Complementary action of chemical and electrical synapses to perception

F. S. Borges¹, E. L. Lameu¹, A. M. Batista¹,², K. C. Iarosz³, M. S. Baptista³, R. L. Viana⁴

¹ Pós-Graduação em Ciências, Universidade Estadual de Ponta Grossa, 84030-900, Ponta Grossa, Paraná, Brasil.
² Departamento de Matemática e Estatística, Universidade Estadual de Ponta Grossa, 84030-900, Ponta Grossa, PR, Brazil.
³ Institute for Complex Systems and Mathematical Biology, University of Aberdeen, AB24 3UE, Aberdeen, UK, EU
⁴ Departamento de Física, Universidade Federal do Paraná, 81531-990, Curitiba, PR, Brazil

Abstract
We study the dynamic range of a cellular automaton model for a neuronal network with electrical and chemical synapses. The neural network is separated into two layers, where one layer corresponds to inhibitory, and the other corresponds to excitatory neurons. We randomly distribute electrical synapses in the network, in order to analyse the effects on the dynamic range. We verify that electrical synapses have a complementary effect on the enhancement of the dynamic range. The enhancement depends on the proportion of electrical synapses as compared to the chemical ones, and also on the layer that they appear.
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1. Introduction
The cerebral cortex contains neurons and their fibres [1]. These neurons are grouped together into functional or morphological units, called cortical
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areas [2], each of them playing a well-defined role in the processing of information in the brain [3]. Hence the theoretical understanding of the principles of organisation and functioning of the cerebral cortex can shed light on the knowledge of many distinct and important subjects in neuroscience [4]. One relevant subject is psychophysics, that analyses the perceptions due to external stimuli [5].

Studies about the relation between sensation and stimulus by measuring the quantity of both factors were realised by Weber and Fechner [6]. They proposed that the relation was logarithmic [7]. However, Stevens proposed a theory based on a power-law relation between stimulus and response, where the exponent depends on the type of stimulation [8].

The capacity of a biological system to discriminate the intensity of an external stimulus is characterized by the dynamic range (DR) [9]. DR is a range of intensities for which receptors can encode stimuli [8, 10, 11]. It is the logarithm of the difference between the smallest and the largest stimulus value for which the responses are not too weak to be distinguished or too close to saturation, respectively. The lower and upper bounds are arbitrarily chosen due to the fact that the scaling region is well fit by a power law. In other words, small changes do not affect our results. The visual and the auditory perception have high dynamic range. The human sense of sight can perceive changes in about ten decades of luminosity, and the hearing covers twelve decades in a range of intensities of sound pressures [7]. The DR of the human visual is important in the design of high dynamic range display devices [12]. Whereas the DR of the hearing is used for cochlear implants [13].

In this work we study the dynamic range of a cellular automaton modeling a neural network whose neurons are connected with electrical and chemical synapses [14]. We consider that the chemical synapses can be excitatory or inhibitory, and a layered model [15], where one layer consists of excitatory neurons, and the other layer consists of inhibitory neurons. Network consisting of excitatory and inhibitory neurons was considered to describe the primary visual cortex [16]. Pei and collaborators [17] investigated the behaviour of excitatory-inhibitory excitable networks with an external stimuli. They suggested that the dynamic range may be enhanced if high inhibitory factors are cut out from the inhibitory layer. In our work, we consider a neural network in which neurons interact by chemical and electrical synapses in a excitatory-inhibitory layered model. Our main results are: the derivation of an equation for the dynamic range for a random neural network with chemi-
cal and electrical synapses, and to show a result that allow us to demonstrate
that the electrical synapses in the excitatory layer have an influence on the
dynamic range more significative than in the inhibitory layer, due to the fact
that the electrical synapses in the excitatory layer are responsible for the
complementary effect of dynamic range enhancement.

This paper is organised as follows: in Section 2 we introduce the cellular
automaton rule, and the random network. Section 3 shows our analytical and
numerical results obtained for the dynamic range. The last section presents
the conclusions.

2. Neuronal network model of spiking neurons

We consider a cellular automaton model in that a node can spike, \( x_i = 1 \),
when stimulated in its resting state, \( x_i = 0 \) (\( i = 1, ..., N \)). When a spike
occurs there is a refractory period until the node returns to its resting state,
\( x_i = 2, ..., \mu - 1 \). During the refractory period no spikes occur. There are
excitatory and inhibitory connections linking nodes unidirectionally. The
pre-synaptic node whose out chemical synapses is excitatory (inhibitory) is
called an excitatory (inhibitory) node. Excitatory nodes increase the proba-
bility of excitation of their connected nodes, while inhibitory nodes decrease
this probability. The network presents also electrical connections, that are
bidirectional links.

The dynamics of the cellular automaton is given by:

1. if \( x_i(t) = 0 \), then
   - a node can be inhibited by an excited inhibitory node \( j \) (\( x_j(t) = 1 \))
     with probability \( B_{ij} \), remaining equal to zero in the next time step;
   - a node can be excited by an excited excitatory node \( j' \) (\( x_{j'}(t) = 1 \))
     with probability \( B_{ij'} \);
   - a node with electrical connection can be excited by an excited
     node \( j'' \) with probability \( A_{ij''} \).
   - a node can be excited by an external stimulus with probability \( r \).

2. if \( x_i \neq 0 \), then \( x_i(t+1) = x_i(t)+1 \) (mod \( \mu \)), where \( x_i(t) \in \{0, 1, ..., \mu - 1\} \)
is the state of the \( i \)th node at time \( t \). In other words, the node spikes
(\( x_i = 1 \)) and after that remains insensitive during \( \mu - 2 \) time steps.
The weighted adjacency matrices $A_{ij}$ and $B_{ij}$ describe the strength of interactions between the nodes. The matrix $A_{ij}$ contains information about the electrical connections, and the matrix $B_{ij}$ about the excitatory and inhibitory connections. The connection architecture is described by a random graph, in that the connections are randomly chosen [18]. We separate the neurons by layers. Figure 1 shows the scheme of the E-I layered network, where the E layer contains $N_e$ excitatory nodes, and the I layer contains $N_i$ inhibitory nodes. Then, the layered network has a total of $N_e + N_i = N$ nodes. The excitatory connections (blue lines) go from excitatory nodes (blue circles) to other nodes, the inhibitory connections (red lines) go from inhibitory nodes (red circles) to other nodes, and the electrical connections are bidirectional (black sawed lines). Each layer can have nodes interacting by both excitatory and inhibitory connections.

The neuron responses are obtained through the density of spiking neuron

$$p(t) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \delta(x_i(t), 1),$$

(1)

where $\delta(a,b)$ is the Kronecker delta. With the density we calculate the
average firing rate
\[ F = \bar{p}(t) = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^{T} p(t), \]  
(2)

\( T \) is the time window chosen for the average.

The update rules for neurons in E and I layer are the same. In order to estimate analytically the average firing rate, we calculate the mean-field map for \( p(t) \) at a long time
\[ p(t + 1) = \left[ 1 - (\mu - 1)p(t) \right] \left( 1 - S_{ch}p(t) \right)^{f_i K_{ch}} \times \left\{ r + (1 - r) \left[ 1 - (1 - S_{ch}p(t))^{f_e K_{ch}} (1 - S_{el}p(t))^{K_{el}} \right] \right\}, \]  
(3)
in which, according to mean-field approximation, we have \( S_{ch} = B_{ij} \) is the strength of excitatory and inhibitory interactions, \( S_{el} = A_{ij} \) is the strength of electrical interactions, \( f_e = N_e / N \) and \( f_i = N_i / N \) are the fraction of excitatory and inhibitory nodes, respectively. The average degree of chemical connections is denoted by \( K_{ch} \), and \( K_{el} \) denotes the average degree of electrical connections. The average degree is calculated by assuming randomly chosen pairs of nodes. The term \( [1 - (\mu - 1)p(t)] \) is the approximate probability of finding a neuron in the resting state, the term \( (1 - S_{ch}p(t))^{f_e K_{ch}} \) is related with the inhibitory interactions, and the term \( (1 - S_{el}p(t))^{K_{el}} \) is related with excitatory and electrical connections.

In the stationary state we can write \( p^* = p(t + 1) = p(t) \), and as a result for large time we have \( F \approx p^* \). To obtain an approximate analytical value for the firing rate \( (F) \) for the case of small density of spiking neuron \( (p(t)) \), and without an external perturbation \( (r = 0) \), we linearise Eq. (3) around \( p(t) = 0 \), and find
\[ p^* \approx [1 - (\mu - 1)p^*] (1 - f_i \sigma p^*) \left[ 1 - (1 - f_e \sigma p^*) (1 - \varepsilon p^*) \right], \]  
(4)
where in a mean-field approximation \( \sigma = K_{ch} S_{ch} \) is the average chemical branching ratio of nodes in the E-layer, and \( \varepsilon = K_{el} S_{el} \) is the average electrical branching ratio of nodes, representing the overall strength of chemical and electrical interaction in the network. Then, we have nonzero solution
\[ p^* \approx \frac{\varepsilon + \sigma f_e - 1}{(\mu - 1)(\varepsilon + \sigma f_e) + \sigma (\varepsilon + \sigma f_e f_i)}, \]  
(5)

Figure 2 exhibits the firing rate varying \( \sigma \) for different values of \( \varepsilon \). The symbols correspond to simulation according to cellular automaton rules, and the lines correspond to the theoretical values from Eq. (5).
There is a critical value of the average branching ratio $\sigma_c$ in that the firing rate increases from zero. In other words, $\lim_{r \to 0} F = 0$ if $\sigma < \sigma_c$, and $\lim_{r \to 0} F > 0$ if $\sigma > \sigma_c$. We can see through Figures 2(a) and (b) that $\sigma_c$ depends on $f_e$ and $\varepsilon$. Equation (5) allows us to obtain the dependence, given by $\sigma_c = (1 - \varepsilon) / f_e$, by assuming that $p$ is null. In Figure 3 we compare the simulation result (symbols) with the equation for $\sigma_c$ (lines). It is possible to see a good agreement. This shows that chemical and electrical connections complement themselves for obtaining the critical point $\sigma_c$. The larger (smaller) the electrical branching rate in the network, the smaller (larger) the chemical branching rate must be.

3. Dynamic Range

The ratio between the largest and smallest possible values of a changeable quantity is called dynamic range. The standard definition of the dynamic range is [19]

$$\Delta = 10 \log_{10} \frac{r_{\text{high}}}{r_{\text{low}}},$$

(6)
where $r_{\text{high}}$ and $r_{\text{low}}$ are the average input rates for $F_{\text{high}}$ and $F_{\text{low}}$, respectively (Fig. 4). The high firing rate is obtained from $F_{\text{high}} = F_0 + 0.95(F_{\text{max}} - F_0)$, and the low firing rate is from $F_{\text{low}} = F_0 + 0.05(F_{\text{max}} - F_0)$, where $F_0$ is the value for the minimum saturation, and $F_{\text{max}}$ is the value for the maximum saturation. If the system has a refractory time $\mu - 2$, the maximum firing rate $F_{\text{max}}$ is equal to $1/\mu$.

Taking the limit of Eq. (3) as $p$ approaches zero

$$p \approx [1 - (\mu - 1)p]e^{-f_c \sigma_p[r + (1 - r)](1 - e^{-p(f_c+\varepsilon)})},$$

which allow us to obtain $r_{\text{low}}$ by doing $r = r_{\text{low}}$. Then, the dynamic range is given by

$$\Delta = -10 \log_{10} \left[ \frac{1 - e^{F_{\text{low}}(f_c\sigma+\varepsilon)}}{r_{\text{high}}} + \frac{F_{\text{low}}e^{F_{\text{low}}(\sigma+\varepsilon)}}{r_{\text{high}} - (\mu - 1)F_{\text{low}}r_{\text{high}}} \right].$$

We have verified that $r_{\text{high}}$ is approximately equal to 0.75 for our simulation considering $N = 10^5$, and $\mu = 5$. By means of $F_0$ (Eq. 5) the firing rate $F_{\text{low}}$ can be calculated through relation $F_{\text{low}} = F_0 + 0.05(F_{\text{max}} - F_0)$.

In Figure 5 we compare the dynamic range calculated using simulation (a) and from Eq. (8) in (b). We can see that the dynamic range is maximum
for $\sigma_e$, which is obtained by assuming that $r = 0$. In the subcritical region for $\sigma f_e + \varepsilon < 1$ it is possible to observe that weak stimuli are amplified and the sensitivity is enlarged, as a result of the activity propagation among neighbours. Therefore, the dynamic range increases with $\sigma$ and $\varepsilon$. In the supercritical region for $\sigma f_e + \varepsilon > 1$ the dynamic range decreases due to the fact that the average firing rate is positive, and masks the effect of weak stimuli. The theoretical result shows a good agreement with the simulation, except for the supercritical region. This occurs due to the fact that the values of $p(t)$ are not around zero in the supercritical region, and we have considered $p(t)$ around zero to obtain analytical results.

3.1. Influence of electrical synapses

Pei and collaborators [17] investigated a excitatory-inhibitory excitable cellular automaton considering undirected random links. They verified that the dynamic range can be enhanced if the nodes with high inhibitory factors in the inhibitory layer are cut out. In this work, we are considering not only chemical synapses (directed links), but also electrical synapses (undirected links). Our interest is to understand the role of the electrical synapse in the dynamic range. For that goal Figure 5 shows the dynamic range for a network that has electrical synapses randomly distributed in all the network. We make further analysis considering the effect of electrical synapses on the
dynamic range in two cases: (i) randomly distributed in the excitatory layer, and (ii) randomly distributed in the inhibitory layer.

Figure 6(a) shows the dynamic range for a network where electrical synapses are distributed in the excitatory layer. We can see that the behaviour of the dynamic range is similar to the one reported in Figure 5. The maximum dynamic range follows the equation for $\sigma_c$, namely the dynamic range can be enhanced increasing the amount of electrical synapses with the decrease of chemical synapses in the excitatory layer. However, when the electrical synapses are randomly distributed in the inhibitory layer, we do not observe a similar behaviour for the maximum dynamic range. In this case, the electric connections contribute little for the dynamic range, but it is clearly dependent on the chemical synapses.

4. Conclusions

We have modelled a neuronal network using cellular automaton which models the behaviour of a neural network where neurons interact by electrical and chemical synapses. The chemical synapses are separated into two layers, where one layer corresponds to excitatory, and the other corresponds
Figure 6: (Colour online) Dynamic range as a function of $\sigma$ and $\varepsilon$ for $N = 10^5$, $K_{ch} = 10$, $S_{el} = 1$, $f_c = 0.8$, and $\mu = 5$. We consider randomly distributed electrical synapses in (a) excitatory layer, and (b) inhibitory layer.

to inhibitory.

Our aim has been to determine the dynamic range as a function of the types of synapses. Reference [17] shows theoretical analysis and simulations considering undirected connections. In this work, we have considered undirected electrical and directed chemical connections. Electrical and chemical synapses are relevant in cells found in the retina [20, 21], and cells in olfactory bulb [22].

We have obtained theoretical results for the average firing rate, and for the critical value of the average branching ratio that exits the network, allowing it to fire and consequently allowing information to be transmitted. From the equation of the average firing rate, we obtained an equation for the dynamic range. This equation shows that the dynamic range is maximum for the critical average branching ratio. The equation presents a remarkable agreement with our simulations, mainly around the critical average branching rate. We verified an increase of the dynamic range in the subcritical region, and a decrease in the supercritical region. As a result, we verified that the enhancement of the dynamic range depends on the parameters $\varepsilon$ and $\sigma$ that are associated with the intensities of the electrical and chemical synapses, respectively. Moreover, our results show that electrical synapses in the excitatory
layer have an influence on the dynamic range more significative than when electrical synapses are placed in the inhibitory layer. The complementary effect occurs due to electrical synapses in the excitatory layer.
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