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Abstract

The electrocatalytic oxidation of formic acid (HCOOH) and formate (HCOO⁻) to CO₂ on platinum has been studied over a wide range of pH (0–12) by surface-enhanced infrared absorption spectroscopy (SEIRAS) coupled with cyclic voltammetry. The peak current of HCOOH/HCOO⁻ oxidation exhibits a volcano-shaped pH dependence peaked at a pH close to the pKₐ of HCOOH (3.75). The experimental result is reasonably explained by a simple kinetic model that HCOO⁻ oxidation is the dominant reaction route over the whole pH range. In acidic media, HCOOH is oxidized after being converted to HCOO⁻ via the acid-base equilibrium. The ascending part of the volcano plot at pH < 4 is ascribed mostly to the increase of the molar ratio of HCOO⁻, while the descending part at pH > 4 is ascribed to the suppression of HCOO⁻ oxidation by adsorbed OH or oxidation of the electrode surface. In acidic media, HCOOH is
adsorbed on the electrode as formate with a bridge-bonded configuration. The bridge-bonded adsorbed formate is stable and suppresses HCOO\(^{-}\) oxidation by blocking active site. However, the suppression is not fatal because bridge-bonded adsorbed formate enhances the oxidation of HCOO\(^{-}\) at high potential by suppressing the adsorption of OH or surface oxidation. The complex cyclic voltammograms for HCOOH/HCOO\(^{-}\) oxidation also can be well interpreted in terms of the simple kinetic model. The experimental results presented here serve as a generic example illustrating the importance of pH variations in catalytic proton-coupled electron transfer reactions.

1. Introduction

The direct formic acid fuel cell (DFAFC) is a promising power source [1-4]. Owing to this technological importance, electrooxidation of formic acid (HCOOH) taking place at the anode catalyst in DFAFC has been studied intensively, especially in acidic media. This reaction has also attracted much interest in fundamental research as a simple model electrocatalytic system. Nevertheless, the mechanism of HCOOH oxidation is still matter of discussion.

It is generally accepted that HCOOH is oxidized to CO\(_2\) via a dual pathway mechanism composed of a main reaction pathway via an adsorbed active intermediate and a pathway involving an adsorbed poisoning species that is oxidized to CO\(_2\) at high overpotentials [5-7]. The poisoning species was identified to adsorbed CO in the 1980s by using infrared reflection absorption spectroscopy (IRAS) [8], while no other adsorbed species had been detected until Miki et al. [9] observed the adsorption of a formate species that is bonded to the surface via two oxygen atoms (bridge-bonded adsorbed formate) by employing surface-enhanced infrared absorption spectroscopy in the attenuated total reflection mode (ATR-SEIRAS) [10, 11]. Through systematic ATR-SEIRAS studies combined with electrochemical measurements, Samjeské et al. [12-15] and Cuesta et al. [16, 17] proposed
bridge-bonded adsorbed formate as the intermediate in the main non-CO pathway (termed hereafter as bridge-bonded adsorbed formate pathway). Further, Cuesta et al. [16, 18] suggested that the bridge-bonded adsorbed formate is the intermediate common to the CO and non-CO pathways. On the other hand, Chen et al. [19-21] claimed that bridge-bonded formate is a site-blocking spectator and HCOOH is directly oxidized to CO$_2$ via a weakly adsorbed molecular HCOOH precursor (termed as direct HCOOH pathway), which led them to propose a triple pathway mechanism by adding direct HCOOH pathway to the dual pathway mechanism. All these studies employed the same experimental techniques (ATR-SEIRAS combined with cyclic voltammetry) and obtained essentially identical results. The two different reaction mechanisms proposed by Samjeské et al. [12-15] and Cuesta et al. [16, 17], on the one side, and by Chen et al. [19-21], on the other side, stem from the different interpretations of the nonlinear relationship between the oxidation current and the band intensity of bridge-bonded adsorbed formate (approximately proportional to coverage). Recently, Grozovski et al. [22] reported that the oxidation current is proportional to the coverage of adsorbed formate determined by fast cyclic voltammetry and concluded that adsorbed formate is the intermediate in HCOOH oxidation.

A similar disagreement exists also in theoretical studies of the mechanism of this reaction. Neurock et al. [23] and Wang and Liu [24] concluded that the bridge-bonded adsorbed formate pathway is energetically much less favorable than direct HCOOH pathway, while Gao et al. [25] concluded that bridge-bonded adsorbed formate pathway is slightly more favorable than direct HCOOH pathway.

In recent years, the electrocatalytic oxidation of small organic molecules in alkaline media has received renewed interest due to the recent advent of alkaline anion exchange membranes for alkaline fuel cells. HCOOH is a weak acid with a pK$_a$ of 3.75 [26] and exists mostly as formate (HCOO$^-$) in alkaline media. John et al. [27] and Jiang et al. [28]
investigated the oxidation of \( \text{HCOO}^- \) on Pt in strong alkaline media and proposed a dual and triple pathway mechanisms, respectively, analogous to those of \( \text{HCOOH} \) oxidation. \( \text{HCOO}^- \) oxidation in alkaline media is well known to be very slow compared to \( \text{HCOOH} \) oxidation in acidic media. Interestingly, however, Buck and Griffith [29], Bagotsky and Vassiliyev [30], Beden et al. [31], Adzic et al. [32], Kita et al. [33], and Haan and Masel [34] have shown that the oxidation current on Pt or Pd increases with increasing pH in the pH range between 1 and 5, i.e., with increasing the molar ratio of \( \text{HCOO}^- \). Considering that solving this puzzle could lead to full understanding of reaction mechanisms involved, we systematically investigated the pH dependence of \( \text{HCOOH}/\text{HCOO}^- \) oxidation in a wide pH range from 0 to 12 by cyclic voltammetry and found that the oxidation current is maximal at a pH close to the \( \text{pK}_a \) of \( \text{HCOOH} \), as shown in Fig. 1 [35]. We additionally found that the experimental result can be reasonably explained by assuming that \( \text{HCOO}^- \) is more reactive than \( \text{HCOOH} \) and that \( \text{HCOOH} \) is oxidized after being converted to \( \text{HCOO}^- \) via the acid-base equilibrium. To understand the reaction comprehensively, however, detailed study on the CO pathway is also indispensable. Since adsorbed CO gives very strong infrared absorption bands, ATR-SEIRAS that enables time-resolved monitoring of the electrode surface during the reaction is very suited for this purpose. In the present study, the pH dependence of \( \text{HCOOH}/\text{HCOO}^- \) oxidation is examined by ATR-SEIRAS coupled with cyclic voltammetry and the reaction is discussed in more detail by integrating the present SEIRAS study and the kinetic study reported in our previous publication [35].

The significance of the present study goes beyond this relatively simple electrocatalytic system because many electrocatalytic reactions are strongly pH dependent and the pH dependence must be related to the decoupling of proton and electron transfer at some stage in the reaction mechanism [36-38]. Recently, such an effect was shown to be important for predicting the optimal pH for electrooxidation of alcohols on gold electrodes [39]. We will
show that the experimental results presented here serve as a generic example highlighting the importance of pH variation in catalytic proton-coupled electron transfer reactions.

2. Experimental

Protons produced by the oxidation of HCOOH/HCOO\(^-\) can change the pH at the interface and can provoke a considerable change in the molar ratio of HCOOH and HCOO\(^-\), especially in the pH range around the pK\(_a\). To avoid such an effect, 0.2 M phosphate buffer solutions (pH 1.5-12), prepared from H\(_3\)PO\(_4\), NaH\(_2\)PO\(_4\), Na\(_2\)HPO\(_4\), and/or Na\(_3\)PO\(_4\), were used as the electrolyte solution. All solutions were made using 18 M\(\Omega\) cm Milli-Q water. As the HCOOH/HCOO\(^-\) source, HCOONa was added to the electrolyte solutions to 20 mM. The solutions were deaerated with Ar before each experiment. All chemicals were analytical grade ones purchased from Wako Pure Chemicals (Tokyo) and used as received.

Experimental details of ATR-SEIRAS were described elsewhere [11, 40]. A glass cell, equipped with a Pt gauze counter electrode and an Ag/AgCl (sat’d KCl) reference electrode separated from the working electrode via a Luggin capillary, was used for the spectroelectrochemical measurements. The working electrode was a Pt thin film formed on the totally reflecting plane of a Si triangle prism (Pier Optics, Tatebayashi, Japan) by a chemical deposition technique [9, 41]. Prior to the measurements, the electrode surface was cleaned in the supporting electrolyte solution without HCOOH/HCOO\(^-\) by repetitive potential scans between the surface oxidation and the hydrogen adsorption regions until a stable cyclic voltammograms (CV) was obtained. A potentiostat (EG&G PAR, model 263A) was used in controlling the electrode potential and recording CVs. Although the electrode potential was measured against the Ag/AgCl reference electrode, all potentials in this paper are referred to the standard hydrogen electrode (SHE), unless otherwise noted.
A Fourier transform infrared spectrometer (Bio-Rad, FTS-60A/896) equipped with a MCT detector and a homemade single reflection accessory (angle of incidence of 60°) was used in recording the ATR-SEIRA spectra of the electrode surface. The spectral resolution used was 4 cm\(^{-1}\). Spectra were sequentially acquired at every 2 s interval (time required for co-adding 10 interferograms) during a potential scan at 20 mV s\(^{-1}\), while a CV was recorded simultaneously. Therefore, a spectrum is an average over a 40 mV interval. A single beam spectrum collected in advance in the pure supporting electrolyte at a potential in the hydrogen adsorption region was used as reference for calculating the spectra. All spectra are shown in absorbance units. All experiments were carried out at room temperature.

3. Results and discussion

3.1 SEIRA spectra and cyclic voltammograms

Figure 2a shows a series of SEIRA spectra of the surface of a Pt thin-film electrode in 0.2 M H\(_3\)PO\(_4\) (pH 1.5) containing 20 mM HCOONa collected during a potential scan from 0 to 1.2 and back to 0 V at 20 mV s\(^{-1}\). A single beam spectrum collected at 0 V in the HCOONa-free solution in advance was used as the reference. At the beginning of the potential scan (i.e., at 0 V), the spectrum is dominated by a very strong band at 2050~2070 and a medium strong band at ~1850 cm\(^{-1}\) assigned to linear CO (CO\(_L\)) and bridge-bonded CO (CO\(_B\)), respectively. The dip at 1610 cm\(^{-1}\) is the bending mode of water that was removed from the interface upon adsorption of CO [42]. The CO bands disappear at around 0.8 V due to the oxidative removal of CO\(_{ad}\) and a new band emerges at 1325 cm\(^{-1}\) at around 0.5 V. This band has been assigned to the symmetric O–C–O stretching mode of bridge-bonded adsorbed formate [9], while the asymmetric O–C–O stretching mode of the bridge-bonded adsorbed formate, expected around 1590 cm\(^{-1}\), is not observed in the spectra due to the surface selection rule in SEIRAS [43]. The broad band at ~ 1050 cm\(^{-1}\) that emerges after CO\(_{ad}\) being oxidized
completely are a P-O stretching vibration of phosphate adsorbed on the electrode surface (H$_2$PO$_4^-$ and/or HPO$_4^{2-}$ [44]). The spectral changes are reversed during the subsequent negative-going scan. Since the IR absorption enhancement is limited mostly to adsorbed species [10, 11], the absorption bands of HCOOH, the dominant species in the bulk solution, are absent in the spectra (for example, $\nu$(C=O) expected around 1700 cm$^{-1}$). The spectral range below 950 cm$^{-1}$ was not accessible due to the strong absorption of the Si prism.

The CV collected simultaneously with the SEIRA spectra is shown in Fig. 2b (top panel). The dotted trace is the CV of the electrode recorded in the same electrolyte solution without HCOONa. In the positive-going scan from 0.0 V, two oxidation peaks (p1 and p2) appear, while a peak and two shoulders (p3, p4, and p5) appear in the negative-going scan after the reduction of the oxide on the electrode. The labels of the peaks or shoulders follow their order of appearance.

For a comparison of the CV and the SEIRA spectra, the integrated band intensities of the CO$_L$, CO$_B$, and bridge-bonded adsorbed formate are plotted as a function of the applied potential in the same figure (bottom panel). The results are essentially identical to those obtained in H$_2$SO$_4$ [13, 14], but it is worthwhile to review them for the sake of a comparison with HCOO$^-$ oxidation in neutral and alkaline media described later. The electrode surface is almost fully covered by CO$_{ads}$ at the beginning of the potential scan as evidenced by the complete absence of the hydrogen desorption peaks in the CV. In the potential range where peak p1 appears, the band intensities of CO$_L$ and CO$_B$ are almost constant. However, a previous SEIRAS study of CO$_{ads}$ stripping has revealed that 10–20% of CO$_L$ is oxidized in this potential range (the so-called pre-oxidation) [45]. The disagreement between spectroscopy and electrochemistry is due to the saturation of the IR band intensity at high CO$_{ads}$ coverage. The partial CO$_{ads}$ oxidation uncovers some amount of active sites, and enables HCOOH oxidation and also the adsorption of bridge-bonded formate. At higher
potentials around 0.7 V, both CO\textsubscript{L} and CO\textsubscript{B} are oxidized and completely removed at 0.8 V, which sharply increases bridge-bonded adsorbed formate to reach a maximum at ~0.8 V. The bridge-bonded adsorbed formate band completely disappears at 1.2 V and reappears in the reverse negative-going scan associated with the reduction of the surface oxide, indicating that bridge-bonded formate is not adsorbed on the fully oxidized surface. After reaching to a maximum at ~0.6 V, the bridge-bonded adsorbed formate band decreases its intensity at less positive potentials. The bands of CO\textsubscript{L} and CO\textsubscript{B} reappear at around 0.3 V and grow at lower potentials.

We carried out the same experiments in buffered solutions with higher pHs and observed that the bridge-bonded formate band becomes weaker with increasing pH from ~4 and completely disappears at pH ~6. A representative set of spectra of the electrode surface at pH 6.8 are shown in Fig. 3a. The absence of bridge-bonded formate in neutral media is well correlated with the decrease in HCOOH concentration (see the blue dashed trace in Fig. 1b), implying that bridge-bonded adsorbed formate is formed only from HCOOH. If bridge-bonded adsorbed formate were quickly decomposed to CO\textsubscript{2}, its coverage could be smaller. However, a similar result was obtained for the adsorption of acetic acid/acetate (pKa = 4.75) that is not oxidized at all in this potential range, i.e., the symmetric O-C-O stretching vibration of adsorbed acetate was observed only at pH < 6 (data not shown). Therefore, the absence of bridge-bonded adsorbed formate in the neutral solution is not due to its rapid oxidation. The strong band around 1130 cm\textsuperscript{-1} in the spectra that appears after the oxidative removal of CO\textsubscript{ads} is assigned to a P–O stretching mode of a phosphate anion adsorbed on the electrode surface (HPO\textsubscript{4}\textsuperscript{2-} [44]). In the negative-going scan, a very weak band assigned to either carbonate [46] or bicarbonate [47] derived from CO\textsubscript{2} is observed around 1450 cm\textsuperscript{-1}. No other adsorbed spieces are observed in the SEIRA spectra.
The CV recorded simultaneously with the SEIRA spectra is shown in Fig. 3b (top panel) together with the potential dependence of the integrated band intensities of CO_L and CO_B taken from the spectra in Fig. 3a (bottom panel). The CV is simpler than that at pH 1.5 and only one anodic peak is observed in the both positive- and negative-going scans at different potentials. If the CV is plotted against the reversible hydrogen electrode (RHE), the peaks in the positive- and negative-going scans correspond to peak p1 and peak p5 observed in the CV at pH 1.5 (Fig. 2b, bottom panel), respectively. On the other hand, anodic peaks corresponding to peak p2, peak p3, and peak p4 are absent, which suggests that bridge-bonded adsorbed formate is responsible for the appearance of these three anodic peaks. Another notable difference is the potential dependence of the band intensity of CO_L in the positive-going scan. It is weak at the beginning of the potential scan and grows in between −0.3 and 0 V. In the negative-going scan, CO_ads starts to be formed at 0 V and saturates at ~−0.3 V, indicating that CO_ads is formed in the limited potential range.

The voltammetric features of HCOO− oxidation in alkaline media are different from those in neutral media. A representative CV at pH 11.7 is shown in Fig. 4a (top panel). Two anodic peaks and one peak are observed in the positive- and negative-going scans, respectively. The second anodic peak at -0.05 V in the positive-going scan and the peak at -0.33 V in the negative-going scan correspond to peak p1 and peak p5 in Figs. 2 and 3 (on the RHE scale). On the other hand, the first anodic peak at −0.35 V in the positive-going scan, labeled as p0, has no counterpart at pH 1.5 and 6.8. Peak p0 and peak p5 occur at almost the same potentials and their peak currents are almost the same, indicating that the same reaction takes place at both peak p0 and peak p5. Since the peak currents of peak p0 and peak p5 were proportional to the concentration of HCOO− added into the solution, these peaks are ascribed to HCOO− oxidation. The appearance of the anodic peaks at the same potential in the both positive- and negative-going scans is due to the low CO coverage, which is confirmed by the
weak integrated band intensities of CO\textsubscript{L} and CO\textsubscript{B} as shown in the same figure (lower panel): the maximum intensity of CO\textsubscript{L} is only 20\% of that observed in acidic media (saturated CO coverage), while the intensity of the CO\textsubscript{B} band is comparable to that in acidic and neutral media.

Stripping voltammetry by John et al. [27] revealed that two strongly adsorbed species are formed from HCOO\textsuperscript{−} at low potentials and exhibit two oxidative stripping peaks at peak p0 and peak p1 (in the present notation of peaks). The authors ascribed the stripping peaks to the oxidation of an intermediate of HCOO\textsuperscript{−} oxidation (termed as X1) and of CO\textsubscript{ads} (termed as X2), respectively. However, the infrared data shown above indicates that CO\textsubscript{L} and CO\textsubscript{B} are oxidized selectively at peak p0 and at peak p1, respectively. Other adsorbates detected by SEIRAS were (bi)carbonate and phosphate anion, and no infrared absorption bands that could be ascribed to the intermediate X1 were detected (the spectra are not shown because the spectral features were similar to those in Fig. 3a). Accordingly, we assign X1 and X2 to CO\textsubscript{L} and CO\textsubscript{B}, respectively. The peak current of peak p1 was independent of concentration of HCOO\textsuperscript{−}, indicating that peak p1 arises only from the oxidation of CO\textsubscript{B}.

The results of the spectroelectrochemical measurements are summarized in a Pourbaix-type diagram (\(E\) vs. pH diagram) shown in Fig. 5. Blue symbols represent the peak potentials of peak p3, peak p4, and peak p5 at pH 1.5, and peak 5 at pH > 6. The results in the pH range between 3 and 5, where the oxidation current is large (Fig. 1), were omitted in the diagram because the voltammetric features in the negative-going scan were seriously distorted by the ohmic drop, which arose from the large real surface area of the thin film electrode (~10 cm\(^2\) determined from the charge under the hydrogen desorption peaks) and a relatively large cell resistance of ~10 \(\Omega\) (mostly due to the resistance of the thin film electrode). Instead, the peak potentials of the anodic peak, \(E_p\), observed with a rotating Pt disc electrode (5 mm in diameter) in the same buffered solutions containing 50 mM HCOOH/HCOO\textsuperscript{−} (Fig. 1b)
recorded in the negative-going scan are shown by black symbols (the result reported in ref. 35). In this case, only a single oxidation peak was observed at pH < 5. The peak shifted to lower potentials with increasing pH at a rate of 60 mV per pH and a shoulder appeared at around pH 5.5. At higher pHs, the higher potential component of the anodic peak disappeared and the lower potential component shifted to the lower potentials accompanying by the decrease in peak current. The peak potentials in the two independent measurements are in good agreement at pH > 6. Red symbols represent the potential at which the IR band of CO$_{\text{ads}}$ appeared in the negative-going scan. The onset potential of CO$_{\text{ads}}$ formation is independent of pH at pH < ~5 and negatively shifted at pH > ~5 at a rate of 60 mV per pH. Additionally shown are the surface oxidation and upd hydrogen adsorption (H$_{\text{upd}}$) regions, which were determined in the positive- and negative-going scans, respectively, in the supporting electrolyte solutions free of HCOOH/HCOO$^-$(experimental data points were omitted to avoid complexity). The white area is the double-layer region, but it is noted that some amount of surface oxide or OH$_{\text{ads}}$ remains in this region in the negative-going scan during which the IR and electrochemical data were collected due to the relatively slow kinetics of the reduction of surface oxide (see dotted CVs in Figs. 2, 3, and 4 recorded in HCOONa-free electrolyte solutions). Finally, green symbols represent the potentials at which the band of adsorbed phosphate appeared in the positive-going scan in the HCOOH/HCOO$^-$-free solutions. This data could be a rough measure of the onset potential of the adsorption of anionic species, including HCOO$^-$ and OH$^-$. In fact, the onset of OH$^-$ adsorption is reported to be located in the H$_{\text{upd}}$-region [48, 49].

The Pourbaix diagram highlights two interesting features of HCOOH/HCOO$^-$ oxidation and CO$_{\text{ads}}$ formation. One is that $E_p$ is located in the double layer region at pH < 5.5 and jumps to the onset potential of hydrogen adsorption at pH > 5.5. The other is that the onset of CO$_{\text{ads}}$ formation is independent of pH at pH < 5.5, whereas it depends on pH at pH >
5.5 and is located at the onset potential of H\textsubscript{upd}. Remembering that bridge-bonded formate is adsorbed on the electrode surface at pH < 6, the different pH dependences of $E_p$ and CO\textsubscript{ads} formation at pH < 5.5 and > 5.5 will be related to the presence and absence of bridge-bonded adsorbed formate rather than to the acid-base equilibrium of HCOOH in the solution ($pK_a = 3.75$). In fact, Cuesta et al. [16, 18] showed that CO\textsubscript{ads} is formed in acidic media by the reduction of bridge-bonded adsorbed formate (HCOO\textsubscript{ads} + H\textsuperscript{+} + e\textsuperscript{-} $\rightarrow$ CO\textsubscript{ads} + H\textsubscript{2}O). The rate of the reaction depends on potential [16, 18, 50] and pH, but the onset potential is independent of pH. The pH-independence could also be explained by the pure chemical dehydration of HCOOH (HCOOH $\rightarrow$ CO\textsubscript{ads} + H\textsubscript{2}O), but this mechanism is not suitable since $\theta_{CO}$ increases as the potential is made more negative (Fig. 2), i.e., CO\textsubscript{ads} formation is an electrochemical reduction process. On the other hand, the source of CO\textsubscript{ads} must be HCOO\textsuperscript{-} in alkaline media. As shown in Figs. 3 and 4, CO\textsubscript{ads} accumulation occurs in a limited potential range locating in between the red and green lines in Fig. 5, i.e., in the potential range where both HCOO\textsuperscript{-} and H\textsubscript{upd} can be coadsorbed. Therefore, CO\textsubscript{ads} is likely to be formed by a reaction of adsorbed HCOO\textsuperscript{-} with H\textsubscript{upd} although we did not observe the adsorbed HCOO\textsuperscript{-} by SEIRAS. Since HCOO\textsuperscript{-} competes with OH\textsubscript{ads} for free sites, CO\textsubscript{ads} accumulation is slow in alkaline media compared with that in acid [27], which is supported by the IR data shown above.

### 3.2 Kinetic modeling of HCOOH/HCOO\textsuperscript{-} oxidation

As shown in Fig. 1, peak current ($j_p$) of the HCOOH/HCOO\textsuperscript{-} oxidation in the negative-going scan shows a volcano-shaped pH dependence peaked at pH ~ 4. Since the HCOOH concentration decreases with pH, the increase of $j_p$ at pH < 4 apparently cannot be explained by the direct HCOOH mechanism which assumes HCOOH oxidation via a weakly adsorbed HCOOH precursor. Bridge-bonded adsorbed formate mechanism also is not compatible with the result because the coverage of bridge-bonded adsorbed formate was
almost constant at pH < 4. Rather, the result strongly suggests that HCOO\(^-\) is more reactive than HCOOH and its oxidation contributes dominantly to the anodic current. However, if it is so, why does the anodic current decrease at pH > 5 despite the further increase of HCOO\(^-\) concentration? Grozovski et al. [22] explained the small anodic current in alkaline media to the absence of adsorbed formate on the electrode surface. On the other hand, John et al. [27] proposed that HCOO\(^-\) is adsorbed on the electrode so strongly that its oxidation is very slow. However, both proposals do not account for the very high activity of HCOO\(^-\) at pHs around 4.

For being oxidized (electro)catalytically, HCOO\(^-\) must be adsorbed on the electrode surface, but no infrared absorption bands corresponding to adsorbed HCOO\(^-\) were detected in neutral and alkaline media as described above. Therefore, it will be reasonable to assume that HCOO\(^-\) is oxidized directly as

\[
\text{HCOO}^- \rightarrow \text{CO}_2 + \text{H}^+ + 2\text{e}^- \quad (1)
\]

via transiently adsorbed HCOO\(^-\) and that its adsorption is significantly suppressed in alkaline media. A possible origin of the suppression of HCOO\(^-\) adsorption or oxidation is the oxidation of the electrode surface or adsorption of hydroxyl species (OH\(_{\text{ads}}\)) [17, 27, 51], the precursor state of surface oxidation [52]. Given that HCOOH/HCOO\(^-\) oxidation is kinetically controlled [15, 35], we propose a simple kinetic model that reasonably explains the significant pH dependence of HCOOH/HCOO\(^-\) oxidation. The essence of the model is schematically depicted in Fig. 6. Following the Butler-Volmer rate law, the rate constant of HCOO\(^-\) oxidation (\(k_{\text{ox}}\)) should increase exponentially as the potential is made more positive (the blue curve in Fig. 6a). On the other hand, free surface sites available for HCOO\(^-\) oxidation are reduced as the potential is made more positive due to the oxidation of the electrode surface. The potential dependence of the coverage of surface oxide (\(\theta_{\text{ox}}\)) may be represented as the black trace. Since the oxidation current is proportional to \(k_{\text{ox}}(1 - \theta_{\text{ox}})\), a peak should appear in
the voltammogram at a potential where $\theta_{\text{ox}} \sim 0.5$, as shown by the red curve in the figure. The onset of the surface oxidation shifts negatively as the pH of the solution is increased at a rate of 60 mV per pH, while $k_{\text{ox}}$ is independent of pH. Accordingly, the anodic peak shifts negatively and becomes smaller with increasing pH. $k_{\text{ox}}(1 - \theta_{\text{ox}})$ at the peak potential should decrease exponentially with pH as shown by the purple curve in Fig. 6b. The anodic current also should be proportional to the concentration of HCOO$^-$ ([HCOO$^-$]), which increases as the pH is increased. As a result, the peak current, $j_p = 2F[k_1(1 - \theta_{\text{ox}})]_{\text{max}} [\text{HCOO}^-]$, is expected to yield a volcano-type pH dependence peaked at pH ~ $pK_a$ of HCOOH, as shown by the red trace in Fig. 6b. The validity of this idea is substantiated in the following by mathematical modeling of the steady-state voltammogram.

We will model the surface oxidation by a simple reversible reaction represented by

$$\text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{Pt} \rightleftharpoons \text{Pt-OH}_{\text{ads}} + \text{H}^+ + \text{e}^- \quad (2)$$

On a real polycrystalline surface, OH$_{\text{ads}}$ is oxidized further and the corresponding reactions are not reversible. However, to model the inhibition of HCOOH/HCOO$^-$ oxidation by Pt surface oxidation, the introduction of one surface oxygen species is sufficient and reaction 2 serves that purpose well [51, 53]. In acidic media, HCOOH is adsorbed on the electrode surface as bridge-bonded formate (b-HCOO$_{\text{ads}}$)

$$\text{HCOOH} + 2\text{Pt} \rightleftharpoons \text{b-HCOO}_{\text{ads}} + \text{H}^+ + \text{e}^- \quad (3)$$

as evidenced by SEIRAS and should suppress the direct oxidation of HCOO$^-$ by blocking active sites. Therefore, bridge-bonded adsorbed formate also must be included in the simulation. Following Samjeské et al. [12-15], bridge-bonded formate is assumed tentatively to be oxidized to CO$_2$ irreversibly:

$$\text{b-HCOO}_{\text{ads}} \rightarrow \text{CO}_2 + \text{H}^+ + \text{e}^- + 2\text{Pt} \quad (4)$$
The reverse reaction has not been observed on Pt. We will assume that the HCOOH/HCOO$^-$ equilibrium is maintained at the interface. Coverages of CO$_{ads}$, H$_{upds}$, and adsorbed (bi)carbonate are negligible in the potential range of HCOO$^-$ oxidation as have been shown by SEIRAS. Adsorption of phosphate anions is also neglected for the sake of simplicity because the pH dependence of HCOOH/HCOO$^-$ oxidation in phosphate buffered solutions (Fig. 1) is similar to that observed in HClO$_4$/ClO$_4^-$ and HSO$_4^-$/SO$_4^{2-}$ solutions [29-34].

Under such conditions, the coverages of OH$_{ads}$ ($\theta_{OH}$) and bridge-bonded adsorbed formate ($\theta_{b-f}$) satisfy the following differential equations:

$$\frac{d\theta_{OH}}{dt} = k_2(1-\theta_{b-f} - \theta_{OH}) - k_{-2}[H^+]\theta_{OH}$$

(5) $$\frac{d\theta_{b-f}}{dt} = k_1[HCOOH](1-\theta_{OH} - \theta_{b-f}) - k_3[H^+]\theta_{b-f} - k_4\theta_{b-f}$$

(6)

where $k_{\pm i}$ (i = 1, 2, 3, and 4) is the rate constant of each reaction at a potential $E$ with plus for the forward reaction (oxidation or adsorption) and minus for the backward reaction (reduction or desorption), and assumed to follow the Butler-Volmer rate law with transfer coefficient $\alpha$:

$$k_i = k_i^0 \left[ \frac{nF(E - E_i^o)}{RT} \right], \quad k_{-i} = k_{-i}^0 \exp\left[ \frac{-(1-\alpha)nF(E - E_i^o)}{RT} \right]$$

(7)

$k_i^0$ is the rate constant at the standard equilibrium potential $E_i^o$, $n$ is the number of electrons transferred, and $F$, $R$, and $T$ have the usual meanings. For reaction (4), first order, [22] second order [16, 17], and parabolic [12-14] rate equations with respect to $\theta_{b-f}$ have been proposed, but the first order rate law is assumed here for the sake of simplicity. By applying the steady-state approximation

$$\frac{d\theta_{b-f}}{dt} = \frac{d\theta_{OH}}{dt} = 0$$

(8)

$\theta_{OH}$ and $\theta_{b-f}$ are given as

$$\theta_{b-f} = \left[ 1 + \frac{k_{-3}[H^+] + k_4}{k_3[HCOOH]} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{K_2} \right) \right]^{-1}$$

(9)
\[ \theta_{\text{ox}} = \frac{(1 - \theta_{b-f})}{(1 + K_2)} \]  

(10)

where

\[ K_2 = \frac{k_{-2} [H^+]}{k_2} [H^+] \exp \left\{ \frac{-F(E - E^{\circ}_2)}{RT} \right\} \]  

(11)

The current density \( j \) is given by adding up all contributions from the direct HCOO\(^-\) oxidation \((1), j_{\text{HCOO}}, \) and the oxidation of HCOOH via bridge-bonded adsorbed formate (reactions (3) and (4)), \( j_{\text{b-formate}}. \)

\[ j = j_{\text{HCOO}} + j_{\text{b-formate}} = 2Fk_1(1 - \theta_{b-f} - \theta_{\text{OH}})[\text{HCOO}^-] + 2Fk_4\theta_{b-f} \]  

(12)

In Fig. 7, steady-state voltammograms for \([\text{HCOOH}/\text{HCOO}^-] = 0.1 \text{ M}\) calculated by using the parameter set shown in the caption are presented. The parameters were chosen to give the maximum of the peak current, \( j_p \), of \( \sim 5 \text{ mA cm}^{-2} \) around pH 4 for \([\text{HCOOH}/\text{HCOO}^-] = 50 \text{ mM} \) (Fig. 1) and \( \theta_{b-f} \sim 0.8 \) for \([\text{HCOOH}/\text{HCOO}^-] = 10 \text{ mM} \) [22]. Among the parameters, the most important is \( k_4 \), which must be non-zero, i.e., bridge-bonded adsorbed formate must be decomposed to CO\(_2\). Otherwise, the electrode surface is fully covered by bridge-bonded adsorbed formate over a wide potential and pH range and HCOO\(^-\) oxidation is seriously suppressed (data not shown). As shown in Fig. 7a, the simulated steady-state voltammogram strongly depends on pH. In accordance with the experiment, the peak current for HCOO\(^-\) oxidation, \( j_{p,\text{HCOO}} \), increases monotonically with increasing pH up to 4.5 and decreases at higher pHs as shown in Fig. 7b (red trace), while the current carried by the bridge-bonded adsorbed formate pathway \( (j_{p,b-formate}) \) is very small over the wide pH range. The observed pH dependence of the adsorption of bridge-bonded formate at pH < 6 also is well reproduced as shown in the colored contour plot in Fig. 7c. (Note that in reality its adsorption is limited in the low potential region due to the accumulation of CO\(_{\text{ads}}\)).

The model also simulates the negative shift of peak potential \( E_p \) with pH but does not reproduce the experiment perfectly. In the simulation, \( E_p \) shifts to lower potentials with
increasing pH with a slope of $-60 \text{ mV per pH}$ except in the pH range between 4 and 6 where the shift is larger (black line in Fig. 7b, the both pathways track the same trace), whereas the peak splits into two at pHs around 5 in the experiment (Fig. 5). The discrepancy may be due to the island formation of bridge-bonded adsorbed formate in the real system, which cannot be simulated by the Langmuir adsorption isotherm used in the simulation (eq. 6). Island formation of bridge-bonded adsorbed formate has been suggested in both UHV[54] and electrochemical environment [55].

As shown above, the decomposition of bridge-bonded adsorbed formate is very slow. Therefore bridge-bonded adsorbed formate blocks active sites and inhibits the direct $\text{HCOO}^-$ pathway as shown in Fig. 8, where the simulated pH dependence of peak current with (red curve) and without (blue curve) bridge-bonded adsorbed formate are compared. The simulation gives an answer to a question why Pd, on which bridge-bonded adsorbed formate does not exist, has a higher catalytic activity than Pt for HCOOH oxidation in acidic media [56]. Nevertheless, it should be noted that bridge-bonded adsorbed formate also enhances, albeit indirectly, $\text{HCOO}^-$ oxidation. The dotted black straight line in Fig. 7b represents the pH dependence of $E_p$ when bridge-bonded formate is assumed not to be adsorbed as on Pd. A comparison of the $E_p$ curves with and without bridge-bonded adsorbed formate reveals that $E_p$ is positively shifted by bridge-bonded adsorbed formate. The shift ($\Delta E_p$) becomes larger as $\theta_{b-f}$ increases. Following the Buttler-Volmer rate law, the calculated positive shift of $\Delta E_p \sim 0.1 \text{ V}$ increases $j_{p,\text{HCOO}^-}$ by a factor of 7. The shift amounts to 0.2 V in the experiment (Fig. 5), which increases $j_{p,\text{HCOO}^-}$ by a factor of 49. Due to this effect, the suppression of the direct $\text{HCOO}^-$ pathway by bridge-bonded adsorbed formate is not fatal despite its large coverage (> 0.95). The positive shift of $E_p$ is due to the suppression of surface oxidation by bridge-bonded adsorbed formate, as can be seen from the pH dependence of $\theta_{\text{OH}}$ (light blue contour plot) in Fig. 7c. In fact, the suppression of surface oxidation by bridge-bonded adsorbed formate has
been confirmed experimentally [14]. The $E_p$-pH curve shown by the pink thick dashed trace is located at the boundary of the bridge-bonded formate and OH adsorption regions (at $\theta_{OH} \approx \theta_{b-f}$). Since $\theta_{b-f}$ is less than 1 (i.e., $k_4 \neq 0$), HCOO$^-$ oxidation in the surface oxidation region of Pt (in the blank solution) remain possible on a surface covered by bridge-bonded formate.

A remaining issue is whether HCOOH is directly oxidized. Theoretical studies compared the energetics of the bridge-bonded adsorbed pathway and the direct HCOOH pathway [23-25], but the direct HCOO$^-$ pathway was not considered in the theoretical studies. Regarding this issue, it is worth noting that the direct HCOO$^-$ oxidation gives a considerable current even in acidic media (Figs. 7 and 8) despite its very small concentration (0.018% at pH 0). This means that HCOOH is oxidized after being converted to HCOO$^-$. The direct HCOOH pathway never exceeds the direct HCOO$^-$ pathway, if any: If oxidation current due to direct HCOOH pathway were comparable to or larger than that due to the direct HCOO$^-$ pathway, the monotonic increase of the oxidation current with pH in acidic media (Fig. 1) cannot be explained.

One remarkable result obtained in the present study is that HCOOH/HCOO$^-$ oxidation exhibits an optimal performance at a pH around 4, which is close to the pK$\alpha$ of HCOOH. A similar trend has been observed also for the oxidation of some alcohols and aldehydes [30]. If bridge-bonded adsorbed formate is assumed to be absent on the electrode, as in the case of HCOOH oxidation on Pd [56], the simulation showed that the maximum of $j_p$ appears exactly at pH = pK$\alpha$ (Fig. 8). A theoretical thermodynamic argument has shown that this is a generic feature of sequential proton-electron transfer reactions (AH ⇌ A$^-$ + H$^+$ followed by A$^-$ → A + e$^-$) [36-38]. Therefore, the pK$\alpha$ of the molecule of interest is an important factor for predicting the optimal pH for its oxidation.

3.3 Interpretation of multiple oxidation peaks in CV
As shown in Fig. 2b (top panel), HCOOH oxidation in acidic media exhibits two anodic peaks in the positive-going scan (peak p1 and peak p2), while a single oxidation peak is observed with shoulders at both positive and negative side in the negative-going scan (a single peak with a shoulder at the low potential side is observed at higher HCOOH concentrations [6, 13, 14, 51, 57]). The interpretation of the complex voltammetric features has been the subject of discussion, because the origins of the two anodic peaks in the positive-going scan are the key issues for understanding electrochemical oscillations observed during HCOOH oxidation [51, 53, 58, 59].

The two oxidation peaks in the positive-going scan are often interpreted in terms of two different reactions at different potentials [6, 60]. However, such an explanation will not be reasonable because HCOOH oxidation after being converted to HCOO\(^-\) is the major reaction route in acidic media and the contribution of CO pathway to the total current is negligible [13, 19, 20]. Breiter [61] postulated that the first anodic peak is due to oxidation of HCOOH to CO\(_2\) on the small fraction of the surface which was not blocked by adsorbed intermediates. Oxidation of these intermediates at more positive potentials uncovers a large number of active sites on which further oxidation of HCOOH can take place, thus causing the rise in current leading to the second anodic peak. A similar explanation was made also by Strasser et al.[51]. On the other hand, Okamoto and Tanaka [62] proposed that H\(_2\)O adsorbed at free sites suppresses CO\(_{\text{ads}}\) oxidation to yield the negative differential resistance (NDR) at the positive side of peak p1 and the replacement of adsorbed H\(_2\)O by OH\(_{\text{ads}}\) facilitates CO\(_{\text{ads}}\) oxidation at higher potentials leading to the second peak. The role of CO\(_{\text{ads}}\) in these explanations is well supported by SEIRAS (Fig. 2). However, these earlier explanations are insufficient because the adsorption of bridge-bonded formate on the electrode surface was not included (which was not known before the SEIRAS study by Miki et al. in 2002 [9]). Samjeské et al. [12, 13] explained the NDR and the second anodic peak by assuming that
bridge-bonded adsorbed formate is decomposed to CO$_2$ and that its reaction rate is a strong function of the coverage of free sites. However, this explanation conflicts with the conclusion in the present study that the decomposition of bridge-bonded adsorbed formate is very slow and HCOOH oxidation via HCOO$^-$ is the major reaction pathway.

Here we will provide a new interpretation on the basis on the following experimental results: (i) when neither CO$_{ads}$ nor bridge-bonded adsorbed formate exist on the surface (or when $\theta_{CO}$ is small), HCOO$^-$ oxidation yields a single anodic peak which appears at a same low potential in both the positive- and negative-going scan (Fig. 4), (ii) in the presence of CO$_{ads}$, the anodic peak in the positive-going scan is shifted positively to a potential at which CO$_{ads}$ is oxidized (Fig. 3), and (iii) the second anodic peak appears only when bridge-bonded formate is coadsorbed with CO (Fig. 2). In the latter case, CO$_{ads}$ is not essential because a similar CV is observed also on Au, where bridge-bonded formate is adsorbed but CO$_{ads}$ is absent [17]. The results clearly indicate that bridge-bonded adsorbed formate is responsible for the second peak. Since bridge-bonded adsorbed formate enables HCOO$^-$ oxidation at high potentials by suppressing the oxidation of the electrode surface as mentioned before, the second peak appears in the surface oxidation region.

The multiple anodic peaks in the negative-going scan also can be ascribed to bridge-bonded adsorbed formate as has been suggested before. Since bridge-bonded adsorbed formate is likely to form islands, HCOO$^-$ oxidation in the island occurs at higher potential than in the regions free of bridge-bonded adsorbed formate to yield multiple anodic peaks in the voltammogram.

5. Conclusion and remarks

The examination of the electrooxidation of HCOOH/HCOO$^-$ on Pt over a wide range of solution pH revealed that the oxidation current exhibits a volcano-shaped pH dependence
peaked at a pH close to the pK_a of HCOOH (3.75). A simple mathematical modeling of the reaction revealed that the main reaction route is the oxidation of HCOO^- over the whole pH range and that HCOOH is oxidized after being converted to HCOO^- via the acid-base equilibrium (HCOOH ⇌ HCOO^- + H^+). The ascending part at pH < pK_a is ascribed mainly to the increase of the molar ratio of HCOO^-, while the descending part at pH > pK_a is ascribed to the suppression of HCOO^- oxidation by adsorbed OH and/or surface oxidation. The SEIRAS revealed that HCOOH is adsorbed on Pt as bridge-bonded adsorbed formate at pH < ~5. The bridge-bonded adsorbed formate blocks active sites for HCOO^- oxidation but enhances HCOO^- oxidation at high potentials by preventing the adsorption of OH and oxidation of the electrode surface. CO_ads is formed by the reduction of bridge-bonded adsorbed formate at pH < ~5 and presumably by a reaction of transiently adsorbed HCOO^- and H_ads at pH > ~5. The mechanistic model presented in this work also well explains the complex CVs of HCOOH oxidation in acidic media.

In earlier studies, the reaction mechanism of HCOOH oxidation (bridge-bonded adsorbed formate and direct HCOOH pathways) has been discussed on the basis of the relation between oxidation current and the coverage of bridge-bonded adsorbed formate. However, the main reaction route is the direct HCOO^- pathway that was not considered in previous studies. Accordingly, such an approach to identify the reaction mechanism will not be fruitful, and could even be misleading. The situation is the same in previous theoretical studies, where no attention was paid to HCOO^- oxidation.

The most important finding in the present study is that the best performance can be achieved at pH ≈ pK_a. This is a general property in catalytic proton-coupled electron transfer reactions. In this point of view, it can be inferred that most DFAFCs using cation- and anion-exchange membranes are not operated under the optimal conditions. If pH in the membranes could be less than ~6, however, higher performance is expected from the mathematical
simulation shown in the present work due to the activation of bridge-bonded adsorbed formate pathway. In this case, direct HCOOH pathway also could be activated.
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Fig. 1. The pH dependence of the peak current density ($j_p$) for HCOOH/HCOO$^-$ oxidation on a Pt electrode in phosphate buffered solutions (adopted from Ref. 35). Blue and red dotted traces represent the molar fractions of HCOOH and HCOO$^-$, respectively, in the bulk solution. Solid curves are only a guide to the eye. Inset shows $j_p$ examined in 0.1–1 M HClO$_4$. Owing to the weaker adsorption of ClO$_4^-$, $j_p$ is larger than in phosphate solutions.
Fig. 2. (a) SEIRA spectra of the surface of a Pt thin-film electrode collected during a potential sweep from 0 to 1.2 and back to 0 V at 20 mV s\(^{-1}\) in 0.2 M H\(_3\)PO\(_4\) (pH 1.5) containing 20 mM HCOONa. (b) CV recorded simultaneously with the SEIRA spectra (top) and potential dependence of the integrated intensities of the CO\(_L\), CO\(_B\), and bridge-bonded adsorbed formate bands (bottom) obtained from the spectra in (a). The dashed trace is the CV of the electrode in the same electrolyte solution without HCOONa.
Fig. 3. (a) SEIRA spectra of the surface of a Pt thin-film electrode collected during a potential sweep from $-0.3$ to $0.9$ and back to $-0.3$ V at $20$ mV $s^{-1}$ in $0.2$ M phosphate buffer solution of pH 6.8 containing $20$ mM HCOONa. (b) CV recorded simultaneously with the SEIRA spectra (top panel) and integrated intensities of the CO\textsubscript{L} and CO\textsubscript{B} bands in (a) plotted as a function of applied potential (bottom). The dashed trace in the upper panel is the CV in the same electrolyte without HCOONa.
Fig. 4. CV of a Pt thin-film electrode in 0.2 M phosphate buffer solution with pH 11.7 containing 20 mM HCOONa (top panel) at 20 mV s\(^{-1}\) (upper panel) and potential dependence of the integrated intensities of the CO\(_L\) and CO\(_B\) bands taken from SEIRA spectra collected simultaneously with the CV (bottom panel). The dashed trace in the upper panel is the CV in the same electrolyte solution without HCOONa.
Fig. 5. Pourbaix diagram of the HCOOH/HCOO$^-$ oxidation on Pt. Black symbols: peak potential of the anodic peak, $E_p$, taken from Ref. 35; blue symbols: peak potentials of the anodic peaks or shoulders in the negative-going scan; red symbols: onset potential of CO$_{ads}$ formation in the negative-going sweep; green symbols: onset potential of the adsorption of phosphate anions in the positive-going scan. See further details in the text.
Fig. 6. Schematic explanations (a) for the negative shift and the decrease of the HCOO\(^-\) oxidation peak associated with an increase of pH from pH\(_1\) to pH\(_2\), and (b) for the pH dependence of the peak current. \(k_{\text{ox}}\) is the rate constant and \(\theta_{\text{OX}}\) represents the coverage of Pt oxide or adsorbed OH. See further details in the text.
Fig. 7. (a) Simulated pH dependence of the steady-state voltammogram for HCOOH/HCOO$^-$ oxidation. The parameters used were $pK_a=3.75$, $[\text{HCOOH}/\text{HCOO}^-]=0.1$ M, $\alpha = 0.5$, $k_1 = 8 \times 10^{-8}$ s$^{-1}$, $k_3 = 10^{-10}$ mol$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$, $k_5 = 10^{-14}$ mol$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$, $k_4 = 5 \times 10^{-16}$ s$^{-1}$, $K_2 = 1$ mol$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$, $E_1 = 0$ V, $E_2 = 0.5$ V, $E_3 = 0.3$ V, and $E_4 = 0$ V. (b) Simulated pH dependence of peak currents via the direct HCOO$^-$ pathway ($j_{p,HCOO^-}$, red trace) and via the bridge-bonded formate pathway ($j_{p,HCOOH}$, blue trace), and of the peak potential $E_p$ (black solid trace). The dotted straight line is the pH dependence of $E_p$ when bridge-bonded adsorbed formate is absent from the electrode surface. (c) Potential and pH dependence of the coverage of bridge-bonded formate (colored contour plot) and adsorbed OH (light blue contour plot). The dashed pink line is the pH dependence of $E_p$ (same as that in (b)).

Fig. 8. Simulated pH dependence of peak currents in the presence (red curve) and absence (blue curve) bridge-bonded adsorbed formate. The parameters used were the same as in Fig. 7, but the coverage of bridge-bonded adsorbed formate, $\theta_{b-f}$, was set zero in the latter.
Graphical abstract