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ABSTRACT: How the methyl torsion transition energy in unsaturated systems is affected by its environment is investigated. It is strongly influenced by both its immediate neighborhood, (the number of methyl groups present in the molecule) and the intermolecular interactions. It is clear that the intermolecular interactions have a major influence on the torsion transition energy, as demonstrated unambiguously previously for mesitylene and also seen here for other systems. In part, this may be caused by the fact that the methyl torsion is rarely a pure mode (unless enforced by symmetry). Where the crystal structure is available, the assignments have been supported by CASTEP calculations of the unit cell. The agreement between the observed and calculated spectra is generally good, although not perfect, toluene being a case in point, and highlights just how demanding it is to obtain accurate transition energies for low energy modes. The disagreement between observed and calculated inelastic neutron scattering spectra for meta-xylene and 9,10 dimethylanthracene is so severe that it would suggest that there are additional phases to those presently known. Comparison between the full periodic calculations and those for the isolated molecule shows that intermolecular interactions raise the methyl torsion transition energy by at least 8% and in some cases by more than 50%. The presence of more than one methyl group in the molecule generally raises the average torsion energy from the <100 cm$^{-1}$ seen for single methyl groups to 150–200 cm$^{-1}$.

INTRODUCTION

Methyl groups are ubiquitous in organic molecules. Consequently, their vibrational spectra have been studied since the early days of infrared spectroscopy. In a CH$_3$–X (X = nonlinear polyatomic moiety) system, there will be nine modes associated with the three hydrogen atoms of the methyl group: three C–H stretches at $\sim$3000 cm$^{-1}$, three C–H bends at $\sim$1400 cm$^{-1}$, two rocking modes at 700–1000 cm$^{-1}$, and a torsion at low energy. With the exception of the torsion, all of these have been extensively investigated, resulting in the well-known correlation tables.

The methyl torsion has been much less studied. This arises because the vibration is at low energy, always $\leq$250 cm$^{-1}$; a region that is, historically, not readily accessible by infrared or Raman spectroscopy; thus conventional infrared spectrometers cutoff at 400 cm$^{-1}$. The second reason is more fundamental, the vibration is often forbidden by optical, that is symmetry-based, selection rules or has very low intensity. The only technique that is readily able to observe the methyl torsion is inelastic neutron scattering (INS) spectroscopy, so much so that the methyl torsion is often the strongest band in the spectrum. This arises because of several reasons: neutrons are scattered by nuclei, not electrons, so there are no selection rules, the intensity is determined by the scattering cross section of the atom, of which $^1$H has the largest magnitude and the amplitude of vibration, which is large for the methyl torsion. This has enabled comprehensive studies of the in-plane and out-of-plane C–C–C bending modes of the n-alkanes (the longitudinal and transverse acoustic modes, LAM and TAM, respectively), the latter of which includes the methyl torsion. It is found at: 289 cm$^{-1}$ for ethane, 233/277 cm$^{-1}$ for propane, 234/265 cm$^{-1}$ for butane, 244/257 cm$^{-1}$ for hexane, and 250 $\pm$ 5 cm$^{-1}$ for n $\geq$ 11. In the longer n-alkanes the coupling between the ends of the molecule is essentially zero and the in-phase and out-of-phase torsions are accidentally degenerate, so only a single mode is seen.

In contrast to the n-alkanes, for other systems the effect of the environment on the transition energy of the methyl torsion has been much less explored. In this paper, we characterized the methyl torsion in a range of cyclic and polycyclic unsaturated compounds. In particular, we present the INS spectra of all 13 methylated benzenes: C$_6$H$_6$–x(CH$_3$)$_x$ (x = 0–6).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

We have measured the INS spectra of all the possible methylated benzenes, some polycyclic aromatic compounds and some cyclic C$_5$ and C$_6$ alkenes. The spectra in the region below 600 cm$^{-1}$, where the methyl torsions are expected, are
shown in Figures 1–4. The spectra for the 0–1800 cm\(^{-1}\) range are presented in the Supporting Information, Figures S1–S24.

The INS spectra of most compounds have been reported previously: benzene,\(^9\) toluene,\(^{10,11}\) \(m\)- and \(p\)-xylene,\(^{11,12}\) mesitylene,\(^{13}\) durene,\(^{14}\) pentamethylbenzene,\(^{15}\) and hexamethylbenzene,\(^{16}\) however, these are generally either (or both) of a much lower resolution and/or restricted energy transfer range than those reported here.
In benzene, all of the external (lattice) modes occur at \( \leq 150 \text{ cm}^{-1} \) and this appears to be the case for all the isomeric methylated benzenes.\(^9\) Thus, modes above this energy must be related to the presence of the methyl group; note that in addition to the torsion, in-plane and out-of-plane (with respect to the aromatic ring) bending modes of the methyl group will also occur below 600 \text{ cm}^{-1}. (These are not the C–C–H bending modes which occur around 1400 \text{ cm}^{-1}, but C–C–Me, where the methyl (==Me) group behaves approximately as a point mass).

On the assumption that the strongest features in the spectra are the methyl torsion(s), inspection of Figures 1–4 allows some patterns to be detected. In particular, for the methylated benzenes, molecules that have methyl groups that are not

---

**Figure 2.** INS spectra at <20 K of the parent and some methylated naphthalenes and anthracenes. Left side, top-to-bottom: naphthalene, 1-methylnaphthalene, and 2,3-dimethylnaphthalene. Right side, top-to-bottom: anthracene, 9-methylantracene, and 9,10-dimethylantracene.

**Figure 3.** INS spectra at <20 K of (top) 1,2,3,4-tetramethyl-1,3-cyclopentadiene (85%) and (bottom) 1,2,3,4,5-pentamethyl-1,3-cyclopentadiene.

**Figure 4.** INS spectra at <20 K of (top) cyclohexene, (middle) 1-methylcyclohex-1-ene, and (bottom) 4-methylcyclohex-1-ene.
adjacent to another methyl group: toluene, m- and p-xylene, and mesitylene, exhibit torsions at notably lower energy than those with adjacent methyl groups. Typically, nonadjacent methyls are at $\leq 100\text{ cm}^{-1}$, while adjacent methyls are at 150–200 cm$^{-1}$. It is also apparent that there are modes that approach the methyl torsion in intensity, this is particularly the case for the tri- and tetramethylbenzenes.

To better understand these systems requires computational studies. As all the molecules are uncharged and have either zero or near-zero dipole moment, it follows that in the solid state, the dominant interactions are van der Waals forces. In these circumstances, it would be expected that isolated (i.e., gas phase) molecule calculations should provide a good description of the system. We have investigated hexamethylbenzene to test this idea.

Hexamethylbenzene was chosen because it is the only structure for which there is only one molecule in the primitive unit cell.$^{21}$ Figure 5 compares the experimental spectrum with that calculated for the isolated molecule, the solid at the $\Gamma$-point and across the entire Brillouin zone, both with and without the Tkatchenko and Scheffler (TS) dispersion correction. From the top part of Figure 5, it can be seen that all the calculations are in reasonable agreement with the experimental spectrum, Figure 5f. However, inspection of the methyl torsion region, lower part of Figure 5, shows striking differences between the calculations. In particular, contrary to the expectation, the isolated molecule calculation, Figure 5a, shows very poor agreement in this region. The periodic calculations do better, but here there are marked differences between the $\Gamma$-point only and the complete Brillouin zone calculations: compare Figure 5b,c and 5d,e.

The differences are evidence for significant vibrational dispersion (variation of transition energy with wavevector) in the system, as can be seen from the dispersion curves shown in Figure 6. Because INS is sensitive to all wavevectors and not just at the $\Gamma$-point as for infrared and Raman spectroscopy, the vibrational dispersion is directly reflected in the spectrum. The dispersion curves for the modes above 300 cm$^{-1}$ are essentially flat and are shown in Figure S5. The dispersion arises from interactions between molecules in neighboring cells and, for nonpolar systems such as those discussed here, this is mediated by dispersion (i.e. van der Waals) forces and this is what we seek to include by using the TS correction. Therefore, as might have anticipated, the calculations that include the van der Waals correction, Figure 5d,e, show the best match between the observed and calculated spectra.

The complete Brillouin zone calculations demonstrate that the weak peaks at 52 and 66 cm$^{-1}$ in the experimental spectrum are acoustic modes (Figures 5c,e and 6), (which are readily observable by INS spectroscopy). However, the mode visualizations of the Gaussian calculation show that the two lowest energy peaks are the torsions, whereas the periodic-DFT calculations show the torsions to be the intense peaks between 100 and 150 cm$^{-1}$. This is a clear failure of the isolated molecule approximation.

All of the calculations were carried out at the experimental lattice parameters. Repeating the calculation (including the TS correction) allowing these to optimize resulted in a negligible (~0.8%) change in cell volume, which is unsurprising as the structure was determined at 5 K.$^{21}$ Consequently, the INS spectrum generated from the vibrational calculation using this structure was almost identical to that which used the experimental lattice parameters.

Even with the TS correction, there is no exact agreement. This probably has several contributions. The absolute accuracy of density functional theory (DFT) calculations of vibrational transition energies for gas phase molecules has been extensively investigated (e.g., refs 26 and 27 and reviewed in ref 28). For molecules with more than 10 or so atoms, the vibrational modes are usually accurate to around $\pm 10\text{ cm}^{-1}$ (typically they are underestimated). For periodic systems,
there has been much less work, but a recent study of resorcinol had similar errors (excluding the C–H and O–H stretch regions that are strongly affected by anharmonicity). This accords with our own experience for a variety of systems, for example, refs 30–33 The crucial factor is that the errors are apparently random and are not a simple fraction of the transition energy. Thus, in the top part of Figure 5, there is a mode calculated at 1360 cm$^{-1}$ and observed at 1376 cm$^{-1}$ (a 1.1% difference) but this is more than close enough that the assignment is unambiguous. However, in the region below 300 cm$^{-1}$ errors of this size are relatively much larger, ~10%, and this makes the comparison appear poorer. As stated elsewhere:39 “calculations of the low-energy transfer range are challenging from the technical point of view because all the numerical errors accumulate here.” We also note that the TS correction is a semi-empirical approach, it is possible that there is still room for improvement.

In the remainder of the paper, the procedure adopted was to carry out full dispersion calculations based on structures that had been geometry optimized (including the TS correction) at the experimental lattice parameters. Table 1 lists the observed and calculated methyl torsion modes for the molecules considered here. For those systems, where the structure is not known, the strongest modes in the low-energy region are assumed to be the torsions. Where available, the calculated spectra are shown as part of the full range spectra in the ESL. We will briefly consider a few specific molecules that are of particular interest.

**Toluene.** At ambient pressure, in the solid state, toluene can be prepared as an amorphous phase and two crystalline phases, α and β.38 The α-phase is readily prepared on cooling liquid toluene and is the phase observed here.

The torsional mode in toluene has been extensively investigated in both the gas phase35 and the solid state.38–41 In the gas phase, the methyl group undergoes almost free rotation—the barrier is <10 cm$^{-1}$ and this leads to a description in terms of rotor and vib-rotor states.38 The torsional energies vary as $mF^2$, where $m$ is the torsional quantum number and $F$ is the effective rotational constant. As the torsional barrier increases, then these energy levels evolve into torsional vibrational energies. In the solid, the torsional motion has become trapped and this is what is measured by INS. Because the molecule is cold and not irradiated, then the molecules will be in their lowest torsional

| Table 1. Observed, as-Calculated at the Brillouin Zone Γ-Point (in Brackets) and for the Isolated Molecule, Transition Energies of the Methyl Torsion in Unsaturated Molecules |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| compound                        | methyl torsion transition energies/cm$^{-1}$ | CASTEP          | Gaussian        | space group | Z   | refs |
|                                 | experimental   |                |                |              |      |      |
| 1-methylbenzene (toluene)       | 47             | 112, 115, 116, 118, 119, 127, 127 | 38             | P$_2_1/c$    | 4    | 17   |
| 1,2-dimethylbenzene (ortho-xylene) | 147, 156, 178, 193 | 164, 168, 169, 174, 176, 184, 185, 195 | 130, 170 | P$_2_1/a$ | 4    | 18   |
| 1,3-dimethylbenzene (meta-xylene) | 56             | 114, 116, 119, 123, 124, 125, 130, 130, 132, 136, 138, 139, 140, 145 | 28,37 | P$_h$a | 8    | 19   |
| 1,4-dimethylbenzene (para-xylene) | 124, 133       | 102, 111, 118, 140 | 35,50 | P$_2_1/n$ | 2    | 19   |
| 1,2,3-trimethylbenzene           | 186            |                | 72, 176, 186 | unknown |     |      |
| 1,2,4-trimethylbenzene           | 175, 196       | 42, 138, 171 | unknown |     |      |
| 1,3,5-trimethylbenzene(mesitylene) | 151, 156       | 116, 116, 116, 120, 120, 122, 122, 122, 136, 148, 148, 148 | 238, 239, 239 | P$_h$I | 4    | 20   |
|                                 |                | 124, 132, 134, 137, 145, 150, 152, 157, 175, 178, 180 |              |      |      |
| 1,2,3,4-tetramethylbenzene       | 169, 200, 210, 218 | 61, 142, 192, 193 |             |      |      |
| 1,2,3,5-tetramethylbenzene       | 178            |                | 19, 51, 178, 199 | unknown |     |      |
| 1,2,4,5-tetramethylbenzene(durene) | 148, 158, 167, 182, 195 | 148, 153, 167, 173, 173, 177, 197, 197 | 130, 132, 169, 197 | P$_2_1/a$ | 2    | 14   |
| pentamethylbenzene               | 81, 106, 124, 203, 210, 228 | 93, 97, 128, 132, 138, 139, 211, 225, 227, 229 | 23, 152, 156, 189, 208 | P$_h$I | 2    | 15   |
| hexamethylbenzene                | 126, 130, 152, 165, 170, 179 | 137, 138, 150, 172, 173, 182 | 55, 70, 70, 111, 111, 113 | P$_h$I | 1    | 21   |

**Methylated Polycyclic Aromatics**

| compound                        | methyl torsion transition energies/cm$^{-1}$ | CASTEP          | Gaussian        | space group | Z   | refs |
|                                 | experimental   |                |                |              |      |      |
| 1-methylnaphthalene             | 38             | 88, 96, 99, 99 | 20             | P$_2_1/c$ | 4    | 22   |
| 2,3-dimethylnaphthalene         | 172, 228       | 100, 120, 122, 122 |      | P$_2_1/a$ | 4    | 23   |
| 9-methylnaphthalene             | 102            |                |                |              |      |      |
| 9,10-dimethylnaphthalene        | 53, 60, 69, 73 | 100, 120, 122, 122 |      | P$_2_1/a$ | 4    | 23   |

**Methylated Cyclic Alkenes**

| compound                        | methyl torsion transition energies/cm$^{-1}$ | CASTEP          | Gaussian        | space group | Z   | refs |
|                                 | experimental   |                |                |              |      |      |
| 1,2,3,4-tetramethyl-1,3-cyclopentadiene | 196, 201, 208, 215 | 120, 124, 126, 130, 162, 164, 165, 165, 177, 178, 181, 181, 185,185, 190, 191, 254, 255, 264, 266 | 112, 127, 145, 168, 279 | P$_2_2_1$ | 4    | 25   |
| 1,2,3,4,5-pentamethyl-1,3-cyclopentadiene | 128, 137, 168, 202, 266 | 120, 124, 126, 130, 162, 164, 165, 165, 177, 178, 181, 181, 185,185, 190, 191, 254, 255, 264, 266 | 112, 127, 145, 168, 279 | P$_2_2_1$ | 4    | 25   |
| 1-methylcyclohex-1-ene           | 194            |                |                |              |      |      |
| 4-methylcyclohex-1-ene           | 250            |                |                |              |      |      |

"If known, the space group and number of molecules in the primitive cell (Z) are also given. The space group probably does not correspond to the phase obtained. Unable to obtain all real modes.

https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acsomega.9b03351
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levels: this would be \( m = 0, 1 \) in the gas-phase for a fairly free rotor, and \( \nu = 0 \) for a heavily hindered rotor in the solid state. In previous work,\(^{39,40}\) the methyl torsion has been assigned to the strong feature at 47 cm\(^{-1}\). Figure 7 shows the INS spectra of \( \text{C}_6\text{H}_5\text{CH}_3, \text{C}_6\text{D}_5\text{CH}_3, \text{and C}_6\text{D}_5\text{CD}_3 \). The dashed vertical line shows the position of the methyl torsion.

![Figure 7](image_url)

**Figure 7.** INS spectra of toluene isotopomers in the low-energy region. (a) \( \text{C}_6\text{H}_5\text{CH}_3 \), (b) \( \text{C}_6\text{D}_5\text{CH}_3 \), and (c) \( \text{C}_6\text{D}_5\text{CD}_3 \). The dashed vertical line shows the position of the methyl torsion.

We have obtained the low-temperature phase III. Only the cubic phase I has been fully characterized crystallographically\(^{20}\) and comparison of the spectrum calculated from this phase, as expected, gives very poor agreement with the experimental spectrum, Figure 8c,d. As noted previously,\(^{11,42}\) there is a very large effect on the methyl torsional modes on going from phase III \( \rightarrow \) phase I.

Only the lattice constants are known for phase III which may be either \( P1 \) or \( P\bar{1} \). However, it is closely related to the monoclinic phase II, which has four molecules in the primitive cell, with disordered methyl groups.\(^{20}\) To attempt to model phase III, phase II was distorted to the lattice parameters of phase II and \( P\bar{1} \) symmetry imposed, that is, ordered methyl groups. Both the geometry and lattice parameters were then allowed to optimize. This resulted in an almost monochromic cell. To prevent this, the system was geometry and lattice optimized but only the cell lengths were allowed to change, the angles were held at the experimental values. This converged to a result with a 3% volume expansion. Calculation of the INS spectrum based on this structure, Figure 8e, shows reasonable agreement with the experimental spectrum, suggesting that it is a good approximation to the actual structure. Figure S26 shows the calculated structure and Table S2 the corresponding cif file.

**Intra-vs Intermolecular Effects in the Methylbenzenes.** It is apparent from the preceding discussion that both intramolecular (the number of methyl groups per benzene molecule) and intermolecular (the presence of neighboring molecules whether in the same unit cell or in adjacent ones) effects both play a significant role in determining the methyl torsion transition energy. For the methylbenzenes, we believe it is possible to, at least partially, disentangle these undoubtedly cooperative effects. We consider the intermolecular effect first. Figure 9 shows the average of the six methyl torsion transition energies of hexamethylbenzene as a function of the shortest intermolecular distance (in all cases this is a H···H contact). It can be seen that the average calculated and observed transition energies are in good agreement and that even a modest increase from 2.367 Å in the real system to 4.256 Å results in a sharp decrease of the transition energy. Increasing the distance further shows a small effect. These calculations were carried out with the plane-wave code CASTEP; calculation of the isolated molecule with Gaussian shows only a further small decrease. This suggests that although the two codes use very different methodologies the limiting transition energies are essentially the same and that we can use the Gaussian values as the limiting case.
while isolated methyls occur at lower energy. Groups have transition energies in the range 150–200 cm$^{-1}$. It is apparent that, generally, adjacent methyl methyl groups. It is reasonable to assume that any bands above this energy are internal modes in the methylnaphthalenes. 1-Methylnaphthalene is analogous to toluene, so a similar torsional energy might be expected. There is an apparent excess of intensity at 38 cm$^{-1}$ as compared to naphthalene, so this is tentatively assigned to the torsion. Similarly, 2,3-dimethylnaphthalene is analogous to ortho-xylene, where the modes occur in the range 150–200 cm$^{-1}$ (Table 1), thus the bands in the same region of 2,3-dimethylnaphthalene at 172 and 228 cm$^{-1}$ are so assigned. A low resolution data set assigned the mode at 210 cm$^{-1}$; however, as we have seen for mesitylene, the local environment has a major influence on the torsional energy and these assignments are provisional. Knowledge of the low-temperature crystal structures would be useful, but selective isotopic labeling, as done for toluene, is the only reliable method to determine the transition energies unambiguously.

Methylnaphthalenes. Figure 11 compares the INS spectra of naphthalene, 1-methylnaphthalene, and 2,3-
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Figure 9. Comparison of the average methyl torsion transition energy of hexamethylbenzene (red dots) and mesitylene (blue diamonds) as a function of the shortest intermolecular contact. The first two points are the observed and calculated transition energies for the molecule at the experimental lattice parameters. The last point on the extreme right for both compounds is a Gaussian calculation for the isolated molecule. The solid lines are a guide to the eye.

Figure 10 shows a comparison of the average methyl torsion transition energy of the methylbenzenes as a function of the number of methyl groups. In all cases (except for mesitylene, indicated by M in Figure 10), the presence of intermolecular interactions increases the average energy by at least 8% and in several cases by more than 50%. Mesitylene is clearly anomalous. Multiple attempts with both Gaussian and CASTEP only resulted in all real modes for the internal modes when symmetry was imposed on the molecule. Figure 9 also shows the results for mesitylene. With CASTEP it follows the same trend as hexamethylbenzene: a rapid fall-off with distance to an asymptotic value (33 cm$^{-1}$); however, the same C$_6$H$_{14}$ structure with Gaussian results in an average value of 239 cm$^{-1}$. We have no explanation for this behavior, however, we believe that the CASTEP result is the correct one, as there is no apparent reason for mesitylene to be exceptional. Figure 10 also sheds light on the intramolecular effect (the number of methyl groups). It is apparent that, generally, adjacent methyl groups have transition energies in the range 150–200 cm$^{-1}$, while isolated methyls occur at lower energy.

![Figure 10](https://example.com/fig10.jpg)

Figure 10. Average methyl torsion transition energy of the methylbenzenes as a function of the number of methyl groups. The solid lines are the experimental values and the dotted lines are for a Gaussian calculation of the isolated molecule. “M” is the calculated transition energy for mesitylene.

Methylanthracenes. Figure 2 shows the INS spectra of 9-methylanthracene and 9,10-dimethylanthracene. Unexpectedly, the spectra are markedly different. In 9-methylanthracene the torsion occurs at 102 cm$^{-1}$, in good agreement with that calculated (Figure S18 and Table 1). In 9,10-dimethylanthracene, the torsions are observed at much lower energy, whereas they are calculated at similar energies to 9-methylanthracene (Figure S19 and Table 1). Again, the disagreement suggests that a low-temperature phase exists, as both structural determinations were made at room temperature. In some respects, it is 9-methylanthracene, that is, anomalous, as isolated rotors (cf. toluene) are expected at low energy, as seen for 9,10-dimethylanthracene.

Methylnaphthalenes. Figure 11 compares the INS spectra of naphthalene, 1-methylnaphthalene, and 2,3-

![Figure 11](https://example.com/fig11.jpg)

Figure 11. INS spectra of: (a) naphthalene, (b) 1-methylnaphthalene, and (c) 2,3-dimethylnaphthalene.

Methylnaphthalenes. Figure 11 compares the INS spectra of naphthalene, 1-methylnaphthalene, and 2,3-
intense feature centered at 201 cm\(^{-1}\). The calculated spectrum of pentamethylcyclopentadiene is shown in Figure S21 and is in reasonable agreement with the experimental spectrum. In this case, four methyl groups are bonded to sp\(^2\) carbon atoms and the fifth to a sp\(^3\) carbon atom. The presence of four molecules in the primitive cell means that there are 20 torsional modes, interestingly there is a distinct division between the sp\(^2\)- and the sp\(^3\)-bonded methyls: the former occur at or below ∼200 cm\(^{-1}\) and the latter at 266 cm\(^{-1}\).

The solid state behavior of cyclohexene is complex, with several phases known, both ordered and glassy. Quenching the liquid from room temperature in liquid nitrogen, as was done here, results in a glass, and shown by the featureless density of states below 130 cm\(^{-1}\), as shown in Figure 4. The two methylcyclohexenes show a similar “lump” and are, presumably, also glasses. In these circumstances, it might be hoped that isolated molecule calculations would be useful because the local environment should be homogeneous: the specific intermolecular interactions that appear to be crucial factors in determining the methyl torsional energy are averaged out. As can be seen in Figure 12, these expectations are met;

![Figure 12](image-url)

**Figure 12.** Observed (stepped line) and calculated by Gaussian (continuous line) INS spectra of: (a) cyclohexene, (b) 1-methylcyclohex-1-ene, and (c) 4-methylcyclohex-1-ene e.

The calculated spectra are in reasonable agreement with the experimental data and certainly good enough to enable assignments to be made. The mode animations show that the torsion is calculated at 182 cm\(^{-1}\) (194 cm\(^{-1}\) observed) and 237 cm\(^{-1}\) (250 cm\(^{-1}\) observed) in 1-methyl- and 4-methylcyclohex-1-ene respectively. The separation between methyls bonded to sp\(^2\) (≤200 cm\(^{-1}\)) and the sp\(^3\) (∼250 cm\(^{-1}\)) carbon atoms noted for the methylcyclopentadienes also applies here.

**Methyl Rock and C–H Bend Modes.** While the focus of this paper is the methyl torsion, we will also briefly discuss the methyl rock and C–H bend modes. Figure 13 shows the region that includes these modes for all the methylated benzenes. It can be seen that the methyl rock (expected in the range 800–1000 cm\(^{-1}\)) does not give rise to easily distinguished modes. The methyl C–H bend modes are more interesting. It can be seen that for molecules with three or less methyl groups (Figure 13a–g) it is difficult to pick out these modes. In contrast, for the systems with four or more methyl groups (Figure 13h–l), the symmetric (1375 cm\(^{-1}\)) and asymmetric (1445 cm\(^{-1}\)) modes are clearly seen.

**CONCLUSIONS**

In this paper, we have investigated how the methyl torsion transition energy in unsaturated systems is affected by its environment. It has become apparent that it is strongly influenced by both intramolecular interactions (the number of methyl groups present in the molecule) and the intermolecular interactions. For the former, there is a general pattern that isolated methyl groups occur at lower wavenumber, typically <100 cm\(^{-1}\), and polymethylated species at ∼100–200 cm\(^{-1}\), although there are exceptions. There also appears to be a pattern that methyl groups bonded to sp\(^2\) carbon atoms occur at ≤200 cm\(^{-1}\) and those bonded to sp\(^3\) carbon atoms occur at ∼250 cm\(^{-1}\), as found for the n-alkanes.

The biggest surprise from this work is that the intermolecular interactions have a major influence on the torsion transition energy, as demonstrated unambiguously elsewhere for mesitylene and also seen here for all the other methylbenzenes. The interactions result in the torsional transition energy being raised by at least 8%, as compared to the isolated molecule, and often much more. In part, this may be caused by the fact that the methyl torsion is rarely a pure mode (unless enforced by symmetry). It occurs in the lattice (translational and librational) mode region and, for polycyclic aromatic species, where the whole-body out-of-plane deformations occur. The extent of the mixing with these would make the torsional mode dependent on its immediate neighbors.

The agreement between the observed and CASTEP calculated spectra is generally good, although not perfect,
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**Figure 13.** INS spectra of the methylated benzenes, C\(_6\)H\(_{6+x}\)(CH\(_3\))\(_x_\) (x = 1–6) in the region of the methyl rock and C–H bend modes of: (a) toluene, (b) meta-xylene, (c) ortho-xylene, (d) para-xylene, (e) 1,2,3-trimethylbenzene, (f) 1,2,4-trimethylbenzene, (g) mesitylene, (h) 1,2,3,4-tetramethylbenzene, (i) 1,2,3,5-tetramethylbenzene, (j) durene, (k) pentamethylbenzene, and (l) hexamethylbenzene. The vertical dashed lines show the position of the symmetric (1375 cm\(^{-1}\)) and asymmetric (1445 cm\(^{-1}\)) methyl C–H bending modes.
toluene being a case in point, and highlights just how demanding it is to obtain accurate transition energies for low energy modes. The disagreement between the observed and calculated INS spectra for meta-xylene and 9,10-dimethylnaphthacene is so severe that it would suggest that there are additional phases to those presently known.

**EXPERIMENTAL SECTION**

The compounds were obtained from commercial sources (see Table S1 of the Supporting Information) and used as received. Liquid samples were loaded into 1 mm pathlength indium wire-sealed Al sample holders, solid samples were wrapped in aluminium foil. Sample masses ranged from 0.5–3.4 g. The INS spectra were recorded at ≤ 20 K using a broad band high-resolution spectrometer TOSCA at the ISIS Pulsed Neutron and Muon Facility (Oxfordshire, UK). The geometry of the initial structures was taken from the Cambridge Structural Database, the refcodes are in Table S1. The computed grid to the desired points along the high-symmetry paths. The initial structures were taken from the Cambridge Structural Database. The refcodes are included in Table S1. Calculated INS spectra were generated from the Gaussian or CASTEP output using ACLIMAX or AbINS.
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