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Abstract

The ability to distinguish the features of a chemical sedimentary rock that can only be attributed to biology is a challenge relevant to both geobiology and astrobiology. This study aimed to test criteria for recognizing petrographically the biogenicity of microbially influenced fabrics and fossil microbes in complex Quaternary stalactitic carbonate rocks from Caerwys, UK. We found that the presence of carbonaceous microfossils, fabrics produced by the calcification of microbial filaments, and the asymmetrical development of tufa fabrics due to the more rapid growth of microbially influenced laminations could be recognized as biogenic features. Petrographic evidence also indicated that the development of ”speleothem-like” laminae was related to episodes of growth interrupted by intervals of non-deposition and erosion. The lack of any biogenic characteristics in these laminae is consistent with their development as a result of variation in the physico-chemical parameters that drive calcite precipitation from meteoric waters in such environmental settings.
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Introduction

The ability to distinguish those features of a chemical sedimentary rock that can only result from biology has proven to be a challenge for carbonate deposits (e.g., Wright and Barnett, 2015). As such an aim is essential for astrobiology and geobiology research (e.g., Cady and Noffke, 2009), we tested the ability to recognize signs of life and identify fossilized microbiota in Quaternary tufas and speleothem materials with the use of biogenicity criteria developed to recognize ancient microfossils (Sugitani et al., 2007; Wacey, 2009) and stromatolites (Buick et al., 1981; Hofmann, 2000). Of particular relevance to life detection in carbonates is the issue of a boundary that has been somewhat arbitrarily drawn between “speleothem” terrestrial carbonate rocks that form in dark caves, typically consisting of coarse columnar crystals that grow at rates of up to around 100 microns per year and are assumed to be essentially abiotic (e.g., Fairchild et al., 2006; Frisia and Borsato, 2010), and spring and stream “tufa” carbonate rocks. The latter are very similar to speleothem carbonate rocks, but they form in spring, stream and lake settings where photosynthetic cyanobacteria, algae and plants abound (e.g., Andrews and Brasier, 2005; Brasier et al., 2010) and are most commonly comprised of small “micritic” calcite crystals. Unlike speleothems, tufas are increasingly presumed to be biotically influenced (e.g., Freytet and Verrecchia, 1998; Arp et al., 2001; Pedley, 2014). Tufas grow more rapidly than speleothems, at rates of several millimeters to centimeters per year. There is
increasing recognition that tufa and speleothem systems form part of a continuum that also includes hydrothermal “travertines” (Rogerson et al., 2014), which suggests that some aspects of speleothem growth are likely to be enhanced by microbial growth in some instances (Cacchio et al., 2004).

To test whether existing biogenicity criteria for microfossils and stromatolites could distinguish biotic from abiotic features in chemical sedimentary precipitates, we applied them to the potentially complex specimens that grow in the so-called “twilight zone” at the entrance to modern caves (see Jones, 2010), and in caverns of Quaternary tufa deposits. Carbonate rocks that formed in a twilight zone where there is a transition from tufa to speleothem carbonate rocks include the Holocene barrage tufa deposit located at Caerwys, north Wales, UK (Figs. 1 and 2; Pedley, 1987). This tufa deposit contains several meter-scale cavities or caverns that are locally decorated with speleothem-like stalactitic calcite. The cavities are a primary feature that formed behind “curtains” of petrified moss that draped over tufa dams known as “barrages” (Figs. 2 and 3). We examined whether characteristics of the fabrics of specimens that formed in these twilight zone conditions could be differentiated from those formed biotically under the influence of photosynthesisers (“tufa-like”), and those formed abiotically in the dark (“speleothem-like”). We also determined which
petrographic criteria developed for distinguishing biotic from abiotic precipitates were most successful when applied to these Holocene specimens, with potential implications for early Earth studies and astrobiology searches.

Abiotic vs biotic origins of tufas and speleothems

Calcite precipitation in streams where tufas form was, until recently, most commonly viewed as a purely physico-chemical process (e.g., Zhang et al., 2001) in which CO₂ outgasses from fluids causing them to become supersaturated with respect to calcite, as reflected in the net thermodynamic equilibrium equation:

\[
\text{Ca}^{2+} + 2\text{HCO}_3^- \leftrightarrow \text{CaCO}_3 + \text{CO}_2 + \text{H}_2\text{O}
\]

CO₂ gas enrichment in meteoric waters occurs where they filter through biogenic CO₂-rich soil zones. The partial pressure of CO₂ builds in these environments so modern soil zone pCO₂ commonly considerably exceeds that of atmospheric CO₂. Calcite precipitation (Eq. 1) is typically associated with outgassing of this gas-rich fluid at spring effluents and where such fluids flow over obstacles like boulders or tree roots (e.g., Zhang et al., 2001). Recently this model has been challenged by Hammer and co-authors (2010) who found that dissolved gas concentrations decrease very little by turbulence caused by flow over surface irregularities, which led them to conclude that the most important role of turbulence was to
increase precipitation rates by bringing solutes to and from the calcite surface during turbulent mixing in the water column. Though the formation of stalactite and stalagmite speleothems in caves has most commonly been attributed to abiotic calcite precipitation driven by such physico-chemical processes (e.g., Frisia and Borsato, 2010), field studies (Freytet and Verrecchia, 1998; Vazquez-Urbez et al., 2009; Glunk et al., 2011) and laboratory experiments with viable biofilms collected from modern stream settings (Rogerson et al., 2008; Pedley et al., 2009) have demonstrated that both abiotic and biotic processes influence calcite precipitation in streams.

Recent experiments and field studies have also demonstrated that tufa precipitation can be significantly enhanced, even driven, by microbial extracellular polymeric substances (EPS) (e.g., Rogerson et al., 2008; Glunk et al., 2011; Pedley et al., 2014). The EPS of non-viable organisms has also been implicated in tufa formation (Rogerson et al., 2008). Biofilms of some species of cyanobacteria are reportedly associated with their own specific microfabrics (Freytet and Verrecchia, 1998), and such petrographic evidence would support the hypothesis that some cyanobacteria exert direct control on tufa formation.

Diagenetic alteration following deposition adds further complexity to the ability to determine the biogenicity of precipitated carbonate rocks like tufas and
speleothems. In spring or stream carbonate deposits, primary biofabrics may be rapidly overprinted and recrystallized generating textures that appear abiotic (Jones and Peng, 2012). For example, diagenetic changes transform primary tufas from finely crystalline (micritic) to more coarsely crystalline (sparry) calcite, the fabric changing either by aggrading neomorphism (Love and Chafetz, 1988; Janssen et al., 1999) or simply continued growth of favored crystals (Brasier et al., 2011). Yet characterizing all coarse, sparry calcite fabrics as either alteration products or abiotic would be an over-simplification (Brasier et al., 2011) that could lead to many microbial fossils, both ancient and modern, being overlooked.

Materials

The Caerwys tufa

The tufa deposit studied here was found to the south of the village of Caerwys in north Wales, UK (Figs. 1 and 2). The waters that formed the tufa were sourced from a spring in the Carboniferous limestone (Pedley, 1987). Tufa precipitation at Caerwys has been constrained via radiocarbon techniques to the Holocene and pre-Holocene ‘Late Glacial’ intervals (Preece and Turner, 1990). Deposition has now ceased but a small analogous active site is found nearby at Ddol (Preece and Turner, 1990). The Caerwys tufa forms a veneer of Quaternary terrestrial
carbonate rock over the underlying geology. It grew in a steep stream that flowed
down the scarp-face of a Carboniferous Limestone outcrop, with a series of
cascading pools developing behind arcuate tufa dams or “barrages” that were
oriented transverse to flow (Fig. 2; Pedley, 1987). The Caerwys system would
have resembled the currently active tufa-depositing stream at Alport, Derbyshire,
UK (Fig. 3). In both cases (Caerwys and Alport) unconsolidated micrite muds are
found in the pools between the barrages. Some of these pools contain isolated
decimeter-scale thrombolitic heads constructed by calcifying cyanobacteria, green
algae, and invertebrates. The barrage dams themselves comprise indurated but
vuggy carbonate walls that include a similar assemblage of fossil organisms.
Though extensively quarried, the internal fabric of the barrage system is visible
(Fig. 2) and preserved as a site of special scientific interest (SSSI).

Lithostratigraphy and mollusc biostratigraphy of the quarry were described by
Preece (1978; 1982) and a facies model was compiled by Pedley (1987). Tufa
oxygen and carbon isotopes were detailed and their Quaternary climate
implications discussed by Garnett et al. (2006). The latter estimated summer
water temperatures were in the range of 13 to 16.5 °C on the basis of tufa and
gastropod δ¹⁸O values.
Specimens exhibiting the continuum of tufas and speleothems

Pedley (1990) described calcitic tubes of tufa formed by fringe cements that encrusted larger plants (macrophytes). The samples analyzed in this study fit that basic description and calcite encrustations of macrophytes are identified by their morphology. Internally they have a central longitudinal cavity that may remain open or may have been filled by clastic grains (commonly but not necessarily of tufa carbonate) or calcite cement. They are differentiated from hollow abiotic stalactites such as “soda straws” in that they contain preserved carbonaceous matter or recognizable impressions of organisms that become encrusted when mineralized. In swampy paludal marshes and pool margins, most plant stems grow upwards and, when encrusted by carbonate, become stalagmite-like deposits (Pedley, 1990). In barrage systems, however, many plants including bryophytes live on the overhangs of the barrage (dam) front, their stems and branches hanging downwards. This leads to pendant, stalactite-like calcite-encrusted structures (Fig. 2). Externally these calcitic encrustations appear indistinguishable from “speleothem” stalactites or stalagmites formed in caverns. Encrustations may be <10 mm to several tens of centimeters in width, and range from a few centimeters to meters in length. Such encrustations are common but under-reported for modern karst settings. The oldest known examples may be the pendant cavity-filling cements of the 2.75 Ga Fortescue Group, Australia, which were interpreted as biogenic by Rasmussen et al. (2009).
Methodology

Eleven different tubular specimens from Caerwys, each several centimeters in length, were collected. All were examined in hand specimen and using standard petrographic microscopy techniques. Specimens were injected with blue resin, and both longitudinal and transverse thin-sections were prepared and analyzed. All rocks were found to be minimally or non-luminescent when viewed under UV light. Cathodoluminescence (CL) microscopy revealed that none of the specimens luminesced. Thin-sections were examined and photographed with the use of polarized light microscopy. Carbonaceous filaments entombed in calcite were distinguished from dark micritic calcite by partially dissolving the specimen with acetic acid. Similar petrographic histories were elucidated from all eleven specimens, such that we could select two representative specimens, labelled S3.2 and Caerwys 1, for detailed study.

Results

The descriptions of hand-specimen scale observations are followed by microscopic observations for specimen S3.2, followed by the same for specimen Caerwys 1. The terms micrite (crystals <4µm diameter), microspar (4 to 10µm
diameter), and spar (>10µm diameter) are used to indicate crystal sizes and do not reflect the origin of the grains.

**Specimen S3.2: Hand-specimen scale observations**

Specimen S3.2 is a downward-tapering stalactitic rock (Fig. 4) that was collected as a float specimen that was found adjacent to the central barrage outcrop in the quarry (Fig. 2b and 2c). Internally there is strong asymmetry, and at least six separate components of the visible fabric of the sample, referred to here as Zones 1-6, were delineated (Fig 5).

Zone 1 is located in the central section of the porous tufa, which is permeated by c. 50 to 100 µm diameter hollow tubes of calcite that form shrub-like growths oriented downward at around 55 degrees from horizontal (Fig. 4b). From examination of longitudinal and transverse sections it was possible to discern that these growths formed via micritic calcite encrustation of a biological (likely cyanobacterial) substratum. The asymmetrical nature of the sample, when viewed in cross-section, is due to the more extensive outward growth of this primary depositional fabric in one direction away from the point of initiation. Denser-looking white patches of tufa, developed on one (likely the upstream) side of this sample, cover an area around 3 mm across and a centimeter in length.
Zone 2 (Fig. 5) consists of micrite intergrown with dark brown sparry calcite fans, with the latter being dominant. Each fan is around 1 to 3 mm across, and all fans grew toward the outward edge of the specimen. This zone forms a band around 1 cm thick on one side of the specimen (the side toward which Zone 1 micrite prograded), and is traceable continuously around the perimeter, though it narrows to <1 mm thick on the opposite side of the sample.

Zone 3 in hand-specimen consists of a 1 to 2 mm thick light brown band that envelops calcite of Zone 2, and is capped by a darker brown 1 mm thick band. Internally these bands contain several very fine sub-mm thick cream colored laminae. These bands are thicker where they developed below cm-scale overhangs.

Zone 4 is recognizable in hand-specimen only as a white band that separates brown calcite below it from very similar-looking brown calcite above, which is designated as Zone 5. Note than Zone 4 appears brown in thin-section (Fig. 5).

Zone 6, the outer casing of the specimen, consists of a layer of cream colored botryoidal calcite, around 2 mm thick on one side and 6 mm thick on the other. This outer zone seems to be divided into two bands by a very thin dark lamina.

*Specimen S3.2: Microscopy*
Two thin-sections of sample S3.2 were made from the cut specimen. Scans of these are shown in Figure 4, one from the transverse sawn section (S3.2A) and the other from the longitudinal sawn section (S3.2B). Petrographic analysis of these thin sections confirmed that Zone 1 comprises 6.5 to 26 µm (mostly c. 20 µm) diameter dominantly sub-vertically oriented biological filaments that were encrusted by 18 to 50 µm thick walls of calcite (Figs. 4, 5 and 6a). The carbonaceous filaments themselves have mostly been oxidized, leaving behind empty calcite tubes (Figs. 6a and 7a), though some tubes contain carbonaceous material that resembles hollow and partially decomposed cyanobacterial trichomes within a sheath (Figs. 7b and c). The central parts of each calcite tube that would have been in contact with the carbonaceous filament are comprised of an envelope of small microcrystalline crystals. The remaining outer part of each calcite tube wall is constructed of radiating c. 20 µm diameter spar crystals (Fig. 7a). Also in Zone 1 is a cluster of hollow calcite tubes that ranged from 250 to 500 µm across (Fig. 7d). These each have an inner zone of microspar (crystals c. 20 µm diameter) followed by two rings of larger sparry crystals, each c. 45 µm thick. Some of these tubes have sparry calcite fan growths on one side, which marks the start of Zone 2.
The first Zone 2 sparry calcite fans (Figs. 4, 5 and 6a) nucleated directly on the spar of Zone 1 encrusted tubes. These two spar types are, in some locations, in optical continuity, which indicates that Zone 1 spar acted as a template for precipitation and growth of Zone 2 spar. Some curved, dark micritic growth lines within the fans are traceable between fans (Fig. 6a). Fans interfered with each other where they touched during growth. Some fans terminated in smooth curved surfaces; others were flat-topped (e.g. Fig. 8); and several exhibited pointed euhedral crystal terminations. Growth of Zone 2 seemingly ceased for extended periods of time (perhaps months?) on at least three occasions. These cessations are marked by dark micritic layers from which new fans nucleated. The latter are indicated by their different crystallographic orientations from the underlying fans (Fig. 6a). A magnified view of one of the Zone 2 fans, shown in Fig. 8, reveals that the carbonaceous filaments interpreted as entombed cyanobacteria are dominantly but not exclusively oriented sub-parallel to the direction of crystal growth. They are c. 2 μm wide and 40 μm long, distinctly narrower than the carbonaceous filaments of Zone 1. These narrower filaments cross through some of the finer, μm scale crystal growth bands within the fans (Fig. 8). The μm scale growth bands were likely formed on approximately diurnal timescales (Andrews and Brasier, 2005). Pedley (1987) suggested spar-entombed carbonaceous filaments of the Caerwys quarry could be *Schizothrix* or *Phormidium* sp. cyanobacterial fossils on the basis of growth form and diameter.
The contact between Zones 2 and 3 of this specimen (Figs. 4, 5, 6 and 9) is sharp in places though more transitional in others due the optical continuity of subsequent crystal growth. At its base, Zone 3 comprises numerous (at least six) couplets of micrite and columnar sparite (Fig. 9b). A micrite band c. 5 µm thick forms the nucleation region for numerous crystals of spar (mostly c.100 µm diameter) in several locations in the specimen. Petrography revealed that several of the latter columnar spar crystals, commonly with length to width ratios >6, developed through competitive growth of crystals that nucleated in this band (e.g., Figs. 9c and 9d). Other columnar crystals stem from lower horizons that include Zone 2 spar crystals (e.g., Fig. 9a). Inclusions in the columnar crystals appear as bands oriented perpendicular to the direction of growth and parallel to (and commonly in close proximity to) the bands of micrite (e.g., Fig. 9c and 9d). The inclusions were identified primarily within the calcite crystals rather than between them.

Most intriguing near the top of Zone 3 (Figs. 4, 5 and 10) is a patch a few mm across that includes a series of circular to oval pores that range from 100 to 240 µm in diameter (Fig. 10). The latter are most easily interpreted as transverse cross-sections of hollow tubes. One tube oriented longitudinally in the thin-section extends for at least 2.2 mm. At higher magnification it was determined
that the walls of these tubes are thinly lined with dark micrite, surrounded by
cylinders comprised of spar crystals 100 to 400 µm in diameter (Fig. 10c and
10d). The latter are differently aligned from the columnar calcite host, radiating
outward from the tube center. The crystals aligned parallel to the direction of
growth of the columnar spar are elongated upward, forming flame-like growth
shapes. These crystals evidently grew contemporaneously and in competition with
the surrounding columnar spar.

Zone 4 was recognized petrographically by the re-appearance of micrite on one
side of the specimen (Fig 5b). This zone is laterally traceable into spar via two c.
5 µm thick sub-parallel micritic bands that mark its top and bottom. The micrite
comprises a porous network of peloids that includes hollow tubes of c. 130 µm
diameter.

Zone 5 spar crystals terminations range from pointed to flat or broken and are
delineated by inclusions (see Fig 5 and Fig. 11). In places they are draped in
continuous bands of dark, dust-like micrite that infilled the depressions and
smoothed out the topography (Fig. 11a). Most spar crystals terminate at these
micrite layers, though this was not always the case (Fig. 11b). For example, in one
place a fragment of micrite 340 µm across adhered to the specimen surface (Fig.
11) and sits flat on a micritic layer. Spar crystals nucleated on this detrital micrite
inclusion, though they were later out-competed by other columnar crystals.
Further, the protrusion formed by this blob of micrite clearly affected development of the overlying layers (Fig. 11). The tops of Zone 5 columnar crystals formed the substrate for Zone 6 crystal growth. Zone 6 crystals (Fig. 5 and Fig. 12) are distinctly different from those of Zone 5 and appear as networks of needle-like laths. The latter are arranged along the faces of a crystal lattice (Figs. 12a and 12b). These laths are sub-crystals (crystallites *sensu* Kendall and Broughton, 1978) that range individually in size up to c. 1 mm long and 50 µm wide and that link to form larger millimeter-to-centimeter scale composite crystals (Frisia and Borsato, 2010). These composite crystals are sometimes in optical continuity with the Zone 5 columnar calcite on which they grew (Fig. 12).

*Specimen Caerwys 1: Hand-specimen scale observations*

Caerwys 1 is an 8 cm long specimen that grew in a stalactitic fashion. It was collected from a cavern within *in situ* barrage deposits located in the center of the quarry. This specimen was collected from directly adjacent to the much larger stalactitic rock shown in Fig. 2c. In longitudinal section it was possible to recognize the sample has a highly porous center surrounded by several c. 0.5 to 1 mm thick layers that alternate with much thinner (c. 100 µm thick) lighter colored
layers (Fig. 13). At least three layers were traced in the specimen, though in
places the layers appear to be merged or truncated.

Specimen Caerwys 1: Microscopy

A thin-section of Caerwys 1 was stained with Alizarin Red S and Potassium
Ferricyanide. The pink color confirmed its non-ferroan calcite composition. The
central cavity (Fig. 13) of this specimen is highly porous, evidenced by the blue
resin (Fig. 14), and divided into several empty pockets by walls of sparry calcite.
One empty pocket is lined with 20 µm diameter microspar crystals (Fig. 14a) that
are partially intergrown and form a porous network. On both sides of this initial
cavity fill are crystal fans of sparry calcite, with crystal lengths of 80 to 150 µm.
Adjacent to the sparry calcite fans at the top of the specimen is a second empty
pocket, 3 mm across, that was progressively filled by 300 µm diameter sparry
calcite fans (Fig. 14b). These grew inwards from all sides toward the cavity
center. Dark-colored inclusions within some of these fans are oriented along
crystallite boundaries (Fig. 14c and 14d). The origin of these filamentous
microfossil-like inclusions is discussed further below. The bulk of the specimen is
comprised of layers of columnar sparry calcite that grew primarily outward as
fans (Fig. 14e-g). Each crystal is c. 500 µm long and 50 to 100 µm wide. These
sparry layers correspond to the thick, lighter colored layers observed in hand
specimen that are separated by laminae of micrite c. 130 µm thick (Fig. 13 and Fig. 14f). There is evidence that columnar sparry calcite fans were partially dissolved prior to or during deposition of the micrite layers (Fig. 14e), as some of the micrite layers are a little thicker (c. 230 µm) and more porous, particularly one layer close to the outside of the specimen (Fig. 14g).

**Discussion**

The criteria of Sugitani et al. (2007) and Wacey (2009) developed to establish the biogenicity of potential microbial fossils were applied to evaluate the biogenicity of the fossil-like objects in the two samples studied. For these specimens, their Quaternary age and sedimentary origins are not in doubt. These rocks have never been buried to any significant depth, so the characteristics of the precipitates and the fabrics were developed in the original sedimentary depositional environment.

**Biotic vs abiotic growth of Specimen S3.2**

A complex growth history of Specimen S3.2 was unravelled on the basis of the petrographic analysis, with different growth zones exhibiting different degrees of biotic influence. The oldest part of the specimen (in Zone 1; Figs. 5a and b) comprises dense, white colored calcitic tufa that contains clusters of hollow
carbonaceous tubes of fossilized cyanobacterial trichomes preserved inside sheaths. Zone 1 was formed by calcification of filamentous cyanobacterial shrubs that coated an overhanging leaf or twig. This photosynthesizing biofilm formed a substrate for subsequent growth that was necessarily focused away from the tufa wall toward the direction of light. Such biologically enhanced growth resulted in the asymmetrical, elongated form of the specimen. A network of 250 to 500 µm diameter hollow calcite tubes was formed by chironomid insect larvae, perhaps in the late Spring season as waters warmed up (Janssen et al., 1999; Brasier et al., 2011). Filamentous microbes coated these tubes, separated by at least one and possibly two or three pauses of unknown duration (perhaps weeks or months, likely at most a few years). Zones 1 and 2 are at least part contemporaneous, with Zone 2 sparry calcite shrubs containing thinner entombed carbonaceous filaments dominantly forming on the side of the specimen that received less light. Their presence on the more illuminated side of the specimen toward the end of Zone 1 deposition, including in small crevices, is consistent with the onset of shaded, lower light intensity conditions that supported the growth of microbial species associated with spar formation.

The Zone 1 microfossils must have been syngenetic with the calcite deposition, as the calcite initially developed as tubes nucleated on the filaments (Figs. 4, 5, 6a
and 7). It is possible that the Zone 2 microfossils (Fig. 8) represent endoliths that bored into the tufa post-deposition, as they are oriented parallel to crystal growth planes and cut across the micron-scale growth lines within the sparry calcite crystal fans, features previously used as indicative of an endolithic habit (Knoll et al., 2013). However the filaments in the Caerwys samples protrude across laminae that likely formed on diurnal timescales, well within the lifetime of entombed individual microorganisms. Further, endolithic organisms would also be expected to target earlier formed tufa micrite as well as the crystal fans. The occurrence of the Zone 2 filaments close to the center of the specimen and not in the outer parts makes it unlikely that the cyanobacterial filaments preserved in Zone 2 were endoliths. More likely, and as Pedley (1987) inferred, the filaments were fossilized by syn-depositional calcite entombment.

Evidence for microbial influence on the growth of Zones 1 and 2, consistent with the microfossil biogenicity criteria of Wacey (2009), includes:

1) Two different populations of carbonaceous filaments, each community associated with its own characteristic carbonate rock microfacies and not randomly distributed.

2) Entombed clusters of hollow brown-colored carbonaceous filaments that are syngeneric with the carbonate rock. These microfossils include
sheathed trichomes and are comparable in size and morphology with extant cyanobacteria.

3) Calcitic molds of colonies of microbial filaments preserved in the carbonate rock, with calcification specifically on and around the filaments, consistent with microbial influence on crystal nucleation.

Zone 3 (Fig. 9) lacks the microfossils of Zones 1 and 2 (Figs. 6, 7 and 8). The thicker layering on the undersides of overhangs (Fig. 4b, 5b) is consistent with a dominantly abiotic growth process. However, as in Zone 1, the 100 to 240 µm diameter tubes (Fig. 10) are best interpreted as chironomid larval tubes (e.g., Brasier et al., 2011). Chironomid larvae are detrital feeders, which implies that Zone 3 formed in detritus-rich flowing stream water. Tubes at the top of Zone 3 could be directly associated with the micrite of Zone 4. This inference is based on the micritic peloids that could be of fecal origin, and additional tubes of likely chironomid origin that occur in the Zone 4 micrite. This suggests that Zone 3 spar and Zone 4 micrite are partly contemporaneous, and indeed Zone 4 micrite merges laterally into sparry calcite.

Petrographic evidence that Zone 5 columnar spar is primary and not the result of recrystallization includes the aggradational fabrics that surround the micrite inclusion (Fig. 11). That the micrite inclusion sits flat on a thin micritic layer (Fig. 11a) is consistent with the latter representing a phase (perhaps a dry summer)
during which little calcite crystal growth occurred. New columnar crystals nucleated on top of the micrite (Fig. 11b), presumably during a wetter phase. The resulting topography caused deflection of the subsequent growth laminae. Ultimately the spar that nucleated on top of the micrite inclusion was out competed by the surrounding larger columnar crystals (Fig. 11b). Columnar spar of Zone 5 likely formed within or in very close proximity to the active tufa-depositing stream. These conditions could have been found behind the downstream facing accretionary surface of an actively accumulating tufa barrage. No obvious indicators of a biogenic cause for calcite deposition were identified in Zone 5. This does not necessarily imply that nucleation of Zone 5 spar was wholly abiotic: extracellular polymeric substances produced by microbes were very likely present in the stream water, though there was no direct evidence that they contributed to the formation of Zone 5 spar.

Similar to the crystals of the Zone 5 spar, the crystals of Zone 6 also lacked carbonaceous microfossils (Fig. 12). The fabric of Zone 6 was reminiscent of the open dendritic speleothem texture described by Frisia and Borsato (2010). Such textures are known to form at cave entrances, in places subject to kinetic processes such as prolonged degassing phenomena. Such a scenario is consistent with Zone 6 developing as a late-stage cave speleothem-like meteoric growth within the cavern. Unlike the within-stream conditions that supported the growth
of Zones 1-5, Zone 6 developed when the tufa stream system itself had become inactive.

In short, petrographic observations revealed that Zones 1 and 2 formed in the presence of microbes that included cyanobacteria; Zone 3 calcite growth was dominantly abiotic except for calcite tubes most likely constructed by chironomid larvae; Zone 4 is attributed to chironomid larvae that consumed microbes; there are no obvious preserved indicators of biological activity or control on calcite precipitation in Zone 5 and Zone 6, and the latter formed in different environmental circumstances compared to those that supported the growth of Zones 1 – 5. Abundant petrographic evidence for syn-depositional growth of columnar calcite spar is found in places with entombed cyanobacterial filaments (Zone 2), where crystal growth continued behind the aggrading outer surface layer (Fig. 6); where there is evidence of micrite bands capping spar layers, and competitive crystal growth of spar crystals (Fig. 9); where insect larval tubes are found in sparry calcite layers (Fig. 10); and where detrital micrite stuck to the specimen surface and interfered with growth of overlying spar crystals (Fig. 11). There is no evidence for recrystallization that would have required dissolution. Despite the presence of cyanobacteria in Zones 1 and 2, the most clearly laminated (i.e. “stromatolitic”) zones are 3 and 5 (Figs. 4 and 5). The latter are the most cave speleothem-like and arguably abiotic sections. This lamination is discussed further below.
Biotic vs abiotic character of Specimen Caerwys 1

Specimen Caerwys 1 is similar to specimen S3.2 in that it was nucleated on a downward hanging biological substrate, overgrown by successive layers of sparry calcite that extend to the outside of the sample. Caerwys 1 is a thinner specimen than S3.2. This may be partly due to absence of prograding cyanobacterial filaments in the core of Caerwys 1. Rather, the initial biological substrate seems to have been a stem or branch of a larger plant. The predominantly sparry calcite walls of Caerwys 1 are similar to Zone 2 of S3.2 (compare Figs 6b and 8 with Fig. 14c-f). Clusters of potential microfossil filaments in Zone 2 of specimen S3.2 (Fig. 8) and in the sparry calcite fans of Caerwys 1 (Fig. 14c) also share similar properties of size, coloring and orientation parallel to the direction of crystal growth. However, the orientation of the dark-colored Caerwys 1 filaments was directly related to the orientation of the crystal structure. A comparison of the observations against the biogenicity criteria of Sugitani et al. (2007) and Wacey (2009) indicate that the Caerwys 1 filaments are much less convincing as microfossil candidates than those found in the S3.2 sample.

Biogenicity of the lamination?
The specimens described here are layered carbonate rocks that by some definitions could be identified as “tufa stromatolites” (Riding, 1991) or simply stromatolites (e.g., Semikhatov et al., 1979). Therefore, to evaluate whether the Caerwys tufa layering would be identified as biogenic when commonly used stromatolite biogenicity criteria are applied, we used the criteria of Buick et al. (1981) and Hofmann (2000) as critiqued in McLoughlin et al. (2013).

The first group of biogenicity criteria pertain to the context of the lamination and include, for example, requirements that the structures be found in sedimentary or metasedimentary rocks and be syn-sedimentary with the deposit in which they are found. This is undoubtedly the case for these Quaternary tufas. Similarly, there is a criterion that brecciated mat chips should be found accumulated in depressions between convexly laminated mounds. Eroded chips of layered carbonate are found within the pool facies at Caerwys (Pedley, 1987). Such findings establish that the layered carbonate rocks are a primary sedimentary feature, but these contextual criteria do not differentiate biogenic from abiotic stromatolites.

The second group of biogenicity criteria pertain to the morphology of the lamination: a biogenic stromatolite should exhibit a preponderance of convex-upward structures; contain laminae that thicken over the crests of flexures; consist of laminae that are wavy, crinkled or have several orders of curvature; and...
may be associated with thin, rolled-up fragments with coherent flexible laminae that can reasonably be interpreted as microbial mats. However, none of these criteria is itself diagnostic of a biogenic rock. Here we highlight, for example, that several tufa stromatolites of cyanobacterial origin exhibit isopachous layering (e.g., Janssen et al., 1999; Andrews and Brasier, 2005; Brasier et al., 2010; 2011).

Spar layers in Caeryws tufa specimen S3.2 Zone 3 that might otherwise be interpreted as abiotic thicken over the crests of flexures, as do spar layers of Zone 5 (Fig. 11). Laminae of specimen Caerwys 1 seem thickest at the downward pointing tip of the specimen (Fig. 13), which is consistent with the effects of gravity and hence abiotic growth. Likewise, the thin micritic layers of Caerwys 1 are crinkled and curved (Fig. 13) yet the petrographic observations suggest that these micrite bands are related to periods of exposure or non-deposition, as they cap spar fans that are partially dissolved or eroded (Fig. 14e). Despite being crinkled these micrite laminae are unlikely to be biogenic.

The third group of biogenicity criteria are those that relate to the nature of microfossils and trace fossils within the lamination. Zones 1 and 2 of Specimen S3.2 exhibit both entombed hollow carbonaceous filaments and calcitic external molds of filaments oriented perpendicular to the lamination, not parallel to it. Trace fossils of insect larvae are present within Specimen S3.2 Zone 3. It is
possible that these larvae were farming particular species of cyanobacteria above their tubes, and that the cyanobacteria influenced crystal growth. Alternatively the larvae might have produced their own growth-influencing organic substances (Brasier et al., 2011). However there is no evidence for microbes directly causing the lamination of Zone 3. Microfossils are notably absent in the most distinctly laminated section, Zone 5.

Additional biogenicity criteria require that changes in the composition of microfossil assemblages should be accompanied by morphological changes in biogenic stromatolites. Where more than one microfossil assemblage is present in the samples studied, changes in micromorphology were found in these specimens. For example, the narrow filaments of Specimen S3.2 Zone 2 are associated with sparry calcite fans (Fig. 8) whereas broader filaments in trichomes of Zone 1 are found in sub-vertically hanging tubes of calcite (Figs. 4, 5, 6 and 7).

The entombed microorganisms of Specimen S3.2 are also organized and clustered in a fashion consistent with colonial photoautotrophic growth. We infer that their EPS did not simply bind sediment (e.g., Gerbersdorf and Wieprecht, 2014) but that it actively assisted calcite crystal nucleation (Rogerson et al., 2008; Glunk et al., 2011). This hypothesis is supported by the petrographic evidence for preferential growth of the carbonate rock toward the most light-illuminated direction. Insect larval tubes present in the Caerwys tufa (Fig. 10) would
potentially meet a criterion that biogenic stromatolitic fossils must be organized in a manner that indicates trapping, binding or precipitation of sediment, though whether the insect larvae actively trapped, bound or precipitated the sediment themselves (e.g., Brasier et al., 2011) could not be discerned petrographically.

Though all of above criteria establish that the lamination is sedimentary in origin (Fig.6a) and the cyanobacterial microfossils are consistent with evidence for photoautotroph-induced specimen growth (see supplementary information S?), the cause of most of the lamination in the Caerwys specimens is related to alternating episodes of specimen growth, non-deposition and erosion (e.g., Figs. 9d, 11 and 14d). Physico-chemical parameters such as stream flow rates, pH, alkalinity, saturation and temperature likely controlled the development of such lamination. Though microbially produced EPS may have exerted some influence on the laminae microstructure, direct evidence for microbial control on the lamination was absent.

In summary, the criteria consistent with biogenic features in the layered tufas at Caerwys are those that relate to fossils of the organisms themselves, and not those of the lamination. Without the presence of microbial fossils, it would not be possible to identify the biogenicity of the laminae. Layering alone is not
diagnostic of a biogenic structure in specimens like those characterized in this study.

**Implications for astrobiology**

Carbonate rocks that may be targets in the search for martian microfossils were identified by Niles et al. (2013). As with Earth’s deep time stromatolites (McLoughlin et al., 2013), discriminating purely abiotic chemical sedimentary precipitates from biogenic rock structures of Mars will prove challenging. It is encouraging that simple criteria devised for ancient stromatolites and microfossils (Buick et al., 1981; Hofmann, 2000; Sugitani et al., 2007; Wacey, 2009) here enabled biogenic microfossils to be distinguished from abiogenic pseudofossils in a complex terrestrial case.

It is worth noting that recognition of microfossils requires detailed microscopic examination: definitively identifying biogenic structures in the examined Caerwys specimens would not have been possible at the outcrop or hand-specimen scales. Robotic exploration of Mars has already located potentially habitable streams (Grotzinger et al., 2014), structures consistent macroscopically with microbially-induced sedimentary structures have been described (Noffke, 2015), and chemical signals consistent with martian life (Webster et al., 2015).
have been reported. Potential lessons from the Caeryws quarry, however, are that
the microbial structures visible under the microscope are not readily identifiable
as biogenic at the hand-specimen scale, and the nature of the laminations were
such that they could not be proven as biogenic at the hand-specimen or
petrographic microscope scales.

Conclusions

The microfossils found in specimen S3.2 display at the petrographic scale the
most convincing evidence for biogenicity: the layered stalactitic rock developed
as a result of the calcification of filamentous cyanobacteria and different
populations of carbonaceous filaments were each associated with their own
characteristic carbonate rock microfacies. The entombed clusters of hollow
filamentous carbonaceous microfossils that included sheathed cyanobacterial
trichomes demonstrated that the microfossil evidence is syngenetic with the
carbonate rock. The influence of biology on the morphology of the deposit was
identified by the strong asymmetry of the sample fabric: preferential growth on
the most highly illuminated side of the barrage was associated with the presence
of photoautotrophic microbes preserved as colonies of calcitic filament molds.
Though some calcite spar fans in this sample formed in association with microbial
filaments, the columnar spar that formed during a latter growth stage toward the
outside of specimen S3.2 does not include entombed microfossils. In contrast to specimen S3.2, the filaments found in the specimen Caerwys 1 were associated with the crystal structure of the deposit, which according to the criteria of Sugitani et al. (2007) and Wacey (2009), indicate that these structures are less plausible microfossil candidates than those found in S3.2.

Petrographic evidence indicates that columnar spar is a primary fabric, and not due to secondary crystal growth. This spar lacks obvious microfossils and formed within the calcite-precipitating stream, but in poorly illuminated locations behind the barrage front. Lamination is related to episodes of growth interrupted by intervals of non-deposition and erosion. We interpret the petrographic evidence as indicative of lamination formation controlled by physico-chemical parameters. Interestingly, we found that lamination in the samples studied was not an indicator of stromatolite biogenicity.
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**Figure captions**

**FIG. 1:** Map showing the location of the Caerwys tufa in the UK. Inset map shows the UK, with Wales labelled and Caerwys as a red dot. The larger map...
shows the location of the Caerwys tufa quarry (red), and its relationship to surrounding Quaternary alluvial sediments (orange) and underlying geology. Carboniferous limestone (blue) supplies the calcium and bicarbonate ions to groundwaters. These precipitate tufa calcite on emergence at springs associated with the contact between the limestone and underlying Silurian siliciclastic rocks (grey). Scale bar is 2km.
FIG. 2: Caerwys tufa quarry photographs (a) Unconsolidated micritic pool deposits on the right side of the image, adjacent to consolidated barrage facies (arrow points to the base of the barrage). The tufa barrage prograded downstream (towards the left). Isolated thrombolitic heads are found in the pool facies. (b) Block of the main tufa barrage preserved in the quarry center. People for scale are c.1.75m tall. (c) Stalactitic carbonate rocks that grew in a cavity of the same
barrage block shown in (b). Specimen Caerwys 1 is from this location, and S3.2 was found as a float specimen nearby. Lens cap for scale is 5.5 cm diameter.

FIG. 3: Active tufa stream at Alport, Derbyshire, UK, as an analogue for the Quaternary Caerwys tufa. (a) View showing pool environment behind a barrage (to the right of this image), with a thrombolitic head (see arrow). The white water upstream is flowing over a barrage. (b) Close-up of a barrage, showing microbial
biofilm plus some green algae and bryophytes living subaqueously on the barrage front, hanging down into the fast flowing stream. A cavity is developing behind this calcifying structure sometimes referred to as a curtain. In larger barrages, meter-scale caverns form behind the curtain (e.g., fig. 2c).
FIG. 4: Scans of thin-sections of specimen S3.2. (a) transverse cut, and (b) longitudinal cut. Blue color is from resin injected to show porosity.

FIG. 5: Interpreted scans of thin-sections of specimen S3.2. (a) transverse cut and (b) longitudinal cut. Interpreted boundaries between growth zones are shown as black lines, and the position from which growth initiated is shown as a red line.
Zones 1-6 are described in the text. Unlike wholly abiotic stalactites, this specimen is strongly asymmetrical, caused by calcifying cyanobacteria favoring the illuminated side of the specimen. Images are shown at the same scale.

**FIG. 6:** Micrographs of Specimen S3.2 thin-section A (transverse cut). (a) shows Zone 1 (base, hollow calcite tubes that formed around downward-hanging
cyanobacterial filaments; example arrowed), Zone 2 (center, sparry calcite fans interlayered with micritic bands) and Zone 3 (top, columnar calcite crystals). Numbered squares refer to these zones. Green arrows point to cessations in growth, marked by dark micritic layers, from which new fans nucleated. White box in Zone 2 shows location of (b), which is a sparry calcite fan that contains entombed cyanobacterial filaments (arrowed).

FIG. 7: Micrographs of Specimen S3.2 showing biological fabrics. (a) Cross-polarized light image of Zone 1 calcite tubes formed around downward-hanging cyanobacterial filaments (transverse cut). Blue is resin, and black is holes in the
thin-section. (b) Plane polarized light image of calcite tubes containing microfossils of filamentous cyanobacteria (longitudinal cut). Trichome in a sheath is arrowed. (c) Higher magnification plane polarized light image of the trichome and sheath shown in (b). (d) Plane polarized light image of a network of larger diameter calcite-cemented holes best interpreted as insect (interpreted as chironomid?) larval tubes.

FIG. 8: A sparry calcite fan containing entombed cyanobacterial filaments of specimen S3.2 Zone 2. Note the filaments are dominantly oriented parallel to the direction of crystal growth. However they also curve and cross each other, and are not artifacts related to the crystal structure. Filaments also cross dark colored
growth banding (likely formed on ~diurnal timescales) in the crystal. Scale bar is 0.1 mm across.

**FIG. 9:** Sparry calcite of Specimen 3.2 Zone 3. (a) shows the top of Zone 2, transitioning into Zone 3. Note the shrub-like calcite crystal fans with entombed cyanobacterial filaments of Zone 2. (b) shows alternating laminae of calcite spar and micrite of Zone 3. The arrow points to a partially broken pointed termination of a spar crystal draped in dark micrite. (c) shows (apparently abiotic) sparly calcite laminae found further from the specimen center than (b), with dusty lamination caused by inclusions. (d) is the same area as (c), with polars crossed. Note competitive growth has favored some crystals over others, resulting in a
columnar fabric. Examples of crystals that were out-competed are arrowed. Note also that new crystals nucleated on the micrite layers (presumed hiatuses in growth), consistent with this columnar spar being a primary fabric.

**FIG. 10:** Insect (likely chironomid) larval tubes in Specimen S3.2 Zone 3 spar. These are seen as evidence that the spar is primary and not recrystallized from micrite. (a) plane polarized light image, showing hollow tube (filled with blue resin) within the spar of Zone 3. (b) Same area as (a), with polars crossed. Note the influence of the insect larvae on crystal orientations. (c) Close up of some of the tubes in plane polarized light. (d) Same area as (c), with polars crossed. Some crystal orientations seem consistent with the broader columnar fabric of Zone 3.
Crystals with different orientations might have been detrital grains assimilated by the larvae for tube construction.
FIG. 11: Caerwys specimen 3.2 Zone 5 in thin-section. (a) Plane polarized light image, showing sparry crystals (light) with dark micritic growth laminae. Arrow points to a micritic grain that stuck to the specimen surface during growth, and became enveloped in (primary) columnar spar. (b) Same area as (a) with polars crossed. Note the influence of the detrital micrite clast (lower arrow) on columnar spar growth. Crystals nucleated on the micrite were out-competed by the larger columnar crystals (top arrow). This suggests the columnar spar was primary, despite the fact that these large crystals cut through the dusty growth lamination.
FIG. 12: Caerwys specimen 3.2 Zone 6 in thin-section. (a) Plane polarized light image showing a mound of crystal laths nucleated on a flat surface (the top of a Zone 5 columnar calcite crystal, at the base of the image). (b) Close up of network of lath-shaped crystallites in plane polarized light. (c) Image with polars crossed, showing the network of lath-shaped crystallites forms composite crystals of Zone 6. All scale bars are 1 mm.
FIG. 13: Stalactitic specimen Caerwys 1 (longitudinally cut hand-specimen). The central cavity formed around a downward-hanging twig. Alternating micritic laminae (white) and sparry calcite fans (darker and thicker) grew on the outside.
Ruler for scale (larger divisions are centimeters, smaller divisions are millimeters).
FIG. 14: Photomicrographs of Caerwys 1 thin-section. (a) The central cavity region is highly porous, with several hollow, empty pockets (blue resin). The empty pocket in (a) is lined with 20µm diameter microspar crystals. These grew on peloidal micrite (arrowed). (b) A second empty pocket in the central zone, 3 mm across, that has been progressively filled by 300 µm diameter sparry calcite fans. (c) Inclusions within these fans (arrowed), oriented along crystallite boundaries. Their alignment and form suggest they are not cyanobacterial and possibly not even microbial filaments. (d) Same crystal fan as shown in (c), with polars crossed. (e) Layers of columnar sparry calcite growing dominantly outward as fans that form the bulk of the specimen. There is evidence that columnar sparry calcite fans were partially dissolved prior to or during deposition of the micrite layers. (f) Close-up of one of the micritic laminae (dark band) between sparry calcite fans. (g) Sparry calcite fans on the left, capped by a thick micrite layer (dark band on the right) close to the outside of the specimen. All images taken in plane polarized light except (d). Scale bars in (a), (e), and (g) are 0.5mm; scale bars in other images are 0.1 mm.