Differences in fungal immune recognition by monocytes and macrophages: N-mannan can be a shield or activator of immune recognition
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Abstract

We designed experiments to assess whether fungal cell wall mannan functions as an immune shield or an immune agonist. Fungal cell wall β-(1,3)-glucan normally plays a major and dominant role in immune activation. The outer mannan layer has been variously described as an immune shield, because it has the potential to mask the underlying β-(1,3)-glucan, or an immune activator, as it also has the potential to engage with a wide range of mannose detecting PRRs. To resolve this conundrum we examined species-specific differences in host immune recognition in the och1Δ N-mannosylation-deficient mutant background in four species of yeast-like fungi. Irrespective of the fungal species, the cytokine response (TNFα and IL-6) induced by the och1Δ mutants in human monocytes was reduced compared to that of the wild type. In contrast, TNFα production induced by och1Δ was increased, relative to wild type, due to increased β-glucan exposure, when mouse or human macrophages were used. These observations suggest that N-mannan is not a major PAMP for macrophages and that in these cells mannan does shield the fungus from recognition of the inner cell wall β-glucan. However, N-mannan is a significant inducer of cytokine for monocytes. Therefore the metaphor of the fungal “mannan shield” can only be applied to some, but not all, myeloid cells used in immune profiling experiments of fungal species.

1. Introduction

We set out to understand some unresolved questions about the role of mannose-based outer cell wall components in fungi in either initiating or shielding immune recognition. The innate immune system forms the first line of defence against fungal infections and is essential for protective cell-mediated immunity (da Silva Dantas et al., 2016; Drummond et al., 2014; Netea et al., 2015; Qin et al., 2016; Salazar and Brown, 2018). Innate immune responses involve multiple immune cell types of which monocytes and macrophages are its vital components. These cells express germ-line-encoded pattern recognition receptors (PRRs) such as C-Type Lectin Receptors (CLRs), Toll-like receptors (TLRs) and NOD-like receptors (NLRs) that sense conserved pathogen-specific molecular patterns (PAMPs) that, for fungi, are mainly located in the cell wall. These immune recognition events trigger intracellular signalling pathways leading to a release of pro-inflammatory cytokines and chemokines that orchestrate the recruitment of neutrophils and activate downstream innate and adaptive immune responses (Borriello et al., 2020; Dambuza and Brown, 2015; Erwig and Gow, 2016; Nikolakopoulou et al., 2020; Plato et al., 2015).
The fungal cell wall is the primary point of contact with the components of the host innate immune system (Erwig and Gow, 2016) and is a highly dynamic organelle essential for cell viability, morphogenesis, and pathogenesis (Garcia-Rubio et al., 2019; Gow et al., 2017; Gow and Yadav, 2017; Vendele et al., 2020). The composition and architecture of the fungal cell wall changes in response to different environmental and stress conditions and varies in different cell morphotypes, helping the fungi to adapt in different growth conditions (Brown et al., 2014; Cottier and Hall, 2019; Hopke et al., 2018). The best studied cell wall of a fungal pathogen is that of Candida albicans (Gow et al., 2012; Gow and Hube, 2012). It is a bilayer structure composed of an inner layer of β-(1,3)-glucan and chitin, which is interconnected through β-(1,6)-glucan to the outer layer rich in glycosylphosphatidylinositol-anchored mannosproteins, modified with N- and O-linked mannans (Hall and Gow, 2013; Klis et al., 2001). The outer cell wall mannan forms a fringe of microfibrils that represent a permeability barrier to high molecular weight molecules, but apparently not to liposomes (Walker et al., 2018). These polysaccharides comprising the cell wall are highly fungal specific and present important pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs) recognized by PRRs (Gow et al., 2012; Levitz, 2010; Netea et al., 2008; Rappleye and Goldman, 2008; Snarr et al., 2017; van de Veerdonk et al., 2008).

However, during evolution and species divergence, while some of the cell wall components have been conserved, others are species-specific. The inner cell wall polysaccharides have been shown to be largely conserved across the fungal kingdom, and studies have suggested the inner layer to be highly immunogenic and capable of eliciting a strong immune response (Snarr et al., 2017). The β-(1,3)-glucan-dectin-1 interaction is amongst the most extensively studied fungal PAMP–host PRR interactions (Brown and Gordon, 2001; Dambuza and Brown, 2015; Gow et al., 2007; Netea et al., 2006; Rogers et al., 2005; Taylor et al., 2004). β-(1,3)-Glucan is the major component of most fungal cell walls and comprises between 30 and 60% of the dry cell wall weight (Bowman and Free, 2006; Gow et al., 2017; Klis et al., 2001; Latgé, 2007). Although β-(1,3)-glucan is a common element of the cell wall of fungi there are differences in the extent of β-(1,6)-glucan cross linking of this component and some structural differences – for example in the yeast and hyphal β-(1,3)-glucans of C. albicans (Lowman et al., 2014).

Outer cell wall mannan comprises about 40% of dry cell wall weight in C. albicans (Gow et al., 2017; Klis et al., 2001) and has been shown across several studies to be important for host fungal interactions (Bates et al., 2006; Vendele et al., 2020). While O-mannan is mostly a linear structure of α-mannoses, with occasional β-(1–2) side chains, N-mannan is a highly branched structure of mannose residues in different linkages (Hall, 2015; Hall and Gow, 2013). It has a highly conserved oligosaccharide core synthesised in the endoplasmic reticulum, and a highly branched outer chain elaborated in the Golgi complex, which consists of a linear α-(1,6)-linked mannos backbone with numerous side chains composed of α-(1,2), α-(1,3), β-(1,2)-linked mannose residues and phosphomannan moieties (Mora-Montes et al., 2009; Shibata et al., 1992). The N-mannan branching patterns and patterns of linkages between mannose residues are fungal species-specific and contribute to the diversity of these structures.

Even though mannan has been considered as a mask for the underlying immunogenic cell wall components to escape immune recognition, numerous PRRs specific for mannans are involved in activating host defence responses, indeed there are many mannann-recogising immune receptors for fungi than for any other class of ligand (Erwig and Gow, 2016; Patin et al., 2019; Salazar and Brown, 2018). For example, it has been demonstrated that the CLR mannos receptor (MR), DC-SIGN, dectin-2 and dectin-3 are involved in the sensing of N-linked mannans (Cambi et al., 2008; Netea et al., 2006; Saijo et al., 2010; Vendele et al., 2020; Yamamoto et al., 1997). It has also been established that TLR2 recognises the glycolipid phospholipomannan (Jouault et al., 2003) while TLR4 recognizes the O-linked mannan (Netea et al., 2006, 2002). Soluble receptors such as mannose-binding lectin (MBL) and galectin-3 are also involved in the recognition of the fungal mannose structures by the host (Snarr et al., 2017).

Significant progress has been made on understanding fungus-host interactions through studies of C. albicans, partly because of the availability of a comprehensive number of genetic mutants lacking, or altered in key immunologically active components of its cell wall. The study of C. albicans mutants with defects in the N-linked mannosylation pathway has helped to establish the importance of these cell wall structures in the recognition of C. albicans by innate immune cells (Netea et al., 2006; Hall, 2015; Hall et al., 2013; Hall and Gow, 2013; Zhang et al., 2016). Mutants of N-mannan biogenesis, (for example, mutants with defects in N-linked mannan processing enzyme α-glycosidase or mutants with loss of outer chain N-mannans), are affected in their ability to induce cytokine production by human peripheral blood mononuclear cells (hPBMCs), dendritic cells, and murine macrophages (Cambi et al., 2008; Hall et al., 2013; McKenzie et al., 2010; Mora-Montes et al., 2010, 2007; Netea et al., 2006; Zhang et al., 2016). They are also affected in their phagocytosis by human dendritic cells and murine macrophages (Bain et al., 2014, 2012; Cambi et al., 2008; Lewis et al., 2012; McKenzie et al., 2010). In contrast to the C. albicans glycosylation mutants, C. glabrata mannosylation mutants display enhanced virulence in a murine infection model (West et al., 2013).

However, the role of C. albicans N-linked mannans in the innate immune recognition cannot always be extrapolated to other fungi since there are considerable differences in the chemical structure of the N-mannan outer chains (Fabré et al., 2014; Jouault et al., 2006). These differences often define the species- and strain-specific serotype (Fukazawa, 1989; Kozel et al., 2004). Furthermore, the compactness of these structures can also affect the extent of masking or exposure of the inner immunogenic cell wall components and the overall organisation of the cell wall can be significantly affected by yeast-hypha morphogenesis, carbon source and local environmental stresses (Bain et al., 2014; Ballou et al., 2016; Graus et al., 2018; Mukaremera et al., 2017; Pradhan et al., 2018; Vendele et al., 2020).

The outer layer of fungal cell walls displays a large chemical diversity among fungal pathogens (Erwig and Gow, 2016; Garcia-Rubio et al., 2019) and has been said to conceal underlying immunogenic layers. By hiding β-(1,3)-glucan in particular the outer layers have the potential to contribute to immune evasion (Hernández-Chávez et al., 2017; Netea et al., 2008). In this regard α-(1,3)-glucan has been shown to mask immune recognition of underlying β-(1,3)-glucan in Histoplasma capsulatum (Garfoot et al., 2016; Rappleye et al., 2007) and outer cell wall mannans have been claimed to do the same for Candida species (Graus et al., 2018; Wheeler et al., 2008). However, a striking anomaly in the literature has been the variable assertions as to the immunological role of mannans. Both mannans and α-(1,3)-glucan have been suggested as acting primarily in shielding the underlying pro-inflammatory β-(1,3)-glucan layer from immune surveillance (Rappleye et al., 2007; Rappleye and Goldman, 2008; Wheeler and Fink, 2006). For example, S. cerevisiae wild type cells were shown to be poor in stimulating cytokine production, but mutant strains with defects in the N-linked mannan elaboration, such as the och1Δ null mutant, stimulated the mouse macrophage cell line RAW 264.7 to produce high levels of TNFα (Wheeler and Fink, 2006). These data contrast with the observations made using C. albicans och1Δ null mutant, where loss of the N-linked mannan outer chain led to reduced cytokine production by hPBMCs and dendritic cells (Bates et al., 2006; Cambi et al., 2008; Gow et al., 2007; Hall et al., 2013; Netea et al., 2006).

To further explore the apparent differences between N-mannan mediated immune recognition effects of different fungal species we used och1 mutants from four different fungal species, C. albicans, C. dubliniensis, C. glabrata and S. cerevisiae and compared the immune response induced by hPBMCs and mouse macrophages (RAW 264.7). Och1 is an α-(1,6)-mannosyl transferase catalyzing the addition of first α-(1,6)-mannose to the N-glycan core. The och1Δ mutants show a loss of the N-mannan fibrillar layer leading to the exposure of the inner cell
wall components and also a compensatory increase in β-(1,3)-glucan and chitin. We also compared fungal cells prepared for the immune assays by killing by heat-treatment – which is known to expose inner cell wall ligands (Gow et al., 2007), and chemical fixation cells that better preserves the native cell wall structure. In some experiments we used heat killed fungal cells as the target for immune cell recognition. Heat killed cells have been used to prevent cell wall changes associated with yeast cell growth and yeast-hypha morphogenesis from interfering with cytokine induction assays. In our experiments heat-killing also permeabilises the cell wall, thus allowing PRR engagement with cell wall PAMPs that are not exposed in native cell walls (Gow et al., 2007). We also examined the relative porosity and cell wall composition of the cell walls of these species and their mutants.

We showed that loss of N-mannan led to a lower cytokine response (TNFα and IL-6) from hPBMCs, but a higher TNFα induction from RAW 264.7 and human macrophages compared to the controls, and that this was explained by recognition of fungal cells by macrophages being primarily mediated by dectin-1. But, irrespective of the fungal species, fungal cell wall N-mannan was important for fungal recognition by human monocytes. This provides an explanation for apparently contradictory results in the literature. Mannan acts only as an immune shield for cells such as macrophages that deploy dectin-1 as the primary immune recognition receptor, whilst other immune cell types depend strongly on recognition of N-mannan via a set of mannann detecting PRRs.

2. Methods

2.1. Strains and culture conditions

The strains constructed and used in this work are listed in Table 1. To prepare yeast cells for cytokine stimulation assays, cells were grown overnight at 30 °C in YPD broth with shaking at 200 rpm, transferred to fresh broth with a starting O.D. of 0.2, and further incubated for 4 h till they reached the mid-log growth. Candida strains were maintained at 30 °C in YPD, but S. cerevisiae cells were grown in SC medium (0.67% (w/v) yeast nitrogen base with ammonium sulfate without amino acids, 0.2% (w/v) complete supplement mixture minus uracil with 2% (w/v) glucose (SC-glc) or with 2% galactose and 3% (w/v) raffinose (SC-gal-raf). A supplement of 50 µg/ml uridine was added to media used for the growth of S. cerevisiae wild type and all Ura-och1A strains. C. glabrata strains were grown in YPD with and without 20 µg/ml doxycycline.

Cells were harvested by centrifugation, and washed twice with PBS. The cells were heat-killed at 65 °C for 2 h. To compare the temperature-induced cell-wall changes in wild type strains, 2*10^7 cells/ml cells were killed with 100 mM thimerosal for 1 h, washed thrice with PBS, counted and cell suspensions of 2*10^6 cells/ml were exposed to 30, 37, 45, 50, 55, 65 and 75 °C for 2 h. The loss of cell viability was assessed by colony counting of viable cells on YPD plates.

2.2. Construction of null and conditional mutants

The mini-ura-blaster technique was used for C. dubliniensis OCHI disruption as follows: the primer pair 5'-ATGCTAACAACTAAGGGAACC CAAAAATGGTTCATAGACATCTAAAACTAGCCATTTAGGAATAATTAGT

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Strain</th>
<th>Genotype</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C. albicans</td>
<td>CA14</td>
<td>ura3Δ::imm434/uara3Δ::imm434</td>
<td>(Fonzi and Irwin, 1993)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. albicans</td>
<td>CaWT (NGY152)</td>
<td>As CA14 but RPS1/p1Δ::Clp10</td>
<td>(Brand et al., 2004)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. albicans</td>
<td>Caoch1 (NGY357)</td>
<td>As CA14 but och1Δ::hisG/och1Δ::hisG, RPS1/p1Δ::Clp10</td>
<td>(Bates et al., 2006)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. albicans</td>
<td>CaaoCH1/ CaOCH1</td>
<td>As CA14 but och1Δ::hisG/och1Δ::hisG, RPS1/p1Δ::Clp10-CaOCH1</td>
<td>(Bates et al., 2006)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. albicans</td>
<td>Camn26A (NGY 600)</td>
<td>As CA14 but mmt2Δ::dpl200/mmt2Δ::dpl200/mmt2Δ::dpl200/mmt2Δ::dpl200/mmt2Δ::dpl200/mmt2Δ::dpl200/mmt2Δ::dpl200/mmt2Δ::dpl200</td>
<td>(Hall et al., 2013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. albicans</td>
<td>Camn26A + CaMNN2 + CaMNN26(NGY 601)</td>
<td>As CA14 but mmt2Δ::dpl200/mmt2Δ::dpl200/mmt2Δ::dpl200/mmt2Δ::dpl200/mmt2Δ::dpl200/mmt2Δ::dpl200/mmt2Δ::dpl200/mmt2Δ::dpl200</td>
<td>(Hall et al., 2013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. albicans</td>
<td>pnr1Δ(NGY355)</td>
<td>As CA14 but pnr1Δ::hisG/pnr1Δ::hisG, RPS1/p1Δ::Clp10</td>
<td>(Bates et al., 2005)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. albicans</td>
<td>pnr1Δ + PMR1(NGY356)</td>
<td>As CA14 but pnr1Δ::hisG/pnr1Δ::hisG, RPS1/p1Δ::Clp10-PMR1</td>
<td>(Bates et al., 2005)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. albicans</td>
<td>mnt1-mnt2Δ(NGY337)</td>
<td>As CA14 but mnt1-mnt2Δ::hisG/mnt1-mnt2Δ::hisG, RPS1/p1Δ::Clp10</td>
<td>(Munro et al., 2005, p. 1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. albicans</td>
<td>mnt1-mnt2Δ + MNT1(NGY335)</td>
<td>As CA14 but mnt1-mnt2Δ::hisG/mnt1-mnt2Δ::hisG, RPS1/p1Δ::Clp10-MNT1</td>
<td>(Munro et al., 2005)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. albicans</td>
<td>mnt4Δ(CDH15)</td>
<td>As CA14 but mnt4Δ::hisG/mnt4Δ::hisG, RPS1/p1Δ::Clp10</td>
<td>(Iobson et al., 2004)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. albicans</td>
<td>mnt5Δ + MNN4(CDH13)</td>
<td>As CA14 but mnt5Δ::hisG/mnt5Δ::hisG, RPS1/p1Δ::Clp10-MNN4</td>
<td>(Iobson et al., 2004)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. dubliniensis</td>
<td>CdUM4A</td>
<td>As Wu284 but ura3Δ::FRT/ ura3Δ::FRT</td>
<td>(Staub et al., 2001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. dubliniensis</td>
<td>CdWT(NGY4)</td>
<td>As CdUM4A but RPS1/p1Δ::Clp10</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. dubliniensis</td>
<td>CdOCH1(NGY563)</td>
<td>As CDUM4A but och1Δ::dpl200/och1Δ::dpl200, RPS1/p1Δ::Clp10</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. dubliniensis</td>
<td>CdOCH1(CDNCHI)</td>
<td>As CDUM4A but och1Δ::dpl200/och1Δ::dpl200, RPS1/p1Δ::Clp10-CdOCHI</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. glabrata</td>
<td>GpWT(2001)</td>
<td>HETS202 strain</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. cerevisiae</td>
<td>ScWT(2004)</td>
<td>As ScWT but PBT5-SCCHI</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. cerevisiae</td>
<td>ScWT(2004)</td>
<td>As ScWT but PBT5-SCCHI</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. cerevisiae</td>
<td>ScWT(2004)</td>
<td>As ScWT but PBT5-SCCHI</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. cerevisiae</td>
<td>ScWT(2004)</td>
<td>As ScWT but PBT5-SCCHI</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CAGTTTTCGCCATCACGACGT-3' and 5'-TTACTGCAATTTCGCGCATAC CATCAGTCTCCAACTCCGACAGAACATGGTGGTGTGATCCATTGG ATGTGGAAATTGTGGAGGGATA-3' (underline bases correspond to complementary sequences to the 5'- and 3'- regions of ORF Cd36_86020 from the C. dubliniensis GeneDB, http://old.genedb.org/genedb/cdubliensis/index.jsp) were used to amplify the disruption cassette from pDDB57 plasmid by PCR (Wilson et al., 2000). The strain CdUM44, a Ura mutant derived from the clinical isolate Wi284 (Staab et al., 2001), was sequentially transformed with the disruption cassette and the URA3 marker recycled by growing on SC medium supplemented with 1 mg/ml 5-fluoroorotic acid and uridine. The plasmid Clp10 was used to restore URA3 at the RPS1 locus as previously described (Murd et al., 2000).

Despite repeated attempts, a null mutant for OCH1 in C. glabrata could not be generated, indicating that this gene may be essential for the viability of this species, at least under conditions of transformation. Therefore a conditional mutant was generated by placing the och1 gene under the control of a tetracycline regulatable promoter 97t (Nakayama et al., 1998). The Tet-P cassette was amplified using plasmid pTK916 as a template, with the primer pairs 5'-TCTGGTCTGGAGACCAAATAAGCAACGGCTGTTGATACAGTAAATTCA and 5'-AAGAATACCAACACAGACGACGGCA AGCACTATGTGGCCTCTTGCGATTACTATCTgtgaggtgc-3'. This amplicon was used to transform HETS202 strain (Ueno et al., 2007), thus replacing the wild type promoter of OCH1 in this strain with the conditional Tet-promoter. The mutants were confirmed by PCR and northern analysis (data not shown). OCH1 expression was repressed in the conditional mutant using 20 µg/ml doxycycline in the growth medium.

2.3. Complementation of och1Δ null mutants.

To generate a re-integrant control strain for C. dubliniensis och1Δ, the primer pair 5'-GGGCGGCGAAAAATGAAAATTACCC TC and 5'-GGCGGGGTGTGTAGTTATTTTGGAT (with bases added to create a NotI site underlined) were used to amplify by PCR a 2907 bpDNA fragment containing the C. dubliniensis OCH1 ORF plus 995 bp of its promoter and 731 bp of its terminator regions, and the DNA fragment cloned into pCR®-2.1-TOPO* vector (Invitrogen, Paisley, UK). The insert was released by digesting with NotI, and subcloned into the NotI site of Clp10, generating plasmid Clp10-CdOCH1. The 5′-digested plasmid was integrated at the RPS1 locus generating strain NGY565.

The S. cerevisiae optimized, galactose-inducible protein expression vector pYES2.1/V5-His-TOPO (Invitrogen, Paisley, UK) was used to express S. cerevisiae OCH1 in the S. cerevisiae och1Δ null mutant strain. The S. cerevisiae OCH1 ORF was amplified by PCR (primer pair 5'-ATGTCTAGGAATTTGCTGCCACCTGA and 5'-GATGTCGATAAAAAAGCAGGTCATAATATAAA) and ligated into the pYES2.1/V5-His-TOPO vector according to manufacturers’ instructions and the construction used to transform Escherichia coli TOP10 cells (Invitrogen, Paisley, UK). The construction of pYES2.1/V5-His-TOPO was confirmed by sequencing and used to transform S. cerevisiae och1Δ null mutant.

2.4. Analysis of N-linked mannosylation status and cell wall phosphomannan content

The electrophoretic mobility shift assay of secreted β-N-acetylglucosaminidase and the Alcian Blue binding assay were used to assess the N-linked mannosylation status and the phosphomannan content, respectively, as previously described (Bates et al., 2006).

2.5. Fluorescence microscopy

Mannan, chitin and β-glucan staining in the live fungal cell walls were similarly performed as previously described with minor adaptations (Hall et al., 2013).

For mannann staining, 2.5×10^6 exponentially growing yeast cells were washed three times with sterile PBS. The cells were then stained with 100 µg/ml ConA-Rh for 45 min in dark and washed three times in PBS. The cells were next stained for chitin using 100 µg/ml freshly prepared WGA-FITC for 45 min in dark. After staining, the cells were washed thrice with PBS, fixed in 4% formaldehyde for 1 h and observed under the Zeiss Axioplan 2 microscope (63X objective). The images were analysed using AxioVision ES64 Rel.4.9.1 software.

For β-glucan staining, 2.5×10^6 exponentially growing yeast cells were washed thrice with sterile PBS and blocked in Buffer A (0.5% BSA, 5.0 mM EDTA, 2.0 mM NaN₃, 5% heat-inactivated goat serum) for 30 min at room temperature. The cells were then washed thrice with Buffer B (Buffer A without goat serum). An aliquot of 0.5 µg of purified Fc-dectin-1, (kind gift from Prof. Gordon Brown), was added to each of the samples and incubated in ice for 1 h. The cells were again washed three times with Buffer B. A 100.0 µl sample of TRITC-conjugated anti-human IgG Fc goat IgG (from ThermoFisher Scientific, catalogue number A18822, diluted 1:200 in Buffer B) was added to each of the samples and incubated in ice for 45 min. The cells were next washed five times with Buffer A, fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde, and observed under the Zeiss Axioplan 2 microscope at 63X magnification. The images were analysed using AxioVision ES64 Rel.4.9.1 software.

2.6. Cell wall composition and porosity

Determination of cell wall mannann, chitin, and β-glucan content was achieved by acid-hydrolysing the polymers, and quantifying mannose, glucosamine, and glucose content, respectively, by high-performance anion-exchange chromatography with pulsed amperometric detection as previously described (Mora-Montes et al., 2007; Plaine et al., 2008).

The cell wall porosity was determined by relative porosity to polycations as described (De Nobel et al., 1990). Overnight-grown cells in Sabouraud broth were inoculated into fresh broth, further incubated at 30 °C for 4 h with 200 rpm, and washed twice with PBS. Cell pellets containing 1×10^8 cells were resuspended in 10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4 (buffer A), buffer A plus 15 µg/ml poly-L-lysine (Mw 30–70 kDa, Sigma Cat. No. P2636) or buffer A plus 30 µg/ml DEAE-dextran (Mw 500 kDa, Sigma Cat. No. D9885), and incubated for 30 min at 30 °C, 200 rpm. Preparations were centrifuged to pellet cells, supernatants were collected, further centrifuged and absorbance at 260 nm measured. The relative cell wall porosity to DEAE-dextran was calculated as described (De Nobel et al., 1990).

2.7. Cytokine stimulation using human peripheral blood mononuclear cells (hPBMCs)

For hPBMC isolation, human blood was collected from healthy volunteers according to the local guidelines and regulations, as approved by the College Ethics Review Board of the University of Aberdeen (CERB/2012/11/676 and CERB/2016/8/1300). The isolation of hPBMCs was essentially performed as previously described (Endres et al., 1988). Samples of 5×10⁵ freshly isolated hPBMCs were placed into round-bottom 96-well Nunclon plates (Nunc, Roskilde, Denmark) and stimulated with heat-killed 2×10⁶ yeast cells for 24 h at 37 °C and 5% (v/v) CO₂. For the preparation of glucan-phosphate-treated human PBMCs, cells were incubated with 10.0 µg of glucan-phosphate (kind gift from Prof. David L Williams) for 2 h at 37 °C and 5% (v/v) CO₂ before challenge with fungal cells. Additionally, for understanding the temperature-induced cell wall changes in wild type strains, the hPBMCs were similarly challenged with thimerosal killed fungal cells exposed to different temperatures, as described in the material and methods section. The supernatants were collected after 24 h of challenge and stored at −20 °C till cytokine analysis was performed. Cytokine measurements were carried out by ELISA using commercially available kits from R&D.
systems (Abingdon, UK).

2.8. TNFα stimulation using mouse macrophages from the cell line RAW264.7

The RAW264.7 cell line was obtained from the European Collection of Cell Culture. Cells were grown at 37 °C and 5% (v/v) CO2 in tissue culture flasks (Nagle Nunc. International, Hereford, UK) containing DMEM medium (Lonza Group Ltd, Braine-l’Alleud, Belgium), added with 1% (w/v) L-glutamine (Invitrogen, Paisley, UK), 10% (v/v) heat-inactivated foetal calf serum (Biosera, Ringmer, UK), and 2% (w/v) penicillin/streptomycin antibiotics (Invitrogen Ltd, Paisley, UK). For fungal species a 96-well cytokine stimulation, cells were gently and mechanically detached from bottle, and 2*10^5 cells/well were seeded in a 96-well flat-bottom plate. The cells were left to adhere overnight at 37 °C and 5% (v/v) CO2. 100 µl fresh medium was added to the wells and then stimulated with 2*10^5 heat-killed yeast cells for 24 h at 37 °C and 5% (v/v) CO2. For blocking with glucan-phosphate-treated, cells were incubated with 10.0 µg of the glucan-phosphate for 2 h at 37 °C and 5% (v/v) CO2 before challenge with fungal cells. To analyze the effect of temperature-induced cell wall changes in wild type strains, the RAW macrophages were similarly challenged with thimerosal killed fungal cells exposed to different temperatures, as described in the methods section. Upon stimulation, supernatants were collected as described above and used to quantify murine TNFα by ELISA using kits from R&D.

2.9. Statistical analysis

The cell wall analysis by HPLC was done using two independent cultures, performed in duplicate. Cytokine stimulations using human PBMCs were performed in duplicate with a total of six healthy donors; whereas assays with RAW264.7 macrophages were conducted four times in duplicate. Student t-test or one-way ANOVA (with posthoc correction using Tukey test) were used to establish statistical significance of data, as applicable, with a significance level set at p < 0.05.

3. Results

3.1. Cell wall structural differences between the fungal species results in their differential immune recognition

We first examined how cell wall differences between the different fungal species affected immune recognition. We chemically fixed yeast cells of C. albicans, C. dubliniensis, C. glabrata and S. cerevisiae with thimerosal before exposing them to different temperatures (from 30 °C to 75 °C) for 2 h. Heat treatment is known to increase exposure of the inner cell wall layers and is commonly used in immune assays to prevent unwanted fungal growth (for example yeast-hypha morphogenesis) during immune assays. These treated cells were exposed to hPBMCs as well as RAW264.7 macrophages and cytokine profiles were measured after 24 h.

Interestingly despite the phylogenetic similarity between C. albicans and C. dubliniensis there was a marked difference in the temperature profile map of the TNFα response induced by yeast cells (Fig. 1). Induced TNFα levels did not vary significantly when the temperature exposure of the cells was increased. TNFα induced by cells of C. glabrata and S. cerevisiae displayed a similar pattern but the patterns were different to that for C. albicans and C. dubliniensis, showing a marked increase in TNFα induction in hPBMCs at higher temperatures. A different trend was observed in RAW264.7 macrophages, suggesting underlying differences in the thermostability of the cell wall of these species (Fig. 1). In the case of hPBMCs, patterns similar to TNFα secretion were observed for another cytokine, IL-6, as well (data not shown). IL-6 levels secreted by RAW macrophages were too low to be detected by our assay method. The microscopic assessment of the mannann, chitin or β-glucan levels with the increase in temperature did not reveal any striking differences in the relative exposure of β-glucan at different temperatures (data not shown). However, the thermal profiling experiments did suggest important underlying differences in the structure of the cell wall that were differentially affected by heat treatment.

3.2. Generation of C. dubliniensis and C. glabrata och1Δ null mutants

In order to investigate the impact of outer cell wall N-mannosylation defect in different fungal species on the immune recognition, we generated och1 mutant and re-integrant strains from the four fungal species (Table 1). The S. cerevisiae och1Δ null mutant strain was complemented with a galactose-inducible expression vector to express S. cerevisiae och1Δ in the S. cerevisiae och1Δ (ScOCH1). The C. dubliniensis CdUM4A, a ura strain derived from the clinical isolate Wi284 (Staib et al., 2001), was used as genetic background for the sequential disruption of both OCH1 alleles, using the mini-ura-blaster strategy (Wilson et al., 2000). The Cdoch1Δ null mutant (NGY562) was transformed with the Cpl10 plasmid (Murad et al., 2000), introducing URA3 at the neutral RPS1 locus (Brand et al., 2004). The re-integrant control strain (NGY564) had the CdOCH1 allele at the RPS1 locus as described in Methods section. Attempts to disrupt CgOCH1 in C. glabrata ATCC2001 were unsuccessful hence a conditional mutant using the tetracycline-regulatable system was generated.

Similar to Caoch1Δ and Scoch1Δ null mutants, yeast cells of the Cdoch1Δ null mutant and the Cgoch1 conditional mutant growing in presence of doxycycline were swollen, formed aggregates, and had small and crenulated colonies (data not shown). The defect in the N-linked glycosylation of CdOch1Δ null mutant was assessed by electrophoretic mobility shift of secreted β-N-acetylhexosaminidase (HexNACase) in native gels using an in situ activity assay (Bates et al., 2006). HexNACase is a highly N-linked glycosylated enzyme (Molloy et al., 1994), and changes in its electrophoretic mobility have been used as indicators of N-linked glycosylation defects which lead to marked decreases in total molecular mass (Bates et al., 2006; Mora-Montes et al., 2010, 2007). The HexNACase extracted from the null mutant ran faster than the enzyme obtained from wild type cells, while reintegration of CdOCH1 restored the enzyme mobility to wild type levels (Fig. S1A). Endoglucosidase H-treated HexNACase from wild type, CdOch1Δ null mutant and re-integrant control migrated faster and with the similar mobility (Fig. S1B). No HexNACase activity was detectable in protein preparations from C. glabrata and native zymograms for invertase also failed to generate usable electrophoretic mobility shifts (data not shown). Hence, in this case cell wall phosphomannan levels was assessed by Alcian Blue binding to confirm that the N-linked glycosylation status of C. glabrata was altered in this mutant (Bates et al., 2006; Herrero et al., 2002; Mora-Montes et al., 2007; Nishikawa et al., 2002). C. glabrata wild type cells bound 82.7 ± 5.6 mg Alcian Blue, whereas the Cgoch1 conditional mutant bound 74.7 ± 5.4 mg (P value 0.15). When the mutant cells were grown in presence of 20 µg/ml doxycycline there was a significant reduction in the ability to bind Alcian Blue (22.2 ± 2.9 mg dye bound, P < 0.05). Therefore, these data confirmed that both the CdOch1Δ null mutant and the Cgoch1 conditional mutant also had defects in N-linked glycosylation.

3.3. och1 mutants from different fungal species have altered cell wall composition and characteristics

The cell wall organisation and sugar composition of the och1 mutants for all the fungal species, C. albicans, C. dubliniensis, C. glabrata, and S. cerevisiae, were assessed by fluorescence microscopy and HPLC respectively. The och1Δ mutants from all the fungal species displayed higher chitin and β-glucan levels compared to their wild type and re-integrant controls as assessed by microscopy and HPLC (Figs. 2 and 3). Disruption of OCH1 resulted in gross reduction in the outermost N-mannan layer in the fungal cells, and exposure of the inner layers of
chitin and β-glucan. As expected, all the mutants displayed reduced levels of mannan compared to the controls.

The walls of *S. cerevisiae* and *C. glabrata* were significantly more porous to DEAE-dextran than walls of *C. albicans* and *C. dubliniensis* (Fig. S2). Wall porosity was increased most in *N*-mannan mutants (och1Δ and pmr1Δ), intermediate in the *O*-mannan double mutant (mnt1Δ-mnt2Δ) and unaffected by loss of cell wall phosphomannan (mnn4Δ) (Fig. S2). The och1 conditional mutant of *C. glabrata* grown under repressing conditions did not show any further reduction in wall porosity – most likely because even wild cells were relatively porous (Fig. S2).

Thus, disruption of *OCH1* in the four fungal species led to similar qualitative changes in the cell wall: reduced *N*-mannan, increased chitin content, increased internal wall exposure and increased cell wall porosity.

### 3.4. hPBMC’s recognize fungal *N*-mannosylation

We demonstrated previously that hPBMCs produce low cytokine levels when stimulated with *Caoch1Δ* null mutant (e.g. Netea et al., 2013, *J. Exp. Med.* 200, 2155–2167). We hypothesized that hPBMCs may recognize fungal cell wall mannosylation patterns and respond accordingly.

Fig. 2. Mannan, chitin and β-glucan levels in live fungal cells: Cell wall structure was assessed in live cells by staining using fluorescence microscopy, as described in the methods section. (A) Mannan was stained using ConA-Rh (red), while cell surface chitin was stained using WGA-FITC (green). (B) β-glucan levels were detected using TRITC-conjugated anti-human IgG Fc goat IgG (red). (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)
2006). We therefore assessed the impact of OCH1 disruption in C. dubliniensis, C. glabrata, and S. cerevisiae on the ability to stimulate cytokine production by human monocytes. TNFα stimulation was significantly lower upon co-incubation of hPBMCs with heat-killed och1Δ mutants from all the analyzed yeast species (Fig. 4). The levels of IL-6, were also found to be significantly lower in all species (Fig. S3). We showed above that the och1Δ mutants from all the four fungal species resulted in higher β-glucan and chitin exposure (Fig. 2). We therefore tested the effect of the four och1 mutants on immune recognition via dectin-1. TNFα or IL-6 levels were not affected significantly in the presence or absence of the dectin-1 blocker, glucan phosphate (Fig. 4), and thus dectin-1 did not have a major role in the recognition of fungal cells by hPBMCs. We also tested another N-glycosylation mutant from C. albicans, mnn2Δ6, that also had higher β-glucan exposure (Hall et al., 2013). This mutant also displayed reduced cytokine induction in hPBMCs, confirming the importance of N-mannan in immune recognition by human monocytes (Fig. 4 and Fig. S3). Chitin represents another important inner cell wall PAMP which has immunomodulatory effects (Elieh Ali Komi et al., 2018) and has been shown to induce the anti-inflammatory cytokine IL-10 (Wagener et al., 2014). To analyze the impact of exposed chitin in och1Δ mutants on IL-10 secretion by hPBMC’s, the same samples were also analyzed for IL-10 levels. Even though chitin levels were enhanced in och1Δ mutants of all the four fungal species, IL-10 levels were found to be reduced upon challenge with och1Δ mutants (data not shown), as for TNFα and IL-6, indicating a general reduced cytokine induction response by och1Δ mutants in hPBMCs. Therefore, even when the outer ‘masking’ cell wall layer is absent, and the underlying cell wall layers are exposed, human PBMCs recognition of the cell wall was strongly dependent on N-linked mannan.

3.5. Macrophages primarily recognize fungal β-glucan

Next, we assessed the ability of the och1Δ mutants from the four fungal species to stimulate TNFα production in mouse macrophage cell line RAW264.7. We compared the cytokine induction by heat-killed wild type, och1Δ mutants and OCH1 re-integrator controls for these four fungal species. Starkly contrasting with the results obtained with hPBMCs, the heat-killed och1Δ null mutants from all four fungal species stimulated significantly more TNFα production in RAW macrophages than did the wild type and OCH1 re-integrator cells (Fig. 5). Also in contrast with the data using monocytes, TNFα induction in glucan phosphate- treated RAW macrophages was significantly reduced upon challenge with all fungal strains (as compared to the controls without

Fig. 3. Cell wall composition analysis by HPLC: The acid hydrolysed cell walls of the strains were analyzed by HPLC to quantify glucosamine, glucose and mannose indicative of chitin, β-glucan and mannan contents respectively. Independent biological replicates were carried out in duplicate. Data represent means ± SD. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.005.
inhibitor) indicating that recognition was dectin-1 dependent (Fig. 5). Interestingly, TNFα induction triggered by the och1 mutants in the presence of the dectin-1 blocking agent, although reduced, remained high compared to wild type and re-integrant control strains under the same conditions, suggesting other PRRs were also involved in cytokine stimulation.

These data suggest that the absence of N-mannosylation leads to a better recognition of fungal cells by RAW264.7 macrophages, due to unmasking of β-glucan in the inner cell wall layer. A similar increase in TNFα production by och1 mutants from C. albicans and S. cerevisiae was observed in human monocyte derived macrophages (Fig. S4A) and in the J774.1 murine macrophage cell line (Fig. S4B). The above data underline significant differences at interaction level of PAMPs with PRRs in macrophages and monocytes.

4. Discussion

The cell wall components play a central role in fungal sensing by the innate immune system (Erwig and Gow, 2016; Netea et al., 2015). The fungal kingdom displays large heterogeneity and diversity in cell wall structures but most walls consist of identifiable outer and inner cell wall layers (Erwig and Gow, 2016; Garcia-Rubio et al., 2019). β-(1,3)-glucan is a conserved component of most fungal walls and is a structural polymer residing predominately in the inner cell wall layer. β-(1,3)-glucan is a strong immune agonist but it is normally covered by outer wall components and therefore is not immediately accessible to its cognate PRR dectin-1. Therefore components of the outer cell wall have been considered as an impediment to host immune recognition by shielding or masking for the inner cell wall β-(1,3)-glucan. An example is the shielding effect of outer cell wall α-(1,3)-glucan of inner cell wall β-(1,3)-glucan in H. capsulatum (Rappleye et al., 2007; Rappleye and Goldman, 2008). In Candida species the fibrillar outer cell wall mannan layer that represents 30–40% of the wall mass represents 30–40% of the wall mass has also been proposed to have this β-(1,3)-glucan shielding function (Bain et al., 2014; Ballou et al., 2016; Pradhan et al., 2019, 2018; Wheeler et al., 2008; Wheeler and Fink, 2006). Although cell wall mannan undoubtedly covers and obscures the inner cell wall PAMPs, β-(1,3)-glucan and chitin, multiple PRRs are potentially involved in mannan recognition including...
mannose receptor (MR), dectin-2, dectin-3, mincle, DC-SIGN, galectin-3, FcγR, CD14, CD23, TLR2, TLR4 and TLR6 (Erwig and Gow, 2016; Vendele et al., 2020) and simultaneous recognition of β-(1,3)-glucan and mannans can result in co-receptor amplification of immune responses (Dennehy et al., 2008). Therefore the superficial mannan layer has the potential to trigger immunity and amplify the inflammatory response as β-(1,3)-glucan recognition also becomes engaged.

N-mannan is the major cell wall protein post-translational modification of outer cell wall proteins and is a significant fraction of the total mass of the Candida cell wall. In the elaboration of N-mannan, Och1 is a conserved α-(1,6)-mannosyltransferase, that catalyses the addition of first α-(1,6)-mannose residue to the conserved core triantennary N-glycan structure (Hall and Gow, 2013). Mutants of Och1 display a severely truncated N-glycan that results in exposure of the inner cell wall layers. C. albicans och1Δ null mutant only stimulated about 20% of the cytokine levels in hPBMCs compared to the wild type control cells, stressing the importance of N-linked mannans for C. albicans immune recognition (Netea et al., 2006). In contrast, the S. cerevisiae och1Δ null mutant was reported to elicit higher levels of TNFα production in RAW264.7 macrophages than the wild type control (Wheeler and Fink, 2006). This marked difference in the phenotype of the och1 mutant in these yeasts could suggest that the mannan structures in S. cerevisiae are different from C. albicans. However, although there are differences in the cell walls of these two yeasts, we show here that the more likely explanation in this disparity lies in the immune cell deployed in these reports, and not the fungus. We demonstrate that although there are cell wall architectural differences between different yeast species such as wall porosity that could impact the immune response elicited, the apparent discrepancy can be explained by the fact that RAW264.7 macrophages predominantly recognize β-1,3-glucan and not N-mannan, whereas cytokine induction by hPBMCs is dependent on N-linked mannans. This observation was further supported by extending the analysis to two other Candida species – C. glabrata and C. dubliniensis (Butler et al., 2009; Jackson et al., 2009). For all four fungi, TNFα induction was reduced in the och1 mutant when using human monocytes but was increased when using RAW and other macrophage cell types.

Human PBMCs express most of the well characterised PRRs involved in fungal sensing, including dectin-1, TLR2, TLR4, and mannose receptor (Ferwerda et al., 2008; Netea et al., 2008; van de Veerdonk et al.,

![Fig. 5. TNFα stimulation by och1Δ mutants using the murine macrophage cell line RAW264.7: 2*10⁵ RAW macrophages and 2*10⁵ heat-killed yeast cells were co-incubated for 24 h at 37 °C, and TNFα concentration was determined by ELISA. For blocking dectin-1, RAW macrophages were pre-treated with 10.0 µg glucan phosphate for 2 h, before challenge with fungal cells. The experiment had four biological replicates. Data represent means ± SD. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.005, ***P < 0.001.](image-url)
N-contrast to RAW264.7 and other primary macrophages that primarily expression compared to monocytes (Heng et al., 2008). Dectin-2 mutants could be responsible for higher cytokine production in macrophages. Dectin-2 receptors could also contribute to an augmented cytokine response to exposed in the outer cell wall mannan of the major β-glucan receptor, dectin-1. In a previous study, macrophage migration had been shown to be enhanced towards C. albicans glycosylation mutants with exposed β-glucan, again suggesting better recognition of these mutants by macrophages (Lewis et al., 2012).

Inflammatory monocytes have been shown to have an essential and protective role in the first 48 h post fungal infection (Ngo et al., 2014). While in circulation, monocytes recognize fungi using a combination of TLRs and CTLs. Upon differentiation into macrophages, while expression of TLRs is maintained, there is an upregulation in the expression of CTLs (Neta et al., 2008). Thus, these results highlight the role of monocytes during the early fungal infection stage, when β-glucan is masked by the outer mannann layer (Wheeler et al., 2008). As the infection progresses, β-glucan is increasingly exposed (Hopke et al., 2018) and thus the dectin-1 mediated recognition of fungal cells by macrophages becomes increasingly relevant and important.

Several studies have demonstrated the cross-talk between the CLR and TLRs and have shown that PRRs work co-operatively and synergistically in PAMP recognition. Dectin-1 collaboration with TLR2 and TLR4 upregulates cytokine production upon stimulation (Dennehy et al., 2008; Ferwerda et al., 2008; Gantner et al., 2003). Dectin-1 has also been shown to operate in conjunction with galectin-3 and this interaction has been shown to increase TNFα production by macrophages in response to β-(1,3)-glucan (Esteban et al., 2011).

Cooperative interactions of PRRs have been shown in multiple contexts. For example, cytokine production by human monocytes and macrophages was shown to be dependent on both N- and O-linked mannans (mediated by MR and TLR4, respectively), and was reduced when the fungal cells had either N- or O-mannosylation defect (Neta et al., 2006). Dectin-2 forms heterodimers with dectin-3 and these heterodimers were more potent stimulators of cytokine production than the homodimers (Zhu et al., 2013). Galectin-3 association with TLR2 is an early event in the differentiation into macrophages, while expression of different PRRs in various macrophage and monocyte populations using Immunological Genome Project (Heng et al., 2008) showed that macrophage populations display a higher expression of dectin-1 and TLR-4 as compared to the monocytes. Hence a synergistic response from dectin-1 recognition of exposed β-(1,3)-glucan and TLR-4 recognition of O-mannan in och1Δ mutants could be responsible for higher cytokine production in macrophages. The macrophages also present a higher dectin-2 and dectin-3 expression compared to monocytes (Heng et al., 2008). Dectin-2 recognizes the core mannann structure (Vendele et al., 2020), which is exposed in och1Δ mutants, and hence a cross-talk between these two receptors could also contribute to an augmented cytokine response to och1Δ mutants.

To conclude, we observe that monocytes recognize fungal cells predominantly through N-mannan of the outer Candida cell wall, in contrast to RAW264.7 and other primary macrophages that primarily recognize β-(1,3)-glucan in the inner cell wall layer. These observations reconcile apparent contradictions in the literature about the importance of N-mannan which serves both as a shield of β-(1,3)-glucan recognition and an activator of a range of mannann detecting PRRs depending on the type of immune cell being tested. This highlights the importance of the type of immune cells chosen while studying fungal immune responses in general.
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