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Abstract

The movement of magma through the shallow crust and the impact of subsurface sill complexes
on the hydrocarbon systems of prospective sedimentary basins has long been an area of interest
and debate. Based on 3D seismic reflection and well data, we present a regional analysis of the
emplacement and magmatic plumbing system of the Palaeogene Faroe-Shetland Sill Complex
(FSSC), which is intruded into the Mesozoic and Cenozoic sequences of the Faroe-Shetland Basin
(FSB). Identification of magma flow directions through detailed seismic interpretation of
approximately 100 sills indicates that the main magma input zones into the FSB were controlled primarily by the NE-SW basin structure that compartmentalise the FSB into its constituent sub-
basins.

An analysis of well data shows that potentially up to 88% of sills in the FSSC are <40 m in thickness, and thus below the vertical resolution limit of seismic data at depths at which most sills occur. This resolution limitation suggests that caution needs to be exercised when interpreting magmatic systems from seismic data alone, as a large amount of intrusive material could potentially be missed.

The interaction of the FSSC with the petroleum systems of the FSB is not well understood. Given the close association between the FSSC and potential petroleum migration routes into some of the oil/gas fields (e.g. Tormore), the role the intrusions may have played in compartmentalization of basin fill needs to be taken fully into account to further unlock the future petroleum potential of the FSB.
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Introduction

In recent years, our understanding of sub-volcanic magmatic plumbing systems within sedimentary basins has been revolutionised by the study of petroleum industry 3D seismic reflection datasets. In particular, 3D seismic reflection data have provided important insights into sheet intrusion geometry, emplacement mechanisms, and magma flow between multiple intrusions within sill-complexes in basins located along the Norwegian Margin, the NE Atlantic Margin, and offshore Australia (e.g. Davies et al., 2002; Smallwood and Maresh, 2002; Thomson and Hutton, 2004; Archer et al. 2005; Planke et al., 2005; Hansen and Cartwright, 2006; Thomson and Schofield, 2008; Jackson et al. 2013; Magee et al. 2013a, b, c).
It is widely acknowledged that magmatic processes play a key role in continental breakup (e.g. Afar; Wright et al. 2012) and that magmatic sheet (sill) intrusion contributes significantly to the upper crustal magma transport network. Interconnected sills may also represent a unique type of magma chamber (Cartwright and Hansen, 2006; Marsh, 2004), whereby the magma hosted within the sill complex forms an interconnected body of magma, which could serve the same purpose as a single magma chamber.

Whilst previous seismic interpretation-based studies have addressed aspects of the magmatic plumbing system with regard to how networks of interconnected sills link (Cartwright and Hansen, 2006), how sills grow and how they exploit structural/stratigraphic anisotropy (Thomson and Hutton, 2004; Schofield et al. 2012a), most of this work has been conducted using isolated datasets, often due to limited data availability. These studies are typically focused on scales of over 10s of km (e.g. Thomson and Schofield; 2008; Schofield et al. 2012; Planke et al. 2005); however, no basin scale study (over 100s of km) has been undertaken.

The Faroe-Shetland Basin (FSB) was a site of extensive extrusive and intrusive igneous activity during the Palaeogene, as a result of the impingement of the proto-Icelandic Plume and the onset of sea floor spreading (e.g. Saunders et al. 1997; Passey and Hitchen, 2011). The basin was heavily intruded by a series of sill intrusions, and these provide an excellent opportunity to understand the development of shallow crustal, rift-related magmatism within a sedimentary basin. The FSB also arguably represents one of the last major frontier exploration areas within the United Kingdom Continental Shelf (UKCS), and contains several large producing fields (e.g. Clair, Foinaven, Schiehallion). For this reason, unlike many volcanic margin basins, the FSB is covered extensively by 3D seismic data, such as the high quality regional 3D seismic survey, the FSB MegaSurvey Plus, which covers a surface area of ~24,000 km².

This study presents a detailed, seismic-based characterisation of the Faroe-Shetland Sill Complex (FSSC), with the central aim of investigating the distribution, timing and emplacement...
of the FSSC and, in particular, to understand the regional magma flow network and main magma
input points into the FSB. Furthermore, given the prospective nature of the FSB, and the close
association of the FSSC with both source rocks and reservoir intervals, the potential impact of the
sill-network on the hydrocarbon system operating within the basin is investigated.

Although this study is focussed on the FSB, its findings have important ramifications for our
global understanding of the evolution and petroleum systems of other sedimentary basins and
rifted margins that have experienced considerable volcanism during their history (e.g. Brazil and

Regional Geological History

The FSB is the collective term for a series of rift basins situated between the Faroe Islands
and Shetland Isles that are affected by volcanism (Fig. 1), which are part of a much larger system of
rift basins that extends along the NE Atlantic Margin. The FSB experienced a complex multi-phase
ripping history, with several rift episodes occurring between the Permo-Triassic and Palaeocene,
culminating in breakup at 55 Ma (Ritchie et al. 2011). This was followed by Late Palaeocene and
Mid-Miocene basin inversion events (Smallwood and Maresh, 2002). The rift history has led to a
complex basin structure comprising a series of NE-SW trending “basement blocks”, consisting of
Precambrian crystalline igneous and metamorphic rocks, and incomplete occurrences of
Palaeozoic sedimentary rocks (e.g. Devonian). The blocks are capped with erosional remnants of
pre-rift Mesozoic sedimentary rocks, with adjacent fault bounded basins forming depocentres for
Cretaceous and Palaeocene sediments (Lamers and Carmichael 1999). The northeast Atlantic
region experienced considerable igneous activity prior to, and associated with, the onset of sea
floor spreading in the Late Palaeocene to Early Eocene (Fig. 2) (Passey and Hitchen, 2011; Ellis and
Stoker, 2014 and references therein). The earliest volcanism in the North Atlantic is thought to
have occurred \(~63\) Ma (Hamilton et al. 1998), before the onset of the eruption of the Faroe Islands Basalt Group (FIBG) \(~57\) Ma (Passey and Jolley, 2009). Within the FSB, volcanism resulted in the eruption of thick flood basalt sequences covering an area of at least \(40,000\ \text{km}^2\). Despite varying thicknesses of extrusive basaltic rocks within the various sub-basins forming the FSB, all the basins contain a suite of intrusive mafic sills and dykes (Stoker et al. 1993, Gibb and Kanaris-Sotiriou 1988; Thomson and Schofield, 2008; Schofield et al. 2012), which are thought to have been intruded between 55 and 53 Ma (Ritchie and Hitchen, 1996).

A series of 'transfer lineaments' are thought to transect the sub-basins in a NW-SE direction (Rumph et al. 1993; Ellis et al. 2009; Ritchie et al. 2011), roughly perpendicular to the dominant structural trend of the basin (Fig. 1). The lineaments within the FSB are thought to have originated during the Phanerozoic/Palaeozoic and formed during the Caledonian Orogeny as deep seated compressional transfer zones (Rumph et al. 1993; Ellis et al. 2009). Although the presence and origin of the lineaments is uncertain (Moy and Imber, 2009), they are thought to have had some control on sediment routing and provenance within the FSB, as well as controlling potential sites of magma intrusion (Rumph et al. 1993; Jolley et al., 2005; Jolley and Morton, 2007; Ellis et al. 2009; Passey and Varming, 2010).

Various stratigraphic schemes have been applied to the FSB over the last 35 years. This study uses the lithostratigraphy presented in Ritchie et al. (2011) and Stoker and Varming (2011), which adopts the Palaeocene T-Sequence chronostratigraphy of Ebdon et al. (1995) (Fig. 2).

**Palaeogene Volcanic History of the Faroe-Shetland Basin**

The FSSC has been estimated to cover a minimum area of at least \(22,500\ \text{km}^2\), extending from the Foinaven and Judd sub-basins in the SW of the FSB to the Møre Basin in the NE (Passey and Hitchen, 2011). The true extent of this complex is likely to be much larger, as it extends beneath the lava field(s) of the FSB (Passey and Hitchen, 2011). The sills as a whole form part of a
much more extensive series of Late Cretaceous/Palaeogene aged sill-complexes extending for 
\(~1800\) km from the Norwegian Margin to the Southern Rockall Basin (Magee et al. 2014). 

Limited radiometric dating of the FSSC has been undertaken, with the most reliable and 
accepted dates clustering around 55-52 Ma (Passey and Hitchen, 2011), although sills as old as the 
Campanian (72.1–83.6 Ma) have been reported (Fitch et al. 1988). The age clustering around 55-52 
Ma extends from the Mid-Flett Formation (sequence T40/T45 boundary) through the Balder 
Formation (sequence T50) and into the Middle to Early Eocene Horda Formation (Passey and 
Hitchen, 2011).

**Methodology and Seismic Resolution**

**Data**

The main 3D seismic dataset used in this study is the Faroe–Shetland PGS MegaSurvey Plus, which 
covers an area of \(~24,000\) km\(^2\) (Fig. 1). The dataset is one of the largest regional seismic datasets 
within the FSB, and has undergone substantial reprocessing to improve both sub-basalt and sub-
intrusive imaging within the basin, leading to considerable improvement in imaging of the FSSC. 
This has been achieved via improved multiple attenuation, detailed velocity analysis, and utilisation 
of improved migration techniques, leading to a greatly enhanced signal-to-noise ratio within the 
data. As a result, there is substantial improvement in the quality of definition of deeper structural 
elements of the basin, in particular the Base Cretaceous/Top Jurassic surface (Fig. 3).

**Sill Characterisation and Discerning Magma Flow from Seismic Data**

Sills imaged within 3D seismic datasets are typically identified by their tendency to crosscut 
stratigraphy, their laterally discontinuous nature, and their high seismic amplitudes (Smallwood and 
Maresh, 2002). Within a 3D seismic dataset, sill geometry can be constrained by manual picking 
and volume visualisation techniques, such as opacity rendering. Opacity rendering techniques work
best when a seismic 3D dataset possesses large amplitude contrasts between different stratigraphic sequences; this allows certain amplitudes to be selected in the volume and their transparency manipulated (Kidd, 1999; Thomson and Schofield, 2008). This method is highly effective for the examination of mafic sills intruded into sediments, as the sills exhibit higher acoustic impedances than the surrounding country rock (Bell and Butcher 2002; Smallwood and Maresh 2002; Planke et al. 2005). The result is a strong reflection coefficient at the boundary between sediment and sill.

It has been demonstrated from several field and seismic studies that sills typically possess lobate geometries, which are formed during magma intrusion and propagation (Thomson and Hutton, 2004; Hansen and Cartwright, 2006; Schofield et al. 2012a). Opacity rendering has proven particularly successful in enabling imaging of such magma lobes within the subsurface (see Thomson, 2007; Schofield et al, 2012b), and by mapping these lobate geometries in 3D seismic datasets, it is possible to interpret detailed magma flow pathways within sills (Fig. 4) (see Thomson and Hutton, 2004; Hansen and Cartwright, 2006, Miles and Cartwright, 2010). Most previous work has mapped magma flow pathways within individual sills or small sample populations in 3D seismic datasets (e.g. Hansen and Cartwright, 2006; Schofield et al. 2012b). However, due to our large regional dataset, we have been able to image and interpret the flow direction in ~100 sills across the FSB in three dimensions, and in many cases, map flow directions back to origin/entry points or zones within the basin (Fig. 5). Magma flow directions and entry points/zones are discussed in detail below.

Seismic Resolution

When undertaking seismic interpretation of a basin containing intrusive and extrusive igneous rock, it is critical to fully understand the changes (and reduction) in seismic resolution with depth,
especially as the attenuating effect intrusions have on seismic energy leads to rapid decreases in frequency content with depth, decreasing the resolvability of deeper intrusions.

Within the FSB, this aspect is further compounded by the relatively large depth ranges within the contemporary basin fill of the FSSC. For example, within the central Flett Basin, the sill complex (e.g. Fig. 4) occurs at 3.5 sec TWT (equivalent to ~3 km below the sea-bed) and deeper. At these levels, the dominant frequency of the seismic data is already significantly decreased via normal attenuating effects of the overlying sedimentary overburden.

Quantifying how vertical seismic resolution decreases with depth is therefore essential in understanding what thickness of intrusions can be imaged at different stratigraphic levels within the FSB. Vertical seismic resolution is the minimum thickness that a geological unit (e.g. sand bed or an intrusion) needs to be for it to be visible as a discrete event on seismic data. Below this thickness, events can ideally still be detected as a reflector, but in reality may be rendered indistinguishable against the overall reflectivity of surrounding strata and/or seismic noise; this is known as the thickness of detectability.

Within the Palaeocene sedimentary sections of the central Flett Basin (~3.5 sec TWT), the average dominant frequency of seismic reflection data is 17 Hz, decreasing to approximately 14 Hz within the Cretaceous sections. Average velocity values for the Palaeocene from well data (Well 205/10-2b) are around 2819 m/s, giving a vertical seismic resolution of just over 40 m, and a thickness of detectability of ~20 m. Within the Cretaceous sedimentary section, which contains the majority of the identified intrusions, the velocity varies from 3048 m/s at the top Cretaceous to 4572 m/s at the base. This velocity range gives a vertical resolution ranging from 54 m (26 m detectability) at the top of the Cretaceous, to 81 m (40 m detectability) towards the base of the Cretaceous.
This decrease in seismic resolution is problematic, as it means that even thick intrusions (>40 m) are potentially unresolvable in the deeper (~3.5 seconds TWT and below) sections of the data.

**Constraints on the FSSC from well data**

Although the vertical seismic resolution and limits of seismic detectability can be determined for each intruded Palaeocene and Cretaceous sequence across the FSB, these calculations only provide a broad indication of the thickness of intrusions that can be easily defined from the seismic data. It does not provide any constraints of intrusions in the basin that may be *unresolved*, and what total thickness of intruded material this may represent, potentially leading to a skewed and incomplete view of the FSSC from seismic data.

Figure 6a represents an analysis of 19 wells within the FSB that have penetrated the FSSC. The wells run approximately along the strike of the basin from NE to SW (Fig. 1). Two additional wells that penetrated the sill complex were not included in this analysis because they also penetrate buried volcanic complexes of the Palaeocene Erlend Volcano and Brendan Volcanic Centre. These centres potentially represent areas of highly focussed localized magmatism within the FSB, and therefore may be unrepresentative of magma intrusion of the FSSC as a whole. The analysed wells penetrated a total of 149 separate intrusions, with a cumulative vertical thickness of just under 2.4 km of igneous rock. It should be noted that it is unlikely, given the location and areal spacing of the wells, that the same intrusions have been sampled twice by different wells.

The analysis provides several important results. Firstly, it is apparent that the distribution of sill thicknesses is positively skewed, with 110 of 149 intrusions measured (73%) being <15 m in thickness. This is far below the range of seismic resolution and detectability in most areas of the seismic dataset. The total thickness of sills in the 0-15 m range is 562 m, which represents ~24% of the total thickness of sill material penetrated by the wells.
Sills in the 0-40 m thickness range account for 132 of the 149 intrusions, or ~88% of all sills penetrated, and for almost half of the total thickness of all sills penetrated (1152 m). Given the 40 m vertical seismic resolution, the well data implies that up to 88% of the total sills will therefore, not be expressed as discrete reflections in seismic data. A detailed analysis of well 205/10-2b provides a striking illustration of this discrepancy (Fig. 6b). Only two of the intrusions (representing ~40% of the intruded material in this well) can be clearly interpreted in the seismic data as sills (and are each actually composed of two closely spaced separate intrusions). The other sills penetrated by the well are either not imaged, or form indistinguishable interference events.

It is important to note that this inability to clearly image all the intrusions is a function of the geology, and not the seismic data used in this study, which arguably represents some of the best re-processed legacy data available regionally within the FSB (see Fig. 3). The same issues would apply to any and all seismic datasets that currently exist in this region and in other igneous-affected basins (i.e., it is not dataset specific), and would be even more profound on older legacy data which that has not undergone substantial reprocessing.

**Sill Geometry, Distribution and Interpretation of Magma Flow Pathways in the FSSC**

Although a large proportion of the smaller intrusions may be potentially unresolvable seismically within the FSB, it is apparent from the regional seismic data that large intrusions (> 40 m in thickness), can often be easily identified and mapped. This section will consider the overall geometry and distribution of the FSSC (Figs. 7, 9 and 11), in addition to the main magma flow pathways discerned from 3D mapping of sill morphology (Fig. 5). For clarity in description, the FSB is split into five areas (northern Flett and Sissal Sub-basins, northern Foula Sub-Basin, central Flett and Foula Sub-basins, southern Flett Sub-basin, Corona Ridge) (Fig. 1) on the basis of distinct trends in sill emplacement and/or the nature of the sills (e.g. size and morphology).
Northern Flett and Sissal Sub-basins

The northern Flett and Sissal Sub-basins contain a heavily intruded Cretaceous section (Line A-A’ Fig. 1, Fig. 7), with the sills forming a complex, laterally and vertically stacked series of interconnected sheets. The large number of intrusions within the Cretaceous makes seismic definition of the underlying basin fill and structure (including Jurassic sequences) challenging. The sills within the heavily intruded Cretaceous section represent a ~1.5-2 km thick section containing vertically stacked intrusions, with individual sills showing a general decrease in diameter from tens of kilometres in diameter in deeper parts of the Cretaceous (at a depth of 5.5 km in the contemporary basin fill), to 1-3 km in diameter close to the presumed palaeo-landsurface at the time of intrusion (now at a depth of ~4 km in the contemporary basin fill), as constrained by penetration of sub-aerial lava flows by well 214/9-1 and penetration of the uppermost part of the sub-aerial volcanic sequence by well 214/4-1 (Fig. 7). The sill complex is bounded to the southeast by basement highs, and to the northwest by the segmented Corona Ridge (Fig. 1), which forms the highs and half-graben structure of the Sissal Basin between wells 214/4-1 (the Tobermory Gas Field discovery well) and well 214/9-1 (Fig. 7).

Flow directions identified in intrusions (e.g. from magma lobes) within the northern Flett and Sissal Sub-basins indicate two distinct trends of sill emplacement. The first trend, seen within the Sissal Basin, is characterised by sills that are fed away from the NE-SW trending bounding Sissal Basin Fault, in a NW to SE direction, and which climb mainly strata-bound towards the southerly segment of the Corona Ridge and the intra-basin high penetrated by well 214/9-1. The second trend, within the northern Flett Sub basin, shows a diverging set of magma flow directions that also climb towards the bounding highs (Fig. 7), namely the southerly Corona Ridge splay (penetrated by 214/9-1) to the NW and the Rona Fault and Rona Ridge to the SE.
These observations suggest that within the Sissal Basin, magma appears to have been inputted away from the Sissal Basin Fault, whereas within the northern Flett Sub basin, magma input into the basin was generally from several zones running through the central axis of the basin.

**Northern Foula Sub-basin**

The northern Foula Sub-basin is characterised by a series of rotated fault blocks, buttressed against the intra-basinal high drilled by well 208/21-1 (Line A-A’ Fig. 1, Fig. 7). The morphology and size of the intrusions within this part of the FSSC are markedly different from those within the northern Flett Sub-basin. This part of the FSSC is characterised by a series of small (1-3 km in diameter) saucer-shaped and half-saucer-shaped intrusions, which climb and cut through the Cretaceous and Lower Palaeocene basin fill towards the intra-basin high penetrated by well 208/21-1 (Figs. 7 and 8). Importantly, well 208/21-1 penetrated a series of sub-aerial basalt lava flows (with notable reddening and weathering profiles) interbedded with siltstones, between 1667–1811 m below the seabed. The sedimentary interbeds contain terrestrial pollen and spore flora, and based on palynological and stratigraphical relationships, the age of these sub-aerial lavas is, at the latest, sequence T36 (~58.4 Ma). This age pre-dates the main sequence T40-T45 (~56.1–55 Ma) phase of volcanism, which manifested in the eruption of the Faroe Island Basalt Group (Passey and Jolley, 2009; Schofield and Jolley, 2013) and suggests that this area of the basin acted as one of the first foci for magmatism and volcanism during Kettla Member times (~58.4 Ma).

**Central Flett and Foula Sub-basins**

The overall spatial distribution of sills in this part of the FSB narrows and follows the main Flett Sub-basin (Line B-B’ Fig. 1, Fig. 9), which becomes confined between the Flett Ridge and Corona Ridge. The two largest (~20 x 10 km in dimension), discrete, seismically definable intrusions within the FSB occur here, having been intruded along the Cretaceous-Palaeocene boundary. Below this
depth, the Cretaceous is pervasively intruded, with the FSSC forming a series of vertically stacked intrusions, particularly within the central part of the sub-basin. This series of intrusions terminates downwards against the inferred base of the Cretaceous sequence at a depth of ~6 km beneath the present day seabed. The heavily intruded nature of this part of the basin makes it difficult to distinguish deeper pre- and syn-rift structure and stratigraphy (e.g. the Jurassic).

The magma flow directions interpreted from the sills in this region suggest a magma source slightly off-centre from the basin axis (to the west), and running parallel to the strike of the Flett Sub-basin (Figs. 5 and Fig. 9). The main area of magma input seems to be the south-easterly dipping Flett Basin Fault (FBF) (Figs. 1, 5 and 9), which appears to have acted as the main controlling fault defining the half-graben geometry of the Flett Sub-basin.

Towards the Corona Ridge (left side of Fig. 9), sills have interacted with and preferentially intruded Cretaceous-age syn-rift faults, exploiting the edge of the tilted fault blocks to climb to stratigraphically higher levels (Thomson, 2007). These interconnected intrusions can be traced back in three dimensions to the magma input zone of the FBF, demonstrating over 25 km of lateral and ~3 km of vertical magma movement away from the inferred entry point of magma into the basin fill (Fig. 5).

The intrusions in the central Flett Sub-basin have also led to the formation of a prominent forced fold (Figs. 9 and 10) above the intrusions (Moy and Imber, 2009), which has an amplitude of ~180 m (not corrected for compaction). The formation of the fold caused doming of the overlying Vaila and Lamba formations, above the central Flett Sub-basin. This has created a large double-domal structure with near four-way dip closure, measuring approximately $18 \times 40$ km in areal extent (Fig. 10). This forced fold structure is well illustrated by Moy and Imber (2009) using the Kettla Tuff regional horizon (sequence T36; 58.4 Ma), which forms an easily definable seismic reflector. The crest of the fold is, however, actually higher in the stratigraphy, as evidenced by
clear onlap onto the top surface of the forced fold, demonstrating that the fold had palaeo-
surface/seabed topographic expression by late Lamba Formation times (i.e., 56.1 Ma).

Another noteworthy occurrence in the central Flett Sub-basin is the presence of sediment
volcanoes (Fig. 10). Grove (2013) identified a sediment volcano (~6.5 km in diameter, penetrated
by well 214/28-1), which appears to have erupted sand-rich sediment (~13 km³, non-decompacted)
in a series of underwater sediment turbidites emanating from a central “vent”. These sediments
clearly onlap the forced fold (Figs. 9 and 10). Grove (2013) also stated that the mound was draped
by sequence T45 sediments; however, our re-interpretation of biostratigraphic data suggests that
the drape is actually equivalent to the base of sequence T40 (Base Flett), and that the sediment in
the vent is composed of reworked Lamba Formation (Fig. 10d).

Other inferred sediment volcanoes matching the characteristics of the one identified by
Grove (2013) and at the same stratigraphic level, are visible along the NW and NE flank of the
central Flett forced fold (Fig. 10e). This suggests that a basin-wide series of sediment eruptions
occurred at approximately the same time.

**Southern Flett Sub-basin**

The southern Flett Sub-basin (Line A-A’ Fig. 1 and Fig 11) contains relatively few visible intrusions
within the Cretaceous section, in comparison to both the central and northern Flett sub-basins.
Consequently, and in contrast to many other parts of the basin, imaging of the Base
Cretaceous/Top Jurassic sequences is possible. The Base Cretaceous/Top Jurassic stratigraphy in
this area is characterised by a zone of heavily intruded, laterally continuous, generally strata-bound
intrusions, which occupy a series of small half-graben structures (Fig. 11). The potential significance
of a pervasively intruded Base Cretaceous section for the petroleum system in the basin is
discussed later.
As with the central Flett Sub-basin, the FBF appears to have acted as the controlling influence on the magma input point into this part of the basin. Magma flow directions within the sills appear to diverge away from the south easterly-dipping FBF in a predominantly west to east direction.

Corona Ridge (Rosebank Field)

The Corona Ridge has undergone increased hydrocarbon exploration activity since 2004, when a major oil and gas discovery (Rosebank Field) was made in the Palaeocene lava flows and intra-volcanic sediments that directly overlie it (Schofield and Jolley, 2013) (Fig. 12). The Cretaceous sequences immediately adjacent to and above the Corona Ridge (including the intra-volcanic hosted Rosebank Field) have some of the lowest density of intrusions throughout the FSB. The major saucer-shaped intrusions in this area climb in a SW- to NE direction from the Corona Basin towards the Corona Ridge (Fig. 5). A roughly 27 m thick sill was penetrated by well 213/27-2, but the Rosebank discovery well (213/27-1z), which also penetrated Jurassic sequences, did not encounter any sub-volcanic intrusions around the Base Cretaceous unconformity, in contrast to the central Flett Sub-basin where intrusions at this level appear common (Fig. 11).

The saucer-shaped intrusion penetrated by well 213/27-2 is isolated and is seemingly not connected to any sub-horizontal sill complex in the surrounding area, which may have acted as its magma source (Fig. 5). The isolated nature of the intrusion, atop the Corona Ridge, therefore suggests that it was sourced sub-vertically (dyke-fed) through the Corona Ridge itself, possibly via one of the ridge bounding faults.

Magmatic Plumbing: Transport through Sedimentary Fill or Crystalline Basement?

Our interpretations appear to show that the vast majority of intrusive sheet magmatism within the FSB was focused through the sub-basins (i.e., sedimentary depocentres). However, some isolated
intrusions on both the Corona Ridge and the Rona Ridge occur without any apparent connection to the sill complexes present within the sub-basins (Figs. 5 and 9). These isolated intrusions suggest magma transited through or close to (perhaps constrained by ridge bounding faults?) the crystalline basement of the basement ridges. The low number of intrusions, however, suggests that any magmatic system that became established through the basement ridges during the Palaeogene appears to have been relatively minor compared to other areas of the FSB.

The timing of the intrusions associated with the basement ridges is not easy to determine. However, on the Corona Ridge, near to the southern extent of the Rosebank Field, a small conical volcano (1 km in diameter), which fed a series of lava flows (Fig. 12b, c and d), indicates that a sub-vertical magmatic system existed until at least sequence T45 (Flett Formation; 55.2 – 55.9 Ma) (Schofield and Jolley, 2013) (Fig. 12).

**Discussion Part 1 – Magmatic Plumbing of the Faroe-Shetland Sill Complex**

**Age and Phases of Sill Intrusion**

The exact ages and phases of sill intrusion into the FSB have long been debated and various attempts at constraining the age of the sills from radiometric dating have been attempted, with a general clustering of ages between 55 and 52 Ma (Passey and Hitchen, 2011), representing Mid-Flett (the sequence T40/T45 boundary) to Balder times (Fig. 2). This timing is generally accepted as reliable (Smallwood and Harding, 2009; Passey and Hitchen, 2011), and constrains FSSC emplacement to after the first main phase of volcanism of the FlBG (Passey and Jolley, 2009). However, some of the stratigraphic relationships appear at odds with this interpretation.

On the Rona Ridge, well 208/21-1 penetrated sequence T36 (~58.4 Ma) Kettla Member lava flows (Figs. 7 and 8). Critically, intrusions appear to connect to the base of these flows and the palaeo-surface upon which they were emplaced (Fig. 8), suggesting a genetic connection between the fissure systems that fed the sequence T36 lava flows and the underlying sills. This
connection suggests that the intrusions in the vicinity of this area of the Rona Ridge are equivalent in age to the sequence T36 Kettla Member lava units (or 58.4 Ma) and therefore, related to an earlier phase of volcanism in the basin.

The identification of forced folds and onlap by subsequent sediment packages onto palaeo-seafloor/surfaces above sills has been shown in many basins to be an accurate method for determining the relative ages of intrusions (e.g. Hansen and Cartwright, 2006; Magee et al. 2014). As described earlier, evidence of forced folding can be seen within the central Flett Sub-basin, above the two sills located along the basin axis (Figs. 9 and 10). Onlaps onto this forced fold suggest that within the central Flett Sub-basin, palaeo-seafloor topography was in existence as a result of at least one major phase of sill intrusion having occurred by at least Top Lamba Formation times (~56.1 Ma).

Absolute ages of sills within the FSSC obtained via radiometric dating of sills are limited within the FSB. However, well 207/01a-4z, drilled on the Rona Ridge, approximately 24 km NE of the Clair Field, intersects a sill that has been dated at 52.4±1.5 and 52.5±1.5 Ma, with the age regarded as ‘reliable’ (Fig. 13) (see Passey and Hitchen, 2011). This age would constrain the emplacement of this sill to after the deposition of the Balder Formation (54.3 Ma). However, above this particular intrusion a domal forced fold at the Top Cretaceous surface (Fig. 13), with clear onlap of Lamba and Sullom/Vaila formation-aged sediments onto the fold, demonstrates that by at least the lower Vaila Formation (61.6 Ma), the sill intrusion had occurred. Based on these stratigraphic relationships this would place the age of the sill ~7 Myr earlier than the radiometric age.

This discrepancy between stratigraphic relationships and radiometric age questions the validity of the published radiometric date for this sill, and also other dates on the age of the FSSC. Currently available dates, especially those based on K-Ar dating techniques, which can be erroneous due to unquantified argon loss (Kelley, 2002), should now be treated with caution,
unless supported by other evidence (e.g. utilization of forced folds) or more robust dating techniques (e.g., U-Pb dating of baddeleyite/zircon).

Given these discrepancies, we conclude that the FSSC was not simply emplaced between Mid-Flett to Balder times (55.2 Ma – 54.3 Ma), as is often accepted based on published data (Passey and Ritchie, 2011), and that several older phases of intrusion have occurred starting at least through the Late Cretaceous/Sullom into early Vaila (pre-T10 - T28), through to Lamba Formation and Flett Formation times (56.1 Ma – 55.2 Ma; T36 – T45).

The Intra-Lamba/Flett Sediment Eruption Event

Within the central Flett Sub-basin, the material erupted from the sediment volcanoes onlaps the forced fold in the centre of the basin (Fig. 10). However, the angle of onlap and downlap from the vent material does not appear to change onto the forced fold (Fig. 10c and e). This lack of change in angle of the onlaps and downlaps discounts any model of incremental growth of the forced fold with sediment eruption, and suggests that the sediment volcano eruptive event actually post-dated the formation of the forced fold and thus, presumably intrusion of the underlying sills (Fig. 9).

Importantly, the onlap and downlap of the erupted sediments onto the Top Lamba Formation surface, followed by the subsequent onlap of the Base Flett Formation onto the top of the sediment volcano suggests that the sediment vents in the Flett Basin are neither Lamba Formation (sequence T38) or Flett Formation (sequence T40) in age, but instead represent an Intra-Lamba/Flett sediment eruption event.

Chimneys beneath the sediment volcanoes extend downwards (as denoted by zones of seismic noise) and coincide with the tips of the underlying sills, suggesting that the sills were the origin of the fluids that mobilised the sediment, a mechanism previously invoked on the Norwegian Margin for hydrothermal vents (Svensen et al. 2004). However, the timing discrepancy between sill emplacement and sediment eruption implies that either a second phase of sill intrusion
occurred (after that which formed the forced fold), or that the fluids themselves are not directly related to the sills, and are instead related to a basin wide overpressured fluid expulsion event, as is often invoked in other sand injection/expulsion complexes (e.g., North Sea – Huuse et al. 2007; California - Vigorito and Hurst, 2009). Although it is logical to invoke a second phase of sill intrusion, it is unclear why the first phase of sill intrusion, which possessed enough magma volume to dome the palaeo-seabed, did not trigger rapid heating and sand remobilization at the time.

It may therefore be the case that the coincidence of the potential origin or source of the vents with sill tips is more a function of the sills acting as ‘baffles’ to fluid expulsion during a release of overpressure from the Cretaceous rather than as a direct result of sill intrusion triggering sediment fluidization (sensu Kokelaar, 1982; Schofield et al. 2010).

Overpressure in basins is thought to be largely caused by two mechanisms: disequilibrium compaction and gas generation (Osborne and Swarbrick, 1997). We suggest that the first phase of sill intrusion, which led to the forced folding, may therefore have created the correct geological conditions to form effective side seals and low permeability barriers (Rateau et al. 2013). Fluid expansion, due to gas generation by heating (e.g., Svensen et al. 2004) then occurred, leading to overpressure formation. This overpressure was then released during the second phase of sill intrusion by breaching of sedimentary seals, and fluid escape being baffled through the basin fill by the intrusion network.

Regional Magma Plumbing

Seismic Imaging of Intrusive Rocks - what are we missing?

From our analysis of well data, it may be the case that up to 88% of total sill intrusions within the sedimentary fill of the FSB are not imaged clearly in seismic reflection data (Figs. 6). The ability therefore, of seismic studies (including this one) to fully capture the true characteristics of magmatic plumbing systems in sedimentary basins must be questioned. Any studies in the FSB, or
other basins globally, in which volumetric deductions are made about the amount of magma present within a basin that are derived solely from the interpretation of either 2D or 3D seismic data, needs to be treated with caution, as such studies are likely to underestimate the total volume of intruded igneous rock. In the FSB, the potentially large amount of seismically-unresolvable intrusions within the Cretaceous, particularly within the Flett Sub-Basin, raises the possibility that the thickness of the sedimentary component of the Cretaceous succession has been considerably overestimated in previous studies. Instead, a large proportion of the current thickness (~2-2.5 km in thickness parts) could be potentially composed of igneous material in the form of un-imaged sill intrusions. This scenario has profound implications for basin modelling and sediment budget calculations with the pre-sill intrusion Cretaceous sedimentary thickness being overestimated. Despite this, through well analysis, at least some constraints on the upper limit of intrusion volume can be applied to basins along the north-east Atlantic margin.

We are unable to constrain the role of unimaged vertical, dyke-like sources in transporting magma through the sedimentary fill of the FSB. Studies of sill complexes in other Large Igneous Provinces (e.g. Karoo-Ferrar), have demonstrated that dykes often form a volumetrically minor component of the magma plumbing system within sedimentary basins (Muirhead et al. 2014). The extensive imaged network of interconnected sills in the FSB that can be traced both horizontally and sub-vertically (e.g. central Flett Sub-basin) and appear to represent significant pathways of magma suggest that true dykes (i.e., those cutting vertically through the system) may play a relatively minor role in magma movement through the FSB.

Regional Magmatic Plumbing of the Faroe-Shetland Sill Complex (FSSC)

Magma flow directions in the FSSC appear to show that magma input into the FSB is controlled primarily by the heavily structured nature of the sub-basins, and the strong underlying NE-SW basement trend. This control of the basin structure is not necessarily surprising, given the long-
lived tectonic nature of the basin and reactivation of major structures (Dore et al. 1997; Johnson et al. 2005). In particular, the bounding faults of half-grabens, and their hanging walls, appear to be critical in acting as sites for magma intrusion into the FSB (Fig. 5).

Within the Flett Sub-basin, the flow directions and general morphology of the sills indicates that their axial feeders were located at the lowest part of the basin, and hence likely the thinnest crust. This relationship suggests that magma input was focused in these regions during sequence T40 (~56.1–55.2 Ma). Within the northern Flett Sub-basin, magma input appears to have taken place across a broad zone (Figs. 5 and 7). Towards the central and southern Flett Sub-basins, the half-graben bounding Flett Basin Fault appears to have been a major zone of magma input for ~80 km along strike (Figs. 5, 7 and 9). Within the Sissal Sub-basin, which is bounded between the northern and southern splay of the Corona Ridge, the half-graben bounding Sissal Basin Fault has also acted as a major magma input zone along strike for ~40 km (Figs. 5 and 7).

The existence and origin of the rift-oblique lineaments thought to cut the FSB (see Fig. 1) have been debated by many workers (Dore et al. 1997; Lamers and Carmichael 1999; Naylor et al. 1999; Rumph et al. 1993; Jørgensen 2006; Jolley and Morton 2007; Ellis et al. 2009; Passey and Varming 2010), with the placement of the lineaments even changing between publications, as noted by Moy (2010). Moy and Imber (2009) concluded that there was no definitive evidence that the Victory, Clair and Judd lineaments imparted a significant structural or geomorphological control on basin development during the Cenozoic. However, to the SW of the study area, in the Rockall Basin, it has been suggested that lineaments have focused magmatism, in particular igneous centres (Archer et al. 2005; Hole et al. 2015).

Regional mapping of sills and magma flow directions in the FSB adds some interesting aspects to this lineament debate. Firstly, it is not overly apparent that the lineaments correspond with zones of increased intrusion density throughout the basin. For example, within the southern Flett Sub-basin, the relative intrusion density between the Corona Lineament and Grimur Kamban
Lineament actually appears to be lower than the rest of the basin. Furthermore, the axial feeding zones for the sills within the Flett Sub-basin appear to cut across several different lineaments without any major change in either the emplacement direction or nature of intrusions sourced from these input zones, with most magma being interpreted to have been fed into the basin fill via normal faults (Fig. 5).

It should be noted however, that some areas of magma input in the basin do appear to coincide with the traces of lineaments. In particular, the SE trace of the Clair Lineament appears to directly correspond with a suite of isolated sills intruded above the Rona Ridge (Fig. 5), away from the main sites of magma intrusion into the basin. The magma feeding these sills must have either transited sub-vertically through or adjacent to the ridge, as no intersection with other sill complexes can be seen.

Fracture systems within crystalline basement are typically inherited from older structures or tectonic grain (Dore et al. 1997), and often undergo reactivation, especially if a basin has experienced a protracted rift history, such as the FSB (Lamers and Carmichael 1999). Evidence from deep seismic reflection profiles indicates that, in some cases, lineaments such as the Westray Lineament may connect to the Moho (England et al. 2005). Therefore, where the Clair Lineament has intersected the Rona Ridge, the confluence of the deep seated fracture systems may have led to the creation of a preferential, but still restricted, pathway of magma through or adjacent to the Rona Ridge.

Discussion Part 2 - Impacts of the Faroe-Shetland Sill Complex on the Petroleum System of the FSB

The FSB represents a highly important petroleum province within the UKCS and has significant future implications towards the energy security of the UK, with the potential in-place hydrocarbons in the Atlantic margin thought to be ~7.15 billion barrels of oil equivalent (Gray,
The FSSC has a close spatial association with both the Jurassic source rocks and Jurassic-Palaeocene reservoir intervals. It is therefore important to explore the potential impact and interaction of the FSSC with the petroleum system of the FSB.

Implications for Hydrocarbon Migration

Given the high density of intrusions within the Flett, Sissal, Foula and Guorun sub-basins, (Figs. 5, 7, 9 and 11), it seems conceivable that the intrusions may have interacted with the FSB petroleum system. The exact effect of sill intrusions on hydrocarbon and fluid migration in the subsurface of the FSB is still uncertain. It has been suggested that the intrusions may possess a dual role with respect to hydrocarbon and fluid migration within the subsurface of the FSB (Rateau et al. 2013). The intrusions (or the surrounding contact metamorphic zones) may create barriers and baffles to fluid flow within the subsurface; however, some of the intrusions within the Flett Sub-basin may have also acted as fractured conduits to migrating gas (and possibly other HC types) (Rateau et al. 2013). It is currently not possible to know which intrusions within the FSB acted as low permeability barriers or fractured conduits (potentially compartmentalizing reservoir and source rock intervals); however, both scenarios occurring are likely.

The close spatial relationship between intrusions and the Laggan and Tormore gas fields atop the Flett Ridge is striking (see Rateau et al. 2013) (Fig. 14). Detailed examination of the seismic data demonstrates that the Tormore Field, in particular, has a close relationship with the underlying intrusions, with sill tips extending close to the down-dip extent of the Tormore reservoir sandstone body (Fig. 15). Although the sill tips themselves are exploiting normal faults within the Vaila Formation, which could itself offer a HC pathway (Scotchman, 2006), the Vaila Formation sequences within the FSB are shale rich and composed of a series of hemipelagic muds interbedded with marine sandstone bodies (Knox et al. 1997). They are therefore susceptible to the formation of shale smears along fault planes (Lindsay et al. 1993). The addition of intrusive sills
may have acted as a fractured and preferential migration conduit through otherwise impermeable
Vaila Formation mudstones, raising the suggestion that Tormore could have been charged via
hydrocarbons migrating up through the fractured intrusion (Fig. 15d) (sensu Rateau et al. 2013).

Role of the FSSC in the generative potential of the Jurassic source rocks
The increasing amount of hydrocarbon discoveries within the FSB (e.g., Rosebank, Tormore,
Laggan, Tornado) demonstrate that a viable petroleum system does exist, even in close association
with the intrusive sill network. Within the FSB, the Jurassic represents the main source rock
region (Scotchman et al., 1998); however, the Jurassic is generally poorly imaged across large areas
of the FSB due to the overlying sill complex within the Cretaceous. Therefore, assessing the
potential role of intrusions on the source kitchen of the Jurassic is difficult, especially as most well
penetrations of the Jurassic are restricted to structural highs, where intrusions are generally
absent or of low frequency. However, the most recent reprocessed seismic data appears to show
that the Lower Cretaceous/Uppermost Jurassic is heavily intruded (Figs. 11).

Given our interpretation that faults bounding the structural highs act as the main magma
pathways into the basin, it seems likely that at least some of the Jurassic source kitchen was in
close proximity to magma during the Palaeogene, and that some magma may have intruded into
the poorly imaged Jurassic sections. In such a situation, direct heating of the Jurassic sequences
may have led to over-maturation of the source rock. Furthermore, compartmentalisation of the
source rock by interconnected intrusions may hinder migration efficiency from the source kitchen,
as although some intrusions within the FSB are thought to act as fractured conduits, others appear
to have fractures filled by secondary minerals (see Rateau et al. 2013).

The notion that as much as 88% of the intruded material in the basin is not properly
imaged is significant because the effect of igneous intrusions on the petroleum systems and their
distribution and geometry has not traditionally been considered in basin modelling of the FSB (e.g.,
Scotchman et al. 2006). It is likely that within the FSB at least, the FSSC had some effect on the maturity of source rock regions. Where seismic data quality is good, a laterally continuous and heavily intruded zone, ~500-1000 m in thickness, sits directly above the top Jurassic (e.g., Fig. 5 and 11). The resolvability of intrusions at this depth, where vertical resolution is around 114 m, would suggest that the section is dominated by either a series of >114 m thick intrusions, or a series of smaller intrusions forming an interference effect. The potential heating impact on the underlying source kitchen from the overlying, heavily intruded section is significant, and we suggest it should be properly considered in future basin models of the FSB.

The highly intruded nature of the lowermost Cretaceous (and possibly uppermost Jurassic?) within the FSB could have led to hydrocarbon migration issues, if the intrusions (or surrounding contact metamorphic zones) are acting in a sealing capacity, by trapping hydrocarbons, in particular oil phases, close to the source. This scenario would have resulted in reduced migration efficiency and charge into reservoirs in the basin since the emplacement of the FSSC in the Palaeocene.

Conclusions

This paper provides a comprehensive overview of the Faroe-Shetland Sill Complex in the Faroe-Shetland Basin, and represents the first basin-wide study of a sub-volcanic plumbing system in a hydrocarbon-producing basin. The study provides the first high-quality regional map of sub-volcanic intrusions in the FSB. We suggest that magma enters the basin at localities related to key structural features and is emplaced both vertically and laterally in a complex network of stacked sheets, that are typically strata-bound and/or exploit sub-basin faults. Magma flow directions are resolved from detailed mapping of magma flow lobes and their interaction with host strata. We have identified a prominent NE-SW axial feeding zone to the sills in the FSB. This feeding zone cuts across many NW-SE structural (“transfer”) lineaments, and suggests that these lineaments
may not exert as important a tectonic control on the basin as previously suggested. The seismic data, however, do provide evidence of lateral movement of magma through the crust and demonstrate that existing models of vertically stacked volcanic-magmatic systems are potentially oversimplified.

Despite the very high quality seismic data used in this study, comparisons of resolvable intrusions with well data indicate up to 88% of sills in the basin may not be identified (this figure could be potentially greater on older datasets). Although many such intrusions may be small, their combined volume represents a significant amount of magma. These volumes are considerably underestimated in existing basin models and may have significant impact on the hydrocarbon system of the basin.

We have also identified the important effect of intrusions on the petroleum system. Intrusions may be closely linked to oil and gas fields and may act as potential pathways for hydrocarbon migration (e.g., due to fractures). The pervasive emplacement of intrusions into particular strata in a basin such as the FSB can, however, lead to compartmentalization of the petroleum system and significantly inhibit hydrocarbon migration and extraction.

The study demonstrates the consequences of magma intrusion into sedimentary basins. We have provided a comprehensive regional case study of magma movement in the shallow crust and its clear implications for petroleum systems, and suggest that similar studies will enhance future basin analyses and exploration.
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**Figures:**

**Fig. 1 - A)** Main structural configuration of the Faroe-Shetland Basin. Note the predominant SW-NE structural trend of the basin (from Ritchie et al. 2011), consisting of a series of intra-basinal basement highs separating sub-basins. **B)** Approximate outline of the study area, with wells and seismic lines referred to in the text indicated.

**Fig. 2 – Palaeogene stratigraphy West of Shetland (modified from Schofield and Jolley, 2013), with British Geological Survey lithostratigraphy (Ritchie et al. 2011) and BP T-sequence framework (after Ebdon et al. 1995), and the stratigraphical position of the Faroe Island Basalt Group (FIBG) (after Passey and Jolley 2009).**

**Fig. 3 – Comparison between seismic line from PGS FSB MegaSurvey data (A) against the same seismic line from the re-processed PGS FSB MegaSurveyPlus data (B).** Note the improvement in signal to noise levels, reduction in multiples and increased definition of deeper Cretaceous and Top Jurassic structure in the re-processed data. Data courtesy of PGS.

**Fig. 4 – A)** Opacity render showing Sills A and B within the seismic data. **B)** Enlargement of A showing the detailed structure of the two sills. Note the ragged, lobate nature of the outer edge of the sills. **C)** The interpretation of magma flow directions within sills A and B, based on the lobate geometries examined in three dimensions, following the methodology of Thomson and Hutton (2004), Hansen and Cartwright (2006) and Schofield et al. (2012b).

**Fig. 5 – A)** Map showing the flow directions interpreted in sills across the FSSC (see main text and Fig. 4 for details), along with major basin structure (after Richie et al 2011). **B)** Map showing a schematic representation of the main zones of magma input into the FSB based on interpreted flow directions within the sills of the FSSC, overlain on the basin structure map (from Richie et al. 2011).

**Fig. 6 - A)** Histogram of 19 wells across the FSB, which collectively penetrated 149 separate sill intrusions. It should be noted that most intrusions within the FSB intrude into the base Palaeocene and throughout the Cretaceous sequences. At these depths in the basin, vertical seismic resolution is at best ~40 m. From the sills penetrated by wells, 73% of all the intrusions are <15 m thick, substantially below the vertical resolution of seismic data, and 88% are <40 m thick. Therefore,
within the FSB potentially up to 88% of the total sills are not being imaged clearly within seismic data. B) Lower zone of sills penetrated by well 205/10-2b. From the synthetic seismic well-tie generated using the wavelet extracted from the range in which the sills occur, only the two largest intrusions (representing 40% of the total thickness of intrusions in this zone) are clearly imaged as discrete seismic reflectors. Although the other intrusions (representing 60% of the total thickness of intrusions in this zone) form interference effects, they are not discernable as discrete intrusions, meaning that based on seismic alone, the majority of the total thickness of intruded material has been missed. Data courtesy of PGS (FSB MegaSurveyPlus).

Fig. 7 – NW-SE trending seismic line through the northern Flett and Sissal Sub-basins intersecting key wells (Fig. 1, A-A′), with accompanying geo-seismic interpretation and sub-basin divisions marked (data courtesy of PGS FSB MegaSurveyPlus). Within the Sissal Basin, the main input point of magma into the basin fill appears to be the Sissal Basin Fault (see Fig. 1). Within the northern Flett Sub-basin, the magma input points into the basin fill appear to occur over a broad ~40 km zone located along the central axis of the sub-basin. Well 208/21-1 penetrated an intra-basinal high and sequence T36 sub-aerial lava flows, suggesting that volcanism in this area predated the main eruption of the Faroe-Island Basalt Group (see Fig. 2).

Fig. 8 – A) Opacity rendered image of sills underlying the sequence T36 sub-aerial lava flow penetrated by well 208/21-1. B) The sills form a series of interconnected intrusions that climbed and fed magma towards the intra-basinal high penetrated by 208/21-1, and came into close contact with the base of the sequence T36 sub-aerial lava. The close spatial relationship of these intrusions with the sub-aerial lava flow suggests that the intrusions in this area of the basin are also sequence T36 in age. The lava flows themselves appear to have an origin from a paleo-high and flowed down a paleo-slope basinward in a westerly direction. Data courtesy of PGS (FSB MegaSurveyPlus).

Fig. 9 - NW-SE trending seismic line through the central Flett Sub-basin intersecting key wells (Fig. 1, B-B′), with accompanying geo-seismic interpretation and sub-basin divisions marked (data courtesy of PGS FSB MegaSurveyPlus). Within the central Flett Sub-basin, the main input point of magma into the basin appears to be the Flett Basin Fault. Over 25 km of lateral and 3 km of vertical magma movement is interpreted to have occurred through interconnected sills exploiting a series of tilted fault blocks (see Thomson and Schofield, 2008). A prominent forced fold has domed the Top Lamba surface Sediment volcanoes erupted sediment onto this surface and fold
(Intra-Flett/Lamba event; see text and Fig. 10 for details). The Foula Sub-basin is relatively devoid of sill intrusions, but above the Rona Ridge isolated intrusions occur, from magma likely sourced through the basement high.

**Fig. 10 - A)** Top Lamba surface with closure of forced folds and location of sediment volcanoes marked. **B)** Oblique view showing sediment volcano onlapping onto the forced fold, and downlapping onto Top Lamba surface. Data courtesy of PGS (FSB MegaSurveyPlus) **C)** Enlargement of seismic line shown in Fig. 9 showing sediment volcano (as described by Grove, 2013) within the central Flett Sub-basin. Note the onlaps onto the sill induced forced fold, and downlaps onto the Top Lamba surface, demonstrating that the forced fold had paleotopographic expression before the eruption of the sediment volcano. Base Flett position is marked. **D)** Stratigraphic palynology of section from well 214/28-1, which penetrated the sediment volcano and overlying sediments. The sediment volcano is composed of a series of recycled flora from sequence T36, suggesting that mobilized sand which fed the volcano was sourced from the Lower Lamba Formation; however, the zone of seismic disturbance (A), possibly representing the fluid pipe, extends towards the Base Cretaceous, suggesting that the fluids which mobilized the sands may have been sourced from a deeper basinal level. **E)** Enlargement of another sediment volcano situated on the NW flank of the forced fold (Fig. 9). This sediment volcano sits at the same stratigraphic level as the one described by Grove (2013). Data courtesy of PGS (FSB MegaSurveyPlus)

**Fig. 11 - NW-SE trending seismic line through the southern Flett Sub-basin intersecting key wells (Fig. 1, C-C’), with accompanying geo-seismic interpretation and sub-basin divisions marked (data courtesy of PGS FSB MegaSurveyPlus). Magma input into the southern Flett Sub-basin continues to be dominated by the Flett Basin Fault. Compared to other areas of the Flett Sub-basin, the southern Flett Sub-basin contains a lower frequency of intrusions, allowing for imaging of the base Cretaceous unconformity, which appears to be heavily intruded by a series of generally strata-bound intrusions that may cause a significant risk of igneous compartmentalization of the Jurassic source rocks.

**Fig. 12 – Data courtesy of the Rosebank Joint Venture Project. A)** Sequence T45 upper volcanics TWT surface map of the Rosebank Field located above the Corona Ridge. **B)** Seismic line through a 2 km wide volcanic edifice. **C)** Oblique view of the volcanic edifice, showing the central crater.
**D)** Spectral decomposition conducted on the upper volcanic surface displayed as a RGB Blend (R=11 Hz, G=12 Hz, B = 13 Hz), which differentiates the lava field morphology, and illustrates that the volcanic edifice has fed a series of SE-flowing lava flows. This series of upper Flett, sequence T45 lava flows illustrates that the Corona Ridge had undergone volcanism during these times.

**Fig. 13-** A) Seismic line tieing well 214/30A-2 (Glenlivet Field) and well 207/01a-4z, which intersected a 146 m thick sill that was dated radiometrically at ~52.4 Ma, constraining its emplacement to post-deposition of the Balder Formation. The sill created a forced fold above the intrusion, which domed the Upper Cretaceous surface. Subsequent onlaps of the Lamba and Vaila sequences suggest that the forced fold had topography by Vaila times (63 – 58 Ma). Therefore, the underlying intrusion, which caused the forced fold, must pre-date the radiometric age by some 10 to 5 Ma years, questioning the validity of the radiometric dating. B) Map of the Upper Cretaceous horizon in the vicinity of well 207/01a-4z showing the forced fold. C) Oblique view of the forced fold developed on the Upper Cretaceous horizon, and underlying sill intrusion. All data courtesy of PGS (FSB MegaSurveyPlus).

**Fig. 14 -** A) Opacity rendered image showing the sills within the central Flett Sub-basin, and the relative position of the Laggan and Tormore oil/gas fields. B) Enlargement of the Tormore oil/gas field showing the underlying sill complex. See Fig. 16 for detailed relationship. All data courtesy of PGS (FSB MegaSurveyPlus).

**Fig. 15-** A) Seismic line through the central Flett Sub-basin showing a sill in close association with the Tormore oil and gas field (205/5a-1) (Fig. 1, D-D’). B) Geo-seismic interpretation showing how the edge of the sill tips climb faults and extend close to the down-dip extent of the Laggan/Tormore Vaila-aged sand body. C) Enlargement of seismic line showing how the sill tip has climbed sub-vertically and extends close to down-dip extent of the Tormore sand body. Note the amplitude anomaly within the down-dip sand body located directly above the sill tip. D) Rotated phase (90°) envelope image illustrating the high amplitudes of the sill and Tormore gas leg. The sill tip can be seen within the fault plane, suggesting that hydrocarbon migration has taken place through the fractured sill into the Tormore Field. All data courtesy of PGS (FSB MegaSurveyPlus).
Fig 2
274x297mm (300 x 300 DPI)
Fig 6
211x318mm (300 x 300 DPI)
Fig 7
211x288mm (300 x 300 DPI)
Fig 8

278x344mm (300 x 300 DPI)
Fig 9
338x474mm (150 x 150 DPI)
Fig 10
211x253mm (300 x 300 DPI)
Fig 11
169x407mm (300 x 300 DPI)
Fig 12
211x161mm (300 x 300 DPI)
Fig 15
339x210mm (300 x 300 DPI)