 2006)</th>
<th>structures (Holland and others, 2009a,b)</th>
<th>main tectonic events and large-scale structures; Breton and others (2004); Filbrandt and others (2006); Fournier and others (2006)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>#1 - early veins and digenetic stylolites</td>
<td>V1 - stylolite veins</td>
<td>d1 - bedding- normal veins</td>
<td>Formed during subsidence. Structures related to pulling down of continental lithosphere during subduction of Autochthonous, Turonian-Santonian. WNW-ESE normal faults in Block 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alternatively, #3 could have also formed here</td>
<td>V2 - bedding-normal veins</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#2 - top-to-the-South layer-parallel shearing</td>
<td>V5 + V6 - normal faults &amp; associated veins</td>
<td>d2 - bedding-parallel structures (top-to-S)</td>
<td>Ophiolite and Hawasina obduction (top-to-S sense of shear), Mid Turonian-Late Santonian</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#3 - normal (dip-slip) to oblique-slip faults &amp; veins</td>
<td>V3 - pinch-and-swell veins</td>
<td>d3 - normal faults</td>
<td>Pre-exhumation, and exhumation of the Autochthonous, Early to Mid Campanian. Alternatively, Turonian-Santonian, if faults #3 correlate with WNW-ESE normal faults in Block 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#4 - top-to-the-Northeast layer-parallel veins and cleavage</td>
<td>V4 - bedding-parallel veins layer-parallel veins</td>
<td>d2 - structures parallel to bedding (top-to-N)</td>
<td>Exhumation of the Autochthonous. Formation of regional cleavage (top-to-N or -NE sense of shear), ramps, bed-parallel shearing.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#5 - strike-slip faults &amp; veins (NW-SE-oriented σ₁), Possible dip-slip fault movements</td>
<td>reactivation of V5+V6 as strike-slip?</td>
<td></td>
<td>Exhumation of the Autochthonous. Formation of large-scale strike-slip faults in Block 6 (Campanian). Limited uplift and erosion. India moved N: oblique transpression between Arabia and Indian Plates during Late Campanian-Mid Eocene. Transgression of tertiary sequence. Folding of Nakhl anticline. NW-SE faults can have dip-slip movement at this time.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#6 - strike-slip faults &amp; veins (E-W-oriented σ₁). Possible dip-slip fault movements</td>
<td>reactivation of V5+V6 as strike-slip?</td>
<td></td>
<td>General uplift. Late Oligocene-Middle Miocene. E-W faults can have dip-slip movements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#7 - strike-slip veins (N-S to NE-SW-oriented σ₁)</td>
<td>reactivation of V5+V6 as strike-slip?</td>
<td></td>
<td>Miocene-Pliocene. Compression phase recognizable in Neo-Autochthonous units NNE-SSW transpression. Uplift and erosion.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#8 - folding (doming)</td>
<td>reactivation of V5+V6?</td>
<td></td>
<td>Pliocene. Possibly synchronous with N-S compression and transpression (#7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#9 - joints</td>
<td>d4 - uncemented/partly cemented joints</td>
<td>Pliocene – Holocene?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Searle (2007), together with structures such as shear bands, rotation of pinch-and-swell veins, striations and offsets on layer-parallel veins indicating this sense of movement. Our observations confirm that veins indicating top-to-NE shearing also occur subparallel to cleavage in clayey layers (especially in Wadi Nakhar). We thus think that they were formed during event #4, but after cleavage was already formed and rotated to a favorable orientation for opening. According to Breton and others (2004) exhumation of the autochthonous and back-(that is, reverse-) top-to-NE shearing took place during the Early Campanian to Early Maastrichtian.

Late in or after the exhumation stage the study area was subjected to several strike-slip events. We found three distinct events with a horizontal compressive stress that belong to strike-slip events: #5 (NW-SE-oriented $\sigma_1$), #6 (E-W-oriented $\sigma_1$) and #7 (N-S to NE-SW-oriented $\sigma_1$). The associated structures consist of conjugate arrays of en-échelon crack-seal calcite veins, tectonic stylolites as well as both new and reactivated faults. Many of the outcrops containing the highest vein density are located at the top of the Natih Fm, just below the contact between the Natih and the Muti Fms (table 1). The studies of Hilgers and others (2006) and Holland and others (2009a) briefly mention a transition of dip-slip to strike-slip deformation of normal faults (#3), but they did not recognize the abundant conjugate calcite vein sets that belong to the three strike-slip events (#5, #6 and #7) and the ubiquitous horizontal or subhorizontal striations on large-scale fault planes (fig. 11B). Therefore, these studies underestimated the importance of the strike-slip events, which could have also reactivated older faults (event #3) with offsets that have a vertical component, as discussed above. Al-Wardi and Butler (2007) described conjugate strike-slip faults formed under NW-SE-directed compression in Jabal Nakhl, and they suggested that they formed during the formation (folding) of this antiform.

Due to the lack of a Tertiary cover in the Jabal Akhdar dome, it is difficult to know the exact age of strike-slip structures. Strike-slip deformation with the same orientation as our event #5 and #6 has been extensively described in Early Cretaceous rocks of Oman. This deformation event formed the traps for the oil fields of Block 6 (Filbrandt and others, 2006) as well as in Abu Dhabi (Johnson and others, 2005). Moreover, a tectonic survey in Tertiary rocks (Fournier and others, 2006) also led to the conclusion that horizontal $\sigma_1$-$\sigma_3$ is responsible for the origin of Oligocene to Early Miocene strike-slip structures.

Large-scale faults with both horizontal and vertical displacements are recognized from seismic surveys in Cretaceous rocks of the oil-producing Block 6. Filbrandt and others (2006) found that these structures were formed as a consequence of NW-SE-directed horizontal compression (125 to 135° SW). They indicate that strike-slip deformation is prevalent in the North of Block 6, and that the vast majority of faults are segmented. This is a typical feature of structures with dominating strike-slip components (for example, Richard and others, 1995). Moreover, the density of these large-scale segmented faults decreases both downwards and upwards from the top of the Natih Fm. As mentioned above, this also applies to the small-scale structures we have described in the present study. Three major fault orientations are defined by Filbrandt and others, (2006) at Block 6: (1) E-W to ESE-WNW-oriented en-échelon left-stepping arrays with dextral strike-slip displacement, (b) NNW-SSE to N-S-oriented en-échelon right-stepping arrays with sinistral strike-slip displacement and (c) faults oriented NW-SE. They attribute these structures to a NW-SE-oriented compression during the Santonian to Campanian. According to them, this stress field orientation would have persisted until the Early Miocene,
when compression rotated towards NE-SW. We propose that the structures of event #5 of our study could have been formed at the same time as those found at Block 6. This can be supported by the coincidence of stress field orientation, structural style (segmented \textit{en-échelon} arrays) and the maximum fracture density at the top of the Natih Fm. Filbrandt and others (2006) attribute the origin of the NW-SE-oriented maximum compression to the oblique collision of the Indian Plate against the Arabian Plate during the Santonian to Campanian, although they specifically propose a Campanian age for these faults. The overprint of tectonic structures in Jabal Akhdar suggests that strike-slip deformation of event #5 should have taken place after the top-to-NE layer-parallel shearing. This restricts the aforementioned time span, so that strike-slip deformation in Jabal Akhdar could have only started in the Mid Campanian. The horizontal $\sigma_1$ could have lasted at least until the Maastrichtian, as this is the time of oblique transpression between the Arabian and Indian Plates.

Structures of event #5 in Jabal Akhdar are clearly crosscut by ubiquitous arrays of \textit{en-échelon} calcite veins of event #6. These structures were formed due to an E-W-oriented horizontal $\sigma_1$. Event #6 is responsible for most of the fracture density and intensity in the studied outcrops, and structures of this event are found in almost all the studied outcrops (table 2). As in the case of event #5, fracture density of #6 structures decreases both downwards and upwards from the top of the Natih Fm. Large-scale faults with both horizontal and vertical displacements have been described from seismic surveys in Cretaceous rocks in oil fields onshore and offshore Abu Dhabi (for example, Marzouk and Sattar, 1995; Johnson and others, 2005). These structures appear to be contemporaneous with the segmented faults of Block 6 in Oman (Filbrandt and others, 2006), but they were formed due to E-W-oriented maximum horizontal compression (Marzouk and Sattar, 1995; Johnson and others, 2005). In the studied outcrops of Jabal Akhdar, #6 structures are always crosscutting #5 structures, so that at some stage the stress field changed from NW-SE to E-W horizontal compression. Structures of events #5 and #6 have many similarities in vein microstructures, fracture/vein segmentation, statistical distribution and mineral infillings (Stark, 2011), as well as conjugate angles between sets (see next section). These observations could indicate that they were formed without a major time span between one and the other. The coincidence of structural styles and orientations with Abu Dhabi segmented faults could suggest that #6 structures in Jabal Akhdar could have also formed from the Middle Santonian to Maastrichtian. However, Breton (pers. com.) indicates that the area between Jabal Akhdar and Abu Dhabi is only affected by a major tectonic phase during the Aquitanian to the Late Langhian (Miocene). Moreover, Filbrandt and others (2006) and Breton (pers. com.) propose that the stress field orientation of event #5 lasted until the Early Miocene, thus implying that structures of event #6 were formed later than that. Fournier and others (2006) analyzed structures in Late Cretaceous and Cenozoic post-nappe (that is, Neo-Autochthonous) units. They identified two extension directions during the upper Eocene and Oligocene, with extension oriented N20°E and N150°E, respectively. We have not recognized such events in the outcrops we have studied in Jabal Akhdar. Fournier and others (2006) also report E-W- to NE-SW-oriented compression during the Early Miocene, mainly documented by numerous conjugate strike-slip and reverse faults in the post-nappe units. These authors suggest that this compression phase could have started earlier in Jabal Akhdar, during the late Oligocene, since this area was uplifted between 30 and 25 Ma (Mount and others, 1998). The structures of our event #6 could have very likely formed at this stage, as suggested by their coincidence with those in the Neo-Autochthonous units.
The low acute angles between conjugate sets (see next section) of structures of events #5 and #6, especially in the Natih Fm, indicate a relatively high angle of internal friction that can be related to high fluid pressures. Such fluid pressures, also recognizable by the ubiquitous crack-seal microstructures of the veins of these events, can easily arise during exhumation (Staude and others, 2009). Fluid pressure in the crust typically increases from hydrostatic close to the surface to lithostatic at depth. When the overburden pressure is reduced (that is, decompression takes place), pore fluid pressure does not initially change, as the volume of pores remains approximately the same. Such a scenario can lead to the pore fluid pressure exceeding the host rock pressure, thus enhancing the formation of fractures through which fluids can escape (Staude and others, 2009; Weisheit and others, 2013). The overburden pressure can be reduced by erosion, thinning of the crust or crustal extension. According to Breton and others (2004) the burial conditions of the authochthonous remained approximately constant from the end of the Cretaceous until the Early Miocene. During that time, the removal of material due to the partial erosion of the ophiolites was compensated by the deposition of the Tertiary Marine sequence. As mentioned above, the Jabal Akhdar area experienced a general uplift during the Late Oligocene to the Early Miocene, which is the time when veins of event #6 probably formed. Uplift can explain the high fluid pressure characteristics of this vein network, as well as their stratigraphic position, as event #6 vein density and intensity are maximum at the top of the Natih Fm, just below the Muti Fm, which could have acted as a seal. Veins of events #6 and #7 are strongly influenced by mechanical stratigraphy, in a way that the sedimentary facies and the diagenetic features of the different layers determine whether the veins are restricted to certain layers, or whether they are continuous or segmented. However, the impact of mechanical stratigraphy on vein geometries is beyond the scope of this study. Richard and others (2014) provide a discussion of the segmentation nature of faults of different scales and the influence of mechanical lithologies in North Oman.

The third strike slip event (#7) formed during N-S to NE-SW-oriented horizontal compression. The exact age of these structures is not clear, but they probably formed during the Late Miocene to the Pliocene. Apart from a small number of late Paleocene faults with NNE- SSE-oriented $\sigma_1$ identified in the North part of Block 6 (Filbrandt and others, 2006), numerous structures formed under N-S- to NNE-SSW-directed compression in post-nappe units during the Pliocene (Fournier and others, 2006).

All the aforementioned structures (#1 to #7) are tilted together with sedimentary layers during doming of Jabal Akhdar in the Pliocene. When layers are rotated back to horizontal in the northern, southern and eastern flanks of the Jabal Akhdar dome, structure orientations and timing are consistent. The late development of the current dome has been extensively described in the literature (for example, Carbon, 1996; Poupeau and others, 1998). The vertical uplift of the area during the Pliocene-Quaternary could have reactivated some of the faults (Hanna, 1986; Patton and O’Connor, 1988; Mann and others, 1990; Carbon, 1996; Poupeau and others, 1998; Hilgers and others, 2006), although we could not identify dip-slip striations postdating strike-slip ones. Small thrusts, associated folds and reverse faults associated with compression are reported at the northern flank of the dome (Hilgers and others, 2006). These authors suggest that these structures formed during the doming of Jabal Akhdar. It is possible that event #7 (N-S compression) occurred during the early stages of event #8 (doming). Finally, joints are the most recent
structures in the area (#9). They may have formed by decompression during exhumation or as a consequence of the present-day stress field in NE Oman.

There are many examples in the literature of case studies where fracture or joint formation and reactivation are strongly influenced by the development of one or several folds (for example, Engelder and Peacock, 2001; DiNaccio and others, 2005; Cassini and others, 2011; Tavani and others, 2011; Reif and others, 2012). However, it is important to remark here that, because the dome formation is a late event, the vast majority of the structures found in Jabal Akhdar are not related or influenced by it.

The main events in the area belong to four principal tectonic stages (see stereogram in fig. 13): (i) subduction, obduction and collapse of the nappe stack, (ii) reverse shearing and exhumation, (iii) far-field stress-driven strike slip deformation, and finally (iv) doming. First the top-to-S obduction of the Hawasina and Samail ophiolite nappes (#2) was probably followed by normal faulting due to gravitational loading (#3). Top-to-NE reverse shearing due to exhumation (#4) took place after that. These stages are overprinted by structures that developed as a consequence of a far-field horizontal compression stress field associated with the interaction between the Indian and Arabian plates, leading to three different tectonic phases (events #5 to #7). All of the events can be observed and recorded in small-scale vein arrays and stylolites as well as in associated fault sets. Doming (#8) and, finally, joint formation (#9) were the latest events.

Use of Fractures/Veins as Indicators of Effective Fluid-Pressure Distribution

Most of the vein arrays in the Oman Mountains are conjugate sets of either continuous or en-échelon veins. If we assume a Mohr-Griffith-Coulomb failure criterion, the acute angle between conjugate sets (α) of structures of the same event can be used as a proxy for the relative differences in fluid pressure between different stratigraphic levels (Secor, 1965; Engelder, 1987; Bons and others, 2012). If we assume that the principal compression stress σ₁ lies on the acute bisector between two conjugate sets of veins or fractures, we can easily find the angle ζ between each set and σ₁:

\[ ζ = 45 - \frac{φ}{2} \]  

where φ is the angle of internal friction (0° ≤ φ ≤ 90°). In a Mohr diagram the fluid pressure (Pf) shifts the Mohr circle towards the left (σₙ - σₖ) (fig. 14), so that a higher effective fluid pressure causes an increase of φ and a decrease of ζ.

If φ is 90° (fig. 14b) extensional fractures are formed (parallel to σ₁), and only one vein set forms. In this case there is a significant opening component in the fracture, but no shearing. If φ is relatively high (fig. 14c) we can expect the onset of hybrid fractures, with both shear and opening components. If φ is relatively low (fig. 14d) two conjugate sets of shear fractures may develop. If these sets are segmented, as is the case for most of the vein arrays described in this contribution, then conjugate sets of en-échelon veins may develop, as the individual fracture segments form at a low angle with respect to σ₁, and a significant opening component facilitates...
mineral precipitation. In conclusion, the angle between two conjugate sets of veins should be inversely proportional to the difference between lithostatic pressure and fluid pressure.

Fig. 14. Sketches and Mohr circles illustrating the effect of effective fluid pressure increase on the onset of fracture/vein sets. (A) Shows the definition of normal (σ_n) and shear (σ_s) stresses. An increase in effective fluid pressure (from (D) to (B)) shifts the Mohr circle to the left. This effect enhances failure and the onset of fractures with a higher angle of internal friction (φ) and lower angle between conjugate sets (α). (B) High fluid pressures lead to the onset of only one set parallel to σ_1. (C) A relative high friction angle leads to two conjugate sets of hybrid fractures/veins with a significant opening component. If these veins are segmented, individual segments have a significant opening component. Note that the angle α is double in the Mohr circle (ζ).
Holland and others (2009a) and Holland and Urai (2010) proposed the existence of a high-pressure cell prior and during the normal faulting event based on stable isotope data by Hilgers and others (2006) and fluid inclusion microthermometry by Holland and others (2009a). Within a single high-pressure cell, pore fluid is assumed to be connected and fluid pressure thus increases with depth by about 10 MPa/km. As a consequence, the difference between lithostatic and hydrostatic pressure and, hence, the conjugate angle \( \zeta \) should increase with depth. This angle is plotted as a function of depth in figure 15. Contrary to Holland and others (2009a) and Holland and Urai (2010), we only find indications for the existence of a high-pressure cell that spans the entire sequence during events #5 and #6 (strike-slip deformation with NW-SE and E-W-oriented \( \sigma_1 \), respectively). This is consistent with the observation of highest vein density in the Natih Fm, which makes the Muti Fm the inferred seal.

![Graphs showing the acute angles between mean orientations of conjugate sets (\( \alpha \)) of fractures and veins versus stratigraphic position (related to depth) of the structures (see fig. 2). The data is organized by tectonic events. Note that the only event where there is a significant trend of conjugate angles (hence angle of internal friction or effective fluid pressure) is event #6. In this case conjugate angles (\( \alpha \)) increase with depth. The lowest values occur at the Natih Fm, just below the Muti Fm. The relative thickness of each formation is not displayed in the graph, so that the Y-axis does not have a scale.](image-url)

Fig. 15. Graphs showing the acute angles between mean orientations of conjugate sets (\( \alpha \)) of fractures and veins versus stratigraphic position (related to depth) of the structures (see fig. 2). The data is organized by tectonic events. Note that the only event where there is a significant trend of conjugate angles (hence angle of internal friction or effective fluid pressure) is event #6. In this case conjugate angles (\( \alpha \)) increase with depth. The lowest values occur at the Natih Fm, just below the Muti Fm. The relative thickness of each formation is not displayed in the graph, so that the Y-axis does not have a scale.
The high-pressure cell would thus have formed at some stage between the Mid Campanian to the Late Oligocene or Early Miocene. It is very likely that high fluid pressures arose during the latter time span, when the area experienced significant exhumation and erosion. Decompression probably released the fluids (Staude and others, 2009; Weisheit and others, 2013) and produced the fracture connectivity to build the tall high-pressure cell. It should, however, be borne in mind that fluid pressure may not be the only factor that controls the angle between conjugate sets of veins, the relative weight of shear and opening components, or the degree of segmentation. Other factors that may potentially influence these are: rock texture (grain size distribution, grain types, percentage of matrix and cements, et cetera), rock cohesion, presence of stylolites or pre-existing structures, layer thickness, lithologies above and below and variable stress fields.

It is interesting to note that faults and fractures in the Jabal Akhdar dome seem to seal and reopen repeatedly. Even small single veins show multiple crack-seal events. This behavior of fracturing and resealing seems to happen on various scales in space and time. Hilgers and others (2006) could show that fluid pressures were building up after the ophiolite was obducted. In their model, these pressures remained high for part of the normal-faulting episode but then the high-pressure cell opened and leaked through the faults. Here we could show that, if that ever happened, the Jabal Akhdar resealed again, and that the fluid pressure was high during the strike-slip events. Single local veins seem to show numerous crack-seal increments illustrating that the small system opens and reseals on small time scales. In contrast, it seems that the larger systems on the scale of the whole Jabal Akhdar dome open and reseal on much longer time scales.

CONCLUSIONS

This study uses fracture, vein, fault and stylolite data sets in order to reconstruct the complex and very dynamic deformation history of the Mesozoic autochthonous succession of the Jabal Akhdar dome, in the Oman Mountains. We determine the paleostress orientation history of the succession and correlate the results with the main tectonic events in the Northeast Arabian plate during the Late Cretaceous and Tertiary.

The oldest structures in the Mesozoic rocks after sediment deposition consist of early unsystematic veins and diagenetic (layer-parallel) stylolites formed during subsidence and subduction after subsidence. Subsequent structures can be assigned to the following main tectonic events that affected the area:

(1) Obduction of the Samail Ophiolite and Hawasina nappes. Layer-parallel veins with top-to-the-South striations and slickenfibres register the movement of the layers during this movement. Probably after that, seismic- and subseismic-scale normal (dip-slip) to oblique-slip faults and veins were formed as a consequence of crustal loading due to the nappe stack.
(2) Top-to-NE layer-parallel shearing associated with exhumation produced striations and slickenfibres in layer-parallel veins, as well as cleavage in clayey and marly layers.
(3) Oblique transpression between the Arabia and Indian plates in a time span between the end of the Cretaceous and the Miocene. The aforementioned structures are crossect by three distinct strike-slip events. Structures mainly consist of conjugate sets
of en-échelon calcite veins that form complex networks, as well as the reactivation of pre-existing faults of different scales and the onset of new ones. These structures were formed as a consequence of horizontal principal compression in three phases: NW-SE, E-W and, finally, N-S to NE-SW. The orientations and style of structures of the two first strike-slip events are compatible with those observed in seismic profiles in the neighboring hydrocarbon reservoirs of Oman and Abu Dhabi. The first one is probably coetaneous with these structures, while the second one is probably younger.

(4) Doming and formation of associated small thrusts, folds and reverse faults. The last strike-slip event could be coetaneous with doming.

A high-pressure cell, as proposed by Holland and others (2009a) and Holland and Urai (2010), could have existed in the area from the obduction of the Samail and Hawasina nappes until the Miocene. Most of the veins and fractures of different scales in Jabal Akhdar seem to have repeatedly opened and sealed, indicating an unstable dynamic system with relatively high fluid pressures. Moreover, the increasing vein/fracture intensity towards the top of the Natih formation, as well as the small angle between conjugate sets of veins of the NW-SE and E-W-oriented compression strike-slip events support the existence of high fluid pressures during the Late Cretaceous to Miocene. However, the highest overpressure and thus repeated crack-sealing probably took place during the Late Oligocene to Miocene.

This study shows how a detailed study of small-scale structures, readily visible in outcrop, can provide evidence for the succession of events on a much larger scale. This is of relevance to hydrocarbon exploration where only seismic-scale structures can be observed, but also to gain insight in plate tectonic movements, such as the convergence of Arabia and Eurasia and the relative movements of the Arabian and Indian plates.
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