Elementary Steps in the Formation of Hydrocarbons from Surface Methoxy Groups in HZSM-5 seen by Synchrotron Infrared Microspectroscopy
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Synchrotron infrared microspectroscopy has identified with high temporal resolution (down to 0.25 s) the initial events occurring when methanol vapour is contacted with a crystal of zeolite HZSM-5. The first alkenes are generated directly from methoxy groups formed at the acid sites via their deprotonation. These alkenes can either desorb directly or oligomerise and cyclise to form dimethylcyclopentenyl cations. The oligomeric and dimethylcyclopentenyl cations are the first major components of the hydrocarbon pool that precede aromatic hydrocarbons and lead to indirect alkene formation. The technique observes these events in real time.
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The methanol-to-hydrocarbon (MTH) process was first commercialised in the 1980s with an initial emphasis on gasoline production over a ZSM-5 zeolite catalyst. More recent developments have emphasised alkene production from methanol, either over ZSM-5 or SAPO-34 zeolites. The mechanisms of hydrocarbon formation over HZSM-5 and other zeotypes have been widely investigated. Two recent reviews summarise the different theories which have been proposed. It is now widely accepted that under steady state working conditions alkenes and aromatic hydrocarbons are produced from a ‘hydrocarbon pool’ within the zeolite pores, comprising a mixture of cyclic alkene and aromatic hydrocarbons from which reaction products are cracked and/or desorbed. It is less well understood how the hydrocarbon pool is formed in the first instance and in particular how the first carbon-carbon bonds are formed from a reactant which contains only carbon-oxygen bonds. The first step in carbon-carbon bond formation is generally agreed to involve reaction of methanol with the Bronsted acid hydroxyl groups in the zeolite to form surface methoxy groups and eliminate water. The surface methoxy groups are key intermediates in the subsequent catalysis. Ono and Mori first showed evidence for methoxy group formation in HZSM-5 from infrared spectroscopy using CD3OH. Early operando infrared spectroscopic measurements reported by Forester et al. showed that both methanol and dimethylether vapour contacting HZSM-5 at reaction temperatures generated surface methoxy groups which were active methylating agents. Evidence for formation of methoxy groups even at room temperature has been presented recently. NMR evidence for these active methoxy groups has also been reported. In particular, Wang et al. used a stopped flow NMR technique to isolate methoxy groups and showed that they could methylate a range of different molecules (thus forming carbon-carbon bonds). These authors also demonstrated that in the absence of other reacting species the methoxy groups could generate alkenes directly.

Once methoxy groups are formed several different pathways to carbon-carbon bond formation have been suggested, with varying degrees of experimental support. For example, methylation of dimethylether by methoxy groups to form the trimethyloxonium cation (which can undergo a subsequent
Brønsted ν(OH) band at 3600 cm⁻¹ decreases within 60 s to injection of the 8 µL pulse of methanol (Fig. 1a), the zeolite crystals with different uniform size distributions were pre-
HZSM-5 crystals via a modified literature method, evaluation of their performance in a conventional catalytic microreactor, and the configuration of the infrared microspectroscopy experiment are given in the Supporting Information. Batches of crystals with different uniform size distributions were prepared and studied. Here are reported measurements on HZSM-5 crystals typically 150 × 60 × 60 µm³ in size, using microscope apertures of 15 x 15 µm². The effects of crystal size will be reported in more detail elsewhere.

Fig. 1 shows the reactivity of methanol over a HZSM-5 crystal at 573 K (spectra recorded at 2 s intervals with an 8 µL pulse and at 0.25 s intervals with a 4 µL pulses). Following injection of the 8 µL pulse of methanol (Fig. 1a), the zeolite Bronsted ν(OH) band at 3600 cm⁻¹ decreases within 60 s to 30% of its original intensity. The MS analysis of gases evolved from the Linkam cell (Fig. 1b) shows the formation of dimethylether (m/z = 45) within several seconds of methanol injection. Formation of dimethylether from methanol is the first step in the conversion of methanol to hydrocarbons. Dimethylether hydrogen bonds to the Bronsted acid site. A full set of spectra is presented in Fig. S10 showing the characteristic ν(CH) modes of hydrogen bonded dimethylether and the so-called ABC triplet of the strongly hydrogen bonded zeolite hydroxyl groups arising from Fermi resonance between the ν(OH) mode and the overtones of the corresponding δ and γ deformation modes. Dimethylether forms via the sequential dissociation of methanol at acid sites to form methoxy groups followed by methylation of a second methanol to form dimethylether. In the spectra shown in Fig. S10 the characteristic infrared signature of surface methoxy groups in ZSM-5 is observed.

Conventional spectroscopy of zeolite catalysts (transmission or diffuse reflectance infrared and NMR) inevitably report spectra integrated over many crystals in the sample. These macroscopic sampling techniques cannot respond quickly to rapid changes in local concentrations of reactants under operando conditions. The use of synchrotron infrared microspectroscopy to obtain high quality infrared spectra from individual HZSM-5 crystals was first reported by Stavitski et al. This and subsequent work has exploited the spatial resolution of the technique down to 3-5 µm. We report here achieving high temporal resolution, facilitated by the enhanced brightness of a synchrotron source, to perform operando infrared microspectroscopy on a time scale as short as 0.25 s on individual crystals of HZSM-5. When coupled with simultaneous mass spectral (MS) analysis of desorbed products, the operando infrared microspectroscopy (OIMS) technique identifies the initial steps of formation of the hydrocarbon pool in HZSM-5.

An induction period in the formation of alkenes, when HZSM-5 is first exposed to methanol at reaction temperatures, is well known. The measurements reported here on individual crystals show that the induction period does not arise from the conversion of methanol to dimethylether at 573 K but rather from a subsequent reaction step that involves the loss of the methoxy groups, regeneration of acid sites and the subsequent generation of oligomeric hydrocarbon. The oligomer containing carbon-carbon bonds is subsequently converted to a cyclic dimethylocyclopentenyl cation. These two species are the first components of the hydrocarbon pool.

Full details of the preparation and characterisation of large HZSM-5 crystals via a modified literature method, evaluation of their performance in a conventional catalytic microreactor, and the configuration of the infrared microspectroscopy experiment are given in the Supporting Information. Batches of crystals with different uniform size distributions were prepared and studied. Here are reported measurements on HZSM-5 crystals typically 150 × 60 × 60 µm³ in size, using microscope apertures of 15 x 15 µm². The effects of crystal size will be reported in more detail elsewhere.

Fig. 1 shows the reactivity of methanol over a HZSM-5 crystal at 573 K (spectra recorded at 2 s intervals with an 8 µL pulse and at 0.25 s intervals with a 4 µL pulses). Following injection of the 8 µL pulse of methanol (Fig. 1a), the zeolite Bronsted ν(OH) band at 3600 cm⁻¹ decreases within 60 s to 30% of its original intensity. The MS analysis of gases evolved from the Linkam cell (Fig. 1b) shows the formation of dimethylether (m/z = 45) within several seconds of methanol injection. Formation of dimethylether from methanol is the first step in the conversion of methanol to hydrocarbons. Dimethylether hydrogen bonds to the Bronsted acid site. A full set of spectra is presented in Fig. S10 showing the characteristic ν(CH) modes of hydrogen bonded dimethylether and the so-called ABC triplet of the strongly hydrogen bonded zeolite hydroxyl groups arising from Fermi resonance between the ν(OH) mode and the overtones of the corresponding δ and γ deformation modes. Dimethylether forms via the sequential dissociation of methanol at acid sites to form methoxy groups followed by methylation of a second methanol to form dimethylether. In the spectra shown in Fig. S10 the characteristic infrared signature of surface methoxy groups in ZSM-5 is observed.

Figure 1. (a) Time course of the ν(OH) 3600 cm⁻¹ band intensity relative to an activated crystal recorded at 2 s intervals during the first 8 µL methanol pulse injected into a N₂ flow of 100 mL min⁻¹ over an HZSM-5 crystal at 573 K; (b) MS traces recorded during this experiment. m/z = 31 measures methanol, m/z = 45 DME, m/z = 41 propene (with a contribution from DME fragmentation), m/z = 55 butene; (c) Evolution of the CH stretching region between 87.5 and 190 s; (d) The same experiment performed with 0.25 s time resolution during a 4 µL methanol pulse over a crystal from the same batch at 573 K; (e) the corresponding MS traces and (f) evolution of the CH stretching region between 87.2 and 88.2 s after injection.
Fig. 1 shows that as the dimethylether is desorbed from the crystal (between 60 and 180 s after injection of an 8 µL pulse of methanol), the ν(CH) bands of surface methoxy groups at 2980 cm⁻¹ and 2870 cm⁻¹ become visible (Fig. 1e orange trace). The loss of dimethylether corresponds to the gradual recovery of ~15% of the zeolite ν(OH) band. Subsequent to the complete loss of dimethylether an abrupt change occurs 188 s after injection of methanol. In this particular experiment, within 4 s the zeolite ν(OH) band suddenly recovers to ~90% of its original intensity (Fig. 1a). At the same time the ν(CH) bands of surface methoxy groups (2980 and 2870 cm⁻¹) are completely converted to new ν(CH) bands at 2960 and 2870 cm⁻¹, the latter broader than its predecessor (Fig. 1c orange trace); and the MS (Fig. 1b) shows simultaneous evolution of propene (m/z = 41) and butene (m/z = 55). (Note that the initial m/z = 41 peak at ~90 s in Fig. 1(b) is due to fragmentation of dimethylether). To define more closely the time scale on which the abrupt spectral changes are occurring we repeated the experiment described above on a fresh crystal using a smaller methanol pulse (4 µL) at higher time resolution of 0.25 s (Fig. 1d, e and f). The induction time for alkene formation was reduced to ~90 s for the 4 µL injection (cf. 188 s for the 8 µL injection experiment), nonetheless the changes occurring in the ν(CH) region were closely similar to those described above, and occur within ~0.5 s.

These spectral changes were reproduced when methanol was injected into crystals from different synthesis batches and when varying the selected region analysed within an individual crystal, although the length of the induction period varies with crystal size as well as the methanol pulse size.

Fig. 2 illustrates an experiment examining the reactivity of methanol-d₃ (CD₃OH) over a HZSM-5 crystal at 573 K. Similarly to the previous experiment, an initial decrease in ν(OH) 3600 cm⁻¹ band intensity relative to the fresh crystal was seen due to hydrogen bonding of dimethylether and the formation of methoxy groups (ZOCD₃) immediately after injection of methanol-d₃. Following a slow recovery of ν(OH) intensity over the subsequent ~90 s due to desorption of hydrogen bonded dimethylether, an abrupt loss of methoxy groups occurs at around 110 s after injection of CD₃OH (Fig. 2c); this coincides with the point of alkene formation and a rapid growth of a ν(OD) band at 2650 cm⁻¹.

A ZOD band can only arise from CD bond breaking. A weak band appears at 2750 cm⁻¹ at the same time as the CD bond breaks which is assigned to the ν(OD) counterpart of the silanol groups in the parent zeolite associated with defects in the crystal.28

In the effluent gas phase Fig. 2b, dimethylether-d₆ is the first product detected (m/z = 50 with contributions at m/z = 48 from fragmentation), but the growth of the OD band correlates exactly with the MS detection of propene-d₆ (m/z = 48) and propene-d₅ (m/z = 47). (The earlier m/z = 47 peak coincident with dimethylether is barely above baseline and may arise from a methanol-d₂ impurity as shown in Fig. S11).

Spectra in the ν(CD) region are more complex than their ν(CH) counterparts, due to overlap of overtones of CD bending modes,30 and the frequency differences between species are less in the lower frequency range, so that it is not possible to clearly differentiate between loss of methoxy groups (CD₃) and of residual dimethylether-d₆. However, the formation of zeolite OD groups can only occur through C-D bond breaking, and this correlates closely with propene formation (early static infrared experiments by Ono and Mori also report CD bond breakage during propene formation). We deduce a reaction step represented formally as ZOCD₃ → ZOD + “CD²”, i.e. the methoxy groups react further as carbene-like species to generate alkenes rather than as CD₃ cations.19,28,31 The detection by MS of propene-d₆ also shows that further exchange subsequently occurs with zeolite OH groups, including the silanol groups (as also seen by the change in ν(OH) intensity at this point).
cies, regeneration of Bronsted acid sites and the generation of alkenes.

This assignment of bands to an oligomer is based on spectra obtained in a parallel experiment, when a pulse of propene was injected into N₂ flowing over a fresh HZSM-5 crystal at 523 K: Fig. 3 shows selected spectra recorded at 2 s intervals. There is a striking similarity between the growing bands at 2960 cm⁻¹ and 2870 cm⁻¹ during the propene-pulse experiment (Fig. 3a), and the ν(CH) profile generated after alkenes are first formed during the methanol-pulse experiments (green traces in Figs.1c and f), albeit at lower intensity and less well resolved in the case of methanol.

The intensities of the oligomer bands at 2960 cm⁻¹ (CH₃ asymmetric stretching mode) and 2870 cm⁻¹ (CH₂ symmetric stretching modes) decay with time and this correlates well with the MS trace of butene (m/z = 55) evolved during the propene pulse. A secondary peak can also be seen in the m/z = 41 trace due to propene. These correlations suggest that butene and secondary propene are formed by cracking and desorption of the oligomer.

As oligomer is lost, the spectrum evolves further. In particular, bands at 1510 and 1460 cm⁻¹ grow in intensity, then remain constant. These bands and others at 3120 and 2910 cm⁻¹, which are evident in Fig. 3a after the oligomer species has declined, are considered further below. Similar spectral changes were seen when ethene was injected into a fresh crystal (See Fig. S13). Blank experiments showed a negligible contribution to the spectra from gas phase alkenes in the cell.

The question then arising is how the oligomer is formed in the first place. Is the oligomer formed directly from methoxy groups (2980, 2870 cm⁻¹) and then cracked to form the alkenes, or are the alkenes detected by MS (m/z = 41 and 55) formed directly from methoxy groups and then go on to oligomerize?

To answer this question a temperature jump methanol-pulse experiment was performed. Fig. 4 describes the reactivity of surface methoxy groups, which were generated by injecting two successive pulses of methanol over an HZSM-5 crystal at 523 K and then holding the sample at this temperature in flowing nitrogen until the hydrogen bonded dimethylether was completely lost, so that the spectrum showed the characteristic fingerprint of methoxy groups (Fig. 4c). The OH intensity at this point (~ 70 % of the original) suggests that ~ 30% of the OH groups have formed methoxy groups. Note that in this experiment no residual bands of adsorbed dimethylether remain at this point. Then the temperature was raised by 5 K and the spectrum monitored versus time. After a further delay, OH intensity suddenly recovered to ~ 90% of that in the fresh crystal, and a burst of propene and butene was detected in the mass spectrum. No oligomer species were detected at this temperature (528 K), which suggests that the alkenes are formed directly from the surface methoxy groups, and at low concentrations can escape from the zeolite without oligomerisation, i.e. the oligomer is not formed directly from the methoxy groups but via alkenes.
The presence of a second hydrocarbon species showing bands at 3120, 2910, 1510 and 1460 cm$^{-1}$, was revealed in the propene-pulse experiment after the decay of the oligomer bands (black trace in Fig. 3a). This hydrocarbon species is also evident in spectra recorded when multiple pulses of methanol were injected into an HZSM-5 crystal at 573 K. Fig. 5 shows, for example, spectra measured after injection of three successive 8 µL pulses of methanol each ~ 300 s apart. The spectra measured at the leading edge of the third methanol pulse in Fig. 5 are dominated by the bands of hydrogen bonded dimethylether (red trace), but as these are lost the oligomer species becomes evident (orange trace). Gradual loss of the oligomer bands reveals the second species generated from propene, which dominates the spectrum 186 s after injection of the third pulse (black trace). Also evident in the second and third pulses was an additional band at 1620 cm$^{-1}$ characteristic of methylated aromatics which was not seen in the first methanol pulse at 573 K or in the propene experiment at 523 K.

The second species generated from propene and formed in the second and third methanol pulses exhibiting bands at 3120, 2910, 1510 and 1460 cm$^{-1}$ is identified as the 1,3-dimethylecyclopentenyl cation (DMCP). The infrared spectrum of the DMCP cation in the gas phase is known. The frequencies and relative intensities of the bands seen here with propene and methanol agree well with those reported for the gas phase species. The same species was generated by reacting dimethylether over crystals of HZSM-5; the frequencies and relative intensities of the DMCP bands are found to be the same in different sized crystals and at different reaction temperatures, whether generated from ethene, methanol, dimethylether, ethene or propene. Furthermore, the expected frequency shifts are seen when DMCP is formed from methanol-d$_4$ (see Fig. S11 and Table S2).

The importance of DMCP in MTH catalysis was first demonstrated in pulse-quench NMR experiments by Haw et al. who showed that the DMCP cation was generated in less than 8 seconds after injection of dimethylether into HZSM-5 at 573 K, and its appearance in the NMR spectrum correlated with the first formation of alkene products in the gas phase. The same cation was generated when HZSM-5 was exposed to ethene at 623 K, and alkene products were generated on its decomposition. At lower temperatures, long chain oligomer species were formed from ethene. More recent NMR experiments have also identified cyclopentenyl cations as important components of the hydrocarbon pool in HZSM-5, but according to Haw et al. the 1,3-dimethylecyclopentenyl species is the first one formed (and an explanation for its particular stability in ZSM-5 has been proposed).

Theoretical calculations suggest that the formation of cyclic hydrocarbons from ethene and propene occurs via oligomeric species and that 5-ring formation precedes 6-ring formation. As pointed out by Haw et al., stoichiometry demands that formation of DMCP cations from oligomer requires concomitant formation of alkanes, e.g. $\text{C}_9\text{H}_{19}\text{OZ} \rightarrow \text{C}_9\text{H}_{20}\text{OZ} + 2\text{CH}_4$. It is possible that the methane commonly reported as an initial product of methanol conversion over ZSM-5 is formed during the cyclisation step rather than through hydrogen abstraction from methanol by surface methoxy groups. Once DMCP is present in the zeolite it may undergo methylation and skeletal rearrangement to form toluene or other methylated aromatic products through chemistry which has been well described in the literature. The weak 1620 cm$^{-1}$ band seen in the second and third methanol pulses is assigned to toluene or related aromatic species. At higher reaction temperatures and in smaller crystals this band has higher relative intensities, consistent with enhanced yields of methylaromatic products detected in the MS analysis (see Fig. S12). A relationship between the 1620 cm$^{-1}$ band and aromatic product yields was also seen when HZSM-5 crystals were exposed to a continuous flow of dimethylether at higher temperatures (Fig. S13).

Scheme 1 summarises the species detected in these infrared experiments along with the infrared frequencies assigned to them. The crucial initiating step in carbon-carbon bond for-

**Figure 5.** (a) Infrared spectra measured at 2 s intervals in (a) the ν(CH) and (b) the ν(C-C) regions following injection of a third 8 µL pulse of methanol over an HZSM-5 crystal at 573 K 650 s after the first pulse shown in Fig. 1. Highlighted spectra in red, orange, green and black at 48, 76, 128 and 186 s after the injection. (c) MS traces during the third pulse (time axis is from the first pulse). $m/z = 31$ measures methanol, $m/z = 45$ measures DME, $m/z = 41$ measures propene (with a contribution from DME fragmentation), $m/z = 55$ measures butene.
mation from methanol is the deprotonation of initially formed surface methoxy groups, which leads to alkene formation via carbene-like species.

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{CH}_3\text{OH} & \quad \rightarrow \quad \text{CH}_3\text{OCH}_3 \\
\text{Z-OH} & \quad 3600 \text{ cm}^{-1} \\
\text{Z-OR} & \quad 2980, 2870 \text{ cm}^{-1} \\
2960, 2870 \text{ cm}^{-1} & \quad 1510, 1460, 3120, 2910 \text{ cm}^{-1} \\
\text{Adsorbed alkenes} & \quad \rightarrow \quad \text{Gaseous alkenes} \\
\text{Gaseous aromatics} & \quad 1620 \text{ cm}^{-1}
\end{align*}
\]

**Scheme 1:** Reaction pathways and species identified spectroscopically in this work.

The fact that alkenes are formed only after adsorbed dimethylether is lost suggests that this chemistry does not involve reaction of the surface methoxy groups with adsorbed dimethylether, as previously proposed, but rather condensation of adjacent methoxy groups. The infrared spectra measured here show no evidence for formation of carboxylate groups expected from carbonylation of surface methoxy groups.15,17,18 Fig. S15 shows spectra measured from methylacetate injected into HZSM-5 crystals at various temperatures, demonstrating the sensitivity of the technique to the presence of surface carboxylate species. We therefore believe that under the reaction conditions employed here a mechanism for direct carbon-carbon bond formation involving formaldehyde14,15,41,42 or methylacetate17,18 is not occurring. Once alkenes are formed, they may escape the zeolite or oligomerise. The resulting oligomer can crack to provide an additional source of alkenes or cyclise to form DMCP. The oligomer and the DMCP can be regarded as the first components of the hydrocarbon pool, and as suggested by Haw et al.,35 both act as a more efficient indirect source of alkenes (and ultimately methylaromatics) than the direct process seen in the initial stages of the reaction.

Detailed spectra and MS analyses from crystals of different size and at different reaction temperatures will be reported elsewhere.36 The data presented here clearly illustrate the rapid time resolution achievable with synchrotron infrared microspectroscopy applied to single crystal zeolite catalysis, and we suggest that this technique should be readily applicable to many other types of zeolite-catalysed reactions.
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