Understanding the remarkable difference in liquid crystal behaviour between secondary and tertiary amides: the synthesis and characterisation of new benzanilide-based liquid crystal dimers†
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A number of liquid crystal dimers have been synthesised and characterised containing secondary or tertiary \((N\text{-methyl})\) benzanilide-based mesogenic groups. The secondary amides all form nematic phases, and we present the first example of an amide to show the twist-bend nematic \((N_{TB})\) phase. Only two of the corresponding \(N\)-methylated dimers formed a nematic phase and with greatly reduced nematic–isotropic transition temperatures. Characterisation using 2D ROESY NMR experiments, DFT geometry optimisation and X-ray diffraction reveal that there is a change in the preferred conformation of the benzanilide core on methylation, from \(Z\) to \(E\). The rotational barrier around the \(N\text{--C}(\text{O})\) bond has been measured using variable temperature \(^1\text{H}\) NMR spectroscopy. This dramatic change in shape accounts for the remarkable difference in liquid crystalline behaviour between these secondary and tertiary amide-based materials.

Introduction

The great majority of low molar mass liquid crystals consist of molecules containing a single semi-rigid, mesogenic core attached to which are one or two alkyl chains. In essence, the interactions between the mesogenic units promote liquid crystal behaviour whereas the role of the chains is to reduce the melting point, so making the liquid crystal behaviour accessible. The mesogenic unit normally consists of phenyl rings connected through short unsaturated linkages, often ester or imine groups. By comparison, the amide group is relatively uncommon in low molar mass liquid crystals (LCs) although it is found in technologically important liquid crystal polymers including, most notably, Kevlar. In this paper we address the fundamental question, why is the amide group not used more widely in the design of mesogenic units found in low molar mass liquid crystals?

Amides are classified as primary \((1')\), secondary \((2')\), or tertiary \((3')\), depending on the nature of the \(N\)-substituents, as shown in Fig. 1. Our focus is the use of the amide link in constructing the mesogenic unit and hence, the discussion is restricted to \(2'\) and \(3'\) amides. Early work recognised that the inclusion of amide groups has a detrimental effect on liquid crystallinity. It was found, however, that \(2'\) amides could form liquid crystal phases, although these materials showed high melting points which were attributed to the presence of strong hydrogen bonding. Careful molecular design using a selection of suitable lateral substituents and terminal groups attached to the mesogenic units allowed the melting point to be manipulated and a range of calamitic and bent-core liquid crystalline materials based around secondary amide groups have been reported. These systems tend to predominantly form smectic phases, again attributed to intermolecular hydrogen bonding between the amide groups. Indeed, the use of amide groups in structures such as benzene tricarboxamides (BTA), hydrazine derivatives, or peptide residues promotes columnar liquid crystal phases in which hydrogen bonding stabilises the columns.

In terms of molecular design, it would appear desirable to eliminate the strong hydrogen bonding between the amide groups, with a view to reducing melting temperatures and to promote nematic behaviour. This may be achieved by replacing the hydrogen atom on the nitrogen with a methyl group, giving a tertiary amide (Fig. 1). Surprisingly, there have been very few examples of liquid crystals containing tertiary amides. Examples of these include discotic liquid crystals with amide groups incorporated into a fused ring structure and mesogens featuring an \(N,N\text{-dialkyl} amide terminal group, as well as the use of \(N\text{-methyl} amides as linking groups to attach a chiral aliphatic tail to an
Aromatic core. 36,37 Critically, we are not aware of any reports of tertiary amides being used in the construction of calamitic or bent core mesogenic units. Conventional wisdom attributes this surprising observation to the loss of hydrogen bonding between the molecules. 14 This intuitively pleasing assumption appears not to have been questioned. Tertiary benzanilides are known, however, to show a marked preference for adopting the E conformation 38–41 although this does not appear to have been taken into consideration when considering the absence of liquid crystalline behaviour.

In this work we investigate the difference in phase behaviour between liquid crystal dimers containing 2' and 3' benzanilide-based mesogenic units to establish the effect of N-alkylation on liquid crystalline behaviour. A liquid crystal dimer is a molecule containing two mesogenic units attached by a flexible spacer. 42,43 In a symmetric dimer, the two mesogenic units are the same whereas they are different in a non-symmetric dimer. The advantage of using this molecular architecture to address our central question is that we know that moving from 2' to 3' amides we will see a marked reduction in the stability of the liquid crystal phase, but this may be counteracted in the dimer by careful design of the second mesogenic unit and by varying the length of the spacer. Furthermore, if an odd-membered spacer is chosen, these dimers, on average, will have a bent molecular shape and the potential to exhibit the highly topical twist-bend nematic, N TB, phase. 42,44–47 In the N TB phase the director forms a conical helix and is tilted with respect to the helical axis. This chiral structure forms spontaneously and so the induced twist may be either left- or right-handed and equal amounts of both types of helix are expected. This chirality is observed even though the constituent molecules are achiral. The double degeneracy may be removed by the inclusion of intrinsic molecular chirality and the chiral twist-bend nematic phase is observed. 48 The general structure of the non-symmetric dimers reported here is shown in Table 1. Each contain an odd-membered spacer linked to one mesogenic unit via an ether link and to the other by a methylene group. This ensures the necessary molecular curvature to potentially exhibit the N TB phase. 49–52 The structural changes shown in Table 1 are intended to change the shape anisotropy of the molecule and allow the transition temperatures to be manipulated. The role of the lateral methyl group is to disrupt, at least to some extent, lateral interactions in an attempt to reduce the melting temperature. The key question to address is how does N-methylation change the shapes of these molecules, and specifically, in terms of the conformation of the amide? This has been studied using a combination of 2D NOESY and ROESY NMR experiments; single crystal X-ray diffraction; and DFT geometry optimisation. We also investigate the effect of N-methylation on the rotational barrier around the N-C(O) bond using variable temperature 1H NMR spectroscopy.

**Experimental**

Schemes 1–4 provide an overview of the synthetic routes used to prepare the benzanilide-based dimers (Fig. 2). The syntheses were carried out according to literature methods, and full experimental details and structural characterisation data are given in the ESL.

**Thermal behaviour**

The transitional behaviour of the materials was studied using differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) with a Mettler Toledo DSC3 differential scanning calorimeter equipped with a TSO 801RO sample robot and calibrated with indium and zinc standards. The heating and cooling rates were 10 °C min –1 and the transition temperatures and their associated enthalpy changes were extracted from heating traces unless otherwise noted.

Polarised optical microscopy (POM) was used to identify the liquid crystal phases observed using an Olympus BH2 polarising optical microscope equipped with a Linkam TMS 92 hot stage. The samples were prepared using untreated glass slides.

**Rotational barriers**

Measurement of rotational energy barriers were carried out using variable temperature 1H NMR spectroscopy on a Bruker Ascend 600 MHz spectrometer with a cryoprobe and VT unit. Lineshape analysis was performed using the DNMR module in the Bruker TOPSPIN package.

**X-ray crystallography**

Single crystals of compounds 4b and 5b in the forms of colourless blocks were grown from a 2:1 solution of toluene and chloroform, and intensity data were collected on a Rigaku AFC11 CCD diffractometer (Cu Kα radiation, λ = 1.54184 Å) at 100 K. The structures were solved by ‘dual space’ methods using SHELXT and the structural models were completed and optimised by refinement against |F| 2 with SHELXL-2014.54 The benzene rings were modelled as rigid hexagons (C–C = 1.39 Å)
and the H atoms were placed geometrically (C–H = 0.95–0.98 Å) and refined as riding atoms. The structure of 4b refined well but the data and refinement quality for 5b are very poor with unresolvable disorder in the decyl chains possibly indicative of an unmodelled supercell and only qualitative conclusions may be drawn for the latter structure. Crystal data for 4b: C_{47}H_{41}N_{3}O_{2}, M_r = 679.83, monoclinic, space group Cc (No. 9), a = 31.5211 (4), b = 14.7867 (2), c = 33.4555 (5) Å, \( \beta = 112.551^\circ \), \( V = 14401.1 (4) \) Å\(^3\), \( Z = 16 \), \( R(F) = 0.078 \) [22766 reflections with \( I > 2\sigma(I) \)], \( wR(F^2) = 0.222 \) (26665 reflections), CCDC deposition number = 2016868.†

Crystal data for 5b: C_{51}H_{49}N_{3}O_{2}, M_r = 735.93, monoclinic, space group Pn (No. 7), a = 21.61054 (14), b = 13.81870 (7), c = 28.98487 (19) Å, \( \beta = 110.2699 (7)^\circ \), \( V = 8119.69 (9) \) Å\(^3\), \( Z = 8 \), \( R(F) = 0.186 \) [24423 reflections with \( I > 2\sigma(I) \)], \( wR(F^2) = 0.455 \) (26446 reflections), CCDC deposition number = 2016869.†

Geometry optimisations

Geometry optimisation was carried out using DFT calculations at the B3LYP-6-31G(d) level of theory with Gaussian09 software. Spatially filled models were generated from the optimised geometries using the QuteMol package. Although ether-linked spacers have been found to have a gauche linkage in their lowest energy state, the energy difference between this and the all-trans conformation is small (ca. 1 kJ mol\(^{-1}\)) and it is expected that the greater linearity of the all-trans state will be more favourable.

Scheme 1 Synthesis of compounds 1a, 1b, and 3b.
within a liquid crystalline phase. Based on this, the geometry optimisations were carried out with the central spacer in the all-trans conformation. This assumption is in accord with similar studies.
Results and discussion

Phase behaviour

The first set of dimers to be discussed contain two biphenyl units joined by a hexyloxy spacer and are divided into three groups based on their terminal groups. For each the N-H and N-methyl versions are reported. Structures 1a and 1b have bromobiphenyl units at each end, 2a and 2b have one bromobiphenyl and one cyanobiphenyl unit, and 3a and 3b have two cyanobiphenyl units. The transitional properties of this group of dimers are listed in Table 2. The three secondary amides (1a, 2a and 3a) display a conventional nematic, N, phase. Throughout this study nematic phases have been assigned on the basis of the observation of a schlieren optical texture containing two- and four-brush defects and which flashes when subjected to mechanical stress. A representative texture is given in Fig. 2. The scaled nematic–isotropic entropy changes are consistent with this assignment.56,59

The methylation of the amide nitrogen to form 3\(^\text{1}\) amides in all three cases led to a loss of liquid crystalline behaviour. All three 3\(^\text{1}\) benzanilides melted directly into the isotropic phase on heating and formed a glass on cooling (\(T_g\) 59 °C, 69 °C and 51 °C for compounds 1b, 2b, and 3b, respectively). This represents a reduction in the value of \(T_{NI}\) by at least 61 °C for 1b and 2b compared to the corresponding 2\(^\text{1}\) benzanilides, and of 101 °C for 3b. These reductions appear too large to be associated with the anticipated change in molecular shape associated with the N-methyl group.60

Scheme 4 Synthesis of compounds 6a and 6b.
was anticipated to suppress crystallisation possibly revealing N was not sufficient to promote liquid crystalline behaviour in the extra phenyl ring increased T compared to the corresponding 1 transition to the twist-bend nematic phase was seen at 130 compared to the corresponding 2 1 transition to the twist-bend nematic phase was seen at 130°C. This was most clearly observed for isolated droplets, for which the schlieren texture developed a blocky appearance (Fig. 3).

As a result of the dramatic loss of liquid crystallinity on N-methylation seen for the compounds listed in Table 2, changes to the basic dimer structure were made with the aim of increasing the potential transition temperatures to offset the effect of introducing the N-methyl group. Firstly, one of the cyanobiphenyl groups was replaced with a cyano-terphenyl unit to give 4a, which in a conventional low molar mass liquid crystal can typically increase TNI by around 150°C.61 The inclusion of the extra phenyl ring increased TNI (Table 3) of 4a by 94°C compared to that of 3a. In addition, on cooling the N phase of 4a, a transition to the twist-bend nematic phase was seen at 130°C. This was most clearly observed for isolated droplets, for which the schlieren texture developed a blocky appearance (Fig. 3).

However, the N-methylated material 4b again did not show any mesogenic behaviour. The material melted directly into the isotropic phase at 145°C on the initial heat. On cooling, the sample formed a glass at 57°C which indicates a reduction in the nematic–isotropic transition temperature of at least 261°C compared to the corresponding 2° benzanilide.

Given the change from a biphenyl to terphenyl end group was not sufficient to promote liquid crystalline behaviour in the N-methylated dimer 4b, the length of the central spacer was increased to ten carbon atoms. The increase in the chain length was anticipated to suppress crystallisation possibly revealing liquid crystalline behaviour. The non-methylated material 5a again showed a nematic phase, with a schlieren texture containing 2- and 4-point brush defects, and in addition a twist-bend nematic phase on cooling, for which the schlieren texture changed to include rope-like defects (Fig. 4). The value of TNI for 5a (Table 4) is slightly lower than that of compound 4a, and this may be attributed to the dilution of the mesogenic units arising from increasing the spacer length. The value of TNI for 5a is also slightly lower than that seen for 4a, which may be attributed to an increased molecular flexibility which reduces molecular curvature.56 Of particular significance is the effect molecular flexibility has on the tendency to crystallise; thus, 5a can be cooled to 101°C prior to crystallisation, whereas 4a crystallises at 208°C. The very extensive supercooling of the isotropic phase of 5b is now sufficiently large to reveal a nematic phase which crystallised at 81°C. The entropy change associated with the nematic-isotropic transition (Table 3) is very small and we will return to this observation later. The reduction in TNI on methylating 5a to give 5b is 219°C.

In an attempt to increase TNI for the 3° amide 5b the remaining cyanobiphenyl unit was replaced with a second cyano-terphenyl fragment. The corresponding 2° amide 6a melts into the nematic...
N at 179 °C the molecular configuration about the nitrogen changes when a crystalline behaviour (Tables 2–5). The reduction observed in inclusion of an additional phenyl ring (Table 5).

phase at 186 °C and clears at 388 °C (Table 5). The inclusion of the second cyanoterphenyl group promotes crystallisation and precludes the observation of the twist-bend nematic phase.62 The N-methylated dimer 6b melted directly into the isotropic phase at 179 °C, and on cooling formed a nematic phase at 161 °C (Fig. 5), and vitrified at 44 °C (Table 5). On reheating, a glass transition occurred at 47 °C, followed by cold crystallisation at 88 °C, a crystal-crystal transition at 103 °C, and the sample melted into the isotropic phase at 177 °C. The reduction in $T_{NI}$ on methylaing 6a to give 6b was 244 °C. The value of $T_{NI}$ of 6b was 77 °C higher than that of 5b, which is consistent with the inclusion of an additional phenyl ring (Table 5).

It is very clear that the structural change from a 2° to a 3° amide is associated with very dramatic changes in liquid crystalline behaviour (Tables 2–5). The reduction observed in $T_{NI}$ cannot be accounted for simply in terms of the addition of a lateral methyl group. In itself this is not a sufficiently large difference in shape to account for such dramatic decreases in $T_{NI}$, see Fig. 6. As noted earlier, conventional wisdom accounts for the change in terms of the loss of hydrogen bonding. This seems unlikely, however, because if compared to similar ester-, and imine-based compounds for which hydrogen bonding is not an issue, the transition temperatures are much lower than would be expected.

In an attempt to understand this remarkable difference in behaviour between the 2° and 3° amides we need to establish if the molecular configuration about the nitrogen changes when a methyl group is added. The central C–N bond of an amide group has partial double bond character as a result of delocalisation of the lone pair from the nitrogen, and this leads to the possibility of $E$ and $Z$ isomers, see Fig. 7. For most amides, the $Z$ isomer is lower in energy and hence is the preferred conformer.

To determine the amide conformations adopted by the 2° and 3° amides reported here, 2D NOESY and ROESY NMR spectra were collected for each compound. Unlike most NMR experiments, these experiments show interactions that occur between atoms that are close together in space, rather than connected by chemical bonds. The spectra of all the 2° amides should show one set of correlations, see Fig. 8(A), whereas the spectra of the 3° amides should show a different set of signals, see Fig. 8(B). The ROESY spectra of the 3° amide compounds, on the other hand, show a different set of interactions. The N-methyl has ROE cross-peaks with the aryl methyl, as well as the aromatic proton adjacent to the carbonyl group. This cross-peak would be expected for the $E$-conformer. Based on these interactions, the 2° amides are assigned as being in the $Z$ conformation.

The ROESY spectra of the 3° amide compounds, on the other hand, show a different set of interactions. The N-methyl has ROE cross-peaks with the aryl methyl, as well as the aromatic proton adjacent to the carbonyl group. This cross-peak would be expected for the $E$-conformer. Based on these interactions, the 2° amides are assigned as being in the $Z$ conformation.

This difference in the preferred conformation between the 2° and 3° amides is also predicted by quantum mechanical DFT calculations. Geometry optimisations of compound 4a in the $E$- and $Z$-forms suggest that the $Z$ conformer is 15 kJ mol$^{-1}$ lower in energy than the $E$ conformer, as would be expected. For 4b, however, the $E$-isomer is 9.8 kJ mol$^{-1}$ lower in energy than the $Z$-isomer. This view is consistent with the extremely small value of $\Delta S_{NI}/R$ seen for 5b (Table 4). The kinked amide fragment increases the molecular biaxiality which reduces the change in orientational order at the nematic–isotropic transition (Fig. 11)\textsuperscript{63,64}

This preference for the $E$-isomer is found for all the 3° amides. This view was confirmed using X-ray diffraction. The crystal
structures of compounds 4b and 5b show that the amide group is entirely present as the E-conformer in the solid state. There are four unique C47H41N3O2 molecules in the asymmetric unit of 4b, with an example molecule illustrated in Fig. 12a, which shows its overall kinked shape, with the main twist occurring in the vicinity of the amide link. The O1a-C13a-N1a-C14a amide torsion angle of 0.3(9)° shows that these atoms are statistically coplanar in a cis conformation. The optimised E-amide geometry of compound 4b.
conformation and the N1a–C15a–C16a–C21a torsion angle is $11.6(6)^\circ$. The hexyl chain adopts an extended (all anti) conformation and the dihedral angles subtended by the adjacent benzene rings C1a/C7a, C28a/C34a and C34a/C40a are 32.2(3), 23.6(3) and 18.2(2)$^\circ$, respectively. The four asymmetric molecules have very similar conformations for their cyanobiphenyl ends, but their conformations increasingly diverge along the hexyl chains and the terphenyl units (Fig. 12b) as shown in an overlay plot generated with QMOL.\textsuperscript{65} Crystal symmetry in space group $Cc$ generates a 50 : 50 mix of mirror images of each asymmetric molecule (Fig. 12c), where it may be seen that the C21 pendant methyl group of the benzene ring adjacent to the amide link lies to both the left- and right-hand sides of the molecules. When viewed down the [100] direction, the packing for 4b could be described as ‘wave-like’ (Fig. 12d).

The asymmetric unit of 5b also contains four unique C$_{51}$H$_{49}$N$_3$O$_2$ molecules, which adopt similar but not identical conformations (Fig. 13), which may be described as L-shaped: again the cyanobiphenyl ends overlap well but there is increasing divergence along the decyl chains with some of the C–C–C–C links in these chains adopting gauche conformations. As with 4b, crystallographic symmetry (an $n$-glide in this case) generates equal numbers of mirror images in the crystal. The packing for 5b (Fig. 13) has a ‘grid-like’ appearance when viewed down [101].

Although the 3$^1$ materials preferentially adopt the E-conformation in the solid state and in solution, the $^1$H NMR spectra show an additional set of minor peaks which indicates the presence of a small amount of the Z-amide conformer. The ratio of major: minor peaks is dependent on the NMR solvent. To determine the rotational barrier around the N–C(O) bond, the VT NMR experiment was repeated in deuterated DMF from 10–55 $^\circ$C, using compound 5b as a representative example, and the spectra are shown in Fig. 14.

Lineshape analysis was carried out using the DNMR option within TOPSPIN software to determine the rotational constant $k_{rot}$ at each temperature, and the free energy of rotation was determined using the Eyring equation.\textsuperscript{66} The rotational barrier was found to be 71.4 ± 1.0 kJ mol$^{-1}$. This is consistent with reported amide rotational barriers, which tend to fall between 63–84 kJ mol$^{-1}$, and the error is in line with similar work.\textsuperscript{67}

**Conclusions**

We have shown that there is a marked preference for the E conformer in 3$^1$ benzanilide dimers and this has been reported in the literature but not for liquid crystalline materials. The dramatic change in shape on methylation of the corresponding
2'-amide readily accounts for the dramatic difference seen in the liquid crystalline behaviour of the 2'- and 3'-dimers. Although intuitively pleasing, the disruption in hydrogen bonding need not be invoked to explain the thermal behaviour of these amides, although we do not exclude the possibility that it has some role to play in the reduction seen in T_{NI}. Future attempts to incorporate benzanilides into new mesogenic moieties will require the structures to be designed based on the E-amide conformation.
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