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ABSTRACT

In situ overpressures in sedimentary basins are commonly attributed to disequilibrium compaction or fluid expansion mechanisms, although overpressures in shallow sedimentary sequences may also develop by vertical transfer of pressure from deeper basin levels, for example, via faults. Mafic sill complexes are common features of sedimentary basins at rifted continental margins, often comprising networks of interconnected sills and dikes that facilitate the transfer of magma over considerable vertical distances to shallow basinal depths. Here, we document evidence for deep sills (depths >5 km [>16,000 ft]) hosting permeable, open fracture systems that may have allowed transmission of overpressure from ultradeep basinal (>7 km [>23,000 ft]) levels in the Faroe–Shetland Basin, northeast Atlantic margin. Most notably, well 214/28-1 encountered overpressured, thin (<8 m [<26 ft]), and fractured gas-charged intrusions, which resulted in temporary loss of well control. Although the overpressure could reflect local gas generation related to thermal maturation of Cretaceous shales into which the sills were emplaced, this would require the overpressures to have been sustained for unfeasibly long timescales (>58 m.y.). We instead suggest that transgressive, interconnected sill complexes, such as those penetrated by well 214/28-1, may represent a previously unrecognized mechanism of transferring overpressures (and indeed hydrocarbons) laterally and vertically from deep to shallow levels in sedimentary basins and that they represent a potentially underrecognized hazard to both scientific and petroleum drilling in the vicinity of subsurface igneous complexes.
INTRODUCTION

Abnormally high pore-fluid pressure, commonly referred to as overpressure, is a common occurrence within sedimentary basins, occurring when the pore-fluid pressure is greater than the hydrostatic pressure expected at a given depth (Neglia, 1979; Mann and Mackenzie, 1990; Osborne and Swarbrick, 1997; Tingay et al., 2007). Encountering unexpected overpressure zones during drilling operations can pose a significant risk to both human life, the environment, and a well achieving its technical objective; such zones can result in an influx of high-pressure gas or fluid into and up the wellbore (known as a “kick”) and, in a worst-case scenario, a “blowout” (Grace, 2017). Accurate prediction of pore pressures when drilling petroleum wells fundamentally underlies safe drilling operations; the lack of adequate understanding and subsequent response to higher-than-expected pore pressures during drilling of the Banjar Panji-1 well in Java, Indonesia, was a contributing factor to the blowout and the flow of the Lusi mudflow that suddenly erupted in an urban area, burying over 11,000 buildings (Tingay, 2015).

It is generally accepted that disequilibrium compaction-related overpressure cannot be sustained for long periods of geological time (>20 Ma) within a sedimentary basin, with the overpressure dissipating via fluid leakage (Osborne and Swarbrick, 1997; Swarbrick et al., 2001; Tingay et al., 2007; Luo and Vasseur, 2016). Critically, it is also generally assumed that overpressure exists close to where it is generated (Osborne and Swarbrick, 1997). The transfer of overpressure horizontally or vertically within sedimentary basins has not been widely documented globally, with a few notable exceptions. Tingay et al. (2007) demonstrated the likely vertical transfer of overpressure up normal faults within the inner shelf of the Baram Delta, Borneo. Other notable areas where such pressure transfer is documented include that of the Northern Carnarvon Basin, northwest Australia shelf (van Ruth et al., 2000; Dodds et al., 2001; Tingate et al., 2001; Hoskin et al., 2015), and the Qaidam Basin, northwest China (Fan et al., 2016).

Here, we detail the occurrence of overpressure within igneous intrusions in the Faroe–Shetland Basin (FSB), using a combination of subsurface data sets. We propose a new mode of overpressure transfer via interconnected networks of fractured igneous intrusions. We then discuss the ramifications of such an “overpressure” transfer mechanism for both petroleum and scientific drilling in sedimentary basins containing extensive igneous intrusions (e.g., northwest shelf of Australia, South Atlantic margin, Norwegian margin, Guaymas Basin), emphasizing the need to plan for the possibility of encountering significantly higher-than-expected pore-fluid pressures in the vicinity of igneous sheet intrusions.
GEOLOGICAL HISTORY AND PETROLEUM EXPLORATION HISTORY OF THE FAROE-SHETLAND BASIN

The FSB is located between the Faroe Islands and Shetland Islands within the Atlantic passive continental margin of northwest Europe (Figure 1). The FSB can be divided into a series of southwest–northeast–trending subbasins and is quasicontiguous with the Rockall Trough to the southwest and the Møre Basin to the northeast (Hitchen and Ritchie, 1987). The subbasins consist of Mesozoic to Holocene sedimentary rocks bounded by basement highs composed of Precambrian crystalline rocks capped by Paleozoic and Mesozoic sedimentary rocks (Lamers and Carmichael, 1999).

The tectonic evolution of the FSB is complex and encompasses a number of rifting phases and compressional events that span a time period of approximately 400 m.y., from the Devonian to the Cenozoic. Much of the present-day structure and past rifting history is thought to have been heavily controlled by the northeast-southwest–trending basement grain, which formed throughout northwest Scotland during the Caledonian orogeny during the Ordovician to early Devonian. The first widespread phase of rifting within the basin was initiated during the Permian–Triassic, taking place along the existing northeast-southwest Caledonian structural grain. This produced a series of half-graben basins filled with fluvial, eolian, and lacustrine sequences. Thermal subsidence and rising global sea level led to an Early Jurassic marine incursion (Booth et al., 1993), with thermal subsidence continuing into the Middle Jurassic. Into the Late Jurassic, the FSB underwent renewed rifting, linked to the establishment of extensive rifting within the North Sea. However, detailed evidence of Late Jurassic extension within the FSB is limited by poor seismic imaging beneath the Paleocene–Eocene sill complex (Schofield et al., 2015). The Early Cretaceous was marked by high-magnitude extension as a result of the northward propagation of the central Atlantic rift system (Stoker, 2016), leading to hyperextension along the northeast Atlantic margin (Booth et al., 1993; Doré et al., 1997; Moy and Imber, 2009).

The North Atlantic rift system became relatively inactive during the Late Cretaceous, with postrift thermal subsidence facilitating the deposition of up to 4.5 km (14,763 ft) of Upper Cretaceous sedimentary rocks on the downthrown side of the major fault systems. During the Paleocene, relative deep-water marine conditions existed with the deposition of hemipelagic shales and turbidite sandstones (Lamers and Carmichael, 1999) as part of continued postrift thermal subsidence.

However, during the late Paleocene–early Eocene, the FSB experienced considerable igneous activity as a result of the impinging proto-Icelandic plume coinciding with the continental break-up of the North Atlantic (White and McKenzie, 1989). This igneous activity is expressed by the eruption of extrusive basaltic sequences and the emplacement of a pervasive suite of mafic sills and dykes into the sedimentary basins flanking the northeast Atlantic margin (Figure 2) (Gibb and Kanaris-Sotiriou, 1988; Schofield et al., 2015). Mafic intrusions are identified throughout the FSB, where they are collectively termed the Faroe–Shetland sill complex (FSSC), and extending northward into the Møre Basin and south into the Rockall Trough (Schofield et al., 2017). Critically, the FSSC, and the sills in other Atlantic margin basins, are observed to preferentially intrude the Cretaceous and lower Paleocene sedimentary succession, which is predominantly composed of marine shales (Stoker, 2016) and represents a significant low-permeability sealing unit (Ogilvie et al., 2015).

The FSB has seen active exploration for the last 50 yr with the first well (206/12-1) drilled in 1972 on the Rona Ridge and over 200 exploration and appraisal wells in total drilled in the FSB. Reservoirs are present throughout the entire basin succession, from the fractured Lewisian Gneiss Complex crystalline basement (Lancaster field), fractured sili-clastics in the Devonian and Carboniferous Old Red Sandstone (Clair field), Jurassic sandstones (Loch-nagar discovery), Cretaceous (Victory discovery and Edradour field) and Paleocene turbidites (Schiehallion, Laggan–Tormore, Glenlivet fields, and Cragganmore discovery), Paleocene–Eocene intra-basaltic fluvial deltaic sandstones (Rosebank field), Lower Eocene supra-basaltic sandstones (Cambo field), and mid-Eocene turbidite fan sandstones (Tobermory discovery). The majority of hydrocarbons discovered are sourced from the marine Upper Jurassic Kimmeridge Clay Formation, although in some areas, Middle Jurassic lacustrine (Scotchman et al., 2018) and Middle Devonian lacustrine (Baron et al., 2008) sources are thought to contribute.
Figure 1. Tectonic elements of the Faroe–Shetland Basin. Adapted from Ellis et al. (2009), Mudge (2014), Watson et al. (2017), and Hardman et al. (2018a, b). Wells that contain evidence for overpressured intrusions are labeled. Map in Mercator/ED50 datum.
Figure 2. Regional seismic line through well 214/28-1. Data courtesy of PGS–Faroe–Shetland Basin MegaSurvey plus.
OVERPRESSURE DEVELOPMENT WITHIN THE FAROE–SHETLAND BASIN

Understanding the interplay of pore pressures and their impact on petroleum generation during basin evolution is essential for any petroleum exploration and has particular importance in the FSB because of the tectonic complexity (Iliffe et al., 1999). Despite extensive exploration activity in the FSB, a full quantitative analysis of the depth–pore pressure relationships remains poorly documented in the literature. However, some broad conclusions can be drawn about the pressure history of the FSB.

Measured reservoir pressure data show that the majority of the wells in the West of Shetlands region exhibit normally pressured or near–normally pressured gradients (Iliffe et al., 1999; Lamers and Carmichael, 1999). However, overpressure is known to occur within Mesozoic sections at depths greater than 3000 m (>9842 ft), with Lower Cretaceous sequences generally exhibiting the largest formation overpressures (Iliffe et al., 1999; Tassone et al., 2014). The distribution of overpressure within the FSB is, however, not uniform, with both normally pressured and overpressured high-permeability sands occurring at similar depths. This implies that a complex basin plumbing and fluid drainage system is in operation within the FSB (Edwards et al., 2012). It is generally accepted that disequilibrium compaction, as a result of high sedimentation rates in the Cenozoic, is the dominant mechanism that has created the large-magnitude (>20 MPa [>2901 psi]) overpressure within the Mesozoic sections of the FSB (Iliffe et al., 1999). The observation in velocity–density crossplot space, in the absence of x-ray diffraction–type data, shows there are no deviations of data from a normal compaction trend and, hence, supports the notion that disequilibrium compaction is primarily active (Figure 3). It should also be noted that although the FSB has undergone multiple phases of tectonic uplift (Stoker et al., 2010), the two wells of interest in this study are located in subbasins that have undergone negligible uplift, as demonstrated by Cretaceous shale compaction data (Tassone et al., 2014).

**Figure 3.** Velocity (Vp) versus density (Rho) crossplot for well 214/28-1. Examining Vp and Rho relationships can reveal information about the mechanism of overpressure development (Lahann et al., 2001, following the work of Bowers, 1995). The deepest shales (Cretaceous age) do not deviate from the Gardener shale trend, which is indicative of disequilibrium compaction as the sole pressure mechanism. The density values, however, exceed 2.45 g/cm³ (typical maximum density because of mechanical compaction), which suggests low-porosity shales. The corrected bottomhole temperature is 167°C, and therefore the high-density values correspond to diagenetic shale alteration. TVDss = total vertical depth subsea.
OVERPRESSURED INTRUSIONS

Well 214/28-1–Flett Ridge

Well 214/28-1, drilled in the FSB in 1984 to a total depth of 5124 m below rotary table (BRT) (16,811 ft BRT) (653 m [199 ft] water depth), was designed to test Paleocene and Jurassic targets (Grove, 2013). However, the well encountered substantial issues with overpressured mafic intrusions between 4596 and 5013 m BRT (15,078–16,446 ft BRT) (Figure 4), which required the expenditure of considerable time and effort to control the overpressure and gas influx (Mark et al., 2017). The intrusions penetrated by well 214/28-1 form a series of vertically stacked intrusions that extend down toward the center of the Flett Basin and the base of the Cretaceous sequence (Schofield et al., 2015) (Figure 2).

Using direct (e.g., wireline formation tester, repeat formation tester [RFT], and modular formation dynamic tester [MDT]) and indirect (e.g., mud weights) pressure data indicates a consistent picture of broadly hydrostatic pressures to depths of approximately 3200 m (~10,498 ft) within the middle Paleocene (Figure 5). Below this depth, RFT and MDT data begin to indicate a departure from normal hydrostatic conditions and occurrence of overpressure, which increased gradually with small deviations (e.g., 4100 m BRT [13,451 ft BRT]). The top of the overpressure was estimated using intermediate wire-line logs during drilling operations and was found at approximately 4480 m BRT (~14,698 ft BRT) (Figure 5). This depth is consistent with regionally built shale models in the West of Shetlands region (Edwards et al., 2012; Tassone et al., 2014). However, on encountering a 6.1-m (20-ft)-thick intrusion at a sub-seabed depth of 4596 m BRT (15,075 ft BRT) (Figures 4–6), a large-magnitude overpressure was encountered associated with high-pressure gas influx into the wellbore and 44% total gas (methane, ethane, propane, and butane) (Figures 5, 6). Using the static mud weight pressure as a proxy for pore pressure in absence of direct pressure data (e.g., RFT) at this interval (van Ruth et al., 2002), the circulating mud weight had to be increased to control the pore pressure increase and associated gas from approximately 57 MPa (~8267 psi; 10.5 ppg) to greater than 71 MPa...
10,296 psi; 13.1 ppg) (Figures 5, 6). On continuation of drilling, with the increased mud weight, two further intrusions where penetrated at 4788 m BRT (15,708 ft BRT) and 4931 m BRT (16,177 ft BRT), respectively, with no further influx of gas noted (Figure 6). However, at a depth of 5013 m BRT (16,446 ft BRT), a 7.6-m (25-ft)-thick overpressured intrusion was encountered (Figure 6), with mud weights having to be raised further to counteract the estimated pressure of greater than 82 MPa (>11,893 psi; 13.9 ppg). Associated with this intrusion was 51% total gas (methane), which, when expanding at the surface, led to mud flowing out over the kelly bushing and partial loss of well control. After penetration of the 7.6-m (25-ft)-thick intrusion, connection gas values remained at 30%–45%, even at the increased levels of mud weight to the base of the hole at 5124 m BRT (16,811 ft BRT).

Well 219/28-2Z–Northern Faroe–Shetland Basin

Well 219/28-2Z was drilled to a total depth of 4016 m BRT (13,175 ft BRT) (516 m [1692 ft] water depth) in the northeast of the FSB and southern extension of the Møre Basin, approximately 20 km (~12 mi) northward of the Margarita Spur. The well penetrated a 36-m (118-ft)-thick intrusion at 3148 m BRT (10,328 ft BRT) (~3.1 s two-way traveltime) (Figures 7, 8). On seismic data, the intrusion is poorly imaged because of the deep depth of imaging and inclined (~40°) nature of the intrusion. However, the intrusion can be seen to connect subvertically to a series of sills intruded into the Lower Cretaceous succession. Although initially the intrusion (which was associated with a small gas peak ranging from 0.78% to 1.92%) was estimated to have a pore pressure between 39 and 40 MPa (5706–5923 psi; 10.5–10.9 ppg), a direct RFT measurement taken at the lower intrusion contact gave a pore pressure measurement of 48 MPa (6956 psi; 12.8 ppg) (Figures 8, 9), with the well completion report noting that the high pore pressure was probably confined entirely to the sill and that the adjacent claystone appeared to have been at a substantially lower pressure gradient.

Figure 6. Diagram showing log responses, static mud weight (megapascals), and total gas from the lower zone of intrusions where overpressure was encountered in well 214/28-1. DTC = sonic traveltime; GAPI = gamma ray, API; GR = gamma ray; RDEP = deep resistivity; RMED = medium resistivity.
Figure 7. Two-dimensional seismic line (NS92202) across well 219/28-2Z, showing inclined intrusion, which was penetrated at 3048 m (10,000 ft) below rotary table (~3.1 s two-way traveltime [TWT]). Red coloring indicates intrusions.
DISCUSSION

Overpressure Generation

Although fluid expansion can occur by a variety of mechanisms (e.g., aquathermal expansion, diagene-
sis; see Tingay et al., 2013), kerogen to gas matu-
rates is generally regarded as the dominant fluid expansion mechanism by which high-magnitude
overpressure, equivalent to that formed by disequi-
librium compaction, can be generated (Swarbrick
and Osborne, 1998; Tingay et al., 2007). The em-
placement of mafic, Paleogene intrusions into the
Cretaceous claystone sequences of the FSB could
lead to gas generation, as a result of localized matu-
rates of organic matter by the intrusions (Aarnes
et al., 2010; Muirhead et al., 2017). However, we
argue against this mechanism for the overpressure
witnessed within the intrusions penetrated in wells
214/28-1 and 219/28-2Z. First, multiple studies have
shown that petroleum source potential is generally
lacking in the Cretaceous and Cenozoic stratigraphy,
and hence, the local host rocks are likely to be poor
source rocks for gas (Scotchman, 2001; Scotchman
et al., 2018). Second, overpressures are inherently
unstable, with pore-fluid pressures always attempt-
ning to return to hydrostatic equilibrium (Osborne
and Swarbrick, 1997). The residence time of over-
pressure related to disequilibrium compaction is
generally less than 20 Ma (Luo and Vasseur, 2016).
Therefore, having overpressure maintained from
58 Ma, when the sills were emplaced, would exceed
the generally accepted upper maximum timescale
in which overpressure is thought to be sustainable
within most sedimentary basins.

Fractures within Intrusions

Exposed basaltic sill intrusions are commonly per-
vaded by open fractures, both vertical and hori-
zontal, in the form of cooling joints, which can
create substantial fracture connectivity (Bermúdez
and Delpino, 2008; Rateau et al., 2013; Senger et al.,
2015). However, because of the unloading associ-
ated with the exhumation of such intrusions to
contemporary surface levels, it is often difficult
to assess whether open fractures visible in surface

Figure 8. Detail of a sill (red) penetrated at 3148 m (10,328 ft) below rotary table (BRT). A repeat formation tester (RFT) measurement
taken at the sill edge recorded a pressure of 48 MPa (6956 psi), some 8 MPa (1160 psi) higher than the surrounding sequences. This
pressure was equivalent to that recorded in RFT measurements at the base of the well. This suggests that the sill penetrated at 3148 m BRT
(10,328 ft BRT) was in pressure communication with deeper units. Red coloring denotes intrusions. est. = estimate; RDEP = deep resistivity;
RMED = medium resistivity; TWT = two-way traveltime.
outcrops (e.g., Senger et al., 2015) would still be open and interconnected in deeply buried intrusions.

Multiple intrusions encountered within the FSB have caused substantial mud losses during drilling (Rateau et al., 2013; Mark et al., 2017). In an extreme case, 23,000 bbl of drilling mud was lost into a 60-m (197-ft)-thick fractured intrusion in the FSB (208/15-1A; Mark et al., 2017). In total, of the 29 wells that have encountered intrusions in the FSB, more than 80% have suffered some degree of mud loss when drilling through intrusions (Rateau et al., 2013; Mark et al., 2017).

Additionally, open fractures within intrusions along the Atlantic margin have been inferred to control the location of oil and gas accumulations (e.g., Tormore field) by acting as migration “superhighways” through low-permeability Cretaceous sequences (Rateau et al., 2013; Schofield et al., 2015, 2017). In Svalbard, the intrusions and surrounding contact metamorphic aureoles have been inferred to control fluid-flow and gas-escape structures adjacent to intrusions on the sea floor (Senger et al., 2013).

The open nature of fractures within subsurface igneous intrusions, even at depth, can be substantiated directly, when available, from borehole image resistivity logs (e.g., formation microimager [FMI], azimuthal focused resistivity, oil mud reservoir imager). In Figure 10, an FMI log through an igneous intrusion encountered at 3265 m BRT (10,711 ft BRT) within the subsurface shows clear evidence of open horizontal and vertical fractures within a 27-m (88-ft)-thick intrusion. We interpret the subvertical fractures, which extend greater than 30° around the circumference of the hole, to be primary cooling fractures and not drilling induced because no subvertical fractures are seen in the weaker shale horizons above and below the intrusion and no change in mud weight occurred while drilling from the shale through to the intrusion. Additionally, cores obtained from intrusions within the FSB have also been shown to contain open vertical and importantly horizontal natural fracture sets (i.e., not induced by drilling) (Rateau et al., 2013; C. Grove, 2019, personal communication).

Associated with the fractures observed in the FMI log in Figure 10 is an increase in separation between the logged medium and deep resistivity within the wire-line logs. In the areas of clear fracturing on the FMI log (Figure 10), the medium resistivity, which measures the rock and pore fluids close to the wellbore (~1.5 m [~5 ft]), is lower because of the invasion of the water-based mud into the sill via the fractures (thus reducing resistivity). The deep resistivity, however, remains high because it is measuring the virgin formation farther away from the borehole where the formation has not been invaded by the water-based mud. This same resistivity separation relationship appears to be demonstrated within well 219/28-2Z, where the resistivity separation within the intrusion where the elevated pore pressure was recorded by the RFT can be seen clearly (Figure 8).

In the case of well 214/28-1, the main evidence for the presence of fractures is the occurrence of the gas-related kicks associated with the 6.1-m (20-ft)- and 7.6-m (25-ft)-thick intrusions (Figures 5, 6). Because intrusions usually possess zero effective
primary intergranular porosity, permeability is usually created by the presence of interconnected primary or secondary fractures (Bermúdez and Delpino, 2008; Rateau et al., 2013).

Interpretation of resistivity profiles in well 214/28-1 is complicated because the section in which the intrusions are present was drilled with an invert-emulsion oil-based mud. Within the suite of intrusions in which overpressure was encountered (Figure 6), a clear separation in resistivity is visible within two of the sills, implying potential open fractures within the intrusions. However, this relationship is inverse to what would be expected with an oil-based mud, and therefore, in the absence of image log data (which was not collected) and other uncertainties (e.g., water saturation in the intrusion), it is difficult to interpret the resistivity separation as being fracture related with total confidence. Interestingly, a resistivity separation is not visible within the two overpressured intrusions (detailed previously). This lack of resistivity separation could be the result of the drilling mud being unable to invade into the fracture network of intrusions. However, this relationship is inverse to what would be expected with an oil-based mud, and therefore, in the absence of image log data (which was not collected) and other uncertainties (e.g., water saturation in the intrusion), it is difficult to interpret the resistivity separation as being fracture related with total confidence. Interestingly, a resistivity separation is not visible within the two overpressured intrusions (detailed previously). This lack of resistivity separation could be the result of the drilling mud being unable to invade into the fracture network of

**Figure 10.** Wire-line and formation microimager (FMI) log through a 27-m (88-ft)-thick igneous intrusion located within the Faroe–Shetland Basin (213/27-2). Clear fractures can be observed within the intrusion on the FMI log. We interpret the subvertical fractures, which extend more than 30° around the circumference of the hole, to be primary cooling fractures and not drilling induced because no subvertical fractures are seen in the weaker shales horizons above and below the intrusion and no change in mud weight occurred while drilling from the shale through to the intrusion. Note the increased separation of the deep and medium resistivity (RES_Deep and RES_Medium, respectively) wireline measurements within the heavily fractured area of the intrusion from approximately 3270 m (~10,728 ft) to base of intrusion, and how this separation is greatly reduced within the zone of minimal fracturing toward the top of the intrusion and within the claystone sequence.
the intrusion because of the outward force of the gas (although balanced during logging), preventing the invasion of the drilling mud into fractures, thus preventing a resistivity contrast.

The intrusions within the FSB have never been exhumed or substantially uplifted since their emplacement. This suggests the fractures found in the intrusions at depth are probably the result of normal cooling and contractional processes within the intrusions at the time of emplacement (Bermúdez and Delpino, 2008). When the fractures became open is more difficult to assess and still unclear, although compressional inversion did occur within the FSB from the Miocene to the Oligocene, which may have reactivated existing fractures or created new fractures within the intrusions (Ritchie et al., 2008).

**Overpressure Transmission via Fractured Sills**

Igneous intrusions within sedimentary basins commonly form highly interconnected complexes; Cartwright and Møller Hansen (2006) documented this phenomenon on the Norwegian margin, showing a complex of interconnected sill intrusions extending more than 12 km (>7.5 mi) vertically and 20 km (12.4 mi) horizontally. Similarly, highly interconnected complexes of mafic intrusions are also observed in the FSB (Schofield et al., 2015) (Figure 2).

In the specific case of the intrusions penetrated by well 214/28-1, seismic reflection mapping shows that the overpressured intrusions form part of a larger, interconnected intrusive complex that can be traced toward the center of the basin, “rooting” at depths greater than 6 km (>19,685 ft) (Figure 11) (Schofield et al., 2015; Mark et al., 2017). A continuous path can be traced from the 6.1-m (20-ft)-thick overpressured intrusion penetrated by well 214/28-1 at 4596 m BRT (15,075 ft BRT) via the interconnected intrusion to greater than 6 km (>19,685 ft) in depth (Figure 11) on three-dimensional seismic data.

In well 219/28-2Z, the overpressured sill can be seen to be connected from approximately 3148 m BRT (~10,328 ft BRT), where it was penetrated by the well to a “saucer-shaped” intrusion situated in the Lower Cretaceous at a depth of approximately 4300 m BRT (~14,108 ft BRT) (Figure 7). The saucer-shaped intrusion can be seen to be connected to a further inclined intrusion that extends down to a depth of approximately 7 km (~22,965 ft) within the Lower Cretaceous (Figure 7).

Therefore, given the interconnected nature of intrusions, coupled with the evidence supporting the occurrence of open fracture systems within the intrusions, it seems plausible that intrusions may act as fractured conduits, hydraulically connecting separate pressure regimes within a basin. This would lead to apparent overpressure if intersected within the subsurface, although the overpressure is the result of pressure transmission from a deeper sequence.

A common concept used to explain the presence of overpressure within reservoir sand units is that of the centroid (Figure 12) (Traugott and Heppard, 1994; Swarbrick and Osborne, 1998), in which lateral pressure transfer occurs through a sand body that has become inclined (Swarbrick and Osborne, 1998). The centroid is the depth at which the pore pressure in the reservoir and bounding shale are in equilibrium, above the centroid, the pore pressure in the reservoir is higher than that of the bounding shale. Below the centroid, the reservoir pressure will be less than the surrounding shale (Traugott and Heppard, 1994). Tingay et al. (2007) adapted this concept to illustrate that overpressures could be transferred if an overpressured compartment comes into hydraulic communication with another less-pressured and isolated compartment, either by caprock fracturing or active faulting.

In the case of the abnormally pressured intrusions within wells 214/28-1 and 219/28-2Z, both suites of intrusions were penetrated by the wells situated near the intrusion tip (and therefore the shallowest depth of the entire intrusive complex), which can be seen to have climbed subvertically, crosscutting the stratigraphy over distances greater than 1 km (~3000 ft) vertically. Given the known overpressure that occurs within the shale-dominated Cretaceous succession of the FSB (Iliffe et al., 1999), it seems plausible that transference of pressure is occurring through the fractured intrusions, under a similar mechanism as proposed by Tingay et al. (2007). However, whereas the models of Tingay et al. (2007) and others are primarily concerned with generally subvertical to vertical transfer of overpressure, because of the highly interconnected
and laterally extensive nature of the intrusive complexes, overpressures in the FSB could potentially be transferred laterally (and vertically) through a basin up to tens of kilometers away from the point of origin. Additionally, unlike the concept of the centroid, which relies on recent tilting of the sand body to produce differential pressures, in the case of intrusions, it is their crosscutting nature and tendency to intrude subvertically, which leads to pressure transfer and fluid drainage (Figure 12).

It is important to acknowledge that open fracture systems within intrusions are not universally prevalent within the FSB, and fractures can often be infilled by later fracture-filling cements (Rateau et al., 2013). Additionally, even if fractured, not all intrusions will carry overpressure. Out of the 29 wells that drilled intrusions within the FSB, only 2 of the wells, listed in this study, penetrated intrusions that had associated overpressure.

For an intrusion to become overpressured in the subsurface, it must satisfy several criteria. It must contain an open and extensive fracture network, be connected into a deeper pressure regime, and also be sealed by a suitable sealing lithology. If the intrusion intersects a permeable sand sequence, the overpressure will potentially bleed off into that sequence.

**Drilling Hazards: Are There Safety and Potential Environmental Issues with Petroleum and Scientific (for Example, International Ocean Drilling Program) Exploration in Volcanically Influenced Basins?**

Accurate prediction of subsurface fluid pressures is a critical element of all drilling, underpinning the design of safe wells (Board, 2012). Critically, pore pressure prediction underpins the well design. For example, the maximum pressure tolerance of a blowout preventer (BOP) and even the amount of barite and other chemicals kept on board a drilling rig to enable a rapid change in mud density are all reliant on predicting the likely pressure at a given depth. In mature basins, such as the North Sea, United Kingdom, or established areas of the Gulf of Mexico, where abundant primary well data exist, such prediction is generally well constrained, but in frontier areas, with sparse well control, pore pressure prediction can be highly challenging.

**Figure 11.** Seismic line through the 6.1-m (20-ft)-thick intrusion penetrated by well 214/28-1, highlighting the continuous path that can be traced vertically and horizontally through the intrusive body from the point of intersection at 4596 m below rotary table (BRT) (15,078 ft BRT) to a larger intrusive complex at depths greater than 6 km (>20,000 ft).
Petroleum exploration wells are designed to be able to deal with (within a given tolerance) excess pore pressures. However, international ocean drilling program (IODP) riserless drilling is conducted with no BOP and primarily using seawater as the drilling fluid, meaning no primary (other than seawater) or secondary barrier exists to contain a potentially overpressured zone of fluids. The risk of encountering subsurface overpressure on IODP expedition drilling is usually minimized because zones of known potential overpressure (e.g., accretionary wedges; Westbrook and Smith, 1983) are avoided. Additionally, many expeditions target objectives within a few hundred meters of the seabed, which can be assumed to be in hydrostatic equilibrium from the seabed to the eventual termination point of the well. However, IODP drilling in basins affected by volcanism may be at risk from intersecting sheet intrusions connected to a deeper pressure regime, especially in deeper targets (>1000 m [>3000 ft] below sea floor), where the strength of host rock and sealing capacity may be sufficient to support overpressure connection via an interconnected intrusion to a deeper pressure compartment.

The maximum overpressure that can occur at a given depth is reliant on the sealing capacity of the host lithology in which an overpressured body is situated (Cartwright et al., 2007). The most effective lithologies at containing pressure are those with low permeabilities, including shales and mudstone, which sills are known to preferentially intrude (Schofield et al., 2012). The maximum overpressure that can be supported by a rock unit can be expressed in terms of the fracture gradient of the host rock (e.g., Figure 4), beyond which hydraulic fracturing and capillary leakage will occur and any overpressure can be assumed to dissipate. Following this scenario, a well being drilled in a geological sequence containing interconnected sill complexes may have only planned to drill to a depth of, for example, 4000 m BRT (13,123 ft BRT); however, if a fractured intrusion that is part of an interconnected complex plumbed into a deeper overpressure zone was penetrated, an overpressure magnitude up to the fracture gradient of the host rock could be encountered (Figure 13).

If during planning of the well, this scenario has not been identified, then the well design may not have the inbuilt tolerances to resist the abnormal pressures, leading to a worst-case scenario of a blowout, which brings a substantial risk to human life and the environment.

Recommendation for Both Scientific (for Example, International Ocean Drilling Program) and Petroleum Drilling

Sill intrusions have a fundamental underlying geological relationship, in terms of thickness, that directly impacts their ability to be imaged successfully using seismic reflection data. From studies of both

Figure 12. (A) Diagram showing concept of the centroid, which is commonly used to explain overpressure within sand bodies as a result of becoming inclined postdeposition (see Traugott and Heppard, 1994; Swarbrick and Osborne, 1998). (B) The modified concept of lateral drainage through a fractured intrusion. It is important to note that unlike the concept of the centroid, which relies on recent tilting of the sand body to produce differential pressures, in the case of intrusions, it is their crosscutting and tendency to intrude subvertically that lead to the pressure transfer and drainage, if connected at depth to more overpressured units.
well and field data, approximately 60% of intrusions fall less than 10 m (<35 ft) in thickness within sedimentary basins globally (Button and Cawthorn, 2015; Schofield et al., 2015; Mark et al., 2017; Eide et al., 2018; Svensen et al., 2014, 2016). This aspect on its own may not appear significant, but when it is considered in the context of the limitations of imaging of seismic reflection data, this can become an issue. Vertical seismic resolution in seismic surveys is typically in the range of tens of meters (Cartwright and Huuse, 2005), and at deep basin levels (e.g., 3–4 km [9842–13,123 ft]), vertical resolution can drop to a 40- to 80-m (131- to 262-ft) range (Schofield et al., 2015). In the case of potential pressure transmission via intrusions, this is troublesome because it means that even if intrusions cannot be confidently interpreted from seismic reflection data in the vicinity of a well, they may still be present. This is illustrated in well 214/28-1, where the pressure kicks emanated from intrusions that were 6.1 and 7.6 m (20 and 25 ft) thick, respectively.

In areas containing pervasive subsurface intrusions, mitigating and predicting the risk of which intrusions may be fractured and overpressured is challenging. Detailed seismic mapping of intrusions may indicate deep connectivity, allowing some degree of mitigation during the well planning phase. During drilling activities, look-ahead resistivity tools (Constable et al., 2016) have the potential to alert drillers to the presence of subseismic intrusions before they are encountered; however, such tools are in a fledging stage of development (Constable et al., 2016). Additionally, there is a paucity of data on the look-ahead resistivity response of intrusions to permit assessment to whether an intrusion is either fractured, not fractured, or fractured and overpressured.

Figure 13. Conceptual diagram showing the principle of pressure transmission through a fractured igneous intrusive complex. Such a process can lead to overpressure being transferred laterally (and vertically) through a basin tens of kilometers away from its point of origin.
In both scientific and commercial drilling operations in basins affected by intrusive volcanism, decisions should be underpinned by the recognition that the majority of intrusions will not be visible on seismic data and that intrusions in the region of a few meters are potentially capable of pressure transmission (Schofield et al., 2015; Mark et al., 2017).

CONCLUSIONS

We have detailed the occurrence of overpressure within intrusions of the FSB and propose a new pathway for overpressure transfer within sedimentary basins, namely the lateral and vertical transmission of pressure via vertically interconnected, fractured igneous intrusions. This mechanism is previously unrecognized and may represent a significant hazard to both scientific drilling (e.g., IODP) and drilling for oil and gas in the vicinity of interconnected transgressive igneous intrusive complexes in basins worldwide that contain substantial intrusive igneous complexes (e.g., northwest shelf of Australia, South Atlantic margin, Norwegian margin, Guaymas Basin).
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