**A population based case control study of aetiological factors
associated with vulval lichen sclerosus**

Journal:	<i>Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology</i>
Manuscript ID:	CJOG-2011-0507.R1
Manuscript Type:	Original Article
Date Submitted by the Author:	n/a
Complete List of Authors:	Higgins, Claire; University of Glasgow, Reproductive and Maternal Medicine Cruickshank, Margaret; University of Aberdeen, Obstetrics and Gynaecology
Keywords:	vulva, lichen sclerosus, female, anogenital, aetiology, autoimmune

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

A population based case control study of aetiological factors associated with vulval lichen sclerosus

Claire A Higgins, BSc MBChB¹, Margaret E Cruickshank MD FRCOG²

¹ Reproductive and Maternal Medicine, University of Glasgow, McGregor Building, Western Infirmary, Glasgow, UK. ²Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, University of Aberdeen, Aberdeen Maternity Hospital, Cornhill Road, Foresterhill, Aberdeen, UK.

Running Title

Aetiological associations with vulval lichen sclerosus

Keywords

vulva, lichen sclerosus, women, anogenital, aetiology, autoimmune

Corresponding author

Dr Claire A Higgins, Clinical Lecturer, Reproductive and Maternal Medicine, School of Medicine, College of Medical, Veterinary & Life Sciences, University of Glasgow, McGregor Building, Western Infirmary, Dumbarton Road, Glasgow, G11 6NT, UK

Email: Claire.Higgins@glasgow.ac.uk

Phone +0044 (0)141 211 2329

Fax +0044 (0)141 211 2012

Summary

We aimed to investigate the association between possible aetiological factors and the risk of developing vulval lichen sclerosis (VLS). A population based case control questionnaire study was performed comparing women with a diagnosis of VLS (n=92) with those attending a general gynaecology clinic with no known anogenital dermatosis (n=66). After adjustment for confounders, factors associated with VLS included a family history of diabetes mellitus (OR=7.0, p=0.012) and previous pelvic surgery (OR=4.75, p=0.007). ~~and current cigarette smoking (OR=5.54, p=0.044).~~ The use of barrier and progesterone only methods of contraception (OR=0.19, p=0.045), hormone replacement therapy (OR=0.209, p=0.025) or hayfever (OR=0.18, p=0.008) appeared to be associated with a reduced risk of VLS. In conclusion, we were unable to confirm many proposed aetiological theories associated with the development of VLS, in particular those associated with autoimmunity.

Introduction

Vulval lichen sclerosus (VLS) is a chronic inflammatory dermatosis affecting skin in the anogenital region of women. It is a progressive lifetime condition which runs a relapsing and remitting course of distressing symptoms including vulval itch, discomfort and pain. For women affected by VLS there can be associated functional difficulties related to architectural changes of the vulva in addition to the increase in lifetime risk of developing vulval squamous cell carcinoma (Wallace, 1971, Carli et al., 1995, Walkden et al., 1997, Van De Nieuwenhof et al., 2010). The incidence of VLS is difficult to ascertain since mild symptoms may go undiagnosed and in addition some women may not volunteer problems in the face of potential embarrassment. However previous studies have shown it accounts for at least 25% of the women seen in dedicated vulval clinics (Kirwan and Herod, 2002), and accounts for between one in 300 to one in 1000 of all patients referred to dermatology departments (Wallace, 1971).

The aetiology of VLS remains elusive, but is most probably multifactorial. A lack of aetiology affects the management of women with VLS in terms of available treatments and also counselling about the disease. Evidence for proposed aetiological stimuli is conflicting, however possibilities include an autoimmune process (Meyrick Thomas et al., 1988, Powell et al., 2000, Cooper et al., 2008), genetic susceptibility (Friedrich and MacLaren, 1984, Meyrick Thomas and Kennedy, 1986, Powell et al., 2000, Sherman et al., 2010), low endogenous sex hormone levels and age (Friedrich and MacLaren, 1984, Marren et al., 1995, Hagedorn et al., 2002, Powell and Wojnarowska, 2002, Gunthert et al., 2008), chronic genital infection (Schempp et al., 1993, Dillon et al., 1995, Farrell et al., 1999b), genital trauma and the Kobner phenomenon (Pass, 1984, Yates et al., 1985, Todd et al., 1994, Scurry, 1999, Farrell et al., 2006). In addition, aetiological studies of VLS have been hampered in the past by confusion surrounding disease definition. However, the consensus

statements from the ISSVD (Lynch et al., 2007), should increase the applicability of future VLS research.

In terms of modifiable aetiological exposure, little investigation has been undertaken, and is most often based solely upon observations of women with VLS with no comparison to rates of exposure among unaffected women. Sideri et al investigated modifiable risk factors for VLS with comparisons made with women admitted to hospital for other reasons (Sideri et al., 1989). The main differences between groups were women with VLS ~~were that they~~ had a lower dietary caritinoid intake ~~of caritinoids~~ and were parous.

Overall, previous work has been limited by the aetiological factors examined and also through the lack of comparison of exposure in appropriate control groups and incomplete exploration of general aetiological factors. Therefore, we aimed to use data from a retrospective case-control study comparing women with VLS and without an anogenital dermatosis to investigate the association between possible aetiological factors and the attached risk of being affected by VLS.

Methods

Patient selection and recruitment

We conducted a retrospective case-control study nested within a population based cohort. We compared women with a diagnosis of VLS with women who did not have an anogenital dermatosis. All women were recruited from the Grampian region of Scotland. Cases of VLS were identified from the Grampian Gynaecology-Skin Clinic database, where secondary care of women with vulval disorders, including VLS, is centralised and staffed by Dermatology, Gynaecology and Sexual Health consultants. Approximately 35% of women attending this clinic have a diagnosis of VLS. Women were included in the VLS group if it was biopsy confirmed or found to be clinically typical by one of the consultants at the dedicated clinic. Women with VLS were recruited either during routine clinic attendance or by postal invitation. Participants in the control group were recruited during attendance at a routine general gynaecology clinic, after pre-checking referrals to ensure that they had no known anogenital disorder. Women giving informed consent were given the study questionnaire to complete. Ethical approval was obtained from the Joint Grampian Research Ethics Committee (Ref No 03/0243).

The study questionnaire was designed based on a literature review concerning possible aetiological factors responsible for the development of both systemic and anogenital lichen sclerosus in men and women. The data collected included patient demographics, personal medical history with particular inclusion of obstetric, gynaecological and autoimmune related disorders, and familial medical history. The draft questionnaire was piloted at the local vulval clinic at Aberdeen Royal Infirmary for ease of understanding.

Statistical Analysis

1
2
3 101 Women were identified by a study number only, and standard security measures were taken. Data
4
5 102 was scanned directly into a Statistical Package for the Social Sciences Database, SPSS 15.0 (SPSS
6
7 103 Inc., Chicago, IL) on a secure computer in preparation for analysis.
8
9
10 104

11
12 105 Continuous variables are expressed as median and interquartile range. Mann-Whitney U tests were
13
14 106 used to determine differences between the two groups. Odds ratios (OR) and 95% confidence
15
16 107 intervals (CI) were calculated for each potential aetiological factor using binary logistic regression
17
18 108 analysis and statistical significance was assumed at $p < 0.05$. Adjusted odds ratios are indicated in
19
20 109 the text and were calculated for potential confounding factors including age, parity and menopausal
21
22
23 110 status.
24
25
26 111
27
28 112

Results

A total of 158 women took part in this study; 92 women with VLS and 66 women with no vulval dermatoses acted as controls. The median age of women in the VLS group was 65.0 (IQR 58.5, 71.5) years which was significantly older than their counterparts in the control group, median age 46.0 (IQR 37.5, 53.0) years. Age was significantly associated with the presence of VLS (OR 1.13, 95% CI (1.09, 1.17), $p<0.001$).

Gynaecological History

Gynaecological factors were examined in two groups, firstly those associated with menarche and contraception, and secondly those associated with general gynaecology and the menopause. Data are shown in Table I.

Menarche and contraception

Using univariate analysis, age at menarche was associated with VLS, however, this was lost when adjusted for current age. In terms of contraception, barrier methods have a negative association with VLS which remained significant when corrected for current age, with a greater number of women in the non-LS groups having used barrier contraceptives. The use of progesterone only preparations was also negatively associated with VLS, but became less significant when corrected for age. The use of combined oral contraception was negatively associated with VLS, however this association was lost when corrected for current age.

General gynaecology and the menopause

In this cohort, age at menopause was not associated with VLS. Menopausal status was associated with VLS, but this association was lost when corrected for current age. When the effect of HRT

was examined and corrected for menopausal status and current age, women who have ever used HRT are less likely to be affected by VLS.

No difference in risk of VLS was found in those who had previous pelvic and vulval infections, chronic vaginal discharge, cervical treatment or had exposure of the pelvis to radiotherapy. There was an increased risk of VLS in the group who had previously had pelvic surgery (hysterectomy, pelvic floor repair, modified Fentons procedure), however the study design did not allow for analysis of reasons for surgery, and may reflect architectural changes as a result of damage by VLS rather than a stimulus for development of the condition in the first place.

Obstetric History

Associations between obstetric history and development of VLS are shown in Table II. Parity did not alter odds of being affected by VLS. However, delivery by forceps was positively associated with VLS, but this association was lost when corrected for parity and age. Interestingly, despite theories regarding a link between the genital trauma and VLS, perineal suturing and problems with perineal healing post delivery were not associated with VLS.

Personal medical history

The association between co-existing medical conditions and the development of VLS is illustrated in Table III, with multivariate analysis adjusting for differences in age at time of questionnaire. Both Type I and Type II diabetes mellitus are associated with VLS. A personal history of hayfever is negatively associated with the odds of developing VLS and remains significant even when corrected for current age. Compared with those who have never smoked, current cigarette smoking decreased the odds of developing VLS, however, this did not remain significant when corrected for age. ~~Additionally, smoking history is also associated with the odds of having VLS; compared to~~

~~those who have never smoked, current smoking is positively associated with a women being affected by VLS, even when corrected for age.~~ In particular it is of interest to note that when using a control group, the presence of a co-existing autoimmune disease does not alter the odds of being affected by VLS.

Family medical history

In the VLS group, 8 (8.7%) women had a relative who was also affected by VLS, whereas no women in the healthy group had a relative with VLS. The number of relatives with diabetes is also higher in the VLS group and remains significant even when corrected for age, however the study design did not allow stratification for type of diabetes and type of relationship to relative with the condition. No other familial medical disorders were associated with developing VLS with data shown in Table IV.

Discussion

In this population based case-control study we have examined aetiological factors contributing to the development of VLS in women. We have shown a limited number of factors are associated with an increase in the odds of having VLS compared with healthy controls. These include those with a history of diabetes mellitus or, pelvic surgery ~~and current cigarette smoking,~~ with use of barrier contraception, exposure to progesterone only methods of contraception, HRT use and a medical history of hayfever being associated with a lower risk of being affected by VLS.

Our data is in agreement with previous observational studies where the incidence of VLS among women increases with age (Marren et al., 1995, Hagedorn et al., 2002). However, although menopausal status and age are linked, when we adjusted for age, menopausal status was not associated with VLS, suggesting that older age rather than menopausal status is linked with the development of VLS.

The most commonly studied aetiological factor for VLS is autoimmune disease. Previous observational studies have found women with VLS commonly have at least one co-existing autoimmune disease, in particular thyroid disease, vitiligo and alopecia (Meyrick Thomas et al., 1988), however no healthy comparison group was studied. Histologically, VLS specimens show immunological changes at all levels of the skin, showing typically a lichenoid pattern with a predominantly lymphocytic inflammatory infiltrate in the upper dermis with accompanying epidermal basal layer damage with local cytokine changes similar to those seen in lichen planus and chronic wounds (Farrell et al., 1999a, Farrell et al., 2006, Lynch et al., 2007). Circulating IgG antibodies against extracellular matrix protein 1 (ECM1) have been found in women with VLS (Oyama et al., 2003). Moreover, vulval carcinoma in association with VLS as opposed to VIN shows transcriptional over-activation of the immune response (Santegoets et al., 2009). These

1
2
3 201 factors have lead to the suggestion that VLS is an autoimmune process and that new cases of VLS
4
5 202 should be routinely screened for autoimmune diseases (Powell and Wojnarowska, 1999, Neill et al.,
6
7 203 2002, ACOG, 2008, Neill et al., 2010, RCOG, 2011). Despite this, our results are in contrast to
8
9
10 204 these studies with the data from our population based case-control study suggesting that the rates of
11
12 205 co-existing autoimmune disease are similar among women with and without VLS. This finding is
13
14 206 also supported by local data from our department which was unable to detect increased rates of
15
16 207 autoantibodies during routine screening of new cases of VLS at clinic (Higgins et al., 2002).
17
18 208 Additionally, co-existing skin conditions including dermatitis and psoriasis does not affect risk.
19
20 209 Again, this is in contrast to previous studies (Simpkin and Oakley, 2007), however, this perhaps
21
22 210 reflects differences in study design where past observational studies have not considered data from
23
24 211 healthy comparison groups.
25
26 212
27
28
29 213 VLS has been linked to changes in sex steroid exposure due to its bimodal age distribution; VLS
30
31 214 peaks in pre-menarchal girls, and post menopausal women (Marren et al., 1995, Powell and
32
33 215 Wojnarowska, 1999, Hagedorn et al., 2002). Despite this, our data found no significant association
34
35 216 with age at menarche or menopause. However, the data does suggest there may be a preventative
36
37 217 benefit of systemic exogenous progesterone during the reproductive years, since women with VLS
38
39 218 are less likely to have been exposed to progesterone only contraceptives, a risk which remains
40
41 219 significant even when adjusted for current age. Nevertheless, this protective benefit of systemic
42
43 220 progesterone during reproductive life seems to be lost when combined with oestrogen, since there is
44
45 221 no change in risk of VLS in users of combined oral contraception. Furthermore, exposure to
46
47 222 exogenous hormones in the form of HRT seems to have a protective effect against the development
48
49 223 of VLS. These hormonal associations suggest a possible role for sex steroid receptors in the
50
51 224 aetiology of VLS.
52
53
54
55
56 225
57
58
59
60

Chronic genital infection has been proposed as a potential causative agent in the development of VLS (Schempp et al., 1993, Dillon et al., 1995, Farrell et al., 1999b). This may be supported in part by our data where women unaffected by VLS are more likely to use barrier contraceptives, which can prevent exposure to infective agents. However, this link is difficult to justify since within our study, other data did not support a role for chronic infection in VLS.

We did show that women with VLS were more likely to have a first degree relative has affected by VLS, supporting proposals of a genetic predisposition (Friedrich and MacLaren, 1984, Meyrick Thomas and Kennedy, 1986, Powell et al., 2000). However, it may simply be related to the nature of the study and issues connected with gynaecological conditions. Firstly, individuals affected by any type of disease entity are more likely to have explored possible reasons for its developments, and therefore know if any other family members are affected. Secondly, it is also likely to reflect the nature of vulval diseases and the associated embarrassment and lack of discussion of gynaecological problems within the general population.

In relation to genital trauma and the development of VLS, our data did not demonstrate any association indicated by number and type of vaginal deliveries, perineal suturing and pelvic radiotherapy. Pelvic surgery rates among women with LS are higher. However, this is most likely to reflect firstly the higher number of hysterectomies and pelvic floor operations performed in the older age group of women, and secondly, it may be reflection of the need for surgery to correct architectural changes caused by VLS, rather than being an aetiological factor per se. Unfortunately our study design did not allow further stratification.

Although our data is interesting and provide a useful basis for focussed aetiological research and patient counselling, the recognised drawbacks of retrospective, questionnaire based case control

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

251 studies must be acknowledged. These include our selection of controls, all of whom were identified
252 from secondary care, and therefore may not truly reflect the overall population. There will
253 inevitably be an element of recall bias within our data since we have relied on patient self reporting,
254 which is not as consistent as review of medical notes or ideally prospective studies. Additionally,
255 our response rates in each group may be considered as low, and with a relatively uncommon
256 condition it may have ultimately been underpowered to address some of the factors, in particular
257 autoimmunity. However, this data set is the first of its kind and should be considered as providing
258 pilot data on which to base future larger and sufficiently powered aetiological studies.

259
260 In conclusion, despite an international consensus as to disease definition of VLS (Lynch et al.,
261 2007), aetiology remains uncertain with multiple factors proposed. Using our data set, we have
262 been unable to confirm many of the currently proposed factors. We have however, shown that
263 exposure to controlled doses of exogenous hormones in the form of contraceptive preparations and
264 HRT, may have some protective effect. In addition, the lack of association between co-existing
265 auto-immune disease and VLS in our study does not support the current guideline suggesting
266 autoantibody screening in women with VLS.

Declaration of Interest

Work was supported by the Scottish Hospital Endowments Research Trust (SHERT (S1/03)). This work was carried out at the University of Aberdeen while CAH was a Gynaecology Research Scholar.

References

ACOG (2008) ACOG Practice Bulletin No. 93: diagnosis and management of vulvar skin disorders. *Obstetrics & Gynecology*, 111, 1243-53.

CARLI, P., CATTANEO, A., DE MAGNIS, A., BIGGERI, A., TADDEI, G. & GIANNOTTI, B. (1995) Squamous cell carcinoma arising in vulval lichen sclerosis: a longitudinal cohort study. *European Journal of Cancer Prevention*, 4, 491-5.

COOPER, S. M., ALI, I., BALDO, M. & WOJNAROWSKA, F. (2008) The Association of Lichen Sclerosis and Erosive Lichen Planus of the Vulva With Autoimmune Disease A Case-Control Study. *Archives of Dermatology*, 144, 1432-1435.

DILLON, W. I., SAED, G. M. & FIVENSON, D. P. (1995) *Borrelia burgdorferi* DNA is undetectable by polymerase chain reaction in skin lesions of morphea, scleroderma, or lichen sclerosis et atrophicus of patients from North America. *Journal of the American Academy of Dermatology*, 33, 617-20.

FARRELL, A. M., DEAN, D., MILLARD, P. R., CHARNOCK, F. M. & WOJNAROWSKA, F. (2006) Cytokine alterations in lichen sclerosis: an immunohistochemical study. *British Journal of Dermatology*, 155, 931-940.

FARRELL, A. M., MARREN, P., DEAN, D. & WOJNAROWSKA, F. (1999a) Lichen sclerosis: evidence that immunological changes occur at all levels of the skin. *British Journal of Dermatology*, 140, 1087-92.

FARRELL, A. M., MILLARD, P. R., SCHOMBERG, K. H. & WOJNAROWSKA, F. (1999b) An infective aetiology for vulval lichen sclerosis re-addressed. *Clinical & Experimental Dermatology*, 24, 479-83.

FRIEDRICH, E. G., JR. & MACLAREN, N. K. (1984) Genetic aspects of vulvar lichen sclerosis. *American Journal of Obstetrics & Gynecology*, 150, 161-6.

- 297 GUNTHER, A. R., FABER, M., KNAPPE, G., HELLRIEGEL, S. & EMONS, G. (2008) Early
298 onset vulvar Lichen Sclerosus in premenopausal women and oral contraceptives. *European*
299 *Journal of Obstetrics, Gynecology, & Reproductive Biology*, 137, 56-60.
- 300 HAGEDORN, M., BUXMEYER, B., SCHMITT, Y. & BAUKNECHT, T. (2002) Survey of genital
301 lichen sclerosus in women and men. *Archives of Gynecology & Obstetrics*, 266, 86-91.
- 302 HIGGINS, C., MCNALLY, O. & CRUICKSHANK, M. E. (2002) How useful is it to search for
303 autoimmune conditions in the management of women with lichen sclerosus? [abstract].
304 *Proceedings of the Biennial Scientific Meeting of the British Society for the Study of Vulval*
305 *Disease*. Salisbury, England, UK.
- 306 KIRWAN, J. M. & HEROD, J. J. O. (2002) Premalignant vulval disorders. *Current Obstetrics &*
307 *Gynaecology*, 12, 90-96.
- 308 LYNCH, P. J., MOYAL-BARRACCO, M., BOGLIATTO, F., MICHELETTI, L. & SCURRY, J.
309 (2007) 2006 ISSVD classification of vulvar dermatoses: pathologic subsets and their clinical
310 correlates.[erratum appears in J Reprod Med. 2007 Nov;52(11):20A Note: Moyal-Barrocco,
311 Micheline [corrected to Moyal-Barracco, Micheline]]. *Journal of Reproductive Medicine*,
312 52, 3-9.
- 313 MARREN, P. M., CHERRY, C., DAY, A. & WOJNAROWSKA, F. (1995) Lichen Sclerosus: The
314 patients, the hormonal influences and disease impact. *British Journal of Dermatology*, 133.
- 315 MEYRICK THOMAS, R. H. & KENNEDY, C. T. (1986) The development of lichen sclerosus et
316 atrophicus in monozygotic twin girls. *British Journal of Dermatology*, 114, 377-9.
- 317 MEYRICK THOMAS, R. H., RIDLEY, C. M., MCGIBBON, D. H. & BLACK, M. M. (1988)
318 Lichen sclerosus et atrophicus and autoimmunity--a study of 350 women.[erratum appears
319 in Br J Dermatol 1988 May;118(5):736]. *British Journal of Dermatology*, 118, 41-6.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

320 NEILL, S. M., LEWIS, F. M., TATNALL, F. M. & COX, N. H. (2010) British Association of
321 Dermatologists' guidelines for the management of lichen sclerosis 2010. *British Journal of*
322 *Dermatology*, 163, 672-682.

323 NEILL, S. M., TATNALL, F. M., COX, N. H. & BRITISH ASSOCIATION OF, D. (2002)
324 Guidelines for the management of lichen sclerosis. *British Journal of Dermatology*, 147,
325 640-9.

326 OYAMA, N., CHAN, I., NEILL, S. M., HAMADA, T., SOUTH, A. P., WESSAGOWIT, V.,
327 WOJNAROWSKA, F., D'CRUZ, D., HUGHES, G. J., BLACK, M. M. & MCGRATH, J. A.
328 (2003) Autoantibodies to extracellular matrix protein 1 in lichen sclerosis. *The Lancet*, 362,
329 118-123.

330 PASS, C. J. (1984) An unusual variant of lichen sclerosis et atrophicus: delayed appearance in a
331 surgical scar. *Cutis*, 33, 405.

332 POWELL, J. & WOJNAROWSKA, F. (2002) Childhood vulvar lichen sclerosis. The course after
333 puberty. *Journal of Reproductive Medicine*, 47, 706-9.

334 POWELL, J., WOJNAROWSKA, F., WINSEY, S., MARREN, P. & WELSH, K. (2000) Lichen
335 sclerosis premenarche: autoimmunity and immunogenetics. *British Journal of*
336 *Dermatology*, 142, 481-4.

337 POWELL, J. J. & WOJNAROWSKA, F. (1999) Lichen sclerosis. *Lancet*, 353, 1777-1783.

338 RCOG (2011) The Management of Vulval Skin Disorders. Green-top Guideline No. 58. London,
339 Royal College of Obstericians and Gynaecologists.

340 SANTEGOETS, L. A., BLOK, L. J., VAN BAARS, R., VAN SETERS, M., HEIJMANS-
341 ANTONISSEN, C., EWING, P. C., VAN DER MEIJDEN, W. I., SSSAGEMAKERS, S.,
342 VAN BEURDEN, M., ANSINK, A. C., BURGER, C. W. & HELMERHORST, T. J. (2009)
343 Vulvar Cancer and Its Premalignant Precursors; Molecular Differences between Usual Type
344 VIN, Lichen Planes and Lichen Sclerosis. *Reproductive Sciences*, 16, 298.

- SCHEMPP, C., BOCKLAGE, H., LANGE, R., KOLMEL, H. W., ORFANOS, C. E. & GOLLNICK, H. (1993) Further evidence for *Borrelia burgdorferi* infection in morphea and lichen sclerosus et atrophicus confirmed by DNA amplification. *Journal of Investigative Dermatology*, 100, 717-20.
- SCURRY (1999) Does lichen sclerosus play a central role in the pathogenesis of human papillomavirus negative vulvar squamous cell carcinoma? The itch-scratch-lichen sclerosus hypothesis. *International Journal of Gynecological Cancer*, 9, 89-97.
- SHERMAN, V., MCPHERSON, T., BALDO, M., SALIM, A., GAO, X. H. & WOJNAROWSKA, F. (2010) The high rate of familial lichen sclerosus suggests a genetic contribution: an observational cohort study. *Journal of the European Academy of Dermatology and Venereology*, 24, 1031-1034.
- SIDERI, M., PARAZZINI, F., ROGNONI, M. T., LA VECCHIA, C., NEGRI, E., GARSIA, S., ARNOLETTI, E. & CECCHETTI, G. (1989) Risk factors for vulvar lichen sclerosus. *American Journal of Obstetrics & Gynecology*, 161, 38-42.
- SIMPKIN, S. & OAKLEY, A. (2007) Clinical review of 202 patients with vulval lichen sclerosus: A possible association with psoriasis. *Australasian Journal of Dermatology*, 48, 28-31.
- TODD, P., HALPERN, S., KIRBY, J. & PEMBROKE, A. (1994) Lichen sclerosus and the Kobner phenomenon. *Clinical & Experimental Dermatology*, 19, 262-3.
- VAN DE NIEUWENHOF, H. P., MEEUWIS, K. A. P., NIEBOER, T. E., VERGEER, M. C. M., MASSUGER, L. & DE HULLU, J. A. (2010) The effect of vulvar lichen sclerosus on quality of life and sexual functioning. *Journal of Psychosomatic Obstetrics and Gynecology*, 31, 279-284.
- WALKDEN, V., CHIA, Y. & WOJNAROWSKA, F. (1997) The association of squamous cell carcinoma of the vulva and lichen sclerosus: implications for management and follow up. *Journal of Obstetrics & Gynaecology*, 17, 551-3.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

370 WALLACE, H. J. (1971) Lichen sclerosus et atrophicus. *Transactions of the St Johns Hospital*
371 *Dermatological Society*, 57, 9-30.

372 YATES, V. M., KING, C. M. & DAVE, V. K. (1985) Lichen sclerosus et atrophicus following
373 radiation therapy. *Archives of Dermatology*, 121, 1044-7.

374

375

For Peer Review Only

Table I: Association between gynaecological history and probability of being affected by VLS. Multivariate association performed to include current age* or current age and menopausal status**

Factor	VLS	No VLS	Univariate Association		Multivariate Association	
	Median (IQR) [Range]	Median (IQR) [Range]	Odds Ratio (95% CI)	p-value	Odds Ratio (95% CI)	p value
Age at menarche (years)	13 (12, 14) [10, 16]	13 (12, 14) [9, 16]	1.30 (1.03,1.63)	0.025	1.19 (0.90,1.59)	0.222*
Age at menopause (years)	49 (44,50) [35,55]	47 (40,51) [31,55]	1.06 (0.97,1.15)	0.193	0.95 (0.85,1.06)	0.349*
	N (%) with condition	N (%) with condition				
Barrier contraception	6(6.5%)	20 (30.3%)	0.16 (0.06,0.43)	<0.001	0.20 (0.06,0.61)	0.005*
Female sterilisation	16(17.4%)	13 (19.7%)	0.86 (0.38,1.93)	0.712	0.64 (0.24,1.71)	0.370*
Copper IUD	6(6.5%)	9 (13.6%)	0.44 (0.15,1.31)	0.140	0.50 (0.14,1.83)	0.293*
COCP	33(35.9%)	45 (68.2%)	0.26 (0.13,0.51)	<0.001	0.70 (0.30,1.62)	0.403*
Progesterone contraception	3(3.3%)	10 (15.2%)	0.19 (0.05,0.72)	0.014	0.19 (0.03,0.96)	0.045*
Vaginal skin infections	32 (34.8%)	21 (31.8%)	1.14 (0.58, 2.24)	0.697	1.33 (0.57, 12.49)	0.507*
Pelvic infections	5 (5.4%)	3 (4.5%)	1.21 (0.28, 5.24)	0.802	2.08 (0.35, 12.49)	0.424*
Vaginal discharge	45 (48.9%)	44 (66.7%)	0.48 (0.25, 0.92)	0.028	0.75 (0.32, 1.75)	0.504*
Treatment to cervix	12 (13.0%)	20 (30.3%)	0.35 (0.16, 0.77)	0.009	0.43 (0.16, 1.19)	0.103*
Pelvic surgery	30 (32.6%)	8 (12.1%)	3.51 (1.49, 8.28)	0.004	4.75 (1.53, 14.74)	0.007*
Pelvic radiotherapy	2 (2.2%)	0 (0%)	/	/	/	/
Childhood vulval problems	3 (3.3%)	1 (1.5%)	2.19 (0.22, 21.54)	0.501	2.52 (0.19, 33.89)	0.486*
Post-Menopausal	72 (78.3%)	27 (40.9%)	5.20 (2.59,10.44)	<0.001	1.22 (0.46,3.25)	0.692*
HRT ever used	33 (35.9%)	24 (36.4%)	0.98 (0.51, 1.89)	0.949	0.209 (0.053, 0.818)	0.025**

Table II: Association between obstetric history and probability of being affected by VLS. Multivariate association performed to include current age* or current age and parity**.

Factor	VLS	No VLS	Univariate Association		Multivariate Association	
	N (%) with condition	N (%) with condition	Odds Ratio (95% CI)	p-value	Odds Ratio (95% CI)	p-value
Parity≥1	78 (84.8%)	49 (74.2%)	1.93 (0.88,4.27)	0.103	1.43 (0.51, 4.03)	0.500*
SVD	67 (72.8%)	42 (63.6%)	1.53 (0.78,3.02)	0.219	0.43 (0.11,1.61)	0.208**
Caesarean section	6 (6.5%)	6 (9.1%)	0.70 (0.22,2.27)	0.549	4.77 (1.04,21.80)	0.044**
Forceps	22 (23.9%)	6 (9.1%)	3.14 (1.20,8.26)	0.020	2.46 (0.71,8.56)	0.157**
Ventouse	1 (1.1%)	3 (4.5%)	0.23 (0.02,2.27)	0.231	0.17 (0.10,2.76)	0.211**
Tear requiring sutures	52 (56.5%)	28 (42.4%)	1.76 (0.93,3.34)	0.082	0.86 (0.33,2.24)	0.759**
Problems with perineal healing	10 (10.9%)	10 (15.2%)	0.68 (0.27,1.75)	0.427	0.41 (0.12,1.34)	0.140**

Table I: Association between gynaecological history and probability of being affected by VLS. Multivariate association performed to include current age* or current age and menopausal status**

Factor	VLS	No VLS	Univariate Association		Multivariate Association	
	Median (IQR) [Range]	Median (IQR) [Range]	Odds Ratio (95% CI)	p-value	Odds Ratio (95% CI)	p value
Age at menarche (years)	13 (12, 14) [10, 16]	13 (12, 14) [9, 16]	1.30 (1.03,1.63)	0.025	1.19 (0.90,1.59)	0.222*
Age at menopause (years)	49 (44,50) [35,55]	47 (40,51) [31,55]	1.06 (0.97,1.15)	0.193	0.95 (0.85,1.06)	0.349*
	N (%) with condition	N (%) with condition				
Barrier contraception	6(6.5%)	20 (30.3%)	0.16 (0.06,0.43)	<0.001	0.20 (0.06,0.61)	0.005*
Female sterilisation	16(17.4%)	13 (19.7%)	0.86 (0.38,1.93)	0.712	0.64 (0.24,1.71)	0.370*
Copper IUD	6(6.5%)	9 (13.6%)	0.44 (0.15,1.31)	0.140	0.50 (0.14,1.83)	0.293*
COCP	33(35.9%)	45 (68.2%)	0.26 (0.13,0.51)	<0.001	0.70 (0.30,1.62)	0.403*
Progesterone contraception	3(3.3%)	10 (15.2%)	0.19 (0.05,0.72)	0.014	0.19 (0.03,0.96)	0.045*
Vaginal skin infections	32 (34.8%)	21 (31.8%)	1.14 (0.58, 2.24)	0.697	1.33 (0.57, 12.49)	0.507*
Pelvic infections	5 (5.4%)	3 (4.5%)	1.21 (0.28, 5.24)	0.802	2.08 (0.35, 12.49)	0.424*
Vaginal discharge	45 (48.9%)	44 (66.7%)	0.48 (0.25, 0.92)	0.028	0.75 (0.32, 1.75)	0.504*
Treatment to cervix	12 (13.0%)	20 (30.3%)	0.35 (0.16, 0.77)	0.009	0.43 (0.16, 1.19)	0.103*
Pelvic surgery	30 (32.6%)	8 (12.1%)	3.51 (1.49, 8.28)	0.004	4.75 (1.53, 14.74)	0.007*
Pelvic radiotherapy	2 (2.2%)	0 (0%)	/	/	/	/
Childhood vulval problems	3 (3.3%)	1 (1.5%)	2.19 (0.22, 21.54)	0.501	2.52 (0.19, 33.89)	0.486*
Post-Menopausal	6472 (69.678.3%)	2247 (36.410.9%)	5.20 (2.59,10.44)	<0.001	1.22 (0.46,3.25)	0.692*
HRT ever used	33 (35.9%)	24 (36.4%)	0.98 (0.51, 1.89)	0.949	0.209 (0.053, 0.818)	0.025**

Table IV: Association between familial medical history and probability of being affected by VLS.
Multivariate association performed to include current age

	Vulval LS	No vulval disease	Univariate Analysis		Multivariate Analysis	
Family History Medical Condition	N (%) with condition	N (%) with condition	Odds Ratio (95% CI)	p-value	Odds Ratio (95% CI)	p-value
LS	8 (8.7%)	0 (0.0%)	/	/	/	/
DM	22 (23.9%)	3 (4.5%)	6.60 (1.89, 23.11)	0.001	7.00 (1.54, 31.84)	0.012
Thyroid Disease	25 (27.2%)	9 (13.6%)	2.36 (1.02, 5.47)	0.050	2.50 (0.87, 7.15)	0.088
SLE	1 (1.1%)	1 (1.5%)	0.71 (0.04, 11.63)	1.000	0.19 (0.01, 5.07)	0.320
Pernicious Anaemia	3 (3.3%)	1 (1.5%)	2.19 (0.22, 21.54)	0.641	1.54 (0.11, 20.76)	0.746
Vitiligo	6 (6.5%)	2 (3.0%)	2.23 (0.43, 11.43)	0.470	0.81 (0.14, 4.82)	0.816
Alopecia	1 (1.1%)	1 (1.5%)	0.71 (0.04, 11.63)	1.000	0.19 (0.01, 5.07)	0.320
Hayfever	22 (23.9%)	18 (27.3%)	0.84 (0.41, 1.73)	0.711	0.89 (0.36, 2.22)	0.803
Eczema/Dermatitis	15 (16.3%)	12 (18.2%)	0.88 (0.38, 2.02)	0.831	1.53 (0.53, 4.48)	0.433
Psoriasis	8 (8.7%)	3 (4.5%)	2.00 (0.51, 7.84)	0.362	1.37 (0.23, 8.15)	0.731
Skin Cancer	6 (6.5%)	1 (1.5%)	4.54 (0.53, 38.60)	0.240	6.05 (0.56, 65.36)	0.138
Coeliac Disease	3 (3.3%)	3 (4.5%)	0.71 (0.14, 3.62)	0.695	0.51 (0.07, 3.91)	0.514
Rheumatoid Arthritis	14 (15.2%)	10 (15.2%)	1.01 (0.42, 2.43)	1.000	1.01 (0.36, 2.89)	0.980
Allergies	13 (14.1%)	14 (21.2%)	0.61 (0.27, 1.41)	0.286	0.68 (0.25, 1.86)	0.453