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Highlights

- Monoaminergic systems are altered in Alzheimer’s disease.
- Noradrenergic LC and serotonergic DRN are among the first affected by tau pathology.
- Changes in DRN and LC lead to the deterioration of the sleep-wake cycle in AD.
- Depression in preclinical AD further indicates monoaminergic alteration in AD.
- In view of its early involvement in AD, the serotonergic system could serve as a therapeutic target.

Abstract

None of the proposed mechanisms of Alzheimer’s disease (AD) fully explains the distribution patterns of the neuropathological changes at the cellular and regional levels, and their clinical correlates. One aspect of this problem lies in the complex genetic, epigenetic, and environmental landscape of AD: early-onset AD is often familial with autosomal dominant inheritance, while the vast majority of AD cases are late-onset, with the ε4 variant of the gene encoding apolipoprotein E (APOE) known to confer a 5-20 fold increased risk with partial penetrance. Mechanisms by which genetic variants and environmental factors influence the development of AD pathological changes, especially neurofibrillary degeneration, are not yet known. Here we review current knowledge of the involvement of the monoaminergic systems in AD. The changes in the serotonergic, noradrenergic, dopaminergic, histaminergic, and melatonergic systems in AD are briefly described. We also summarize the possibilities for monoamine-based treatment in AD. Besides neuropathologic AD criteria that include the noradrenergic locus coeruleus (LC), special emphasis is given to the serotonergic dorsal raphe nucleus (DRN). Both of these brainstem nuclei are among the first to be affected by tau protein abnormalities in the course of sporadic AD, causing behavioral and cognitive symptoms of variable severity. The possibility that most of the tangle-bearing neurons of the LC and DRN may release amyloid β as well as soluble monomeric or oligomeric tau protein trans-synaptically by their diffuse projections to the cerebral cortex emphasizes their selective vulnerability and warrants further investigations of the monoaminergic systems in AD.
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1. Clinical and neuropathological criteria for AD diagnosis

Alzheimer’s disease (AD) accounts for 60-70% of cases of dementia (World Health Organization, WHO Fact Sheet No. 362, March 2015). The report of Alzheimer’s Disease International (ADI, Alzheimer World Report, 2015) showed that nearly 35.6 million people suffered from dementia in 2012. It is estimated that this number will quadruple by 2050. Therefore, the WHO in 2012 declared AD a global public health priority. There is still no effective treatment to prevent or cure AD. Currently, approved drugs only temporarily alleviate some of the disease’s symptoms to a limited extent. Cholinomimetics (tacrine, rivastigmine, donepezil, and galantamine) do so by enhancing the cholinergic neurotransmission, whereas memantine (a non-competitive antagonist of N-methyl-D-aspartate receptors, NMDAR) is considered to have protective activity against glutamate-induced excitotoxic neuronal death (Yiannopoulou and Papageorgiou, 2013).

1.1. Clues to the etiology of AD

After the milestone discoveries that cerebrovascular amyloid (due to cerebral amyloid angiopathy, CAA) and senile plaques (SP) are composed of amyloid β (Aβ) protein, that the same antigenic determinants (Glenner and Wong, 1984a; Wong et al., 1985) are shared in both AD and Down’s syndrome (Glenner and Wong, 1984b), and that the Val717Ile missense (“London”) mutation in the amyloid precursor protein (APP) gene on chromosome 21 was found to be causally related to the early-onset autosomal-dominant familial AD (Goate et al., 1991), Hardy, Selkoe and colleagues (Hardy and Allsop, 1991; Selkoe, 1991; Hardy and Higgins, 1992) formulated the amyloid cascade hypothesis, which has become a dominant view of AD pathogenesis ever since. An illustration of amyloid plaques in the brain of an AD case is given in Figure 1. According to the amyloid theory, excessive production of Aβ (which exists in monomeric, oligomeric, and aggregated forms as SP) via serial cleavage of the larger amyloid precursor protein (APP) molecule by β-secretase (β-site APP cleaving enzyme, BACE, encoded by the BACE1 gene) and γ-secretase (multiprotein complex now known to minimally consist of 4 individual proteins: presenilin, nicastrin, anterior pharynx-defective 1, APH-1, and presenilin enhancer 2, PEN-2) (Blanquet et al. 1987; Robakis et al., 1987; St George-Hyslop et al., 1987; Shoji et al., 1992; Citron et al., 1992), is the key pathological event which drives all other pathological changes. These pathological changes include altered calcium homeostasis, microglial activation/inflammation, astrocytosis, an upregulated production of nitric oxide and DNA damage (Šimić et al., 2000), dysregulation of energy metabolism and cell cycle control, a significant increase in the full-length mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) accompanied by extensive fragmentation of the unamplified mtDNA (Diana et al., 2008), the development of neurofibrillary tangles (NFT), synaptic loss, excitotoxicity, neuronal death, and dementia, not only in early-onset cases (EOAD) but also in late-onset cases of AD (LOAD). In 1987 Goldgaber and collaborators isolated APP and localized its gene to chromosome 21 (Goldgaber et al., 1987). Interestingly, the first APP mutation discovered, a G to
C mutation at codon 693 (APP Glu693Gln) was not causing AD, but instead caused hereditary cerebral hemorrhage with amyloidosis – Dutch type (HCHWA-D; Van Broeckhoven et al., 1990; Levy et al., 1990). This is most probably due to the fact that affected individuals died from cerebral bleeding at a younger age before developing clinical AD. Interestingly enough, two out of four other known mutations within the Aβ-coding part of APP (exons 16 and 17) also cause fatal hemorrhages due to amyloid angiopathy (APP Cys692Gly – Flemish, and APP Glu693Lys – Italian), while only the rare “Arctic” (APP Glu693Gly) and Osaka (APP Glu693Δ) mutations cause EOAD.

The long-known fact that there are many families in which AD has an early onset (before age of 60) and is inherited in an autosomal dominant manner (Lowenberg and Waggoner, 1934) could therefore not be explained by a very small number of AD families with APP mutations. This question had been resolved by the discovery of mutations in the presenilin 1 (PSEN1) gene on chromosome 14 (St. George-Hyslop et al., 1992; Sherrington et al., 1995) and homologous gene PSEN2, on chromosome 1 (Schellenberg et al., 1992; Levy-Lahad et al., 1995). PSEN1 and PSEN2 are components of the γ-secretase complex, which can cleave APP at several points resulting in Aβ of various lengths. The peptides associated with AD are 40 and 42 amino acid-long, with Aβ42 more likely to aggregate to form SP in the brain than Aβ40. All PSEN mutations lead to an increase in the Aβ42:Aβ40 ratio, although the total quantity of Aβ produced remains constant (Citron et al., 1997; Czech et al., 2000). Whether PSEN mutations correspond to a gain or loss of function is still controversial, although PS1 mutations were expressed at normal levels, they impaired γ-secretase activity but not γ-secretase-independent functions of PS1 (Woodruff et al., 2013). Thus, PS1 mutations do not act as simple loss of PS1 function, but instead dominantly as gain of PS1 activity toxic to some, but not all conditions. Presenilins are also implicated in the processing of Notch (Okochi et al., 2002; De Strooper et al., 2012), an important developmental protein. PS1 knock-out mice die early in development from abnormalities similar to those found when Notch is disrupted (Shen et al., 1997). APP can also be cleaved by α-secretases such as a disintegrin and metalloprotease 10 (ADAM10) and tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNF-α) converting enzyme (TACE), but this cleavage does not result in Aβ, instead generating a neurotrophic and neuroprotective fragment APPs-α (Corrigan et al., 2011; for review see Endres and Fahrenholz, 2012).

1.1.1. The role of amyloid β protein (Aβ)

Most researchers still held the view, usually unwritten, that Aβ was just a waste product of APP metabolism, while some others have suggested that Aβ1-42 may have an acute “protective” role in sealing microhemorrhages in the extensive network of blood vessels that meanders for more than 600 km through the human brain (Atwood et al., 2003; Hardy, 2007; Hardy, 2009). The upregulation of N-terminal fragment of APP would, under such a scheme, be part of the attempt to prevent clotting in the hemorrhaged region caused by blood contact with the brain tissue (if the coagulation cascade would exist in the brain, vascular blockage would lead to ischemic stroke and permanent neuronal death because the brain, unlike other tissues, has close to zero ability to replace terminally differentiated neurons), whereas Aβ would be a vascular sealant, anti-
coagulant and remodeling molecule (Atwood et al., 2003). This would also explain close and intimate relationships between plaques and blood vessels in transgenic mouse models (Kumar-Singh et al., 2005), the presence of iron in every plaque (Falangola et al., 2005), and the association of APOE ε2 genotype with cerebral hemorrhage (McCarron and Nicoll, 2000; Loehr et al., 2014; Charidimou et al., 2015). Unlike microbleeds in the deep and infratentorial regions, which are thought to reflect hypertensive arteriopathy, lobar microbleeds are associated clinically with CAA, and frequently observed in seemingly asymptomatic populations (Loehr et al., 2014). If the above concept is true, it would also be useful to explain the fact why about around 40% of AD patients have normotensive hydrocephalus (because CAA would prevent the proper exchange of water and ions through the blood-brain barrier, BBB), and why about 40% of adult patients with idiopathic chronic normal pressure hydrocephalus have histological lesions characteristic of AD, as revealed from cortical biopsies (Golomb et al., 2000). Alternatively, the role of Aβ in maintaining vascular homeostasis (mediated by sealing the BBB) may be related to restraining periarterial drainage in order to prevent the elimination of high molecular weight substances from the brain, as such a drainage of brain antigens from brain’s interstitial fluid to cervical lymph nodes would cause autoimmune encephalomyelitis and multiple sclerosis (Weller, 1998). These insights may also explain the root cause of the encephalomyelitis suffered by individuals in immunotherapy trials as being directly associated with removal of Aβ from the vasculature, as immunological responses to Aβ vaccination do not discriminate between vascular deposits of Aβ and deposits of Aβ in SP (Lambracht-Washington and Rosenberg, 2012), which has been confirmed in a mouse model (Furlan et al., 2003). Furthermore, it would also fit well to in vivo evidence that the removal of deposited Aβ from the vasculature leads to increased cerebral hemorrhages (Uro-Coste et al., 2010), again strongly supporting the above mentioned concept of APP/Aβ functions as sealant, anticoagulant and remodeling molecule (Atwood et al., 2003, Hardy, 2009).

1.1.1.2. Genetics of AD

Collectively, the genetic etiology of AD is very complex. EOAD (less than 1% of cases) is often familial (fAD), with autosomal dominant and fully penetrant inheritance and can be caused by any of more than 200 pathogenic mutations in APP (33 mutations, duplication), PSEN1 (185 mutations) and PSEN2 (13 mutations; http://www.molgen.ua.ac.be/Admutations). A rare mutation in the APP gene that protects against AD and cognitive decline in the elderly without AD was also reported (Jonsson et al., 2012). Most AD cases (over 99%) however are sporadic, late-onset (SAD, LOAD) and have few evident genetic components. The ε4 variant of the gene encoding apolipoprotein E (APOE) is known to confer increased risk for LOAD (Strittmatter et al., 1993; Saunders et al., 1993) with partial penetrance. Based on 320 meta-analyses of 1395 studies in which 695 genes and their 2973 polymorphisms have been tested as late-onset AD candidate genes, over 30 yield positive evidence for association. The number one gene is APOE, with a Bayes factor (BF) > 50. Using APOE genotype ε3/ε3 as a neutral benchmark for comparison, individuals with a single copy of ε4 allele manifest a 5-fold increased risk of developing LOAD, while those
with two copies have an estimated 20-fold increased risk (Strittmatter, 2012). It seems that different APOE alleles are not associated with an increase in Aβ production, but with an inability to clear Aβ from the brain (Mawuenyega et al., 2010; Castellano et al., 2011). This may be related to the reduced production of Aβ auto-antibodies in AD subjects (Qu et al., 2014).

The next nine genes with the highest association with LOAD are: BIN1 (BF = 23.4) which encodes several isoforms of a nucleoplasmic adaptor protein, one of which was identified as MYC-interacting protein; CLU (BF = 20.1), which encodes apolipoprotein J, ABCA7 (BF = 18.8) for ATP-binding cassette transporter, subfamily A [ABC1], member 7, CR1 (BF = 18.1) for complement component receptor 1; PICALM (BF = 17.3), for phosphatidylinositol binding clathrin assembly protein; MS4A6A (BF = 8.7), CD33 (BF = 7.7) for a transmembrane receptor expressed on cells of myeloid lineage – cluster of differentiation 33; MS4A4E (BF = 6.9), coding for protein membrane-spanning 4-domains, subfamily A, member 4E, and CD2AP (BF = 6.6) which codes for a scaffolding molecule that regulates the actin cytoskeleton (according to www.alzgene.org assessed in December 2015). Genetic variants of all of these genes have a relatively minor influence on AD progression when altered (Cacabelos, 2007). Although their influence on the development and course of sAD remain largely unknown (Hollingworth et al., 2011; Naj et al., 2011; Lardenoije et al., 2015), most of them are presumably involved in the metabolism of Aβ. Some of them, such as APOE and ABCA7, are known to be also centrally involved in cholesterol transport and metabolism; both of these genes are targets of transcription factors and nuclear receptors called liver-X receptors (LXR) (Stefulj et al., 2013). Most recently, rare mutations of TREM2 (Jonsson et al., 2013, Guerreiro et al., 2013) and PLD3 (Cruchaga et al., 2014) were proposed to confer a much larger increase in risk for LOAD than the aforementioned common sequence variants. However, a role for PLD3 rare variants in AD could not be confirmed in a European Consortium Cohort (Cacace et al., 2015). Recent evidence also suggests that, besides mutations causing EOAD, there are novel, rare additional variants in APP, PSEN1, PSEN2, and ADAM10 that alter the risk for LOAD (Karch and Goate, 2015). For example, rare variants in APP may increase (e.g., APP Asn660Tyr), decrease (e.g., APP Ala673Thr), or have no effect on risk (e.g., APP Glu599Lys), whereas PSEN1 polymorphism Glu318Gly (Benitez et al., 2013) and ADAM10 risk variants Gln170His and Arg181Gly (Kim et al., 2009) are associated with a significant increase in LOAD risk. In addition to increasing Aβ levels in vitro (Kim et al., 2009), in one of the best characterized mouse models for AD, the Tg2576, the two aforementioned ADAM risk variants were also shown to disrupt α-secretase activity and shift APP processing toward amyloidogenic cleavage, thus yielding increased plaque load (Suh et al., 2013).

1.1.3. The role of tau protein

The significance of tau protein, essential for microtubule (MT) assembly (Weingarten et al., 1975), in the pathogenesis of AD remained in the shadow of the amyloid theory during the late 1980s and early 1990s. However, the distribution pattern and overall quantity of Aβ turned out to be of limited significance for pathological staging of AD progression and symptom severity. After detailed studies of the maturation and distribution of NFT showing correlation with the
degree of cognitive decline and memory impairment in AD using classical silver staining (Braak and Braak, 1991; Fig. 2) and immunohistochemical staining for hyperphosphorylated tau (Braak et al., 2006; see example in Fig. 3), a neuropathological staging of tau deposition in the brain, including NFT and neuropil threads (NT) in neurites, was proposed (Fig. 4). The possibility that the burden of NFT provides a better association with cognitive impairment was soon confirmed (Arriagada et al. 1992; Bierer et al., 1995), supporting a significant role for tau pathology in the disease. As shown in Figure 4, the Braak’s staging system classifies the topographic progression of AD neurofibrillary degeneration in six stages. Spreading from the transentorhinal region to the hippocampal formation (initial stages I and II) clinically correlate with subjective or objective impairment of memory for recent events and mild spatial disorientation, but with preservation of general cognitive functioning with or without minimum impairment of daily living activities (Braak and Braak, 1991; Šimić et al., 2005; Šimić et al., 2009). Further spread to the temporal, frontal, and parietal neocortex (intermediate stages III and IV) correlates with impaired recall, delayed word recall and word finding difficulties, disorientation in time and space, and impaired concentration, comprehension, and conceptualization, among other symptoms of dementia. Finally, neurofibrillary degeneration affects unimodal and primary sensory and motor areas of the neocortex (late stages V and VI), which roughly correlates with disturbances in object recognition, and other perceptual and motor skills.

One explanation for early AD changes in the hippocampus, entorhinal cortex, and temporal neocortex can be an age-dependent BBB breakdown in the hippocampus, as recently revealed by an advanced dynamic contrast-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) protocol with high spatial and temporal resolutions to quantify regional BBB permeability in the living human brain (Montagne et al., 2015). Indeed, the BBB breakdown in the hippocampus and dentate gyrus worsened with MCI that correlated with injury to BBB-associated pericytes, as shown by CSF analysis (Montagne et al., 2015).

Neurons in layers II and III of the transentorhinal and entorhinal cortex are consistently affected by neurofibrillary degeneration, either during normal aging or in primary age-related tauopathy, PART (Braak and Braak, 1991; Šimić et al., 2005; Crary et al., 2014; Jellinger et al., 2015). Stereologic estimates showed a 43.5% average neuron loss in 32–83 year old subjects (Šimić et al., 2005). Hof and collaborators showed that a considerable proportion (73–77%) of entorhinal layer II neurons affected by neurofibrillary degeneration might preserve some function even at stages with a Clinical Dementia Rating (CDR) score of 3 (Hof et al., 2003). As long as elderly patients do not suffer from AD, they appear neuropathologically quite comparable as a group (Hof et al., 2003). It is therefore not surprising that significant neuron loss due solely to aging cannot be revealed without younger adult cases included in the regressions. On the other hand, when neuronal loss attributable to aging is superimposed to an unbiased estimate of the number of NFT in AD, regions like the entorhinal cortex and hippocampal formation may display neuronal loss larger than that accounted for by NFT counts alone (Šimić et al., 1998; Krill et al., 2002). Thus, the pattern of neuron loss does not necessarily match the pattern of NFT formation, due to mechanisms other than neurofibrillary degeneration (Šimić et al., 1998a, 1998b; Hof et al., 2003; Andrade-Moraes et al., 2013). Based on the notion that NFT evolved from an accumulation of
abnormally hyperphosphorylated tau without PHF formation (described as the ‘pretangle’ stage, Bancher et al., 1989), Braak and others also demonstrated that hyperphosphorylation is probably a crucial step leading to the formation of both soluble and insoluble tau filaments (Braak et al., 1994), that neuronal damage in AD actually begins many years before any clinical symptoms and signs (Braak and Del Tredici, 2015), and that, unlike Aβ, the distribution of tau pathology is associated with the clinical progression of AD (Bierer et al., 1995). In contrast to the amyloid cascade hypothesis of AD, which implies that tau pathology is a secondary, downstream phenomenon, the neuropathological findings of Braak and collaborators have fueled a significant controversy concerning the importance or contributions of Aβ burden-induced damage compared to that caused by tau pathology, particularly in LOAD. Additionally, the pathological Aβ and tau proteins mutually interact and are influenced by many other factors, such as epigenetic (Lardenoije et al., 2015), inflammatory (Joshi and Praticò, 2014), vascular, and possibly direct environmental causes (metals, metalloids, pollutants, various compounds in food), as well as compensatory neuroplastic response to counteract neural injury associated with neurodegenerative processes (Wang et al., 2011), all of which may promote cognitive and behavioral decline.

Compelling evidence that tau malfunction or dysregulation alone can be sufficient to cause neurodegeneration came from the identification of mutations in the tau-encoding MAPT gene on chromosome 17, which cause frontotemporal dementia with parkinsonism (FTDP-17; Hutton et al., 1998). This finding strengthens cytoskeletal abnormalities as a possible pivotal mechanism in neurodegeneration in AD (Terry, 1996; Šimić et al., 1998a), and positioned AD as the most important secondary tauopathy (as the tau-coding MAPT gene itself is not mutated), while mutations in the MAPT gene subsequently identified into a new group of diseases now called primary tauopathies. In the years to follow, both in vitro and in vivo studies have shown that reducing endogeneous tau ameliorates Aβ-induced deficits (Roberson et al., 2007; Bhatia and Hall, 2013; for review see Wang and Mandelkow, 2016), which provided compelling evidence that tau is sufficient and necessary for Aβ-induced neurodegeneration.

Genetic studies, including genome-wide association (GWAS), have demonstrated the importance of both the inversion polymorphism and haplotype-specific polymorphisms (the common haplotype clades marking the majority and inverted sequences are termed H1 and H2, respectively) of MAPT in various tauopathies (Anaya et al., 2011; for review, see Trabzuni et al., 2012). More specifically, abnormal phosphorylation, aggregation, and proteolysis of the tau protein in a “pre-tangle” stage of neurofibrillary degeneration have been neuropathologically documented to be an early and crucial event in the pathogenesis of AD, but also other sporadic tauopathies, such as progressive supranuclear palsy (PSP) (Luk et al., 2010) and argyrophilic grain disease (AgD) (Šimić, 2002; Williams, 2006; Murray, 2014), confirming involvement of tau in common pathogenetic pathways. Based on the tau isoforms found in the aggregates, tauopathies are classified into three groups: 4R tauopathies (including PSP, AgD, and cortico-basal degeneration, CBD), 3R tauopathies (e.g. frontotemporal lobar degeneration with tau inclusions, FTLD-tau, previously known as Pick’s disease) and 3R/4R tauopathies (e.g. AD). Owing to an additional repeat microtubule-binding domain (R2), 4R tau isoforms show higher affinity for
microtubules than 3R isoforms. Tau repeat domains bind at the interface between α- and β-tubulin heterodimers, suggesting that there is competition between their physiological interaction with tubulin and pathogenic misfolding (Kadavath et al., 2015). Most recently, Huntington’s disease has been confirmed as 4R tauopathy (Fernández-Nogales et al., 2014).

1.1.1.3.1. Phosphorylation of tau protein

Phosphorylation plays a crucial role in regulating functions of tau, including its binding to microtubules. The longest brain isoform of tau, tau144, has about 80 Ser/Thr and 5 Tyr residues that can be phosphorylated by various protein kinases encoded by 518 protein kinase genes in the human genome (Buée et al., 2000; Manning et al., 2002; Šimić et al., 2016). Immunolabeling with phospho-dependent antibodies raised against various tau phosphorylation sites, as well as spectrometric analysis, revealed that over 40 Ser/Thr and 2 Tyr residues are phosphorylated in PHF (Buée et al., 2000; Iqbal et al., 2016; Šimić et al., 2016). In AD, Ser/Thr residues followed by Pro are the most frequently phosphorylated sites, accounting for about half of phosphorylated residues. These sites are outside the microtubule-binding domain and are phosphorylated by proline-directed protein kinases (PDPK). The main PDPK are glycogen synthase kinase-3β (GSK-3β), mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK), JNK (c-Jun N-terminal kinase), cyclin-dependent-like kinase 5 (CDCKS) and dual specificity tyrosine-phosphorylation-regulated kinase 1A (DYRK1A; Buée et al., 2000; Iqbal et al., 2016). Ser/Thr residues that are not followed by Pro (i.e. non-proline-directed sites of tau) are phosphorylated by non-PDPK. Non-PDPK are directed toward KXGS-motif and some of the most well known are: calcium/calmodulin-activated protein kinase II (CaMKII), microtubule-affinity-regulated kinase 110 (MARK p110), protein kinase A (PKA), and kasein kinase 1 (CK1; Buée et al., 2000; Iqbal et al., 2016). Phosphorylation of KXGS motifs in the repeat domain of tau (particularly Ser262) reduce the affinity of tau to microtubules and, together with phosphorylation of Ser212 Thr231, trigger the detachment of tau from microtubules (Wang and Mandelkow, 2016), similarly as MAPT mutations affecting tau protein near the microtubule-binding domain (e.g., Gly272Val, Asn279Lys, ΔLys280, Pro301Leu, Val337Met and Arg406Trp) reduce its affinity for microtubules and increase tendency for aggregation (Hong et al., 1998).

Regardless of the large number of various protein kinases that can phosphorylate tau, the phosphorylation state of a protein is the net sum of the activities of both its kinases and phosphatases. The main regulator of tau dephosphorylation is protein phosphatase 2A (PP2A), which accounts for about 70% of the total tau phosphatase activity in the human brain (Liu et al., 2005). PP2A regulates dephosphorylation of tau directly and indirectly, by regulating the activities of CaMKII, PKA, CDK5, and GSK-3β; Iqbal et al., 2005; Jazvinšćak Jembrek et al., 2013). As it has been well known that PP2A activity is compromised in AD (Gong et al., 1995), PP2A represents one of the most important therapeutic targets. Targeting PP2A for potential treatment of AD has gained even more attention after finding that dietary supplementation with a minor component of coffee unrelated to caffeine, eicosanoyl-5-hydroxytryptamide (EHT), provided protection in a rat model of AD (Basurto-Islas et al., 2014). The effect of EHT was due to its ability to inhibit demethylation of the PP2A catalytic C subunit (PP2Ac), thereby preventing a decline in PP2A activity (Basurto-Islas et al., 2014). A similar effect of enhancing PP2A activity had also been
observed for metformin and sodium selenite, which are currently under development for inhibition of tau phosphorylation (Iqbal et al., 2016).

Normal tau protein is thought to have a paper clip-like form, where its C- and N-termini fold over the microtubule-binding domains as short and long ‘arms’, respectively, probably preventing the protein from self-aggregation (Mandelkow et al., 2007). According to Luna-Muñoz and collaborators, at least five different events take place in the “pre-tangle” stage: 1) C-terminal truncation of tau species (Glu-391); 2) a cascade of specific phosphorylations of tau protein in the N-terminus; 3) C-terminal truncation by the action of caspase-3; 4) oligomerization and aggregation of tau; and 5) assembly of tau into PHF (Luna-Muñoz et al., 2013; reviewed in Šimić et al., 2016). However, which form of tau is the most toxic (aggregated misfolded/fibrillar, soluble hyperphosphorylated/mislocalized, or both) and whether that toxicity represents a gain or loss of function continues to be debated. The hypothesis that soluble forms of tau are more toxic to neuronal and synaptic function is increasingly gaining favor, implying that the formation of NFT may protect neurons acutely from the effects of toxic soluble tau (Kopeikina et al., 2012). This hypothesis is supported by the observation that neuron loss in the cerebral cortex of the superior temporal sulcus (Gómez-Isla et al., 1997), as well as entorhinal cortex and hippocampal formation exceeds the number of NFT in AD (Šimić et al., 1998b; Krill et al., 2002).

As tau AD-like hyperphosphorylation occurs in vivo during animal hibernation (Arendt et al., 2003) and in anaesthesia-induced hypothermia (as a consequence of the fact that hypothermia inhibits phosphatases exponentially, but inhibits protein kinases linearly, Planel et al., 2004), it is still not known whether hyperphosphorylation alone is sufficient for tau aggregation. Conversely, aggregation of tau can be induced in vitro e.g. by heparin or other polyanions (Goedert et al., 1996), regardless tau phosphorylation status. Thus, besides hyperphosphorylation, the truncation of tau also seems to be of paramount importance as it promotes tau aggregation through oligomerization of the microtubule-binding repeats (Iqbal et al., 2016; Wang and Mandelkow, 2016). However, although the phosphorylation of tau is in general considered to increase chances of tau for aggregation, phosphorylation of tau at some specific sites seem to be protective, e.g. phosphorylation at Ser422 inhibits the cleavage of tau by caspase-3 and Asp421 (Guillozet-Bongaards et al., 2006), illustrating insufficient knowledge of precise sequence of early molecular events that lead to tau aggregation. What is much better known is that microtubule-binding repeat region R3, which is common to all six tau isoforms, and R2, which is an extra repeat in 4R tau, contain the two hydrophobic hexapeptide motifs with β-sheet structure (VQINK and VQIVYK, respectively, see Fig. 2 in Šimić et al., 2016), which are responsible for downstream tau aggregation by a nucleation-elongation mechanism (von Bergen et al., 2000) and PHF formation (for review, see Šimić et al., 2016). It is believed that generation of tau transgenic mouse strains with ‘pro-aggregant’ (e.g. ΔK280 mutation in the R2 domain) and ‘anti-aggregant’ (e.g. by adding proline substitutions in the hexapeptide motifs) it will enable better understanding of the importance of tau aggregation for neurodegeneration. Aggregation of tau can experimentally be accelerated in mouse models by adding external seeds from preformed PHF (see below). The third most important post-translational modification of tau is probably O-GlcNAcylation, because it depends highly on intracellular glucose metabolism (Knezović et al., 2015).
1.1.3.2. Acetylation of tau protein

Since its discovery, the role of acetylation of tau pathology has been controversial. First, it has been proposed that tau protein acetylation may be responsible for tau aggregation in AD. On the contrary, however, it was recently shown that the acetylation of tau on KXGS motifs inhibits phosphorylation of the same motif, consequently also preventing tau polymerization and aggregation (Cook et al., 2014). Namely, using a site-specific antibody to detect acetylation of KXGS motifs, it has been found that these sites are hypoacetylated in AD patients as well as in a mouse tauopathy model, suggesting that loss of acetylation on KXGS motifs may be an early event in AD (and that augmenting acetylation of the KXGS motifs would probably decrease tau seeding capacity) (Cook et al., 2014). The first antibody developed to detect acetylation of tau at Lys280 (Irwin et al., 2012) showed that tau acetylated at this epitope colocalized with other classical markers of tau pathology (most prominently in moderate to severe disease stages), and is therefore rather a response to than a cause of the disease process (Cook et al., 2014). Strikingly, subsequent usage of the second antibody developed to detect acetylation at Lys274 residue of tau, has shown that that acetylation of this epitope is a very early change in AD brains (Min et al., 2015), which occurs even before tangles are detectable (Grinberg et al., 2013). Interestingly enough, acetylation of tau at Lys274 was detected in all tauopathies (both primary and secondary), except in AgD (Grinberg et al., 2013). Argyrophilic grain disease is a common sporadic 4R tauopathy. The term ‘argyrophilic grains’ is derived from their strong staining with the Gallyas silver iodide method, although not all silver methods permit their visualization. In combination with AD or alone, AgD significantly contributes to dementia in older age subjects and alone accounts for about 5% of all dementia cases (Braak and Braak, 1998; Šimić, 2002). Due to the fact that AgD pathological changes are mostly confined to the CA1 subfield of the cornu ammonis, entorhinal and transentorhinal cortices, the amygdala, and the hypothalamic lateral tuberal nuclei (Šimić, 2002), it has been hypothesized that tau acetylation at Lys274 could also promote spreading of tau pathology (whereas in AgD it could have a protective role in this respect) (Cook et al., 2014). The acetylation of tau protein, however, seems to be much more complex than described here due to the fact that, besides Lys274 and Lys280, there are many self-acetylation (including Lys280 site, Luo et al., 2014) and sites acetylated by the CBP (cAMP response element binding protein) and P300 acetyltransferase (Kamah et al., 2014). Lysin residues acetylated by CBP may be deacetylated by histone deacetylase 6 (HDAC6), whereas P300 acetyltransferase sites can be deacetylated by sirtuin 1 (SIRT1) (Cook et al., 2014). In conclusion, depending on the sites involved, the acetylation of tau could both inhibit its degradation (lysine residues 163, 280, 281, and 369) or facilitate its degradation, at the same time suppressing its phosphorylation and aggregation (lysine residues within the KXGS motifs 259, 290, 321, 353, according to the numbering of the longest isoform; acetylation of these sites is reduced in AD and rTg4510 transgenic mice). Targeting specific lysine residues through specific binding of the molecular tweezer molecule CLR01 has been shown to inhibit both tau (Sinha et al., 2011) and Aβ aggregation and fibrillogenesis in vitro (Attar et al., 2013).
1.1.3.3. Propagation of tau protein pathology

Since 2009, the evidence has been mounting that tau protein can also be directly involved in spreading of AD pathology to neighbouring neurons, however, strong evidence supporting this hierarchical progression (“prion-like behavior of misprocessed tau”) is still missing (Hall and Patuto, 2012). Data support the hypothesis that tau hyperphosphorylation alone or in combination with other post-translational tau modifications of tau protein, such as truncation, acetylation, ubiquitination, glycation, N-glycosylation, O-GlcNAcylation, nitration, lipoperoxidation and sumoylation, can induce its ability to template normal tau (for review see Iqbal et al., 2016; Šimić et al., 2016), but whether misfolded tau can catalyse the conformational changes of normal tau to cause the propagation of pathological changes still remains to be elucidated.

At first, it was shown that injection of brain extract from mice that express human mutant Pro301Ser tau into transgenic mice expressing human wild-type tau (ALZ17 model) was sufficient to induce tau pathology not only within, but also adjacent to, the injection site along anatomically connected pathways (Clavaguera et al., 2009). Second, injection of brain extracts from humans who had died with different tauopathies into the hippocampus or cerebral cortex of either ALZ17 or nontransgenic mice was shown to be not only sufficient to drive inclusion formation, but actually effectively reproduced the classic hallmark lesions of the specific tauopathy characteristic of the inoculating brain extract, either AgD, PSP or CBD (Clavaguera et al., 2013). By measuring synaptic levels of total tau using synaptosomes prepared from cryopreserved human postmortem AD and control samples, Sokolow and collaborators demonstrated the abundance of tau, mainly C-terminal truncated tau, in synaptic terminals in aged control and AD samples, whereas tau fragments and dimers/oligomers were found to be a prominent feature of AD synapses (Sokolow et al., 2015). By using quantitative in vitro models, Calafate and collaborators showed that, in parallel to discovered non-synaptic mechanisms, synapses (but not merely the close distance between the cells) enhance the propagation of tau pathology between acceptor hippocampal neurons and tau donor cells (Calafate et al., 2015). Taken together, these studies have provided additional support for the concept that pathologically altered tau species possess a remarkable self-propagating and seeding capacity, and also indicate that seeding-competent tau species are somehow different and distinct across the class of tauopathies (likely depending on a precise biochemical pattern of post-translational modifications that differentially impact conformation and determine aggregate structure), such that the inoculating material acts as an exact template in the new host (Cook et al., 2014).

Tau pathology can indeed be induced and propagated after the injection of tau oligomers (seeds) or aggregates in either wild-type or mutated MAPT transgenic mice (Iba et al., 2013; Peeraer et al., 2015), in a transgenic mouse model overexpressing Pro301Leu mutated MAPT under the control of an inducible neuropsin promoter in the entorhinal cortex (de Calignon et al., 2012; Liu et al., 2012), and tau aggregates can be spread from cell to cell in vitro (Frost et al., 2009; Guo et al., 2013). These new findings suggest that suppressing tau spreading could be an attractive target for the development of disease-modifying therapeutics for AD and other tauopathies, although more in vitro and in vivo studies are needed to determine whether pathologic tau oligomers spread trans-synaptically, by exosomes, or both ways. In the case of
soluble monomeric or small oligomeric tau protein, the endocytosis appears to be clathrin-independent (reviewed in Rubinsztein, 2006). In contrast, larger aggregates of tau could bind heparin in the extracellular matrix and be internalized through macropinocytosis (Holmes et al., 2014). Additionally, it seems that microglia, the primary phagocytes in the brain, may also spread tau via smaller exosome vesicle (40-100 nm in diameter, Asai et al., 2015) or larger ectosome vesicle (50-1000 nm) secretion (Dujardin et al., 2014). Hypotheses on mechanisms by which products of several of the top LOAD risk genes (APOE, BIN1, CLU, ABCA7, CR1 and PICALM), may be involved in spreading tau have been recently formulated (Avila et al., 2015).

1.1.3.4. The role of tau pathology in synaptic damage

Another important aspect of how tau may be involved in neurodegeneration is through its involvement in neurotransmission (for review, see Jadhav et al., 2015). Namely, finding that tau protein can also be phosphorylated on tyrosines, in addition to threonine and serine residues, led to the discovery that human tau Tyr18 in the N-terminal projection domain is phosphorylated in synapses by Fyn tyrosine kinase from the Src family (at least two tyrosine residues - Tyr18 and Tyr29 - are phosphorylated in NFT; Lee et al., 2004). Additionally, as the projection domain of tau in synapses also interacts with postsynaptic density protein 95 (PSD-95) and NMDAR, it is not surprising that tau is essential for NMDA-dependent long-term potentiation (LTP) and α-amino-3-hydroxy-5-methyl-4-isoxazolepropionic (AMPA)-dependent long-term depression (LTD), as shown in tau knockout mice (Frandemiche et al., 2014; Jadhav et al., 2015). Thus, pathological tau proteins play an important role in the synaptic impairment of human tauopathies and these changes may occur due to an increase in intracellular Aβ.

Lastly, and importantly, tau acts as a HDAC6 inhibitor. This finding places tau on the map of genotype - environment interactions, because it may mediate environmental stresses via its influence on the regulation of transcriptional activity (Valenzuela-Fernández et al., 2008; Perez et al., 2009). Large protein aggregates such as tau aggregates are excluded from the proteasome and can only be degraded by autophagy in lysosomes. Because selective autophagy of protein aggregates requires ubiquitin-binding receptor proteins such as HDAC6, an excess of tau protein expectedly impairs autophagic clearance by binding to HDAC6 (Leyk et al., 2015). Conversely, decrease in HDAC activity or expression, e.g. by using a novel ubiquitin ligase C-terminus of Hsp70 interacting protein (CHIP) that binds and ubiquitinates HDAC6, could serve to alleviate abnormal tau accumulation (Cook et al., 2012; Cook et al., 2014).

1.1.4 Epigenetic changes in pathogenesis of AD

AD is not an accelerated form of aging (Morrison and Hof, 1997; Šimić et al., 1997) and gene changes alone cannot explain the etiopathogenesis of AD. The moderate concordance of AD among twins (Iacono et al., 2014) suggests other factors, potentially epigenetic and environmental, are related to AD pathogenesis. Epigenetics relate to stable and heritable patterns of gene expression and genomic functions that do not involve changes in DNA sequence, but act at the interface of genetic and environmental factors. Many individual studies have suggested a possible role for epigenetic changes in AD etiology. The most investigated epigenetic mark is DNA
methylation, a reversible modification that affects genome function and chromosomal stability through the addition of methyl groups to cytosine located in CpG dinucleotides to form 5-methylcytosine (5mC). In a rare set of monozygotic twins discordant for AD, significantly reduced levels of DNA methylation were observed in the neuronal nuclei of temporal neocortex in the AD twin (Mastroeni et al., 2009). Significantly reduced DNA methylation was also found in entorhinal cortex layer II neurons of 20 AD patients and in particular in the PHF-1/pSεr396-immunoreactive NFT-containing neurons (Mastroeni et al., 2010). Together with the repetitive DNA elements Alu and Satellite-α, long interspersed element 1 (LINE-1) is one of the three major contributors of global DNA methylation pattern, which constitute 17%, 4% and 11% of the genome, respectively. Interestingly, a group of AD patients with the best Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE) scores showed a higher level of LINE-1 methylation, than the AD group with the worst MMSE scores (Bollati et al., 2011). However, firm conclusions cannot be drawn yet as, unfortunately, epigenomic studies of AD so far had only limited coverage of DNA methylation sites and microRNAs (miRNAs), whereas other epigenomic markers have not been systematically studied (for review, see Bennett et al., 2015). Of particular interest is the fact that AD patients display high homocysteine and low B12 vitamine and folate levels in blood, which represents a physiological response to prevent methionine deficiency is the so-called ‘methyl folate trap’ (Scott and Weir, 1981), and may also occur due to B12 deficiency. This suggests a dysregulation in the S-adenosylmethionine cycle that strictly contributes methyl donors for DNA methylation of the promoters of the genes involved in Aβ processing (Scarpa et al., 2006). A further support for this possibility is the observation of an age-specific epigenetic drifts associated with consistently lower methylation patterns in elderly and LOAD subjects than in young and mid aged people, supporting a strong role for epigenetic effects in the development of AD (Wang et al., 2008).

Environmental toxins, pollutants and metals negatively affect global DNA methylation patterns (LaSalle, 2011). For example, prenatal methylmercury exposure resulted in long-lasting depression-like behavior and hypermethylation of brain-derived neurotropic factor gene (Bdnf) in mouse hippocampus (Onishchenko et al., 2008). Air pollution exposure especially damages the BBB in the brainstems and can trigger an autoimmune response contributing to the neuroinflammatory and AD pathology present in children from very large urban centers (Calderón-Garcidueñas et al., 2015; Brockmeyer and D’Angiulli, 2016). Even though numerous studies connect specific metals and metalloids with Aβ and tau pathology (e.g., Aβ spontaneously self-aggregates in the presence of divalent metals like Fe, Cu, and Zn into amyloid fibrils, Mandel et al., 2007), recently bringing a “metal hypothesis of AD” into focus (Bush and Tanzi, 2008; Bush, 2013; Singh et al., 2014), such data remain rather controversial, warranting further investigations until convincing conclusions might be drawn. Some environmental toxins, such as β-methylamino-L-alanine (BMAA) produced by cyanobacteria cause misfolding and aggregation of various proteins (Dunlop et al., 2013). Chronic dietary exposure to BMAA has been shown to trigger the formation of both NFT and Aβ deposits in the brain of vervet monkeys (Cox et al., 2016).


1.2. Diagnosis of AD

The clinical diagnosis of AD has been generally based on the original 1984 protocol of the National Institute of Neurological and Communicative Disorders and Stroke – Alzheimer’s Disease and Related Disorders Association (NINCDS-ADRDA; McKhann et al., 1984). It required that the presence of cognitive impairment and a suspected dementia syndrome be confirmed by neuropsychological testing for a diagnosis of possible or probable AD. Similarly to NINCDS-ADRDA, the American Psychiatric Association issued its 4th revised edition of the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual for Mental Disorders (DSM-IV-TR) criteria in 2000. Besides a memory disorder and impairment in at least one additional cognitive domain, the DSM-IV-TR criteria also required both of these impairments to interfere with social functioning or activities of daily living (ADL; American Psychiatric Association, 2000). The advances in newly developed functional neuroimaging techniques, such as single-photon emission computed tomography (SPECT) and positron emission tomography (PET), that had proven their utility to differentiate AD from other possible causes (Okamura et al., 2002; Dougall et al., 2004; Patwardhan et al., 2004), as well as the discovery of distinctive cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) biomarkers (Blennow and Hampel, 2003; Hansson et al., 2006; Blennow et al., 2010; Counts and Mufson, 2010a; Babić et al., 2014), led to a proposed revision of the NINCDS-ADRDA criteria to take into account findings obtained using these methods (Dubois et al., 2007). Unfortunately, multicenter studies showed that usage of enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) kits from different manufacturers significantly affects outcome, making it impossible to use them interchangeably to achieve consensus cut-off values (Babić et al. 2013). In addition to so-called core CSF biomarkers (Aβ1-42, total and tau phosphorylated at Thr181 and Thr231), the usage of new proteomics-based strategies are revealing additional new biomarkers in CSF, some of which have been already validated in clinics (Babić Leko et al., 2016).

AD biomarkers are considered of extreme importance due to their use for improving the accuracy of clinical diagnosis, stratification of AD cases, safety monitoring and theragnostics (Blennow et al., 2010).

After this initial effort to incorporate biomarkers into the diagnosis of AD and mild cognitive impairment (MCI; Dubois et al., 2007), the National Institute on Aging and the Alzheimer’s Association (NIA/AA) launched new guidelines for AD in 2011 (Sperling et al., 2011; Albert et al., 2011; McKhann et al., 2011; Hyman et al., 2012; http://www.alz.org/research/diagnostic_criteria/). These guidelines identify three stages of AD: 1) preclinical (presymptomatic) AD (Sperling et al., 2011); 2) MCI, or minor neurocognitive disorder according to the DSM-5 (American Psychiatric Association, 2013) due to AD, at which stage it is considered that mild changes in episodic memory and thinking are noticeable and can be measured by neuropsychological testing, but are not severe enough to disrupt a person’s daily life (Albert et al., 2011); and 3) dementia (or major neurocognitive disorder, according to DSM-5) due to AD, where impairments in memory, thinking, and behavior decrease a person’s ability to function independently in everyday life (McKhann et al., 2011). In addition, the neuropathological criteria for AD have been updated and revised to recognize the preclinical stage of AD (Hyman et al., 2012). In a "preclinical" disease stage, biological changes are under way, but the disease has
not yet caused any noticeable (clinical) symptoms. Indeed, it has been shown that in preclinical AD, brain changes caused by the disease may begin even decades before symptoms such as memory deterioration and confusion occur (Braak and Braak, 1997; Braak et al., 2011; Sperling et al., 2011). The guidelines do not include specific diagnostic criteria for this stage; rather, they propose a research agenda to identify biomarkers, such as brain imaging and identification of proteins in CSF, which may signal when presymptomatic brain changes appear (Sperling et al., 2011).

1.3. Neuropathological criteria for AD

The fourth part of the NIA/AA guidelines (Hyman et al., 2012; Montine et al., 2012) updated the 1997 NIA/Reagan Institute neuropathological criteria for AD (Hyman and Trojanowski, 1997). Basically, AD neuropathologic changes are ranked along three parameters to obtain an “ABC score” (A – for amyloid β, Aβ, score: A0: no Aβ or amyloid plaques (AP), A1 Thal phase 1 or 2, A2: Thal phase 3, and A3: Thal phase 4 or 5 (modified from Thal et al., 2002); B – for the Braaks’ six neurofibrillary tangles (NFT) stages (Braak and Braak, 1991; see Fig. 4) that can be reduced to four with improved inter-rater reliability (Nagy et al., 1998): B0: no NFT, B1: Braak stages I/II, with NFT predominantly in entorhinal cortex and closely related areas, B2: stages III/IV, with NFTs more abundant in the hippocampus and amygdala while extending slightly into association cortex, and B3: stages V/VI, with NFT, neuropil threads and dystrophic neurites widely distributed throughout the neocortex and ultimately involving primary motor and sensory areas; and C – for neuritic plaques (composed of a core of Aβ and surrounded by dystrophic neurites made of abnormally hyperphosphorylated tau aggregated into PHF), NP, score: C0: no NP, C1: sparse NP, C2: moderate NP, and C3: frequent NP (modified from the Consortium to Establish a Registry for Alzheimer’s disease, CERAD; Mirra et al., 1991). Recommended brain regions for such tiered evaluation are: the medulla oblongata (including the dorsal motor nucleus of the vagus), pons (including the locus coeruleus, LC), midbrain (including the substantia nigra, SN), cerebellar cortex and dentate nucleus, thalamus and subthalamic nucleus, basal ganglia at the level of anterior commissure with the basal nucleus of Meynert, hippocampus and entorhinal cortex, anterior cingulate cortex, amygdala, mid frontal gyrus, superior and mid temporal gyri, inferior parietal lobule, occipital cortex (Brodman’s areas 17 and 18), and white matter at the anterior, middle, and posterior cerebral arteries’ watershed areas.

The preferred method for visualization of Aβ plaques is immunohistochemistry for Aβ, and for NFT is immunohistochemistry for tau or phosphorylated tau epitopes (Braak et al., 2006; see Fig. 3). Other acceptable methods for NFT are thioflavin S or sensitive silver histochemical stains (Braak and Braak, 1991). The preferred method for NP is thioflavin S or modified Bielschowsky stain (water solution of silver nitrate, AgNO₃; Fig. 2), as recommended by the CERAD protocol (Mirra et al., 1991). Although CAA is not a part of the ABC score, it is suggested to report it using the staging system for CAA of Vonsattel et al. (1991) and association with inheritance of the ε4 allele of apolipoprotein E (APOE) recognized (Thal et al., 2008). Finally, the ABC scores obtained
are transformed into one of four levels of AD neuropathologic change: no change, low, intermediate, or high level of AD neuropathologic change, where intermediate or high AD neuropathologic changes are considered as a sufficient explanation for the presence of dementia/major neurocognitive disorder.

1.4. Clinicopathologic correlations

With respect to clinicopathologic correlations, the NIA/AA guidelines also provide a table with the frequency and confidence intervals of cases within each range of ABC scores for CDR sum of boxes score, which represents the sum of scores of clinical impression of symptom severity (ranging from 0 - normal to 3 - marked impairments), in each of six domains of behavioral and cognitive function (Morris, 1993; O’Bryant et al., 2008), to help interpret results from autopsies with incomplete medical records. Although AD is the most common cause of dementia/major neurocognitive disorder and can exist as a pure form in 17-72% of cases irrespective of the clinical symptoms, according to Jellinger and Attems (2015), it commonly coexists with pathologic changes of other diseases that also contribute to cognitive and behavioral impairments.

The most common comorbidities are: 1) Lewy body disease (LBD, a subset of diseases which includes Parkinson’s disease, PD, and dementia with Lewy bodies, DLB, that share the feature of abnormal accumulation of α-synuclein in neurons); 2) cerebrovascular diseases (CVD) that cause vascular brain injury (VBI), including atherosclerosis, arteriolosclerosis (small-vessel disease or lipohyalinosis), and CAA; 3) hippocampal sclerosis (HS); 4) argyrophilic grain disease (AgD); 5) TDP-43 proteinopathy; and 6) cerebral autosomal dominant arteriopathy with subcortical infarcts and leukoencephalopathy (CADASIL) as well as many other neuropathologic changes.

For a given amount of AD neuropathologic change, cognitive and behavioral symptoms tend to be worse in the presence of these comorbidities (which are frequently missed clinically and may be difficult to identify neuropathologically) that may have an additive or synergistic effect, although their mutual impact often remains unclear (for a review see Nelson et al., 2012, and Jellinger and Attems, 2015). As such, it may be very difficult to judge the extent to which each disease process observed at autopsy may have contributed to a given patient’s cognitive state, particularly when a low level of AD-related neuropathology is observed in the setting of cognitive and behavioral impairment. Additionally, the recommended semiquantitative ABC criteria for routine use does not preclude the possibility that other processes or lesions may critically contribute to the pathophysiology of AD. In this respect it is important to emphasize that soluble forms of both Aβ (Walsh and Selkoe, 2007) and tau protein (Kopeikina et al., 2012) have been implicated in AD pathogenesis, but would not be apparent through using by the conventional techniques used and described in the NIA/AA guidelines.

It needs to be kept in mind that medial temporal lobe NFT may be found in old and very old people in the absence or relative absence of Aβ or NP (Yamada, 2003; Jellinger and Attems, 2007; Nelson et al., 2009). Previously known as “tangle-predominant senile dementia” (TPSD, Jellinger and Attems, 2007) or “tangle-only dementia” (Yamada, 2003), this neuropathological entity has
recently been termed primary age-related tauopathy (PART; Crary et al., 2014; Jellinger et al., 2015). Symptoms in persons with PART usually range from normal to amnestic cognitive changes, with only a minority exhibiting profound impairment (Crary et al., 2014). In addition, other diseases that must be considered in the differential diagnosis of dementia/major neurocognitive disorder include tauopathies other than PART, most importantly FTLD (its clinical presentation is usually called frontotemporal dementia, FTD) and its subtypes, such as FTLD-tau, FTLD-TDP (for TDP-43 protein), FTLD-FUS (fused in sarcoma protein), FTLD-UPS (ubiquitin proteasome system), FTLD-ni (no inclusions, also known as dementia lacking distinctive histopathology; Mackenzie et al., 2010), and prion disease. Mutations in several genes have been associated with the majority of genetic FTLD: MAPT (encoding protein tau), GRN (encoding for protein progranulin), TARDBP (encoding transactive response DNA-binding protein 43, TDP-43, which is often associated with amyotrophic lateral sclerosis, ALS, or ALS/FTD, but also with FTD subjects without ALS), VCP (encoding valosin-containing protein, which is also mutated in ALS), C9ORF72 (encoding C9orf72 protein, also mutated in ALS), and TMEM106B (Benussi et al., 2015). Two new loci associated with FTLD have been recently reported: one linked to HLA (human leukocyte antigen) locus on 6p21.3 and the other to 11q14 locus (CHMP2B, whose transcripts are related to lysosomes), suggesting that immune system processes and possibly lysosomal and autophagy pathways, may also potentially be involved in FTLD (Ferrari et al., 2014; Clayton et al., 2015).

Several recent reports drew attention to the possibility of selective and early involvement of not only the LC (Hyman and Trojanowski, 1997) but also the raphe nuclei, particularly the DRN, in the pathogenesis of AD (Rüb et al., 2000; Grinberg et al., 2009; Michelsen et al., 2008; Šimić et al., 2009). In a clinicopathological series of 118 cases, out of which 38 were categorized as stage B0 (at least four sections at different levels of transentorhinal cortex were free of neurofibrillary changes, based on lack of immunoreactivity for monoclonal antibodies PHF-1 and AT8), and 80 as stage B1 (rare neurofibrillary changes in the transentorhinal cortex), more than 20% of B0 and all of B1 cases had substantial neurofibrillary changes in the DRN. However, because raphe nuclei dysfunction due to neurofibrillary changes is not included even in the new criteria (Hyman et al., 2012; Montine et al., 2012), its possible behavioral consequences are not yet considered as a potential early characteristic clinical feature of AD.

Numerous clinical and neuropathological studies performed from the early 1980s to the present have established compelling links between wide range of structural and functional abnormalities of subcortical monoaminergic systems and the pathophysiology of AD. Here below, we first provide brief general information on involvement of each monoaminergic system in AD, followed by its more detailed chemical neuroanatomy (origins of neurons, distribution of receptors) and functional relevance of their alterations in AD. Finally, we discuss interactions among monoaminergic systems and with the cholinergic system in AD and prospect for future monoamine-based treatments in AD.
2. Chemical neuroanatomy of the monoaminergic systems

2.1. Serotonergic system

The impairment of serotonergic system in AD was shown in humans both in vivo and postmortem. Early non-cognitive behavioral and psychological symptoms of dementia (BPSD), such as disturbances in mood, emotion expression and recognition (Waanders-Oude Elferink et al., 2015), appetite, wake-sleep cycle, confusion, agitation, and depression are probably clinical signs of serotonergic nuclei involvement in AD (Šimić et al., 2009). One of them, sundowning (the increase in one or more abnormal behaviors such as agitation or activity during evening hours), is estimated to occur in about 45% of individuals diagnosed with AD (Scarmeas et al., 2007). Depression as the most pronounced clinical symptom is considered as a risk factor for neurodegeneration (Donovan et al., 2015). AD patients also typically show sleep fragmentation with frequent awakenings during the night, and a propensity to sleep during the daytime (Ancoli-Israel et al., 1994; Lim et al., 2014). Recent animal studies confirmed how early occurrence of AD pathology in serotonergic nuclei leads to wake-sleep cycle disturbances (Sterniczuk et al., 2010; Roh et al., 2012).

Many studies found reductions of serotonin (5-hydroxytryptamine, 5-HT), along with its metabolites and receptors in AD postmortem brain tissue (Nazarali and Reynolds, 1992; García-Alloza et al., 2005; Ramirez et al., 2014), as well as reduced serotonergic innervation of the cerebral cortex, amygdala, hippocampus, globus pallidus, lateral nucleus of the thalamus and elsewhere (for review see Trillo et al., 2013).

5-HT is an indoleamine produced from L-tryptophan by the sequential action of tryptophan hydroxylase (TPH, EC 1.14.16.4) and aromatic-L-amino acid decarboxylase (AAAD, EC 4.1.1.28) (Green, 1989). TPH is the rate-limiting enzyme in 5-HT biosynthesis. For many years, only one gene encoding TPH in vertebrates was known. In 2003, a second TPH gene (termed TPH2) was identified on chromosome 12 (Walther et al., 2003). The finding that TPH2 is predominantly expressed in the brain, whereas TPH1 is expressed in peripheral tissues justified the concept of the “central” serotonergic system (Côté et al., 2003; Patel et al., 2004). Unlike other monoamines that are mainly metabolized by monoamine oxidase A (MAO-A, EC 1.4.3.4), serotonergic neurons and astrocytes contain predominantly monoamine oxidase B (MAO-B, EC 1.4.3.4), which metabolizes 5-HT into 5-hydroxyindoleacetic acid (5-HIAA) (Beck et al., 1987; Green, 1989; Fitzgerald et al., 1990). Therefore, the role of MAO-B in serotonergic neurons might be to eliminate intracellular competition of 5-HT with dopamine and other monoamines at low concentrations (as at high concentrations of substrates, MAO isoenzymes loose their selectivity). Besides 5-HT, MAO oxidases catalyze the oxidative deamination of noradrenaline, adrenaline, dopamine, melatonin, tryptamine, histamine, and taurine. In addition to an aldehyde, in MAO catalyzed reaction ammonia and hydrogen peroxide are also formed. Aldehydes are further oxidized by aldehyde dehydrogenase into carboxylic acids, whereas hydrogen peroxide in the presence of transition divalent metals (iron, copper, zinc) may be converted to highly reactive hydroxyl radical (by the Fenton reaction). Thus, along with mitochondrial oxidative
phosphorylation, MAO activity is probably the second most significant source of reactive oxygen species (ROS) and oxidative stress in the brain (Edmondson et al., 2014). Another unfavourable consequence of MAO activity, the production of ammonia, puts additional strain on the NH₄⁺-clearing system of monoaminergic neurons making them more vulnerable, because it involves glutamate transporters (Šalković-Petrišić and Riederer, 2010), glutamate dehydrogenase 1 (GLUD1) and glutamine synthetase (also glutamate ammonia ligase, GLUL), which decrease the 2-oxoglutarate (2OG) and glutamate pools. Neurons are very sensitive to the depletion of these pools, especially 2OG, because it decreases adenosine triphosphate (ATP) production in the citric acid cycle. Interestingly, by inhibiting ATP synthase and target of rapamycin (TOR), α-ketoglutarate (α-KG) has been shown to be a key metabolite that mediates longevity by dietary restriction and extends lifespan of Caenorhabditis elegans (Chin et al., 2014). It can be thus concluded that lowered metabolism of monoamine neurotransmitters, as a consequence of therapeutic effect of MAO inhibitors, increases availability of monoamine neurotransmitters, which underlie the antidepressant action, and at the same time decreases oxidative stress, particularly in the case of MAO-B inhibitors. That was the main reason they have been suggested as options for AD treatment (Bortolato et al., 2008; Di Giovannì et al., 2014). MAO inhibitors are therefore an integral part of the concept of the multitarget-directed ligand design strategy based on combination of pharmacophores of diverse compounds to get hybrid drugs. New drugs for AD, for example, may combine acetylcholinesterase inhibitors (AChEI) with compounds acting on metabolism of Aβ, tau, monoamines, iron, transporter activities, channels, etc. (Bolea et al., 2013). Consumption of coffee appears to improve glucose metabolism and reduce the risk of dementia, but more studies are required to identify the active components involved to address this issue (Varghese et al., 2014).

5-HT is produced in serotonergic neuron groups. These groups are mainly embedded in the raphe nuclei. The general organization of the raphe nuclei and the distribution of serotonergic neurons appear to be very similar among mammalian species with the exception of the B4 group in the floor of the fourth ventricle, which is absent in primates, and has been confirmed in humans (Halliday et al., 1988; Halliday and Törk, 1989; Törk, 1990; Hornung, 2003, 2004) (see Table 1). The raphe nuclei are located along the midline of the brainstem and span as loosely arranged cells aggregations from the midbrain to the junction of the medulla oblongata with the spinal cord. The continuity of the raphe nuclei is interrupted only by the reticulotegmental nucleus of the pons, which separates the serotonergic neurons into two large groups: one in the rostral pontine and mesencephalic tegmentum (rostral raphe group, B5-B9) and one in the medulla oblongata (caudal raphe group, B1-B3) (Takahashi et al., 1986; Halliday et al., 1988; Baker et al., 1990; Törk, 1990; Törk and Hornung, 1990; Nieuwenhuys et al., 2008).

The rostral (oral) raphe group in humans comprises the centromedian part, which consists of the nucleus raphe centralis pars principalis (NRC-P), the nucleus raphe centralis pars annularis (NRC-A), and the nucleus raphe linearis (NRL). The dorsal part is also known as the DRN. There are apparent difficulties when comparisons are made in regard to the DRN subdivisions used in various publications. For example, Ohm and collaborators considered the interfascicular, dorsofascicular and intercalate subnuclei as principal minor subdivisions of DRN (Ohm et al.,
Descarries complexes are 9 fibers primary in mammalian (NRM), neurons 2012). Fuxe, enzyme 2004). There and synaptic 2008a). The rostral group gives rise to ascending cortical, cerebellar, and local cortical and subcortical projections. The caudal raphe group consists of the nucleus raphe magnus (NRM), nucleus raphe obscurus (NRO), and the nucleus raphe pallidus (NRP), which correspond to B3, B2, and B1, respectively (Fig. 5). The caudal group is reciprocally connected to the brainstem, the cerebellum, and the spinal cord, whereas ascending projections are not as far-reaching as in the case of the rostral group (Table 1).

Quantitative studies of the total number of serotonergic neurons in the raphe nuclei report that the human DRN contains about 235,000 ± 13,000 neurons (Baker et al., 1990), of which approximately 165,000 ± 34,000 neurons (or about 70%) contain serotonin (Baker et al., 1991a). Using a monoclonal antibody raised against TPH (PH8), which recognizes the 5-HT-synthesizing enzyme in formalin-fixed human brain tissue, it was shown that the contingent of serotonergic neurons within the DRN amounts to about 80% of the total neuronal population, while the caudal raphe group contains only about 10-20% of serotonergic neurons (Baker et al., 1991b; Hornung, 2004). The large numbers of scattered 5-HT-synthesizing neurons in the pontine, and particularly in the mesencephalic tegmentum in primates, contrast with their relative paucity in nonprimate species (Baker et al., 1991a).

There are two morphologically distinct classes of serotonergic axons (Kosofsky and Molliver, 1987): beaded axons with large, spherical varicosities (up to 5 µm in diameter), which make synaptic contact with their targets (so-called M-fibers, Törk, 1990), and fine axons with small (smaller than 1 µm in diameter) varicosities (the main fibers, ubiquitous throughout the mammalian cerebral cortex and also called D-fibers, Törk, 1990), which lack membrane junctional complexes (synapse) and release serotonin diffusely through volume transmission (Törk, 1990; Descaries et al., 1991; Descaries and Mechawar, 2000; De-Miguel and Trueta, 2005; Fuxe et al., 2012). The third and least common type was first described in the marmoset, and is thought to be the stem fibers for M-fibers (Hornung et al., 1990). This third type of fibers can be noted throughout the cortex of all mammalian species. The M-fibers are found in the supragranular cortical layers, but can also be occasionally seen in infragranular layers of chimpanzees and humans (Raghanti et al., 2008a). Compared with macaque monkeys, humans and chimpanzees also display a greater density of serotonergic axons in layers V and VI in prefrontal cortical areas 9 and 32 (implicated to mediate working memory and higher cognitive functions), but not in the primary motor cortex (Raghanti et al., 2008). Interestingly, morphological specializations of M-fibers called coils of axons were observed in humans and chimpanzees in all cortical layers, but are absent in macaques and all other primate and non-primate mammalian species (Raghanti et al., 2008a). It has been hypothesized that these morphological features similar to coils described for tyrosine-hydroxylase-immunoreactive axons in humans (Gaspar et al., 1989; Benavides-
Piccione and DeFelipe, 2003; Raghanti et al., 2008b) and clusters described for choline acetyltransferase (ChAT)-containing fibers, also in humans, may represent a substrate for a greater capacity for cortical plasticity (hence behavioral flexibility) exclusive to hominoids (anthropoid apes and humans) (Raghanti et al., 2008a).

The described two types of axons originate from different raphe nuclei: M-fibres with coarse varicosities take their origin from the nucleus raphe pontis (medianus and dorsalis; fibers colored in purple in Fig. 5) and nucleus raphe pallidus (light blue fibers in Fig. 5) ascending through the tegmental area as the ventral bundle, whereas D-fibres with small varicosities arise from the DRN (fibers colored in light green in Fig. 5) and nucleus raphe magnus (fibers colored in dark green in Fig. 5) and collect in the dorsal bundle. There is an increase in serotonergic neuron number in the nucleus raphe pontis medianus of cats and primates relative to rodents (Jacobs et al., 1984; Azmitia and Gannon, 1986). The dorsal bundle was identified and described by Forel in 1877; the area containing ascending fibers of this bundle first coincides approximately with the dorsal longitudinal fasciculus (Arslan, 2015) and then with the dorsal trigeminothalamic tract. At the border with the forebrain, the ventral and dorsal bundles join together and continue towards the subthalamus and thalamus and their cortical targets via the medial forebrain bundle that passes through the internal capsule. The dorsal bundle (also known as the dorsal raphe cortical tract) is phylogenetically newer than the ventral bundle, is more prominent in primates (presumably due to an increase in fibers projecting to the cortex through the dorsal pathway, Piñeyro and Blier, 1999) and more vulnerable to neurotoxic amphetamine derivatives methylenedioxymphetamine and p-chloroamphetamine (Mamounas et al., 1991).

The two systems of serotonergic fibers coexist in most parts of the brain, with the cerebral cortex being the best example of dual contribution to serotonergic innervation. However, although they share many of their targets (Table 1), the main targets of the dorsal bundle (comprised mostly of DRN projections) are the entorhinal cortex, lateral geniculate nucleus, the olfactory bulb, the amygdala, and the striatum, which is almost exclusively innervated by the D-fibers of this system, whereas the pontine dorsal (B6) raphe nucleus mainly projects via the ventral bundle (M-fibers) to the septum, basal forebrain, and especially hippocampus (Steinbusch et al., 1980; Kohler and Steinbusch, 1982; Imai et al., 1986; Morrison and Foote, 1986; Törk, 1990). The pontine median (B5) raphe nucleus connects with the interpeduncular nucleus, substantia nigra, and the mammillary body, but its cortical projection is rather sparse (O’Hearn and Molliver, 1984).

The serotonergic system is one of the oldest neurotransmitter systems and seven distinct serotonergic receptors (5-HT₁ – 5HT₇), each with several subpopulations of receptors (altogether, at least 14 members in the family are known), mediate both central and peripheral control on numerous physiological functions such as sleep-wake cycle, feeding behavior, thermoregulation, nociception, affective (mood) control and sexual behavior, locomotion and motor control (via interactions with the basal ganglia dopaminergic system), blood coagulation, and cardiovascular homeostasis (Darmon et al., 2015). 5-HT receptors are different in terms of localization and downstream signaling. All 5-HT receptors are G-protein-coupled receptors except 5-HT₃, which is
a Cys-loop ligand-gated ion channel. 5-HT1 (1A, 1B, 1C, 1D, and 1E) and 5-HT3 receptors (5A and 5B) have an inhibitory effect on adenyl cyclase through $G_{ai/o}$ protein. 5-HT2 receptors (2A, 2B, and 2C) activate phospholipase C cascade through the $G_{oq11}$ protein. 5-HT3 receptors (3A, 3B, and recently discovered 3C, 3D and 3E) have a direct influence on the cell’s processes as ion channels. 5-HT4, 5-HT6 and 5-HT7 receptors activate adenyl cyclase through $G_{o5}$ protein. The majority of 5-HT receptors are postsynaptic, except for 5-HT1B and 5-HT1D receptors, which are mostly presynaptic, and 5-HT3A receptors that are located both on presynaptic and postsynaptic membranes (Seyedabadi et al., 2014 and Darmon et al., 2015).

2.2. Noradrenergic system

The most prominent effect of AD on the noradrenergic system is the loss of up to 70% of locus coeruleus (LC) noradrenergic neurons (Bondareff et al., 1982; Iversen et al., 1983; Zweig et al., 1989). Together with the serotonergic nuclei, the LC is involved in the control of the sleep-wake cycle and, among other symptoms, its impairment can cause early and prominent wake-sleep cycle disturbances in AD (Roh et al., 2012). As noradrenergic projections innervate cerebral vasculature and optimize neurovascular coupling, the diminished ability to couple blood volume to oxygen demand may also contribute to AD pathogenesis (Bekar et al., 2012).

The catecholamine noradrenaline is synthesized from dopamine by the enzyme dopamine-$\beta$-hydroxylase (DBH, EC 1.14.17.1) and is metabolized by MAO and catechol-O-methyltransferase (COMT; EC 2.1.1.6). Noradrenaline binds solely to metabotropic $\alpha$- ($\alpha$1A, $\alpha$1B, $\alpha$1D, $\alpha$2A, $\alpha$2B, $\alpha$2C) and $\beta$-adrenoreceptors ($\beta$1, $\beta$2 and $\beta$3) (Ruffolo and Hieble, 1994). The noradrenergic system regulates visceral functions (respiration, cardiovascular function, secretion of hormones), cognitive functions (responsiveness to novel stimuli, vigilance, learning through reinforcement), arousal, attention, sleep-wake cycle, emotion, mood, motor control, and pain control (Harley, 1987; Szabadi, 2013).

The brainstem neurons that produce noradrenaline form noradrenergic nuclei (A1-A7) are divided into dorsal (A2, A4, A6) and ventral (A1, A5, A7) columns. A1, A2, A5 and A7 project to the basal telencephalon, hypothalamus, brainstem, and spinal cord, while A4 and A6 (locus coeruleus, LC) project to the cortex, cerebellum, thalamus, and spinal cord (Pearson et al., 1991). The nucleus locus coeruleus (A6) contains approximately 50% of all noradrenergic neurons and is the only noradrenergic nucleus that innervates the cortex (Samuels and Szabadi, 2008).

While fibers from the LC project to the forebrain, cerebellum and spinal cord, noradrenergic fibers from the lateral brainstem tegmentum project to the ventral forebrain, hypothalamus, amygdala and spinal cord (Heimer, 1995). More precisely, noradrenergic fibres from the LC together with cholinergic and serotonergic fibres form an ascending arousal system (AAS). Noradrenergic and serotonergic fibres form the ventral branch of the AAS, enter the hypothalamus and as a part of the medial forebrain bundle reach the mediobasal telencephalon. On this path, noradrenergic fibers innervate forebrain regions like the basal forebrain, preoptic area, olfactory structures, hypothalamus, thalamus, hippocampus, and neocortex (Foote and
Morrison, 1987; Raghanti et al., 2009). Fibers from the LC and A5 and A7 noradrenergic nuclei descend to the spinal cord as a part of tractus pontospinalis and tractus coeruleospinalis, and innervate many regions of the rhombencephalon (Westlund et al., 1982). The cerebellum is also innervated by the noradrenergic fibers from the LC, A5, and A7 noradrenergic nuclei (Voogd et al., 1996). In addition to the neighboring structures, fibers from the A1 and A2 noradrenergic nuclei innervate the thalamus, amygdala, and hypothalamus (Petrov et al., 1993).

The projections from the LC constitute an arousal system, since the noradrenergic neurons are active specifically during waking (Kayama and Koyama, 2003). Broadly speaking, if LC activity is too low, an animal is drowsy and inattentive. If LC activity is too high, the animal is distractible and anxious, but with the intermediate levels of LC activity, the animal is optimally attentive and aroused (España and Scammell, 2011). Mice deficient of noradrenaline exhibit normal sleep and wake states, but fall asleep after exposure to a mild stressor more rapidly than control mice, measured both behaviorally and with electroencephalography (Hunsley and Palmiter, 2003). Noradrenaline tone is also clearly related to cognition as LC neurons in monkeys fire phasically in response to a salient stimulus that signals a reward such as food, but do not respond to a distracting stimulus (Aston-Jones et al., 1994), suggesting that LC activity promote arousal in a way that optimizes attention and task performance (Aston-Jones and Cohen, 2005).

2.3. Dopaminergic system

The reduction of dopamine, dopamine metabolites, and dopamine receptors has been observed in AD (Storga et al., 1996; Trillo et al., 2013). Additionally, polymorphisms in dopaminergic system genes are associated to BPSD in AD (Holmes et al., 2001; Borroni et al., 2004). Enhancement of dopaminergic transmission alleviates cognitive impairment in AD (Martorana et al., 2013; Stefani et al., 2015).

Dopamine is a catecholamine synthesized from tyrosine by the rate-limiting enzyme tyrosine hydroxylase (TH, EC 1.14.16.2) and AAAD, and degraded by the enzymes MAO and COMT. Dopamine binds to five metabotropic receptors (D1-D5) that are divided into two families; D1-like receptors (D1 and D5) and D2-like receptors (D2, D3, and D4) (Wolstencroft et al., 2007; Beaulieu and Gainetdinov, 2011).

Dopamine is produced by dopaminergic neurons that form the A8-A17 nuclei within the mesencephalon and diencephalon. These nuclei are located in the retrorubral field (A8), substantia nigra pars compacta (SNc; A9), ventral tegmental area (VTA; A10), nucleus linearis (A11), preoptic area (A12-A15), olfactory bulb (A16) and retina (A17) (Dahlström and Fuxe, 1964; Frederick et al., 1982). Dopaminergic neurons send their axons through four major dopaminergic tracts: 1) nigrostriatal tract, 2) mesolimbic tract, 3) mesocortical tract and 4) tuberoinfundibular pathway. The nigrostriatal pathway is a dopaminergic projection from the SNc (A9) into the basal ganglia (striatum) and is important for motor control. The mesolimbic and mesocortical tracts are often termed mesocorticolimbic pathway. This pathway originates in the VTA (A10), projects to the nucleus accumbens and further to subcortical structures, hippocampus, and neocortex (Anden et al., 1964; Dahlström and Fuxe, 1964). It is a key component of the reward system,
crucial for the development of bonding/attachment and addictive behaviors (Šešo-Šimić et al., 2010). The tuberoinfundibular system projects from hypothalamic arcuate nucleus to the pituitary gland and regulates the secretion of prolactin. In addition to addictive behaviors and attachment disorder, two severe neurologic and psychiatric conditions are the results of the disturbed dopaminergic pathways: Parkinson’s disease (nigrostriatal pathway) and schizophrenia (mesocorticolimbic pathway) (Bogerts et al., 1983; Schultz, 2002; Mladinov et al., 2010).

The dopaminergic system is involved in the regulation of a plethora of physiological functions such as the control of movement, mood, cognitive functions (motivation, attention, working memory, motor planning, thinking and abstract reasoning, temporal analysis, speech and language, learning, maternal/paternal and social behaviors), reward system, emotions, pain control, visceral functions and secretion of hormones (Sawaguchi and Goldman-Rakic, 1991; Jääskeläinen et al., 2001; Schultz, 2002; Iversen and Iversen, 2007; Šešo-Šimić et al., 2010; Yamaguchi et al., 2015). A general theory has been proposed that attributes the evolution of human intelligence and cognitive specializations to an expansion and elaboration of the laminar, sublaminar and regional pattern of dopaminergic cortical innervation (e.g. human-specific coils/clusters of axons and a greater dopamine innervation of infragranular layers in cortical areas involved in high-level processing, such as Brodmann’s areas 9 and 32), particularly in the left hemisphere (Hof et al., 1995; Previc, 1999; Raghanti et al., 2008b).

2.4. Histaminergic system

The tuberomammillary nucleus, the major histaminergic nucleus, is affected early by neuropathological changes in AD (Braak and Braak, 1991; Nakamura et al., 1993). A lack of histamine in the AD brain contributes to the disturbances of cognitive functions and sleep. Thus, the enhancers of histamine release in the brain such as histamine H3 receptor antagonists were considered as potential therapeutics in AD (Egan et al., 2012; Grove et al., 2014).

Histamine is synthesized from the essential amino acid histidine by decarboxylation by the histidine decarboxylase (HDC, EC 4.1.1.22). It is mainly metabolized by transmethylation by the N-methyltransferase (HMT, EC 2.1.1.8) to N'-methylhistamine. N'-methylhistamine is further metabolized by MAO-B and aldehyde dehydrogenase. It could by also be metabolized with diamine oxidase (also known as histaminase) to imidazole acetaldehyde (Schayer et al., 1978). Histamine binds to four metabotropic histamine receptors (H1R, H2R, H3R and H4R) and histamine-gated chloride channel (Panula et al., 2015).

Histaminergic neurons in humans are exclusively located in the posterior lateral hypothalamus within the tuberomammillary nucleus into two clusters, one located ventrolaterally, and the other dorsomedially (Panula et al., 1990; Shan et al., 2015). Clusters of histaminergic neurons contain five cell groups: medial, ventral, caudal, lateral, and diffuse. The fact that the lateral hypothalamus contains about 32,000 histaminergic neurons indicates the importance of this system (Airaksinen et al., 1991). Histaminergic neurons give rise to fibers that innervate the whole brain, including the cerebral cortex.
The histaminergic system is involved in the regulation of the level of behavioral arousal, sleep-wake cycle, learning and memory, cognition, attention, control of body temperature, and food uptake (Haas et al., 2003). Injury of the tuberomammillary nucleus causes hypersomnia and blockade of histaminergic neurons with antihistaminic drugs promotes sleep (Brown et al., 2001). Classic antihistamines cause sedation via H₁ receptors (Mochizuki et al., 2002).

2.5. Melatonergic system

Secretion of melatonin is decreased in AD (Mishima et al., 1999). Therefore, melatonin supplementation was tested in many clinical trials in the past 20 years as a potential therapy in AD (for review, see Cardinali et al., 2010). While the majority of studies showed ameliorating effects on sundowning, sleep disturbance, and cognitive impairment in AD (for review see Cardinali et al., 2010), some of them failed to confirm these findings (Serfaty et al., 2002; Gehram et al., 2009).

Melatonin (N-acetyl-5-methoxytryptamine) is synthesized in the pineal gland from 5-HT as its precursor (Axelrod, 1974; Nambodiri et al., 1987). More precisely, 5-HT is acetylated by arylalkylamine N-acetyltransferase (AANAT, EC 2.3.1.87) to N-acetylsertotonin. By the action of N-acetylserotonin O-methyltransferase (ASMT, EC 2.1.1.4), N-acetylsertotonin is turned into melatonin (Wurtman et al., 1968). Melatonin binds to metabotropic MT₁ and MT₂ melatonin receptors (Dubocovich et al., 2005). Melatonin controls the circadian rhythm of the sleep-wake cycle. Pinealocytes, the main cells of the pineal gland, produce melatonin in high concentration principally during the night (Vaughan et al., 1976).

The suprachiasmatic nucleus (SCN) located in the anterior hypothalamus acts as circadian pacemaker (“Zeitgeber”) and is the main regulator of rhythmic melatonin release. It is activated by light, while light is inhibitory in the pineal gland. Through the direct retinohypothalamic projection, SCN receives information on the luminance of retina (Sadun et al., 1984). SCN projects to hypothalamic paraventricular nucleus. These neurons are connected to the preganglionic sympathetic neurons in the thoracic spinal cord, which project to the superior cervical ganglion (postganglionic sympathetic neurons). These neurons are directly connected with the pineal gland and activate pinealocytes (Nieuwenhuys et al., 2008).

3. Monoaminergic systems in AD

3.1. Alterations of the serotonergic system in AD

Similarly to NIA/AA guidelines, the current clinical criteria for diagnosis of AD of the American Psychiatric Association (DSM-5) are mostly focused on cognitive deficits produced by the dysfunction of hippocampal and association neocortical areas. These changes are neuropsychologically scored as number of points that, in the most commonly used Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE), ranges from 0 to 30 points, where 30 reflects normal mental status across many cognitive domains (Folstein et al., 1975). Depending on demographic variables such
as age, gender, and education, the optimal cutoff point for screening (cognitively normal vs. MCI/minor neurocognitive disorder and dementia/major neurocognitive disorder) can be standardized and validated for any given general population (Boban et al., 2012). However, non-cognitive BPSD have been much less considered, perhaps due to their transient and fluctuating nature and variable severity. The early occurrence of these symptoms, especially mood change (most often depressive mood), anxiety, apathy, social withdrawal/socially intrusive behavior, confusion, irritability, agitation, restlessness, hyperactivity, aggression (first verbal then also physical), psychosis, disinhibition, and disturbances in wake-sleep cycle (“sundowning”), emotion and appetite, and others (hallucinations, delusions, etc.), suggests primarily early involvement of the serotonergic raphe nuclei, particularly the DRN, but also of dopaminergic pathways (Borroni et al., 2010; Martorana et al., 2013; Stefani et al., 2015). An example of early neurofibrillary changes in the DRN of a subject with MCI and BPSD is given in Figure 6. Unfortunately, in spite of the possibility of selective early involvement of the DRN in the pathogenesis of AD (Rüb et al., 2000; for review, see Šimić et al., 2009), among brainstem structures, only the dorsal motor nucleus of the vagus, LC, and SN have been specifically included in the current diagnostic criteria (Hyman et al., 2012; Montine et al., 2012). Additionally, the relationship between depression and AD pathology is of significant interest because diagnosed depression is likely to represents a risk factor of AD (Ownby et al., 2006). In some cases, this can be explained by depression occurring as a preclinical manifestation of neurocognitive disorder/dementia in the context of AD progression. Moreover, chronic or recurrent episodes of depressive symptoms have been found to be associated with hippocampal volume reduction, hypometabolism, and an increased risk for neurocognitive disorder/dementia, suggesting that depression per se is indeed a risk factor for neurodegeneration (Donovan et al., 2015).

Extensive serotonergic denervation of the neocortex and hippocampus has been reported in AD (Curcio and Kemper, 1984; Halliday et al., 1992; Chen et al., 2000; for review see Trillo et al., 2013), while reduction of 5-HT as well as its metabolites, primarily 5-HIAA, have been reported in many studies of postmortem AD brains (for instance, Nazarali and Reynolds, 1992; Garcia-Alloza et al., 2005). Both 5-HT and 5-HIAA cortical levels negatively correlate with the number of NFT, suggesting that the impairment of serotonergic system parallels AD progression (Palmer et al., 1987). It has been shown that 5-HT levels are more severely affected in AD brains than the levels of catecholamines (Gottfries et al., 1986). As pointed out by Ramirez et al., similarly to [³H]5-HT binding in AD patients, depletion of 5-HT and its metabolites is more severe in EOAD than LOAD brains, which suggests that serotonergic changes occurring in both aging and AD are degenerative (Ramirez et al., 2014). Depletion of 5-HT also leads to decreased melatonin synthesis, so its concentrations in the CSF samples of AD patients are significantly reduced, particularly in the preclinical stages of the disease (Zhou et al., 2003). As 5-HT is produced from L-tryptophan, reduced dietary intake of tryptophan in AD patients accelerates deterioration of cognitive symptoms (Porter et al., 2000).

Impaired serotonergic functioning has been implicated in many different neurological and psychiatric disorders, among others antisocial personality disorder and depression, both of which have been characterized by the reduced CSF concentrations of 5-HIAA (Deakin, 2003), and higher
5-HT turnover, particularly in female patients (Hou et al., 2006). Animal data suggest that these neurochemical and behavioral changes in adults may have their origins in perinatal exposure, e.g. to 5-HT precursor 5-hydroxytryptophan, 5-HTP, or MAO inhibitors (Blažević and Hranilović, 2013), as well as other pre- and postnatal factors, most likely via epigenetic mechanisms (Pishva et al., 2012). Such changes have also been described as a part of the clinical picture in very early stages of AD (Cummings, 1992; Mychack et al., 2001; Michelsen, 2008). However, as the M-fiber system mainly arises from the median (B5) and dorsal (B6) pontine raphe nuclei it may be of higher relevance for depression and learning and memory, based on its innervation of the hippocampus and the entorhinal cortex (Deakin, 2003; Lei, 2012), while the D-fiber system may be more implicated in personality changes.

The entorhinal cortex is the gate to control the flow of information into and out of the hippocampus and the place from which neurofibrillary degeneration spreads throughout the cerebral cortex (Braak and Braak, 1991). Therefore, it is of great importance to know that the entorhinal cortex receives profuse serotonergic innervations from both the nuclei raphe pontis and DRN and expresses a very high density of serotonergic receptors, including 5-HT₁A, 5-HT₁D, 5-HT₂E, 5-HT₂A, 5-HT₃ and 5-HT₆ (Lei, 2012). Mood disorders, depression, and anxiety have been managed with different drugs and diets (Dixon Clarke and Ramsay, 2010), modulating serotonin metabolism (for example, by inhibiting monoamine oxidase A and B), 5-HT transporters and reuptake systems for almost 30 years. Based on preclinical studies, certain 5-HT receptor ligands may the ability to modify or improve memory and cognition, specifically acting at 5-HT₁A, 5-HT₄ and 5-HT₆ receptors (Ramirez et al., 2014).

Together with the noradrenergic LC, the cholinergic pedunculopontine and lateral dorsal tegmental nucleus, with which it is highly interconnected, the serotonergic DRN is also involved in sleep-waking control (España and Scammell, 2011; Monti, 2011). The activity of the DRN is high during waking, low during slow wave sleep and abolished during rapid eye movement (REM) sleep (España and Scammell, 2011). Thus, some drugs, such as modafinil, which modulate 5-HT transmission in the DRN and prefrontal cortex, reduce sleep and promote vigilance (Ferraro et al., 2013), whereas lesions of the raphe nuclei cause insomnia (Monti, 2011). In fact, recent data showed that plaque formation in the brain of the APPswes/PS1ΔE9 mouse model of AD causes the deterioration of the sleep-wake cycle and loss of diurnal fluctuation of Aβ measured in the interstitial fluid (Roh et al., 2012). In addition to the effect that accumulated Aβ causes sleep deprivation, it has been shown that sleep deprivation leads to the inadequate clearance of Aβ (Roh et al., 2012; Ju et al., 2013; Lim et al., 2014; Šimić et al., 2014), contributing to more Aβ accumulation and creating a vicious circle. Besides Aβ release from raphe projection axons (Braak and Del Tredici, 2013), accumulation of Aβ due to sleep deprivation may also add to the pathology of raphe and other brainstem nuclei, particularly in the ‘pre-tangle’ stage.

It has been demonstrated that even cognitively normal individuals with biomarker evidence of preclinical AD have worse quality sleep and sleep efficiency than control individuals (Ju et al., 2013). This in turn can cause a disruption of the default-mode network (DMN) connectivity (for review, see Šimić et al., 2014). Two studies have revealed that the DMN (also known as the
resting-state or task-negative network) cortical hubs exhibit high amounts of Aβ deposits in AD (Sheline and Raichle, 2010; Wang et al., 2013) and that clinically normal subjects with high amyloid burden in these regions have significantly reduced functional correlations within the DMN. It is therefore possible that due to DMN neurons’ enhanced (constant) activity dependent processing of APP, these neurons may produce and release more Aβ than occur elsewhere in the neocortex. In a recent cross-sectional cohort study, the decreased CSF values of Aβ1-42 (<500 pg/ml) and increased CSF values of p-tau181 (more than 80 pg/ml) were associated with significant reduction in DMN functional integrity (Wang et al., 2013). The most prominent decreases in functional connectivity were observed between the posterior cingulate and medial temporal regions, and were not attributable to age or structural atrophy, suggesting that both Aβ and tau pathology affect DMN integrity before the clinical onset of AD. Because processing of the APP is activity-dependent, where regional increases in neuronal activity are associated with regional increases in the concentration of Aβ in the interstitial fluid (Cirrito et al., 2005), it can be speculated that due to their constant activity, DMN neurons produce and release more Aβ than occur elsewhere in the neocortex. As DMN connectivity persists during light sleep because self-reflective thoughts do not abruptly cease but rather decrease gradually as a person falls asleep, what particularly matters is the duration of the deepest stages of slow-wave sleep (SWS) during which the activity of DMN is virtually absent and cerebral metabolic rate declines by 43.8% in comparison with wakefulness and REM sleep (Horovitz et al., 2009; Dang-Vu et al., 2010). Out of three models constructed to determine the nature of the interaction among Aβ deposition in the medial prefrontal cortex (mPFC) and its non-REM SW activity, hippocampal activation and memory retention, the model with the best statistical fit was a sleep-dependent one, in which the Aβ impairs memory via its effect on sleep (Mander et al., 2013). As sleep deprivation accelerates Aβ deposition in APP transgenic mice, whereas orexin (hypocretin) deficiency (which increases sleep) decreases it, a bidirectional relationship between sleep and Aβ deposition has been proposed: sleep disruption leads to Aβ deposits and Aβ deposits result in sleep disturbance (Lucey and Holzman, 2015).

Serotonergic receptors are selectively affected in AD, especially 5-HT2 (Ramirez et al., 2014). The loss of 5-HT2A receptors has also been supported by PET imaging (Marner et al., 2012). Reduced 5-HT1A receptor binding in the temporal cortex has been shown to correlate with aggressive behavior in AD patients (Lai et al., 2003), whereas their reduced binding in the hippocampus is better correlated with cognitive decline (Kepe et al., 2006). Also, PET imaging revealed a reduction in 5-HT1A receptor binding in the hippocampus and parahippocampus of patients with mild AD (Truchot et al., 2008). Density of 5-HT1B/D and 5-HT6 receptors was reduced in the frontal and temporal cortex of AD patients (see Table 2; Garcia Alloza et al., 2004). Studies on polymorphisms in 5-HT receptors support the important role of the serotonergic system in AD too. For instance, T102C polymorphism in the gene for 5-HT2A receptor has been linked to psychosis and psychotic symptoms, including hallucinations and delusions in AD patients (Trillo et al., 2013).
3.2. Alterations of the serotonergic system in other primary and secondary tauopathies
Although not as prominent as in AD, NFT in the DRN are seen in both PSP and CBD; Ishino et al., 1975; Shiozawa et al., 2000). In PSP, NFT and NT are seen in the nucleus raphe magnus and nucleus raphe obscurus less than one year after initial clinical symptoms and do not correlate in number with the disease duration. It is suspected that tau pathology is present in the preclinical stages of the disease (Rüb et al., 2002). In PSP and postencephalitic parkinsonism (PEP), NFT and NT are found in raphe nuclei, partly contributing to oculomotor abnormalities (Revesz et al., 1996; Yang et al., 2001). Supranuclear gaze palsy was also described in a case with clinical PSP, which was later pathologically confirmed as CBD with NFT and tau-positive inclusions present in the raphe nuclei (Shiozawa et al., 2000). Marked reduction in a number of neurons and NFT pathology to a lesser extent was found in the NRC and DRN in FTD (Yang et al., 2001). Low brain levels of serotonin have been evident in patients with FTD, manifesting aggression, impulsivity, depressive symptoms and alterations in frontal cortex metabolism, leading to clinical improvement after usage of selective 5-HT receptor inhibitors (Huey et al., 2006; Mendez, 2009). A significant reduction in the number of neurons in the NRC and DRN with NFT in pontine (B5 and B6) and DRN is speculated to contribute to hypsomnolence in myotonic dystrophy (Yoshimura et al., 1990; Ono et al., 1995; Ono et al., 1998; Oyamada et al., 2006). In Down’s syndrome, NFT in the raphe nuclei also increase in number with age (Mann et al., 1986). Low 5-HT levels are seen in the amygdala and cingulate cortex, which receive serotonergic projections from the NRC and DRN, both in AD and Down’s syndrome (Yates et al., 1986). NFT in the raphe nuclei are also seen in the amyotrophic lateral sclerosis/parkinsonism-dementia complex of Guam, Gerstmann-Sträussler-Scheinker syndrome, chronic subacute sclerosing panencephalitis, non-Guamanian motor neuron disease and white matter tauopathy with globular glial inclusions (Yamamoto et al., 1985; Mandybur et al., 1990; Hilton et al., 1995; Yamazaki et al., 1999; Kovacs et al., 2008). Further investigations are needed fully to understand the extent of the raphe nuclei involvement in the clinical expression of these tauopathies.

In an animal model for tau aggregation, THY-Tau22 mouse (Schindowski et al., 2006), which expresses human 4R tau mutated at sites Gly272Val and Pro301Ser under a Thy1.2 promoter, and shows hyperphosphorylation of tau on several AD-relevant epitopes and NFT-like inclusions, in addition to the cognitive impairments, at least some BPSD were seen (Van der Jeugd et al., 2013). Namely, in addition to early tau pathology in hippocampus and basal forebrain (Belarbi et al., 2009), 12-month old THY-Tau22 mice, relative to wild-type littermates displayed increased depression-like and aggressive behavior, co-occurring with disturbances in nocturnal activity (Van der Jeugd et al., 2013). These changes were linked to a decreased hippocampal concentration in 5-HT and 5-HIAA (Van der Jeugd et al., 2013).

3.3. Alterations of the noradrenergic, dopaminergic systems, histaminergic, and melatonergic systems in AD
The LC is the main noradrenergic nucleus affected in AD (Zarow et al., 2003), with up to 70% LC neurons being lost in the brain of AD patients (Bondareff et al., 1982; Zweig et al., 1989). The loss of noradrenergic neurons from the LC correlates with the increase of extracellular Aβ deposition
in mice (Heneka et al., 2010), neurofibrillary abnormalities in early stage of AD (Grudzien et al., 2007), onset (Counts and Mufson, 2010b), and duration of dementia (Counts and Mufson, 2010). New studies hypothesize that accumulation of heavy metals such as organic mercury in LC may be an early event in AD, since LC neurons are prone to taking up circulating toxins. Mercury leads to the development of AD pathology within the LC, and pathology is spread further to the neighboring raphe neurons. Actually, dorsal raphe neurons in AD subjects often contain as much hyperphosphorylated tau as those in the LC (Pamphlett and Kum Jew, 2015). To date, clinical and experimental evidence indicate that neurons of the LC modulate several processes that are altered in the brains of AD patients, including synaptic plasticity, neuronal metabolism, and BBB permeability, while enhancement of the brain’s noradrenergic neurotransmission reduces both neuroinflammation and cognitive decline (Mravec et al., 2014). Importantly, noradrenergic projections from the LC also regulate neurovascular coupling, so that degeneration of LC neurons diminish the ability to couple blood volume to oxygen demand in AD subjects (Bekar et al., 2012). Apart from its role as a neurotransmitter, noradrenaline may also act as an endogenous anti-inflammatory agent by inhibition of activation of microglial cells (Feinstein et al., 2002), thereby potentially contributing to the pathogenesis of AD. It seems that the inconsistent findings regarding the role of noradrenaline modulators in improving behavioral symptoms (such as agitation and aggression) in AD patients, such as the use of classical α₂-adrenoreceptor agonist prazosin (e.g., Gliebus and Lippa, 2007; and Wang et al., 2009), can only be explained by a strong compensatory mechanism, where the increase in noradrenaline is detected in the absence of metabolite increase consistent with compensatory activation of surviving noradrenergic projections (Raskind et al., 1999). That the activity and levels of plasma DBH are reduced from early stages of AD suggests that treatment with selective noradrenaline reuptake inhibitors may be helpful in compensating for the loss of noradrenergic activity due to degeneration of neurons in LC in the early stages of AD (Mustapić et al., 2013).

Both the LC and DRN nuclei have been shown to be among the first affected by tau protein abnormalities during the course of AD, causing fluctuating non-cognitive, but also cognitive symptoms of varying degrees of severity (Zweig et al., 1989; for review, see Šimić et al., 2009). In one of the most comprehensive clinicopathological studies that analyzed the earliest AD-related cytoskeletal pathology, 8 of 38 individuals who were categorized as Braak stage 0 and all of the 80 cases characterized as Braak stage 1 or higher than 1 had substantial neurofibrillary changes in the DRN (Grinberg et al., 2009). Braak stages were defined based on the level of neurofibrillary lesions in the transentorhinal cortex. Braak stage 0 had no lesions, stage 1 had rare changes and stages higher than 1 showed more extensive neurofibrillary pathology in the transentorhinal cortex. The finding that all cases of Braak stage 1 and higher, and even a fifth of the cases with Braak stage 0 had neurofibrillary lesions in the brainstem nuclei supports the model in which AD pathology starts in the brainstem and spreads transneuronally to the cortical targets, primarily transentorhinal cortex. This possibility is in agreement with the clinical observations showing that BPSD occur 2-3 years before the onset of cognitive impairment (for review, see Šimić et al., 2009). Despite the direct harmful effects of hyperphosphorylated soluble tau and progression of intracellular tau protein changes characteristic for AD, as well as their accumulation of heavy
metals (Pamphlett and Kum Jew, 2015), many of the tangle-bearing neurons of the LC and DRN are remarkably sturdy and survive for a lifetime (Rüb et al., 2000). Therefore, even when affected by neurofibrillary changes, LC and DRN projecting neurons may release Aβ (Braak and Del Tredici, 2013), as well as soluble monomeric or small oligomeric tau protein, trans-synaptically through M-fibers or, more likely, from the non-synaptic varicosities of their fine D-axons (otherwise dedicated to volume transmission) (Braak and Del Tredici, 2015). As LC and DRN neurons massively project to transentorhinal and entorhinal cortex, it should be also taken into account that they may be contributing to early neurofibrillary changes seen in those regions (Fig. 7), from where tau pathological changes may spread throughout the cerebral cortex. The described presumptive set of events may help explain similarities in size distribution of Aβ deposits in the cerebral cortex of AD subjects and patients with other neurodegenerative disorders (Armstrong, 2012) and the prion-like behavior of misprocessed tau in various tauopathies (de Calignon et al., 2012; Hall et al., 2012; Liu et al., 2012; Avila et al., 2015).

Dopamine, dopamine transporter, L-3,4-dihydroxyphenylalanine (L-DOPA), DOPAC, D1 and D2 receptors are reduced in AD brains (Storga et al., 1996; Trillo et al., 2013). Dopamine is also variably decreased in the CSF of AD subjects (Tohgi et al., 1992; Stefani et al., 2015). D1 and D3 receptor polymorphisms have been linked with psychosis and aggression in AD (Holmes et al., 2001), while certain COMT polymorphisms lead to psychosis in AD (Borroni et al., 2004). Biochemical, genetic, and animal models studies have also documented alterations of the dopaminergic system in AD. Both double mutant APP mice and 3xTg-AD mouse models of AD have reduced dopamine release in the hippocampus and insular cortex (Ambree et al., 2009; Guzman-Ramos et al., 2012). In conclusion, cognitive impairment in AD may, at least in part, be also mediated through deficit in dopaminergic transmission.

Neuropathological changes characteristic for AD are pronounced in tuberomammillary nucleus of the hypothalamus (Braak et al., 1993). These changes may condition disturbances in sleep and thermoregulation in AD patients. The acetylcholinesterase inhibitor tacrine (1,2,3,4-tetrahydro-9-acridamine monohydrochloride), used as a symptomatic treatment in AD, also increases the levels of histamine by activation of histaminergic hypothalamic neurons and inhibition of histamine-N-methyltransferase (Taraschenko et al., 2005). Histamine H3R antagonists also enhance the release of histamine in the brain. An effect of H3R antagonists on acetylcholine, noradrenaline, and dopamine levels that also modulate cognition has been reported (Medhurst et al., 2007; Galici et al., 2009). As such, H3R antagonists were tested in preclinical and clinical trials for their effect on cognitive processes in AD (see Table 3; for review see Brioni et al., 2011).

The production of melatonin from the pineal gland decreases with the increasing age (Pandi-Perumal et al., 2005). This is relevant as age is the main predisposing factor in AD. The fact that melatonin secretion is also decreased in AD is thus not surprising (Mishima et al., 1999). Decreased melatonin levels in cerebrospinal fluid in preclinical AD could serve as an early biomarker of AD (Zhou et al., 2003). Additionally, patients with the APOE ε4/ε4 genotype have a more prominent melatonin decrease (Liu et al., 1999). Decrease in melatonin secretion results in heightened afternoon agitation seen in so many AD patients (Volier et al., 2001), sleep
disturbance, and circadian rhythm disorganization in patients with AD. In the elderly, melatonin mainly promotes non-rapid eye movement (non-REM) restorative phases of sleep (Monti et al., 1999). In addition to melatonin antioxidant effects, its anti-amyloid properties were also observed. Melatonin inhibits Aβ generation, formation of amyloid fibrils, and protects cells from Aβ-mediated toxicity (Matsubara et al., 2003; Feng et al., 2004). Melatonin receptors MT2 also appear to be important in mechanisms of hippocampal learning and memory in mice (Larson et al., 2006).

3.4. Interactions among monoaminergic systems and with the cholinergic system in AD

Monoaminergic systems are not functioning independently. In fact, their interconnection is obvious at both the anatomical and molecular levels. Often different monoaminergic systems innervate the same brain regions and their fibres together share pathways in the brain, the most obvious example being the medial forebrain bundle. The control of the sleep-wake cycle is mainly regulated by the noradrenergic LC (REM sleep), and the serotonergic DRN and cholinergic pedunculopontine and lateral dorsal tegmental nuclei (non-REM sleep; Arslan, 2015). The serotonergic and melatonergic systems are closely related at the molecular level, 5-HT being the precursor of melatonin (Axelrod, 1974; Namboodiri et al., 1987). Association of the serotonergic and dopaminergic systems also occurs in the activation of 5-HT1B receptors leading to increase of dopamine release in the brain (Gonzalez-Burgos and Feria-Velasco, 2008), while neonatal lesions of raphe nuclei cause compensatory increase in number of dopaminergic fibers in adult rats (Bolte Taylor et al., 1998). Association of histaminergic system with other monoaminergic systems has been shown, for example H3R antagonists stimulate 5-HT, noradrenergic and dopaminergic neurotransmission (Flik et al., 2015). Trillo et al. (2013) elaborated that monoaminergic systems are especially vulnerable in AD due to: 1) the fact that the number of monoaminergic neurons is low in the brain, 2) having long, unmyelinated axons, monoaminergic neurons have increased vulnerability to abnormalities of anterograde or retrograde transport, and 3) monoaminergic fibers innervate many regions affected by amyloid and tau pathology. Besides the possibility that plaques and NFT could damage monoaminergic nerve endings, Aβ and tau could be also retrogradely transported back to their cell bodies (Trillo et al., 2013). Finally, degeneration of the cholinergic neurons is one of the main features of late-stage AD. As the cholinergic system is most important for the generation and modulation of the event related potential component P300 wave (since its initial discovery, it has been shown that it has two components - P3a – related to novelty, and the classic P300, which has been renamed P3b), some parts of the cholinergic magnocellular chain of nuclei that can be of particular clinical significance for non-invasive diagnostics and follow-up of AD subjects could be those rostro-lateral parts of the basal nucleus involved in innervation of the cortical speech areas (Šimić et al., 1999; Boban et al., 2006; Raghanti et al., 2011). Blockade of serotonergic and cholinergic systems leads to loss of learning and memory abilities in rats (Vanderwolf, 1987). Garcia-Alloza et al. (2005) postulated that imbalance of cholinergic and serotonergic system contributes to both cognitive and behavioral symptoms in AD patients. Interdependence of monoaminergic and cholinergic systems can also be seen as a possibility to create potential multifunctional therapeutics for AD treatment in the future. For
example, ladostigil, is a reversible AChE and butyrylcholinesterase (BuChE) inhibitor and an irreversible MAO-B inhibitor that combines the mechanisms of action of older drugs rivastigmine (an AChEi) and rasagiline (MAO-B inhibitor) into a single molecule, which also enhances the expression of neurotrophic factors BDNF and glia-derived neurotrophic factor (GDNF), and shows beneficial effects in neurodegenerative rat models (Weinreb et al., 2012). Another example could be the compound ASS234, a multipotent drug that inhibits monoamine oxidase enzymes (MAO A and B), AChE and BuChE, Aβ aggregation, protects cells from Aβ-induced apoptosis, and shows antioxidant properties (Bolea et al., 2013). Therapeutics targeting of cholinergic system only can also show beneficial effects on monoaminergic systems. Treatment with tacrine, an AChEI, increased histamine release in the brain (Taraschenko et al., 2005). Additionally, therapeutics tested for treatment of AD and targeting one monoaminergic system can influence another, such as the supposedly antihistaminic drug latrepirdine, which besides its autophagy-enhancing properties (Steele and Gandy, 2013) has also shown affinity for 5-HT receptors, adrenoreceptors, and dopamine receptors (Okun et al., 2010).

### 3.5. Monoamine-based treatments in AD

Numerous potential AD therapeutics targeting the serotonergic system have been tested in preclinical and clinical trials (Table 3). 5-HT is seen as having indirect influences on neuronal degeneration and memory deficits (Jia et al., 2014). 5-HT₁A, 5-HT₄, and 5-HT₆ receptors are even considered as novel therapeutic targets in AD (Ramirez et al., 2014). Lecozotan, a 5-HT₁A receptor antagonist, was a very promising drug in preclinical and clinical studies, but due to adverse effects failed in phase II of clinical trials (Sabbagh, 2009). Nevertheless, partial agonists of the 5-HT₁A receptor, tandospirone and buspirone, are useful in the treatment of BPSD (Salzman, 2001; Sato et al., 2007). Additionally, 5-HT₄ receptor agonists increased the release of acetylcholine and reduce Aβ in both preclinical and phase I clinical trials (PF-04995274, PRX-3140, RQ-9; Ramirez et al., 2014). Pharmacological activation of the 5-HT₄ receptor by the SSP-002392 compound decreased production and deposition of Aβ in the hAPP/PS1 mouse model of AD, making it another potential AD therapeutic (Tesseur et al., 2013; Pimenova et al., 2014). Interestingly, although they have not found evidence for a direct α-secretase stimulation neither in vivo nor in vitro, De Strooper and collaborators (Tesseur et al., 2013; Pimenova et al., 2014) explained their findings by stating that activation of 5-HT₄ receptors had probably stimulated α-secretase activity, which in turn increased non-amyloidogenic proteolysis of APP responsible for the observed decreased soluble and insoluble Aβ concentrations measured (both in cultured human neuroblastoma cells and in the hippocampus of the hAPP/PS1 mouse model of AD). Because Aβ may leak from serotonergic afferents (Braak and Del Tredici, 2013), an alternative and much simpler explanation not considered by the authors would be that it is a decreased activity of the originating serotonergic neurons (due to a pharmacological overstimulation of their target receptors), with consequent decreased Aβ leakage and deposition, responsible for the observed lower concentrations of Aβ (rather than due to a shift in APP processing, as the authors tried to explain). Besides the mentioned ones, several different 5-HT₆ receptor antagonists are also
currently in clinical trials as drug candidates for AD (Table 3). Because 5-HT₆ antagonists were reported to rescue anticholinergic drug-induced amnesia (Hirst et al., 2003), evidence indicates that inhibition of 5-HT₆ receptors could facilitate acetylcholine release and, via elevated cholinergic activity, improve memory and learning deficits. Two new agents, PRX-03140 (a 5-HT₄ antagonist) and SB-742457 (a 5-HT₆ antagonist), have also recently completed phase II trials, whereas LuAES8054, an antagonist of the 5-HT₆ receptor, has progressed to a phase III trial with 930 mild to moderate AD patients, in combination with the AChEI donepezil (Jia et al., 2014).

Drugs targeting the noradrenergic system have mainly been used in ameliorating behavioral symptoms in AD, like the α₁-adrenoreceptor agonist prazosin (Wang et al., 2009), the β-adrenoreceptor antagonist propranolol (Shankle et al., 1995) for aggression and agitation, and the antidepressant imipramine (Reifler et al., 1989) and venlafaxine (NCT01609348) for depression, as well as β-blockers for slowing cognitive decline (Hajjar et al., 2005; Khachaturian et al., 2006; Rosenberg et al., 2008). Preclinical studies have shown that drugs targeting the noradrenergic system can in fact reduce amyloid burden and neuroinflammation (reviewed in Chalermpanananph et al., 2013). α₂-Adrenoreceptor antagonists improved memory deficits in aged mice (piperoxane; Zornetzer et al., 1998) and APP/PS1 mice (fluproxan; Scullion et al., 2011), while the β3-adrenoreceptor agonist CL316243 improved learning in chicks impaired by the injection of Aβ_{42} (Gibbs et al., 2010). The noradrenaline precursor L-threo-dihydroxyphenylserine (L-DOPS) showed very good results in improving memory of APP transgenic mice (Heneka et al., 2010) and DBH⁻/⁻, APP/PS1 double-mutant mice (Hammerschmidt et al., 2013) and in reducing Aβ burden in 5xFAD mice (Kalinin et al., 2012). By taking into account the beneficial effects of L-DOPS in animal models of AD, its effects on cognitive functions of demented patients should be further investigated. The efficacy of noradrenaline reuptake inhibitors atomoxetine (used in the treatment of attention-deficit disorder) and reboxetine (used in depression) in the treatment of demented patients is currently in clinical trials. Phase II trials on the effect of atomoxetine on CSF biomarkers of AD in MCI patients is ongoing, whereas the effect of reboxetine in treatment of patients with dementia is investigated along with other potential therapeutics in a large multicenter study currently in phase III of clinical trials (Table 3).

Cognitive impairment in AD can be partially restored by levodopa and dopamine agonists (Martorana et al., 2013). The dopamine agonist rotigotine was shown to be effective in restoring LTP-like cortical plasticity in AD patients (Koch et al., 2014). This finding is not surprising as pharmacological experiments demonstrated the efficacy of the non-selective dopamine agonist apomorphine in reverting perturbed behavioural tasks (such as water-maze) due to oxidodopamine-induced parkinsonism in rodents (Brusa et al., 2003), promoting Aβ degradation and protecting of hippocampal neurons from oxidative stress (Himeno et al., 2011). The MAO-B inhibitor selegiline was also considered as a promising therapeutic for AD (Tariot et al., 1987), but it was rejected from further use as it failed to show beneficial effect on cognitive and behavioral functions in AD patients (Burke et al., 1993; Freedman et al., 1998). An effect of many dopaminergic drugs sought in the treatment of AD is to reduce apathy, a behavioral symptom in
about 70% of patients (Mitchell et al., 2011). Dopamine uptake inhibitors dextroamphetamine and methylphenidate (Herrmann et al., 2008), used to treat attention deficit hyperactivity disorder and the antidepressant bupropion have been tested for treatment of apathy in AD. Haloperidol, an antipsychotic D2 receptor antagonist has been tested in phase IV clinical trials for treatment of psychosis and agitation in AD. The antipsychotic risperidone, a dopamine receptor antagonist, was tested for the treatment of hallucinations and delusions, and agitation and aggression in AD patients. A currently ongoing phase IV clinical trial is testing the effect of repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation (rTMS) for treatment of apathy in AD (Table 3).

The research for potential therapeutics targeting histaminergic system in AD focused on histamine H3R antagonists. Seven H3R antagonists showed beneficial effects on cognition in preclinical models: thiopiramide, BF2.649, ABT-239, ABT-288, GSK189254, JNJ-10181457 and PF-03654746 (see Table 3; for review, see Brioni et al., 2011). In clinical studies, the H3R antagonist ABT-288 was shown to be safe in healthy elderly subjects and demonstrated efficacy across several cognitive domains (Haig et al., 2014). However, the drug failed in patients with mild to moderate AD (Haig et al., 2012). A pilot randomized controlled trial (RCT) study showed that MK-0249, a histamine H3R inverse agonist, could not improve cognition in mild to moderate AD (Egan et al., 2012). However, the histamine H3R antagonist GSK239512 improved episodic memory in mild to moderate AD patients, but had no effect on executive function and working memory (Grove et al., 2014). This suggests that H3R antagonists can selectively affect cognitive function in AD patients (Grove et al., 2014). The H2R antagonist nizatidine failed to show any benefical effect in AD (Carlson et al., 2002).

Therapeutic effects of melatonin in AD have been tested in 14 trials including AD patients and 8 trials including MCI patients (reviewed in Cardinali et al., 2014). Melatonin supplementation slows the progression of cognitive impairment in AD and MCI patients, ameliorates sundowning and improves sleep (reviewed in Cardinali et al., 2010). A search of ClinicalTrials.gov on 15th February 2016 yielded two studies that tested the effect of melatonin on cognitive functions in MCI patients (phase II) and sleep disturbance associated with AD (phase III). However, some clinical trials in AD patients showed no effects of melatonin on sleep disturbance and agitation (Serfaty et al., 2002; Gehram et al., 2009), suggesting that its efficacy in AD should be further investigated possibly at higher doses (Cardinali et al., 2014). The focus of recent studies is the development of melatonin analogs that could prolong the effect of melatonin. The effect of prolonged-release melatonin on patients with mild to moderate AD is in phase II of clinical trials (Table 3).

4. Conclusions
Pathological changes in monoaminergic nuclei, particularly the noradrenergic LC and serotonergic DRN, but also dopaminergic, histaminergic and melatonergic nuclei and pathways, observed during the early course of AD probably have a profound influence not only on the complex symptoms and pathogenesis in AD, but also in other primary and secondary tauopathies,
especially FTD (Boban et al., 2010). The link between depression and AD, depression being a frequent preclinical manifestation of AD, is important in this context. Association of depressive symptoms with hippocampal volume reduction in early stages of AD (Šimić et al., 1997), as well as hypometabolism and an increased risk for neurocognitive disorder or dementia, further strengthen the concept of depression as a risk factor for neurodegeneration in general (Brendel et al., 2015).

One of the problems surrounding depression is that many of its primary symptoms (such as low self-esteem, depressed mood, suicidal ideation, guilt) are by nature difficult to measure in animals. However, the lack of interest in pleasurable experiences may be similar to anhedonia in laboratory animals (Anisman and Matheson, 2005), which can be assessed by a variety of tests, including those based on food consumption and sucrose preference.

Another important connection is disruption of the sleep-wake cycle in AD, which is also most probably caused by the neuropathological changes of the DRN (mostly involved in non-REM sleep) and LC (mostly involved in REM sleep). Both of these brainstem nuclei are among the first to be affected by tau protein abnormalities in the course of LOAD, causing behavioral and cognitive symptoms of variable severity. The possibility that most of the tangle-bearing neurons of the LC and DRN may still release Aβ as well as soluble monomeric or small oligomeric tau protein trans-synaptically by their diffuse projections to the cerebral cortex warrants further investigations of the monoaminergic systems in AD.

In conclusion, evidence from human and animal studies suggest that alterations in serotonergic and noradrenergic transmission may be the link to the early mood, aggression, feeding, and sleep changes observed in AD patients (Šimić et al., 2009). Therefore, expanding our understanding of the brainstem nuclei, particularly the LC and DRN involvement in the early stages of AD to functional concepts beyond neuropathological descriptions, will likely have a significant impact on diagnosis and tracking of AD progression as well as on development of biomarkers, novel therapeutic targets, and preventive strategies. One of the recent breakthroughs in that direction was the successful visualization of the DRN using PET imaging of 5-HT1A receptor binding (Kranz et al., 2012). Other potential pharmacological interventions directed mainly at serotoninergic system targets are expected to alleviate symptoms of neurodegeneration, and to expand our understanding of the relationship between monoaminergic systems and the pathogenesis of AD.

A more comprehensive assessment of the genome and improvements in understanding of how the epigenomic influences change the methylome and structure of chromatine through histone protein modifications will enable detection of the early molecular networks that drive AD pathology. Because the human DNA methylomes of different neurodegenerative diseases, including AD, share common epigenomic patterns, at least for the prefrontal cortex (Sanchez-Mut et al., 2016), a key challenge for future studies will be to describe how genetic and environmental interactions shape epigenetic changes of genes related to monoaminergic and other neurotransmitter systems, and their differential vulnerability to AD. Moreover, an evaluation of younger subjects with life experiences such as depression will also be necessary to investigate the role of non-genetic factors to delineate more precisely the cause-effect relationships of molecular events that lead to dementia. Fortunately, the approval of epigenetic drugs for cancer (Adwan
and Zawia, 2013) paves the road for the development of such drugs for other disorders, including neurodegenerative diseases such as AD.
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Figures and Table legends

Fig. 1. Amyloid plaques, as revealed by Campbell-Switzer-Martin's method. The anterior part of the parahippocampal gyrus of an 84-year-old woman who died 3.5 years after the clinical diagnosis of AD was made. Scale bar = 1 mm.
Fig. 2. Neurofibrillary changes in AD. Modified silver staining of the CA1 field from the body of the hippocampus of an 84-year-old woman, who died 3.5 years after clinical diagnosis of AD was made. NP = neuritic plaque. Numbers designate groups or "classes" of neurons with neurofibrillary changes, as defined and described in Braak et al., 1994b: 2 = early rod-like argyrophilic inclusions in the soma, 3 = typical developed NFT, which fills almost the whole cytoplasm and therefore acquires the shape of the neuron ("flame-like" appearance in the case of this pyramidal neuron), 4 = early extracellular NFT (such tangles are called "tombstone" or "ghost" tangles because the neurons have died and only the NFT remain), 5 = late extracellular tangle. Scale bar = 100 μm.
**Figure 3.** Visualization of the hyperphosphorylated tau protein by using antibody AT8. 

A. The earliest detectable changes: hyperphosphorylated tau is localized in somatodendritic compartment of an isolated layer III pyramidal neuron in the transentorhinal cortex of a cognitively normal 59-year-old adult person. According to the criteria put forward in Braak et al. 1994b, such neurons belong to the group 1 neurons (they cannot be revealed by silver staining as they are bearing no tangle, just containing hyperphosphorylated tau). It is not known whether this change is reversible. 

B. Enlarged image from A. Note evenly distributed AT8-immunoreactive material in soma and all neuronal processes as well as grossly normal neuronal morphology. 

C. A group of temporal cortex pyramidal neurons in advanced stages of neurofibrillary degenerative changes in the brain of a 73-year-old subject with a 7-year history of AD. A spectrum of conspicuous cytoskeletal alterations is visible in all five neurons (belonging to all groups/”classes” neurons according to Braak et al., 1994, except group 5 end-stage neurons when their AT8 immunoreactivity is gone). All of these neurons also show argyrophilia, meaning that their neurofibrillary tangles can be revealed by using silver staining methods. 

D. AT8 - immunoreactivity in 'granules' and tortuous apical and basal dendrites of granule cells of the hippocampal dentate gyrus of the same subject as in C. Perikarya of granule cells are rarely AT8-positive and do not usually contain typical NFT (even in cases with long-lasting history of AD), perhaps because this special neuronal type does not express MAPT mRNAs containing exon 10 (4R isoforms), supposedly conferring their resistance to neurofibrillar changes. Scale bars = 100 μm.
Fig. 4. The Braak’s staging system (Braak and Braak, 1991). The topographic progression of AD classifies neurofibrillary degeneration in 6 stages, spreading from the transentorhinal region to the hippocampal formation (initial stages I and II, which clinically correlates with subjective or objective impairment of memory for recent events and mild spatial disorientation, but with preservation of general cognitive functioning without or with minimum impairment of activities of daily living), then to the temporal, frontal, and parietal neocortex (intermediate stages III and IV, which correlates with impaired recall, delayed word recall and word finding difficulties, disorientation in time and space, and impaired concentration, comprehension and conceptualization, among other symptoms of dementia), and finally to unimodal and primary sensory and motor areas of the neocortex (late stages V and VI, which roughly correlates with disturbances in object recognition, and other perceptual and motor skills). Braak staging system can be reduced to four with improved inter-rater reliability (Nagy et al., 1998): B0: no NFT, B1: Braak stages I/II, with NFT predominantly in entorhinal cortex and closely related areas, B2: stages III/IV, with NFTs more abundant in hippocampus and amygdala while extending slightly into the association cortex, and B3: stages V/VI, with NFT, neuropil threads and dystrophic neurites widely distributed throughout the neocortex and ultimately involving primary motor and sensory areas.
Spreading of neurofibrillary degeneration

Braak stages I-II

Braak stages III-IV

Braak stages V-VI
Figure 5. Schematic drawing of monoaminergic nuclei (except histaminergic and melatonergic cell groups). A1-A7 denote noradrenergic cell groups (A3 is missing in primates), A8-A16 dopaminergic cell groups (A11 is missing in humans, whereas retinal dopaminergic neurons are sometimes denoted as A17 group), B1-B9 serotonergic cell groups (B4 is missing in primates), whereas C1 and C2 denote adrenergic cell groups (C3 group is not present in humans). Histamine neurons in humans are located exclusively in tuberomammillary nucleus (stippled area caudal to A12). Melatonin neurons are located in the pineal gland (stippled area posterior to LGN). Emphasis is given on a rough sketch of two main ascending serotonergic systems: M-fibres with coarse varicosities take their origin from the nucleus raphe pontis (dorsalis, B6, purple lines) and nucleus raphe pallidus (B1, light blue lines) as well as from the nucleus raphe pontis medianus (B5) to a lesser extent (fibers from B5 not drawn) ascending through the tegmental area as the ventral bundle (vb), whereas fibres with small varicosities arise from NRD (light green lines, B7) and nucleus raphe magnus (dark green lines, B3) and collect in a dorsal bundle (db). Large serotonergic axons of the ventral bundle make synaptic contact with their targets, whereas fine axons with small varicosities release serotonin diffusely (volume transmission). AMY, amygdala; BF, basal forebrain; LGN, lateral geniculate nucleus; db, dorsal bundle of serotonergic fibers; EC, entorhinal cortex; HIPP, hippocampus; OB, olfactory bulb; NPF, nucleus parafascicularis; PFC, prefrontal cortex; S, septum; vb, ventral bundle of serotonergic fibers; see Table 1 for the designation of the serotonergic cells groups and their afferent and efferent connections. See text for detailed description.
**Fig. 6.** Bielschowsky silver staining (left panel) and Gallyas silver iodide staining (right panel) of the supratrochlear part of the nucleus raphe dorsalis (NRD) of a 69-year-old woman with mild cognitive impairment (MCI), who had also documented several behavioral and psychological symptoms of dementia (BPSD). Although silver staining seems not to show many changes, on one of the adjacent sections from the same block of tissue more sensitive Gallyas silver iodide reveals a plethora of neurofibrillary changes, including NFT and degenerating neurites. Scale bars = 100 μm.
Figure 7. Schematic drawing of a speculative spreading of tau pathology from LC and DRN to the transentorhinal/entorhinal cortex.
Table 1. Overview on the main serotonergic cells groups, their afferent and efferent projections, and functional consequences of their lesion or dysfunction.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>The designation of the serotonergic cell group</th>
<th>The name of the raphe nucleus where the appropriate serotonergic cell group is located</th>
<th>Location of the cell group</th>
<th>Main afferent projections</th>
<th>Main efferent projections</th>
<th>Consequences elicited due to a lesion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Caudal raphe group</td>
<td>B1 Nucleus raphe pallidus</td>
<td>PAG, reticular formation, parabrachial n. (Kölliker Fusé), hypothalamus, preoptic area, PFC</td>
<td>Laminae I, II, and V of the dorsal horns of the spinal cord, intermediolateral column of the spinal cord, sensory nuclei of the brain stem, superior colliculus, pretectal n., intralaminar thalamic mn., preoptic mn., motoneurons of the anterior horn of the spinal cord (via fibers in the lateral and ventral funiculus) including Onuf’s nucleus (Redef’s lamina IX)</td>
<td>Loss of pain-controlling input from PAG to the spinal cord; changes in excitability of cranial and spinal motoneurons</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B2 Nucleus raphe obscurus</td>
<td>Spinal trigeminal n., gracile n. and cuneate n., PAG, LC (=noradrenergic nucleus A6), n. cuneiformis, gigantocellular reticular n., superior colliculus, inferior colliculus, pretectal mn., hypothalamus, parafascicular thalamic n., hypothalamus, preoptic area, lateral habenular n., central n. of amygdala, zona incerta, BNST, PFC</td>
<td>Sensory nuclei of the brain stem, cranial motor nuclei, LC (=noradrenergic nucleus A6), superior colliculus, pretectum, intralaminar thalamic n., preoptic area, septum, hypothalamus (ventromedial, suprachiasmatic) putamen, caudate n., amygdala, mammillary bodies, hippocampal formation, SN, olfactory cortex, lateral geniculate nucleus, habenula, parabrachialis n., noradrenergic nuclei A7 and A5, solitary nucleus, dorsal horn of the spinal cord (laminae I and II) via fibers in the dorsolateral funiculus (Lissauer’s tract), intermediolateral n.</td>
<td>Loss of regulation of sympathetic system, especially regarding cardiovascular functions; changes in excitability of cranial and spinal motoneurons</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B3 Nucleus raphe magnus</td>
<td>Medulla oblongata</td>
<td>Spinal trigeminal n., gracile n. and cuneate n., PAG, LC (=noradrenergic nucleus A6), n. cuneiformis, gigantocellular reticular n., superior colliculus, inferior colliculus, pretectal mn., hypothalamus, parafascicular thalamic n., hypothalamus, preoptic area, lateral habenular n., central n. of amygdala, zona incerta, BNST, PFC</td>
<td>Spinal trigeminal n., gracile n. and cuneate n., PAG, LC (=noradrenergic nucleus A6), n. cuneiformis, gigantocellular reticular n., superior colliculus, inferior colliculus, pretectal mn., hypothalamus, parafascicular thalamic n., hypothalamus, preoptic area, lateral habenular n., central n. of amygdala, zona incerta, BNST, PFC</td>
<td>Spinal cord, spinal motoneurons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B4 Pontine raphe nucleus (median and dorsal)</td>
<td>Solitary nucleus, PAG, SN, interpeduncular n., hypothalamus, preoptic area, lateral habenular n., ventral pallidum, BNST, medial septal n., nucleus of diagonal band (of Broca), PFC</td>
<td>Solitary nucleus, PAG, SN, interpeduncular n., hypothalamus, preoptic area, lateral habenular n., ventral pallidum, BNST, medial septal n., nucleus of diagonal band (of Broca), PFC</td>
<td>Cerebral motor nucleus, cerebellum, LC, hypothalamus, septum, hippocampus, entorhinal cortex, limbic cortex</td>
<td>Low mood, low self- esteem (hopelessness and pessimism)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B5 Pontine raphe nucleus (median and dorsal)</td>
<td>Solitary nucleus, PAG, SN, interpeduncular n., hypothalamus, preoptic area, lateral habenular n., ventral pallidum, BNST, medial septal n., nucleus of diagonal band (of Broca), PFC</td>
<td>Solitary nucleus, PAG, SN, interpeduncular n., hypothalamus, preoptic area, lateral habenular n., ventral pallidum, BNST, medial septal n., nucleus of diagonal band (of Broca), PFC</td>
<td>Cerebral motor nucleus, cerebellum, LC, hypothalamus, septum, hippocampus, entorhinal cortex, limbic cortex</td>
<td>Loss of inhibitory influence on spinal cord, spinal motoneurons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B6 Dorsal raphe nucleus (NRD)</td>
<td>Solitary nucleus, lateral dorsal tegmental n. (cholinergic nucleus Ch5), SN, pontine raphe n., LC (=noradrenergic nucleus A6), parabrachialis n. (Kölliker Fusé), VTA, hypothalamus, tuberculineum and nuclei tuberis, preoptic area, lateral habenular n., central nucleus of amygdala, ventral pallidum, zona incerta, BNST, nucleus of the diagonal band (of Broca), PFC</td>
<td>Solitary nucleus, lateral dorsal tegmental n. (cholinergic nucleus Ch5), SN, pontine raphe n., LC (=noradrenergic nucleus A6), parabrachialis n. (Kölliker Fusé), VTA, hypothalamus, tuberculineum and nuclei tuberis, preoptic area, lateral habenular n., central nucleus of amygdala, ventral pallidum, zona incerta, BNST, nucleus of the diagonal band (of Broca), PFC</td>
<td>Cerebral cortex, especially the entorhinal cortex, thalamus, basal ganglia, substantia nigra, nucleus accumbens, accumbens, fornix, lateral habenula, and especially globus pallidus, septum, amygdala (especially central n.), BNST, intercalated thalamic n., thalamic relay mn., lateral parabrachial n., retina, pretectum and superior colliculus, SN, n. raphe</td>
<td>Mood changes (most often depressed symptoms), anxiety, abnormal conditioned fear response, social withdrawal, confusion, irritability, agitation, restlessness and aggression (first verbal then also physical), disturbances in wake-sleep cycle (&quot;sunwaxing&quot;), disturbances of REM sleep, emotion and appetite; oppose</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
magnus, n. raphe pallidus, pedunculopontine n., cranial motor nuclei, sensory nuclei of the brain stem, spinal cord

| Magnus n. raphe pallidus | Pedunculopontine n. | Cranial motor nuclei | Sensory nuclei of the brain stem | Spinal cord | Action of dopamine | Mediate avoidance of threats | Impaired function sensitizes dopamine system (resulting in impulsivity and drug addiction) |

Legend: BNST, bed nucleus of the stria terminalis; CC, NRD pars caudalis compacta; CL, NRD pars caudalis lamellaris; IF, NRD pars interfascicularis; LC, locus coeruleus; PAG, periaqueductal gray matter; NRD, nucleus raphe dorsalis; NRC, nucleus raphe centralis; NREM, non-rapid eye movement sleep (sleep stages 1-3); NRL, nucleus raphe linearis; SN, substantia nigra; ST, NRD pars supratrochlearis; VTA, ventral tegmental area. Caution: data on the connections of the raphe nuclei (and also other monoaminergic cell groups) were mostly collected in rodents and carnivores, while observations in humans and non-human primates are rare. The table is based mainly upon Nieuwenhuys et al., 2008; Michelsen et al., 2008, and Arslan, 2015.
Table 2. Overview of the involvement of the monoaminergic systems in animal models of Alzheimer’s disease and humans.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Monoaminergic system in Alzheimer’s disease</th>
<th>Animal studies</th>
<th>Human subject research</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Serotonergic system</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Serotonin innervation (fibers), serotonin, SERT, serotonin metabolites and enzymes | Progressive degeneration and loss of forebrain afferent 5-HT fibers in APP<sub>sw</sub>/PS1<sub>AES</sub> mouse model of AD (Liu et al., 2008)  
No 5-HT fiber degeneration and SERT reduction in APP<sub>sw</sub>/PS1<sub>AES</sub> mouse model of AD (Holm et al., 2010)  
Increased density of 5-HT afferent terminals to hippocampal CA1 field with abnormal 5-HT fiber sprouting in 3xTg-AD mouse model of AD (Noristani et al., 2011) | Decreased levels of serotonin, its precursor 5-HTP, and its major metabolite 5-HIAA in CSF in AD (Soininen et al., 1981; Volcier et al., 1985; Zubenko et al., 1986; Bareggi et al., 1982; Blennow et al., 1992; Sjogren et al., 1998)  
Increased levels of 5-HIAA in CSF in AD (Zubenko et al., 1986; van der Cammen et al., 2006)  
No change in 5-HIAA levels in CSF in AD (Stuerenburg et al., 2004) | Significantly decreased concentration of 5-HT in amygdala, caudate nucleus, putamen, and temporal cortex in postmortem AD brains (Nazarali and Reynolds, 1992)  
Significantly decreased concentration of 5-HIAA in amygdala and caudate nucleus in postmortem AD brains (Nazarali and Reynolds, 1992)  
Reduced levels of 5-HT and 5-HIAA in postmortem AD brains (Gottfries et al., 1986)  
Reduced levels of 5-HT and 5-HIAA in postmortem samples of frontal and temporal cortex in AD subjects (Palmer et al., 1987; Garcia-Alloza et al., 2005)  
ChAT/5-HT ratio in frontal and temporal cortex correlated with MMSE scores in 22 AD patients, whereas AChE/5-HT and ACh/5-HT correlated with MMSE decline in female patients (Garcia-Alloza et al., 2005)  
Negative correlation of 5-HIAA and tangle formation in temporal and frontal cortex in AD subjects (Palmer et al., 1987) |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Receptors</th>
<th>5-HT₄ receptor activation decreases quantity of both soluble and insoluble Aβ in the hippocampus of hAPP/PS1 mouse model of AD (Tesseur et al., 2013)</th>
<th>Reduced 5-HT₁A receptor binding in the temporal cortex (Lai et al., 2003), hippocampus (Kepe et al., 2006; Truchot et al., 2008) and parahippocampal gyrus (Truchot et al., 2008)</th>
<th>Significantly reduced densities of 5-HT₁B/₁D receptors and 5-HT₆ receptors in frontal and temporal cortex of AD subjects (Garcia Alloza et al., 2004)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Significantly decreased of 5-HT₂A receptor activation</td>
<td>Reduced 5-HT₂A and 5-HT₆ receptors exceeds loss of loss of serotonergic projections in early AD (Marner et al., 2012)</td>
<td>Thr102Cys polymorphism in the gene for 5-HT₂A receptor linked to psychosis and psychotic symptoms in AD patients (Trillo et al., 2013)</td>
<td>As the source of the ascending 5-HT system, the involvement of the oral raphe nuclei, particularly DRN, may be responsible for the early manifestation of the non-cognitive BPSD in AD (Rüb et al., 2000; Grinberg et al., 2009; for review, see Šimić et al., 2009; Braak and Del Tredici, 2013; Šimić et al., 2014)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nuclei</td>
<td>Ectopic cell cycle events that are linked to neurodegenerative process in AD are increased in dorsal raphe of 5 AD mice models (Li et al., 2011)</td>
<td>Association of early occurrence of BPSD in AD with early pathology of serotonergic raphe nuclei (Borroni et al., 2010; Martorana et al., 2013; Stefani et al., 2015)</td>
<td>Greater loss of DRN neurons in depressed AD patients (Zweig et al., 1989)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noradrenergic system</td>
<td>Progressive degeneration and loss of forebrain afferent NA fibers in APPswe/PS1ΔE9 mouse model of AD (Liu et al., 2008)</td>
<td>Decreased plasma DBH activity in early AD (Mustapić et al., 2013)</td>
<td>Decreased concentration of NA in temporal cortex of AD subjects (Nazarali and Reynolds, 1992)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noradrenaline innervation (fibers), noradrenaline metabolites and enzymes</td>
<td>Reduced levels of NA within hippocampus, temporoparietal and frontal cortices, and cerebellum in TgCRND8 mouse model of AD, which preceded memory impairment for objects and behavioral despair in tail suspension test (Francis et al., 2012)</td>
<td>Concentrations of NA and its principal metabolite MHPG in CSF increased with the progression of intellectual disability of AD subjects (Tohgi et al., 1992; Elrod et al., 1997)</td>
<td>Decreased concentration of NA in temporal and frontal cortex of AD subjects (Palmer et al., 1987)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>One-month treatment of 5-month old male 5xFAD transgenic mice with L-DOPS (NA precursor), increases CNS NA levels and improves learning in the Morris water maze task (Kalinin et al., 2012)</td>
<td>Reduction of NA and MHPG levels in CSF of AD subjects (Martignoni et al., 1991; Sjogren et al., 1998)</td>
<td>Increased concentration of MHPG in frontal cortex of AD subjects (Palmer et al., 1987)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No change in NA and MHPG levels in CSF of AD subjects (Parnetti et al., 1992; Blenow et al., 1992)</td>
<td>Increased ratio of MHPG/NA in temporal cortex of AD subjects (Palmer et al., 1987)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receptors</td>
<td>Decreased density of α₁-adrenoreceptors in olfactory bulb, piriform and somatosensory cortex of LRP1 mouse model of AD (von Staden, 2014)</td>
<td>α₁-adrenoreceptor agonist prazosin showed positive effects on behavioral symptoms of AD (agitation, aggression) (Wang et al., 2009)</td>
<td>Increased concentration of α₂-adrenoreceptors, β₁- and β₂-adrenoreceptors in cerebellar cortex of aggressive AD patients in comparison to non-aggressive patients (Russo-Neustadt and Cotman, 1997)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nuclei</td>
<td>Induced degeneration of LC neurons in APP-transgenic mice resulted in elevated Aβ deposition, increased expression of inflammatory mediators, and impaired microglial Aβ phagocytosis, while supplying the mice with DOPS (precursor of NE) restored microglial functions (Heneka et al., 2010)</td>
<td>Subjects with AD complicated by depression had significantly fewer LC neurons than non-depressed cases (Zweig et al., 1989)</td>
<td>LC is affected by neurofibrillary pathology very early during the course of AD (Rüb et al., 2000; Grinberg et al., 2009; for review, see Šimić et al., 2009; Braak and Del Tredici, 2013; Šimić et al., 2014; Pamphlett and Kum Jew, 2015)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dopaminergic innervation (fibers), dopamine metabolites and enzymes</td>
<td>Elevated level of dopamine in striatum and frontal cortex and reduced levels in the hippocampus (Ambree et al., 2009) and insular cortex (Guzman-Ramos et al., 2012) of a murine and 3xTg-AD mouse models of AD (respectively, compared to wild types)</td>
<td>Decreased levels of dopamine, and dopamine metabolites HVA and DOPAC in CSF in AD (Soininen et al., 1981; Zubenko et al., 1986; Tohgi et al., 1992; Pinesi et al., 1987; Bareggi et al., 1982; Blennow et al., 1992; Sjögren et al., 1998)</td>
<td>Reduced content of HVA in caudate nucleus of AD subjects (Nazarali and Reynolds, 1992)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Increased dopamine levels in the brain after treatment with L-DOPA in a murine model of AD (Ambree et al., 2009)</td>
<td>Increased levels of HVA in CSF in AD (Zubenko et al., 1986; van der Cammen et al., 2006)</td>
<td>Increased concentration of HVA in frontal cortex of AD patients (Palmer et al., 1987)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No change of HVA (Stuerenburg et al., 2004) and dopamine levels (Stefani et al., 2015) in CSF in AD</td>
<td>No change of HVA (Stuerenburg et al., 2004) and dopamine levels (Stefani et al., 2015) in CSF in AD</td>
<td>Reduced levels of dopamine, dopamine transporter, L-DOPA and DOPAC in AD brains (Storga et al., 1996; Trillo et al., 2013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receptors</td>
<td>Non-selective dopamine agonist apomorphine reverted perturbed behavioral tasks (such as water maze) caused by 6-OHDA-lesioned rodents (Stefani et al., 2015)</td>
<td>Polymorphisms in D1 and D3 receptors linked with psychosis and aggression in AD (Holmes et al., 2001)</td>
<td>Concentrations of L-DOPA, DA, and DOPAC are significantly reduced in post-mortem brains of AD subjects (Storga et al., 1996)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Concentrations of L-DOPA, DA, and DOPAC are significantly reduced in post-mortem brains of AD subjects (Storga et al., 1996)</td>
<td>Significant reduction in the number of D1 and D2 receptors in the striatum of AD subjects (for review, see Trillo et al., 2013)</td>
<td>Significant reduction in the number of D1 and D2 receptors in the striatum of AD subjects (for review, see Trillo et al., 2013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Histaminergic system</td>
<td>Nuclei</td>
<td>Density of D3 receptors in striatum was found to be selectively increased in AD subjects with psychosis and associated with Lewy body pathology (Sweet et al., 2001)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Allopregnanolone reverses the loss of dopaminergic neurons in substantia nigra pars compacta in 3xTgAD mouse model of AD by increasing neurogenesis (Sun et al., 2012)</td>
<td>Loss of dopaminergic neurons in substantia nigra associated with decreased binding of dopaminergic transporter in striatum in vivo using using (^{123})I-N-fluoropropyl-2β-carbomethoxy-3β-(4-iodophenyl) nortropane SPECT (Colloby et al., 2012)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Substantia nigra affected by tau pathology in later stages of AD (Attems et al., 2012)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Histamine, histamine metabolites and enzymes</td>
<td>HDC-knockout mice showed improved contextual fear conditioning and hippocampal CA1 long-term potentiation (Liu et al., 2007)</td>
<td>Reversible AChE inhibitor tacrine given to AD subjects inhibited the activity of HNMT (the histamine deactivating enzyme), resulting in increased levels of histamine (Taraschenko et al., 2005)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HDC-knockout mice showed improved water-maze performance and impairment in non-reinforced object memory (Dere et al., 2003)</td>
<td>Slightly decreased t-MeHA levels in CSF of AD subjects (Motawaj et al., 2010)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Histamine content was significantly reduced in hypothalamus (42%), hippocampus (43%) and temporal cortex (53% of control value) in postmortem AD brains (Panula et al., 1998)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receptors</td>
<td>Seven H3R antagonists (thioperamide, BF2.649, ABT-239, ABT-288, GSK189254, JNJ-10181457, and PF-03654746) showed wake-promoting effects and improved cognitive efficacy in preclinical models of AD (for review see Brioni et al., 2011)</td>
<td>H3R antagonists had modest positive effects on episodic memory in mild to moderate AD subjects, while no improvements were observed on executive functions, working memory, and other cognitive domains (Grove et al., 2014)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Knockout mice lacking H3R and H2R showed impaired maze performance and object recognition (Dai et al., 2007)</td>
<td>H3R antagonists had no effect on cognitive functions in AD patients (Haig et al., 2012; Egan et al., 2012)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No change of H2R density in frontal and temporal cortex of AD brains (Medhurst et al., 2009)</td>
<td>Higher binding density of H3R antagonist binding in frontal cortex of AD patients with more severe dementia (Medhurst et al., 2009)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Melatonergic system</td>
<td>Nuclei</td>
<td>Neurodegenerative pathological changes in tuberomammillary histaminergic system cause disturbances of sleep and thermoregulation in AD patients (for review, see Shan et al., 2013)</td>
<td>Tuberomammillary hypothalamic area affected relatively early during the course of AD (Braak et al., 1993)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Melatonin, melatonin metabolites and enzymes</td>
<td>Melatonin inhibits (\text{A}\beta) generation and formation of amyloid fibrils, and protects cells from (\text{A}\beta)-mediated toxicity in Tg2576 (Matsubara et al., 2003) and APP 695 (Feng et al., 2004) transgenic mouse model of AD</td>
<td>Early neuropathological AD changes are accompanied by decreased CSF melatonin levels (Zhou et al., 2003)</td>
<td>Significantly decreased melatonin levels in CSF of AD patients with (\varepsilon4/4) genotype than in AD patients with (\varepsilon3/4) genotype (Liu et al., 1999)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receptors</td>
<td>Increase of MT2 receptor expression in the hippocampus of adult male Sprague-Dawley rats following chronic treatment with valproic acid (Bahna et al., 2014)</td>
<td>Pineal melatonin levels highly correlate with CSF melatonin levels, which are decreased already in cognitively intact subjects in preclinical AD with the earliest neuropathological changes (Braak stages I-II) (Wu et al., 2013)</td>
<td>Overall decrease of MT1 and MT2 melatonin receptors in pineal gland and occipital cortex in AD subjects (Brunner et al., 2006)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nuclei</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Overall intensity of MT2 receptor staining distinctly decreased in AD hippocampus (Savaskan et al., 2005)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Decreased nocturnal melatonin production and secretion from pineal gland with increasing age (Pandi-Perumal et al., 2005)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Legend: S-HIAA, 5-hydroxyindoleacetic acid; S-HT, 5-hydroxytryptamine; S-HTP, 5-hydroxytryptophan; 6-OHDA, 6-hydroxydopamine; \(\text{A}\beta\), amyloid \(\beta\) protein; ACh, Acetylcholin; AChE, Acetylcholinesterase; AD, Alzheimer’s disease; APOE, apolipoprotein E; APP, amyloid precursor protein; AT8, antibody specific for phospho-tau epitopes Ser202 and Thr205; BA, Brodmann area; BPDS, behavioral and psychological symptoms of dementia; ChAT, Cholinacetyltransferase; COMT, Catechol-O-methyltransferase; CSF, cerebrospinal fluid; CNS, central nervous system; DBH, dopamin-\(\beta\)-hydroxylase; DOPAC, dihydroxyphenylacetic acid; DRN, dorsal raphe nucleus; HDC, L-histidine decarboxylase; HNMT, histamine-N-methyltransferase; HVA, homovanillic acid; L-DOPA, L-3,4-dihydroxyphenylalanine; L-DOPS, L-threo-dihydroxyphenylserine; LC, locus coeruleus; MHPG, 3-Methoxy-4-hydroxyphenylglycol; MMSE, Mini-Mental State Examination; mPFC, medial prefrontal cortex; NA, noradrenaline; SERT, serotonin transporter; SPECT, single-photon emission computed tomography; t-MeHA, tele-methylhistamine
### Table 3. Monoamine-based treatments in Alzheimer’s disease.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Monoaminergic system</th>
<th>Preclinical trials</th>
<th>Clinical trials</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Monoaminergic system</strong></td>
<td><strong>Preclinical trials</strong></td>
<td><strong>Clinical trials</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Noradrenergic system</strong></td>
<td><strong>Piperoxane</strong></td>
<td><strong>Atomoxetine</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Zorneter et al., 1998)</td>
<td>α-adrenoceptor antagonist</td>
<td>noradrenaline reuptake inhibitor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Serotonergic system</strong></td>
<td><strong>SSP-002392</strong></td>
<td><strong>SR-444</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-HT&lt;sub&gt;4&lt;/sub&gt; receptor agonist</td>
<td>5-HT&lt;sub&gt;4&lt;/sub&gt; receptor antagonist</td>
<td>5-HT&lt;sub&gt;4&lt;/sub&gt; receptor antagonist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Tesseur et al., 2013)</td>
<td></td>
<td>(Sabbagh, 2009)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Serotonergic system</strong></td>
<td><strong>SB-271646</strong></td>
<td><strong>PF-04995274</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-HT&lt;sub&gt;6&lt;/sub&gt; receptor antagonist</td>
<td>5-HT&lt;sub&gt;6&lt;/sub&gt; receptor agonist</td>
<td>5-HT&lt;sub&gt;6&lt;/sub&gt; receptor agonist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Da Silva Costa-Aze et al., 2012)</td>
<td>(Sawant-Basak et al., 2013)</td>
<td>(Shen et al., 2011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Noradrenergic system</strong></td>
<td><strong>E-6801</strong></td>
<td><strong>RQ-9</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-HT&lt;sub&gt;6&lt;/sub&gt; receptor agonist</td>
<td>5-HT&lt;sub&gt;6&lt;/sub&gt; receptor agonist</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Kendall et al., 2011)</td>
<td>(Fujuchi et al., 2010)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Serotonergic system</strong></td>
<td><strong>PRX-07034</strong></td>
<td><strong>SUVN-502</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-HT&lt;sub&gt;6&lt;/sub&gt; receptor antagonist</td>
<td>5-HT&lt;sub&gt;6&lt;/sub&gt; receptor antagonist</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EpixPharmaceuticals</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Serotonergic system</strong></td>
<td><strong>Piperoxane</strong></td>
<td><strong>Atomoxetine</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Zorneter et al., 1998)</td>
<td></td>
<td>noradrenaline reuptake inhibitor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Serotonergic system</strong></td>
<td><strong>SSP-002392</strong></td>
<td><strong>SR-444</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-HT&lt;sub&gt;4&lt;/sub&gt; receptor agonist</td>
<td>5-HT&lt;sub&gt;4&lt;/sub&gt; receptor antagonist</td>
<td>5-HT&lt;sub&gt;4&lt;/sub&gt; receptor antagonist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Tesseur et al., 2013)</td>
<td>(Sabbagh, 2009)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Serotonergic system</strong></td>
<td><strong>SB-271646</strong></td>
<td><strong>PF-04995274</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-HT&lt;sub&gt;6&lt;/sub&gt; receptor antagonist</td>
<td>5-HT&lt;sub&gt;6&lt;/sub&gt; receptor agonist</td>
<td>5-HT&lt;sub&gt;6&lt;/sub&gt; receptor agonist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Da Silva Costa-Aze et al., 2012)</td>
<td>(Sawant-Basak et al., 2013)</td>
<td>(Shen et al., 2011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Noradrenergic system</strong></td>
<td><strong>Piperoxane</strong></td>
<td><strong>Atomoxetine</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Zorneter et al., 1998)</td>
<td></td>
<td>noradrenaline reuptake inhibitor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Noradrenergic system</strong></td>
<td><strong>Piperoxane</strong></td>
<td><strong>Atomoxetine</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Zorneter et al., 1998)</td>
<td></td>
<td>noradrenaline reuptake inhibitor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System</td>
<td>Drug</td>
<td>Classification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Dopaminergic system</strong></td>
<td>Fluparoxan</td>
<td>α₂-adrenoreceptor antagonist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CL316243</td>
<td>β₃-adrenoreceptor agonist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>L-DOPS</td>
<td>Noradrenaline precursor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Apomorphine</td>
<td>dopamine agonist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bupropion</td>
<td>dopamine uptake inhibitor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Levodopa</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Risperidone</td>
<td>dopamine receptor antagonist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dextroamphetamine</td>
<td>dopamine uptake inhibitor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Haloperidol</td>
<td>D₂-receptor antagonist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Histaminergic system</strong></td>
<td>Thioperamide</td>
<td>H₃R antagonist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GSK239512</td>
<td>H₃R antagonist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GSK239512</td>
<td>H₃R antagonist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Melatonergic system</td>
<td>BF2.649</td>
<td>PF-03654746</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H₃R antagonist</td>
<td>H₃R antagonist</td>
<td>H₃R antagonist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Ligneau et al., 2007)</td>
<td><a href="https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT01028911">Clinical Trial</a></td>
<td><a href="https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT01018875">Clinical Trial</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>ABT-239</th>
<th>GSK189254</th>
<th>JNJ-10181457</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H₃R antagonist</td>
<td>H₃R antagonist</td>
<td>H₃R antagonist</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Fox et al., 2005)</td>
<td>(Medhurst et al., 2008)</td>
<td>(Galici et al., 2009)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Melatonin</th>
<th>Melatonin</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Melatonin</td>
<td>Melatonin</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Matsubara et al., 2003; Lahiri et al., 2004)</td>
<td><a href="https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT00544791">Clinical Trial</a></td>
<td><a href="https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT00000171">Clinical Trial</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Circadin</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Circadin</td>
<td><a href="https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT00940589">Clinical Trial</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Legend: BPSD, behavioral and psychological symptoms of dementia; L-DOPS, L-threo-dihydroxyphenylserine; SSRI, serotonin reuptake inhibitor.