

1 **A systematic analysis and review of the impacts of afforestation on soil quality indicators as**
2 **modified by climate zone, forest type and age**

3 Yang Guo^{a*}; Mohamed Abdalla^a; Mikk Espenberg^b; Astley Hastings^a; Paul Hallett^a; Pete
4 Smith^a

5 ^a *School of Biological Sciences, University of Aberdeen, Aberdeen, UK*

6 ^b *Institute of Ecology and Earth Sciences, University of Tartu, Tartu, Estonia*

7 * Corresponding author. E-mail address: r01yg17@abdn.ac.uk (Yang Guo)

8
9 **Abstract**

10 This global systematic analysis and review investigate the impacts of previous land use system, climate
11 zone, forest type and forest age on soil organic carbon (SOC), total nitrogen (TN), total phosphorus (TP)
12 stock, soil bulk density (BD) and pH at soil layers 0-20, 20-60 and 60-100 cm, following afforestation.
13 Data came from 91 publications on SOC, TN and TP stock changes, covering different countries and
14 climate zones. Overall, afforestation significantly increased SOC by 46%, 52% and 20 % at 0-20, 20-60
15 and 60-100 cm depths, respectively. It also significantly increased shallower TN stocks by 28% and
16 22% at 0-20 and 20-60 cm depths, respectively, but had no overall impacts on TP. Previous land use
17 system had the largest influence on SOC, TN and TP stock changes, with greater accumulations on
18 barren land compared to cropland and grassland. Climate zone influenced SOC, TN and TP stock
19 changes, with greater accumulations for moist cool than other climate zones. Broadleaf forests were
20 better than coniferous forests for increasing SOC, TN and TP stocks of the investigated soil profile (0-
21 100 cm). Afforestation for <20 years accumulated SOC and TN stocks only at the soil surface (0-20 cm),
22 whilst afforestation for >20 years accumulated SOC and TN stocks to 100 cm soil depth. Changes to
23 SOC and TN stocks were positively correlated at depths down to 100 cm under all forest age
24 groups, demonstrating that an increase TN could offset progressive N limitation, and
25 maintains SOC accumulation as forests age. TP stock decreased significantly in topsoil (0-20

26 cm) for <20-year-old forest and did not change for >20-year-old forest, suggesting that it may
27 become a limiting factor for carbon sequestration as forests age. Following afforestation, soil
28 BD decreased alongside significant increases in SOC and TN stocks to 100 cm depth, but had
29 no relationship with TP.

30

31 **Keywords:** Land use change, Climate, Soil organic carbon, Afforestation, Soil nitrogen, Soil
32 phosphorus

33

34 **1. Introduction**

35 Land-use change is one of the major driving forces behind changes in soil organic carbon (SOC), so
36 it could contribute to potential strategies for mitigating the consequences of climate change (Guo and
37 Gifford, 2002; Hooker and Compton, 2003). Afforestation on non-forest lands has been suggested as a
38 mitigation strategy, but its potential is uncertain due to poor predictions and data availability. Better
39 assessments of SOC changes following afforestation could reduce the considerable uncertainty in
40 estimating carbon sequestration and emissions in terrestrial ecosystems and provide empirical
41 evidence for the development of climate change mitigation strategies to be used in forest
42 management policy making. However, previous published regional/global assessment reviews
43 (Berthrong et al., 2009; Bárcena et al., 2014; Shi et al., 2016; Liu et al., 2018) have mainly focused on
44 soil depths limited to less than 30 cm. An increasing number of field studies suggest that SOC contents
45 in the deeper soil profile (i.e. below 30 cm soil depth) are more sensitive to land use change compared to
46 those near to the soil surface (Chang et al., 2012; Shi et al., 2013; Deng et al., 2014). Leaving out deep
47 soil layers in predictions of SOC storage following afforestation represents a significant
48 knowledge gap (Don et al., 2011), which could be addressed by a systematic analysis and review
49 of available global data.

50 Other factors that have notable impacts on the dynamics of SOC stock and thereby, on its direction
51 and magnitude following afforestation, are climate zone (e.g. tropical moist zone or tropical dry zone or
52 cool temperature zone), forest type (e.g. broadleaf or coniferous tree), forest age and soil physical
53 properties (e.g. soil bulk density and pH) (Berthrong et al., 2009; Laganiere et al., 2010; Shi et al., 2016).
54 However, the changes in SOC following afforestation have been found inconsistent under different
55 categories of each factor. For instance, Post & Kwon (2000) demonstrated that SOC in 0-50 cm soil
56 depth after cropland was converted into forest accumulated at annual rates of 1.7 Mg ha⁻¹ in subtropical
57 and 0.6 Mg ha⁻¹ in tropical climatic zones. The annual accumulation rate of SOC for the moist forest
58 was also very different compared to that for dry forest (Post & Kwon, 2000; Silver et al., 2000). In a
59 review, Deng et al. (2014) found that broadleaf forest (0.4 Mg ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹) had a greater potential capacity
60 to sequester carbon in soil than coniferous forest (0.0 Mg ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹). According to Poeplau et al. (2011)
61 and Bárcena et al. (2014), the magnitude of SOC changes following afforestation of different previous
62 land use systems in northern Europe were significantly lower for ≤30-year-old forest compared to
63 that >30-year-old forest. Bárcena et al. (2014) further reported that SOC stocks significantly decreased
64 following afforestation of cropland in the first 30 years, but significantly increased thereafter. In
65 Australia, the annual average rates of SOC accumulation at soil depth of 0-30 cm following afforestation
66 of cropland were 0.01 and 0.20 Mg ha⁻¹ for forest ages of 7 and 30 years, respectively (Paul et al., 2002).

67 Soil total nitrogen (TN) and total phosphorus (TP) are necessary macronutrients for plants so are
68 important for the growth of forest as they are both necessary macronutrients for plants (Li et al., 2015;
69 Deng et al., 2017). Additionally, they impact SOC sequestration by influencing the balance between
70 carbon inputs and outputs (Li et al., 2015; Shi et al., 2016). Previous studies demonstrated that
71 limitations in available soil TN and TP stocks can constrain the input rates of organic matter from net
72 primary productivity (Goll et al., 2012; Cleveland et al., 2013). Moreover, the availability of nitrogen
73 and phosphorus has a great impact on microbial processes in soils, and thereby influences the
74 turnover of soil carbon (He et al., 2008; Strickland et al., 2010). Therefore, it is necessary to

75 quantify soil nutrients (i.e. soil TN and TP) following the afforestation of previous land use
76 systems and explore the factors controlling their dynamics.

77 Understanding the impact of previous land use systems and other controlling factors on the
78 dynamics of SOC, TN, TP and other soil quality indicators is of great importance for forest management
79 and climate change mitigation (Jobbágy and Jackson, 2001; Shi et al., 2016). Although previous
80 synthetic reviews on this topic have been valuable, they were not able to fully address the dynamics of
81 SOC, TN and TP stocks at deeper soil layers (e.g. >30 cm), following afforestation. We addressed this
82 by conducting a global systematic analysis and review, focusing on the impacts of previous land use
83 system on the dynamics of SOC, TN and TP and other selected soil quality indicators (i.e. soil bulk
84 density and pH) at different soil layers between 0 and 100 cm, following afforestation. Likewise, we
85 examined the influences of climatic zone, forest type and forest age on these soil quality indicators,
86 following afforestation. We further explored the relationships between the changes in SOC, TN and TP
87 stocks in both topsoil and subsoil layers. The specific hypotheses we critically evaluated were as follows:
88 a) previous land use systems have significant impacts on SOC, TN and TP stocks, following
89 afforestation; b) changes in SOC, TN and TP stocks are significantly different between climate zones,
90 forest types and forest ages; c) changes in SOC relate significantly to changes in TN and TP stocks,
91 following afforestation.

92

93 **2. Materials and methods**

94 *2.1. Data collection*

95 To collect all possible published global studies that have investigated the impacts of previous land
96 use systems, climate zone, forest type and forest age on SOC, TN, TP stock changes and other selected
97 soil quality indicators (i.e. soil pH and bulk density) changes following afforestation, we conducted a
98 comprehensive search on the Web of Science database (accessed between 1st January 2000 and 1st
99 February 2020). We used the keywords: land use, climate, soil quality indicators, soil organic carbon,

100 afforestation, forest, nitrogen, phosphorus and soil properties. For the best possible coverage, we also
101 checked all references in the papers found in the Web of Science search. In order to reduce publication
102 bias, data were selected according to the following criteria: a) stocks or concentrations of SOC or TN or
103 TP must have been assessed for both of afforestation and control (previous land use) sites; b) the same
104 stratified method for soil sampling must have been applied for both of afforestation and control sites; c)
105 experiments were deployed using paired-sites, chronosequence or retrospective approach; and d) the
106 dominant forest age and/or type must have been given.

107 The data were extracted directly either from tables or from graphs (i.e. figures or charts) using the
108 GetData Graph Digitizer (version 2.26). We selected studies that measured SOC, TN and TP stocks at
109 various soil depths between 0 and 100 cm. To enhance comparability between the different studies, we
110 normalized soil depth to three soil layers (i.e. 0-20, 20-60 and 60-100 cm). There were many
111 classifications for soil depth distributions published in the literature that we could have used.
112 However, we have selected these three soil layers because they best reflect the soil depths in
113 our data and show the most common soil depth distributions in the literature. The collected
114 data included 70% studies (63 publications) with the depth down to 20cm, 40% (36 publications)
115 with the depth down to 60cm and 23% (22 publications) with the depth down to 100cm, with
116 some of the studies investigating more than one soil depth (Table S1). A similar standardization
117 method was also reported by Hou et al. (2019). Additionally, to decide on which soil depth
118 distribution was suitable for our study, we compared initial SOC in top soils and sub-soils for
119 two soil depth distributions (i.e., one soil distribution is 0-20, 20-60 and 60-100 cm, another
120 one is 0-30 and 30-100 cm) was carried out as shown in Fig. S1. The initial SOC data for the
121 two soil depth distributions, covering different previous land use systems and climate zones,
122 were statistically tested and compared. Similar trends of initial SOC, for the two soil depth
123 distributions, were found. This revealed that the two soil depth distributions were equally
124 good however, we decided to apply the 0-20, 20-60 and 60-100 cm soil depth distribution,

125 which has three layers, to explore the gradual changes in the nutrients from the topsoil to the
126 subsoil layers. We have also assumed that different maximum sampling depths did not affect
127 the SOC, TN and TP stock trends qualitatively, as the systematic analysis based on a relative
128 effect measure. We collected a total of 91 publications on SOC, TN and TP stock changes: 89 of
129 which reported SOC (417 pairwise samples), 70 of which reported TN (341 pairwise samples) and 36
130 of which reported TP (171 pairwise samples) (Fig. 1 and Table S1). In addition, we found 156 pairwise
131 samples from 34 publications that contained all of the three parameters (i.e. SOC, TN and TP stocks) at
132 the same sites; 250 pairwise samples from 55 publications that contained soil bulk density (BD); 113
133 pairwise samples from 32 publications that contained soil pH.

134

135 *2.2. Data classification*

136 To investigate the impacts of previous land use systems on SOC, TN and TP stocks, the data were
137 divided into three groups: afforestation on barren land (i.e. abandoned land, degraded land, sand dunes,
138 heath and bare fields), on cropland (i.e. maize, wheat and rice and others) and on grassland (i.e. pasture,
139 steppe and prairie). The data were also divided into four groups depending on the regional climate zones:
140 moist warm, moist cool, dry warm and dry cool (Fig. 1). These climatic zones were based on the
141 temperature and moisture conditions (cool, warm, dry and moist). The cool zone covers the temperate
142 (oceanic, subcontinental and continental) and boreal (oceanic, subcontinental and continental) regions,
143 whilst the warm zone covers the tropical (lower and highland) and subtropical (summer rainfall, winter
144 rainfall, and low rainfall) regions. The dry zone covers the area with ≤ 500 mm of annual precipitation,
145 while the moist zone covers the area with >500 mm of annual precipitation (Smith et al., 2007; Abdalla
146 et al., 2018). Likewise, to investigate the impacts of forest type, the data were segregated into three
147 groups: broadleaf deciduous forest (i.e. birch, aspen, oak, maple and elm), broadleaf evergreen forest (i.e.
148 eucalyptus and palm) and coniferous forest (i.e. pine, larch and spruce). We considered the default time

149 for SOC stock changes after land use changes as 20 year (IPCC, 2007; Don et al., 2011). Therefore, the
150 forests were divided depending on their age into three groups: <20 years, 20-50 years and >50 years.

151

152 2.3. Calculations of SOC, TN and TP values

153 In most of the studies SOC, TN and TP values were provided as stocks (Mg ha^{-1}), but in
154 some cases they were given as concentrations (g kg^{-1}). In order to convert these
155 concentrations to stocks, the following Equation (1) was used to calculate SOC, TN and TP
156 stock in soil layer (i):

157

$$158 \quad X_{\text{istocks}} = X_i \times D_i \times \text{BD}_i / 10 \quad (1)$$

159

160 Where X_{istocks} , is the stock value of SOC, TN or TP in Mg ha^{-1} . X_i is the concentration of SOC,
161 TN or TP measured in, g kg^{-1} soil; BD_i is soil bulk density (g cm^{-3}) and D_i is soil depth in cm.
162 For studies that only reported SOC, TN and TP contents but no BD values, an exponential
163 function between soil bulk density and SOC content was established based on the original
164 samples from 55 published papers (Fig. S2). The missing values of bulk density were
165 interpolated by the predicted values from the following exponential function (Kaur et al.,
166 2002):

167

$$168 \quad \text{BD}_i = 1.4162e^{-0.01X_i} \quad (R^2 = 0.39, p < 0.001) \quad (2)$$

169

170 The absolute change (ΔX ; Mg ha^{-1}) in SOC, TN and TP stocks in the 0-20, 20-60 and 60-
171 100 cm soil layers due to previous land use systems, following afforestation, were calculated by
172 Equation (3), where ΔX is the absolute change in SOC, TN and TP stocks; X_C is the previous
173 land use system and X_F is the forest system:

174

$$175 \quad \Delta X = X_F - X_C \quad (3)$$

176

177 To quantify the effects of climate zone, forest type and forest age, we compared the absolute change due
178 to previous land use system under different climate zones, forest types and forest ages.

179 The relative changes in SOC, TN or TP stocks in the 0-20, 20-60 and 60-100 cm soil layers
180 were calculated using Equations (4) and (5). Here, the relative change (Z_1 ; %) was calculated as
181 the absolute change in SOC or TN or TP stock (ΔX ; Mg ha^{-1}) following afforestation divided by the
182 initial SOC or TN or TP stocks (X_C ; Mg ha^{-1}); the response ratio (R) was defined as the natural
183 logarithm of the ratio of these parameters under forest divided by their values under the previous land
184 use system (Hedges et al., 1999).

185

$$186 \quad Z_1 = \left(\frac{\Delta X}{X_C} \right) \times 100\% \quad (4)$$

$$187 \quad \ln(R) = \ln \left(\frac{X_F}{X_C} \right) \quad (5)$$

188

189 A positive value of relative change means an increase in SOC or TN or TP stock following afforestation,
190 whilst a negative value means a decrease in the stocks of these parameters.

191

192 *2.4. Statistical analyses*

193 We used R version 3.6.2 (R Core Team, 2019) to explore, harmonise, analyse and visualise the
194 data. The distributions of SOC, TN and TP measurements were characterised using the “fitdistrplus”
195 package version 1.0-14 (Delignette-Muller and Dutang, 2015). The significance level (alpha) considered
196 for all the tests was 0.05. To investigate differences between the previous treatments and forest systems
197 on SOC (total 417 pairwise samples) or TN (total 341 pairwise samples) or TP (total 171 pairwise
198 samples) or soil BD (total 250 pairwise samples) or pH (total 113 pairwise samples) in the different soil

199 layers (0-20, 20-60 and 60-100 cm), we used the “glmer” method with random effect (different studies)
200 and Gamma (link “log”) or gaussian (link “log”) distribution (version 1.1-21) (Bates et al., 2015), while
201 p-values were calculated in order to confirm the significance of the relationships using the “lmerTest”
202 package version 3.1-1 (Kuznetsova et al., 2017). Linear models with logarithm transformed
203 response were used to test whether there was a significant difference in percentage changes of
204 SOC, TN and TP between land uses, climate zones, forest types and age in the 0-20, 20-60
205 and 60-100 cm soil layers. For the relationship between changes of soil pH and bulk density and
206 changes of SOC, TN and TP stock, we created interpolated contour plots using the package “akima”
207 version 0.6-2 (Akima et al., 2016). The changes of the soil pH or bulk density were as x-axis and y-axis,
208 and changes of SOC or TN or TP changes were as the z variable. A contour plot is a graphical technique
209 for representing a three-dimensional surface by plotting constant z slices on a two-dimensional format.
210 That is, given a value for z, lines are drawn for connecting the (x, y) coordinates where that z value
211 occurs. We performed linear regressions of different variables against SOC, TN and TP. For
212 exploring the fits of different models, inspection of residuals patterns for the entire model and posterior
213 predictive simulation were used as diagnostic tools (Gelman & Hill, 2006; Bates et al., 2015;
214 Harrison et al., 2018).

215 To quantify the importance of different parameters in determining the percentage
216 changes of SOC, TN and TP stocks following afforestation, we used the random forest analysis
217 by the cforest function with 1,000 trees from the “party” package version 1.3-3 (Strobl et al.,
218 2008). Then 100 separate conditional variable importance analyses were performed for each run; the
219 resulting mean decrease in accuracy values were averaged for each variable. Statistical significances for
220 each predictor through a permutation (999) process were estimated with package “rfPermute” version
221 2.1.7 (Archer, 2018) and these significance values were further corroborated by conducting a secondary
222 analysis using the “Boruta” package version 6.0.0 (Kursa and Rudnicki, 2010).

223

224 3. Results

225 3.1. Initial SOC stock

226 The initial SOC values in barren land for soil depth down to 100 cm (25.30, 18.82 and 5.62 Mg
227 ha⁻¹ at 0-20, 20-60 and 60-100 cm soil depth, respectively) and cropland for soil depth down to 60 cm
228 (25.28 and 23.37 Mg ha⁻¹ at 0-20 and 20-60 cm soil depth, respectively) were significantly less ($p<0.05$)
229 than that in grassland (42.92, 36.18 and 26.69 Mg ha⁻¹ at 0-20, 20-60 and 60-100 cm soil depth,
230 respectively) (Fig. S1a). There was no significant difference for initial SOC at 0-60 cm soil depth
231 between barren land and cropland, but for 60-100 cm, initial SOC stock was significantly lower in
232 barren land (5.62 Mg ha⁻¹) than cropland (19.09 Mg ha⁻¹) (Fig. S1a). The mean initial SOC value in
233 barren land significantly decreased ($p<0.05$) from 25.3 at 0-20 cm to 5.62 Mg ha⁻¹ at 60-100 cm soil
234 depth (Table S2). Those values in cropland and grassland decreased from 25.28 Mg ha⁻¹ and 42.92 Mg
235 ha⁻¹ at 0-20 cm to 19.09 Mg ha⁻¹ and 26.69 Mg ha⁻¹ at 60-100 cm soil depth, respectively (Table S2).

236 The initial SOC was significantly greater ($p<0.05$) in the moist warm climate zone (41.31, 49.46
237 and 39.66 Mg ha⁻¹ at 0-20, 20-60 and 60-100 cm soil depth, respectively) than that in the moist cool
238 zone (30.93, 16.07 and 12.38 Mg ha⁻¹ at 0-20, 20-60 and 60-100 cm soil depth, respectively), dry warm
239 (18.73 and 29.14 Mg ha⁻¹ at 0-20 and 20-60 cm soil depth, respectively) and dry cool climate zone
240 (33.26, 29.9 and 9.55 Mg ha⁻¹ at 0-20, 20-60 and 60-100 cm soil depth, respectively) at soil depth down
241 to 100 cm (Fig. S1b). For moist warm climate zone, there was no significant difference for initial SOC
242 among three soil layers (Table S2). By contrast, for the moist cool climate zone, the initial SOC value
243 significantly decreased ($p<0.001$) from 30.93 Mg ha⁻¹ at 0-20 cm to 12.38 Mg ha⁻¹ at 60-100 cm soil
244 depth. For the dry cool climate zone, the initial SOC significantly decreased ($p<0.05$) from 33.26 Mg
245 ha⁻¹ at 0-20 cm to 9.55 Mg ha⁻¹ at 60-100 cm soil depth. However, for the dry warm climate zone, the
246 initial SOC significantly increased ($p<0.05$) from 18.73 at 0-20 cm to 29.14 Mg ha⁻¹ at 20-60 cm, with
247 no data at 60-100 cm.

248

249 3.2. SOC, TN and TP stock following afforestation

250 Overall, afforestation significantly ($p<0.05$) increased SOC by 46%, 52% and 20 % in the soil
251 layers 0-20, 20-60 and 60-100 cm, and TN stocks by 28% and 22% in the 0-20 and 20-60 soil layers,
252 respectively, but had no significant effects on TP stock changes for whole soil profile (Table 1).
253 However, changes of SOC, TN and TP stocks for all investigated soil depths down to 100 cm following
254 afforestation were significantly affected by previous land use system, climate zone, forest type and forest
255 age.

256 The importance of different land use variables (previous land use, climate zone, forest
257 type and forest age) for predicting changes in SOC, TN and TP stocks following afforestation,
258 is illustrated in Fig. 2. All of the four variables were found to have statistically significant
259 contributions ($p<0.05$) to these changes. The previous land use system was ranked first (for
260 changes of TN and TP stocks) or second (for change of SOC stock) as the most important predictor for
261 changes in SOC, TN and TP stocks. By contrast, climate zone, forest type and forest age had different
262 ranks regarding their importance for predicting changes in SOC, TN and TP stocks.

263

264 3.2.1. Impacts of previous land use system

265 Afforestation significantly ($p<0.001$) increased SOC stock at soil depths down to 60 cm on barren
266 land (94% and 106% at 0-20 and 20-60 cm, respectively) and cropland (58% and 76% at 0-20 and 20-
267 60 cm, respectively), while there were no significant changes of SOC stock following afforestation on
268 grassland (Table S3). Similar results were also found for TN stock changes, with an increase by 82%
269 and 25% at 0-20 and 20-60 cm, respectively, for barren land, and 25% and 30% at 0-20 and 20-60 cm,
270 respectively, for cropland (Table S4). Afforestation of barren land significantly ($p<0.001$) increased TP
271 by 44% at 0-20 cm but had no impacts below 20 cm soil depth. However, afforestation significantly
272 ($p<0.05$) decreased TP stock by 5% for cropland, or had no significant impacts on TP for grassland at 0-
273 20 cm soil depth (Table S5).

274 The changes (%) in SOC stock following afforestation from the previous land use systems of
275 barren land, cropland and grassland to soil depth down to 60 cm were significantly different ($p<0.01$)
276 from each other (Fig. 3a). The greatest change in SOC stock (%) was found in barren land, followed by
277 cropland and then grassland. However, SOC stock at soil depth of 60-100 cm was unaffected by the
278 previous land use system. Similarly, at the soil depth of 0-20 cm, the greatest differences in the relative
279 TN and TP stock changes were found in barren land compared to cropland and grassland (Fig. 3b and
280 3d). There were no significant differences in relative changes of TN and TP stocks among the three
281 previous land use systems below 20 cm soil depth.

282

283 3.2.2. *Impacts of climate zone*

284 For moist cool climate zone, SOC stock significantly ($p<0.001$) increased in all investigated soil
285 layers (75%, 98% and 35% at 0-20, 20-60 and 60-100 cm soil depth, respectively), whilst SOC
286 significantly increased only at soil depth of 0-20 cm for moist warm (31%), dry warm (44%) and dry
287 cool (24%) (Table S3). TN stock significantly ($p<0.05$) increased at soil depth down to 60 cm in moist
288 warm (35% and 19% at 0-20 and 20-60 cm, respectively) and moist cool (24% and 31% at 0-20 and 20-
289 60 cm, respectively) climate zones, and only at 0-20 cm soil depth in dry warm (46%) and dry cool
290 (20%) (Table S4). TP stock did not change at any climate zone/ soil depth following afforestation,
291 except for the dry cool climate soil at 60-100 cm depth where TP stock significantly ($p<0.05$) decreased
292 by 5% following afforestation (Table S5).

293 The relative changes of SOC, TN and TP stocks (%), following afforestation varied with climate
294 zones. These relative changes were significantly ($p<0.05$) different at soil depth down to 60 cm for SOC,
295 at 0-20 cm for TN and 20-60 cm for TP (Fig. 3d-3f).

296

297 3.2.3. *Impacts of forest type*

298 When pooling all the data together, SOC and TN stocks increased significantly ($p<0.05$) following
299 afforestation with broadleaf deciduous and/or broadleaf evergreen forest types but had no significant
300 change with coniferous forests (Table S3 and S4). TP stock did not change at any forest type/ soil depth
301 following afforestation (Table S5). Afforestation with broadleaf deciduous forests significantly ($p<0.001$)
302 increased SOC stock at soil depth down to 100 cm (64%, 76% and 35% at 0-20, 20-60 and 60-100 cm
303 soil depth, respectively), and TN stocks at soil depth down to 60 cm (35% and 29% at 0-20 and 20-60
304 cm, respectively) (Table S3 and S4). Afforestation with broadleaf evergreen forest significantly ($p<0.05$)
305 increased SOC by 24% and TN by 30% at 0-20 cm, but data were insufficient to determine a change for
306 20-60 cm and 60-100 cm soil layers.

307 The relative changes (%) of SOC, TN and TP stocks due to forest type varied among forest types.
308 These relative variations were significantly ($p<0.05$) different at soil depths down to 60 cm for SOC, but
309 in the topsoil (0-20 cm) only for the TN and TP stocks (Fig. 3g-3i).

310

311 *3.2.4. Impacts of forest age*

312 The SOC and TN stocks increased significantly only in the 0-20 cm soil layer by 21% and 22%,
313 respectively, for forest age of <20 years (Table S3 and S4). By contrast, for 20-50 and >50-year-old
314 groups, SOC and TN stocks significantly ($p<0.05$) increased for all investigated soil layers. TP stock
315 significantly ($p<0.05$) decreased by 23% following afforestation at 0-20 cm for <20-year-old group but
316 did not change for any soil layer for other >20-year-old forest (Table S5). The percent changes in SOC
317 and TN stocks varied according to the age of forest (Fig. 3j-3l). These changes were significantly
318 ($p<0.05$) different at soil depth down to 60 cm for SOC and below 20 cm for TN values. By contrast,
319 TP changes were not significantly different among different age groups ($p>0.05$) (Fig. 3j-3l).

320

321 *3.3. Impacts of afforestation on selected soil properties (BD and pH)*

322 Afforestation significantly decreased soil BD and pH ($p < 0.001$) (Table 1). As shown in Fig. 4,
323 these changes in soil BD and pH explained 17.3% of overall variance in the relative SOC stock changes
324 (%) at 0-100 cm soil depth. The relative SOC stock changes were significantly related to BD changes (t
325 $= -4.7$; $p < 0.001$). Similarly, changes in soil BD and pH explained 8.0% of the overall TN relative
326 stock changes at soil depth of 0-100 cm. Relative TN changes were also significantly related to BD
327 changes ($t = -2.9$; $p < 0.01$). By contrast, changes in BD and pH were not related to changes in TP stock,
328 at any soil depth between 0 and 100 cm.

329

330 *3.4. Relationships between SOC and TN or TP stocks*

331 There was a significant positive relationship between Ln(R) of SOC and TN stocks at 0-20 cm
332 (<20-year-old forests: $n=30$, $r^2=0.55$, $p < 0.001$; >20-year-old forests: $n=75$, $r^2=0.65$, $p < 0.001$) (Fig.
333 5a) and 20-100 cm (<20-year-old forests: $n=13$, $r^2=0.41$, $p < 0.05$; >20-year-old forests: $n=39$, $r^2=0.40$,
334 $p < 0.001$) (Fig. 5b) soil depths, with similar slope values under <20-year-old and >20-year-old forests.
335 Interestingly, there was a significant positive relationship between Ln(R) of SOC and TP stocks for <20-
336 year-old ($n=30$, $r^2=0.24$, $p < 0.01$) and >20-year-old forest ($n=75$, $r^2=0.23$, $p < 0.001$) at soil depth of
337 0-20 cm (Fig. 5c), with a higher slope value in the >20-year-old forest than that in the <20-year-old
338 forest. By contrast, at soil depth of 20-100 cm, there was no significant ($p > 0.05$) relationship between
339 Ln(R) of SOC stock and TP stock under all forest age groups (Fig. 5d).

340

341 **4. Discussion**

342 *4.1. Comparisons with previous syntheses*

343 Afforestation on land historically not having had forest cover is one of the most effective ways to
344 sequester carbon into soil and to improve soil quality (IPCC, 2007; Berthrong et al., 2009; Bárcena et al.,
345 2014). In this critical global systematic analysis and review, we found that previous land use
346 system, climate zone, forest type and forest age, all had significant impacts on SOC, TN, TP

347 stock and other selected soil indicators (i.e. BD and pH) following afforestation. Unlike
348 previous published regional/global reviews (Berthrong et al., 2009; Bárcena et al., 2014; Liu et al., 2018;
349 Shi et al., 2016) which mostly focused on soil depths down to 30 cm, we collected and systematically
350 analysed our data on SOC, TN and TP stocks down to 100 cm depth. Changes in SOC, TN and TP
351 at >30 cm are very important to accurately estimate the ability of soil to sequester carbon. Up to 50% of
352 SOC has been predicted to be stored at these depths, so ignoring it results in a massive underestimate.
353 Although a few review studies that explored SOC stock changes following afforestation in deeper soil
354 layers, of down to 60 cm or even 100 cm depth (Li et al., 2012; Shi et al., 2013; Deng et al., 2014; Song
355 et al., 2014; Hou et al., 2019), they did not investigate the impacts of previous land use system,
356 climate zone, forest type and forest age on SOC in the different soil layers.

357 Obtaining the necessary data for our systematic analysis and review was challenging,
358 producing some sources of error that could affect predictions. This was checked by assessing
359 the uncertainty in our results, due to assumptions made, which was conservatively estimated
360 by calculating the standard deviations for all values and 95% confidence interval for relative
361 changes. One limitation of this study was that we did not directly correct the dataset on SOC,
362 TN and TP stocks based on equivalent soil mass (Ellert & Bettany, 1995; Don et al., 2011),
363 but relied on a pedotransfer function to calculate soil bulk density for each soil layer if it was
364 missing. Another limitation is that we were not able to include some factors (e.g. soil texture),
365 due to lack of data for deeper soil layers. Additionally, the common limitation of unbalanced
366 sampling and geographic distribution of sites might increase uncertainty in our results.

367

368 *4.2. SOC, TN and TP stock following afforestation*

369 *4.2.1. Impacts of previous land use system*

370 Initial SOC stocks under different previous land use systems had a significant influence on the
371 changes of SOC following afforestation, which is consistent with the previous reviews by Bárcena et al.

372 (2014) and Shi et al. (2016). The calculated relative changes in SOC stock for afforestation on barren
373 land, at down to 60 cm soil depth, were significantly greater in comparison with that for cropland and
374 grassland, especially in the topsoil layer (i.e. 0-20 cm) (Table S3 and Fig. 3a). Shi et al. (2016) and Liu et
375 al. (2018) reported that afforestation on barren land, is an effective way to enhance carbon sequestration
376 of topsoil (i.e. 0-30 cm) compared with the other previous land use types. For afforestation on cropland,
377 in this study, SOC stock was increased significantly for soil depth down to 60 cm but had no significant
378 changes at deeper soil layer (i.e. 60-100 cm). Similar results at a global scale were reported by Guo &
379 Gifford (2002), Laganriere et al. (2010) and Shi et al. (2016) for topsoil of 0-30 cm depth. In contrast to
380 cropland and barren land, afforestation on grassland had no effect on SOC stock changes for the whole
381 soil profile. Laganriere et al. (2010) and Shi et al. (2016) investigated topsoil and found that afforestation
382 on grassland had no effect on SOC stock.

383 Generally, land with poor initial SOC stock (i.e. barren land with poor vegetation growth and/or
384 cropland with regular soil disturbance during tillage or harvest practices leading to low SOC inputs)
385 have greater potential to become SOC sinks following afforestation, due to the high SOC inputs
386 provided by the forest (Nave et al., 2013; Lal, 2018). Nevertheless, land with greater initial SOC stock
387 (i.e. grassland with aboveground permanent vegetation cover and roots system resulting in large SOC
388 inputs) have less potential to accumulate SOC following afforestation within the same forest age.
389 Additionally, grassland could experience a slight SOC loss at the beginning of afforestation due to the
390 soil disturbance which accelerates SOC decomposition (Bárcena et al., 2014; Shi et al., 2016; Richards
391 et al., 2017). The root system in a forest is generally deeper than that in a grassland, which could
392 increase carbon inputs in the deep soil depths following afforestation from grassland, causing a gain of
393 SOC stocks in the subsoil layers (Laganriere et al., 2010).

394 Afforestation on barren land was an effective method to increase TN stocks at soil depths down to
395 60 cm (Table S4). Shi et al. (2016) and Liu et al. (2018) reported that TN stocks at 0-30 cm soil depth
396 were significantly increased, following afforestation on barren land. However, Li et al. (2012) found that

397 TN stocks did not change in mineral soil layers of 0-100 cm. Our results showed that afforestation on
398 cropland significantly increased TN stocks at 0-60 cm soil depth but had no significant effect at a deeper
399 layer of 60-100 cm (Table S4). Nonetheless, afforestation on grassland did not change TN stock at soil
400 depths down to 100 cm. A similar conclusion, of no effect on TN stock following afforestation on
401 grassland, was also reported by Liu et al. (2018). In contrast, Shi et al. (2016) reported a significant
402 decrease in TN stocks in topsoil. These differences between studies could be explained by the
403 differences in the distribution of dataset sources, forest age groups, climate zones, and forest types and
404 soil properties. We found that afforestation on barren land significantly increased TP stocks only at 0-20
405 cm soil depth, whilst afforestation on cropland significantly decreased TP stocks at 0-20 cm soil depth
406 (Table S5). Grassland had no significant effects on TP stock changes at soil depths down to 100 cm.
407 Similar conclusions with regard to TP stock changes following afforestation on different previous land
408 use systems were reported by Deng et al. (2017). In order to reach the higher demand for P, forests
409 may invest more carbon and other resource in root exudates and microbial symbioses that
410 degrade clay minerals or organic P compounds, thus leading to an increase in P sources in
411 soil compared with previous land use (e.g. barren land) (Deng et al., 2017). By contrast, a
412 stopping of P fertilizer input with afforestation could lead to less soil TP stock in planted
413 forests than in cropland (MacDonald et al., 2012).

414

415 *4.2.2. Impacts of climate zone*

416 The significant influence of climate on SOC stock changes following afforestation agreed with
417 previous studies (Guo & Gifford, 2002; Li et al., 2012; Shi et al., 2016). SOC increased after
418 afforestation in the moist cool climate zone at soil depths down to 100 cm, whilst, for moist warm, dry
419 warm and dry cool climate zones, SOC stocks increased significantly only at 0-20 cm soil depth (Table
420 S3). The poorer carbon sequestration potential in moist warm climate zone could be related to the
421 greater decomposition due to the higher temperature and precipitation condition (Lal, 2005), while in the

422 dry cool zone it could be related to slower tree growth under dry and cold conditions, thus lower organic
423 matter inputs (Laganiere et al., 2010).

424 It should be noted that soil in the moist cool zone had the greatest values of the relative SOC
425 changes following afforestation, especially in topsoil (i.e. 0-20 cm) (Fig. 3d), implying a relatively
426 greater carbon sequestration potential compared to other climate zones. This probably occurred due to
427 the greater forest plant productivity (Deng et al., 2014) driving larger carbon inputs, and cooler, moister
428 conditions in decreasing decomposition in moist cool regions (Baritz et al., 2010). Over time, podzolic
429 soils can form under forests in a moist cool climate, further affecting carbon accumulation due to
430 leaching and decreased soil pH. Additionally, uneven datasets where some categories in certain factors
431 are clearly dominating, could contribute to the observed differences in the relative changes of SOC stock
432 between the climate zones (Yang et al., 2011; Deng et al., 2014). Shi et al. (2016) reported that the
433 increase of SOC stock becomes larger when forests are older than 20 years. Previous studies have
434 shown that SOC stock tends to decrease following afforestation with coniferous forests and tends to
435 increase under broadleaf forest (Guo & Gifford, 2002; Paul et al., 2002; Laganiere et al., 2010). In fact,
436 80% of the SOC dataset used in our review for the moist cool zone were collected from forests that were
437 older than 20 years and 99% of them were broadleaf forests, which favours an increase of SOC stocks
438 following afforestation.

439 Our study confirmed that climate significantly influenced TN stock following afforestation. TN
440 stock significantly increased in all climate zones if all data were pooled together (Table S4). In contrast,
441 Li et al. (2012) reported that TN stock over 0-100 cm soil depth significantly increased in the subtropical
442 zone, but did not change in the tropical zones, and decreased in the boreal and temperate zones. This
443 difference between the two studies could be explained by difference in the dataset sources. In our study,
444 afforestation on barren land was considered as a separate group, whilst previous studies focused on
445 afforestation on cropland and grassland/pasture only (Yang et al., 2011; Li et al., 2012). A recent global
446 review showed that all TN stocks increased following afforestation on barren land in all climate zones in

447 the 0-20 cm soil layer, but with different values for each climate zone (Shi et al., 2016). We found that
448 TP stocks did not significantly change and did not differ among the four climate zones, which is
449 consistent with the findings by Deng et al. (2017). By contrast, TP stock change (%) following
450 afforestation was significantly different for the four climate zones below 20 cm soil depth in our study,
451 with the larger values of TP stock change in the moist warm zone. Therefore, TP stock changes at
452 deeper soil depth under different climate zones deserve to be investigated further in future studies.

453

454 *4.2.3. Impacts of forest type*

455 To the best of our knowledge, only one global review explored the effects of forest type on both
456 SOC and TN stock following afforestation in deeper soil layers (i.e. down to 100 cm) and found that
457 SOC and TN stocks significantly increased for deciduous forest, but did not change for coniferous forest
458 (Deng et al., 2017), which is consistent with our findings. Other studies, focused on the topsoil layers (i.e.
459 0-20/30 cm), showed that SOC and/or TN stocks following afforestation, tends to decrease or not
460 change for coniferous forest but tends to increase for broadleaf forests, especially broadleaf deciduous
461 forests (Guo & Gifford, 2002; Paul et al., 2002; Berthrong et al., 2009; Laganriere et al., 2010; Shi et al.,
462 2016). In contrast to our result, Deng et al. (2017) showed that TP stocks decreased significantly in the
463 top 20 cm of soil, for both coniferous and broadleaf forests, except for Eucalyptus.

464 The difference between the influences of forest types on SOC or TN or TP stocks could be related
465 to the difference in their carbon or nutrient inputs (i.e. litter fall, root turnover and root exudates), transfer
466 (i.e. the quality of litter and humification rate) and potential loss (Guo & Gifford, 2002; Paul et al., 2002;
467 Hobbie et al., 2007; Laganriere et al., 2010; Li et al., 2012; Deng et al., 2017). For example, since most of
468 the study sites (including this study) with coniferous forests are more prone to be located in cooler
469 climate zones, the possibility of detecting SOC or nutrient stock changes is less as trees grow slower
470 and thus provide fewer carbon and nutrient inputs (Smith, 2004; Laganriere et al., 2010; Shi et al., 2016).
471 Previous studies showed that substrate quality of conifer needles is poorer than for leaves of broadleaf

472 forest, resulting in slower litter decomposition times that exacerbates fewer carbon and nutrient inputs to
473 the mineral soil (Paul et al., 2002; Deng et al., 2014). Additionally, coniferous forests acidify soil, which
474 reduces decomposition rate, earthworm activity (Johnston, 2019), and carbon inputs (Jo et al., 2019).
475 Furthermore, our analysis indeed found that mean values of soil pH under coniferous forest was 5.53,
476 which was significantly lower than for broadleaf forest (Table S6) and will inhibit earthworm activity.
477 More importantly, compared with coniferous forest, most broadleaf forests have a larger and deeper root
478 system, which generally results in greater soil organic matter inputs (Strong & Roi, 1983; Laganriere et
479 al., 2010). Other mechanisms have been proposed to explain differences in the effects of forest type on
480 SOC and soil nutrient stock changes. For example, forest types can affect SOC and TN dynamics
481 through their influence on the physical or chemical protection of soil organic matter (Hobbie et al.,
482 2007). Forest types also potentially influences SOC, TN and TP inputs and losses by their interaction
483 with herbivores, soil microbial communities and their symbiotic interaction with N-fixing bacteria
484 (Knops et al., 2002; Laganriere et al., 2010; Shi et al., 2016).

485

486 *4.2.4. Impacts of forest age*

487 Forest age was an important factor in determining SOC stock following afforestation. Afforestation
488 for <20 years had significantly increased SOC stock at 0-20 cm soil depth, but afforestation for >20
489 years had significantly accumulated SOC stock down to 100 cm soil depth (Table S3). Previous
490 studies reported a faster change in SOC stocks related to forest age in topsoil compared to deeper soil
491 layers (Paul et al., 2002; Shi et al., 2013; L. Deng et al., 2014). The time for significant net accumulation
492 of SOC stocks, above and below 20 cm depth, varies greatly. For example, studies on SOC stock
493 changes following afforestation on grassland and cropland in the tropical zone found that a net
494 accumulation of SOC generally occurred within 20-40 years (Cerri et al., 2007; Solomon et al., 2007;
495 Don et al., 2011; Berthrong et al., 2012). In certain parts of the boreal zone, however, Ritter (2007)
496 reported that it would take more than 100 years to observe a significant increase of SOC stocks

497 following afforestation in certain parts of the boreal zone. This may be due to the cool temperature and
498 poor soil nutrients of former land use system, leading to the slow growth of forest trees, and
499 consequently lower carbon inputs. Additionally, a recent study reported that afforestation on cropland
500 and barren land significantly increased SOC stock for forests younger or older than 20 years, but there
501 was no change of SOC stock on grassland regardless of stand age (Shi et al., 2016). This implies that
502 previous land use system may have an effect on the time for a net accumulation of SOC stocks.

503 In addition, we found that the SOC stock accumulation following afforestation at down to 60 cm
504 soil depth increased most after 20 years (Fig. 3j), as reported by others (Laganiere et al., 2010; Shi et al.,
505 2016). This could be related to the equilibrium of the system following afforestation, as the canopy
506 spreads and the green area index develops. As the trees grow, the carbon inputs generally increase, along
507 with a new microclimatic environment (Bouwman and Leemans, 1995) and strengthened soil organic
508 matter protection (Del et al., 2003), thus increasing SOC accumulation until system equilibrium
509 (Laganiere et al., 2010).

510 Forest age was also an important factor in determining TN stock changes following
511 afforestation. We found that afforestation for <20 years produced significantly increased TN
512 stock only down to 20 cm soil depth, whereas TN increased significantly down 100 cm soil
513 depth at >20 years growth (Table S4), probably driven by greater biomass (Johnson, 1992).
514 Previous studies that investigated the effects of forest age on TN stock changes at soil depths down to
515 100 cm reported that TN stocks significantly increased in 50 years following afforestation. The
516 difference of time for the significant increase of TN stock may be related to the previous land use system.
517 For example, regardless of forest age, afforestation on cropland and barren land may significantly
518 increase TN stock, but on grassland it may decrease (Shi et al., 2016). In this review, the TP stocks was
519 significantly decreased in the 0-20 cm soil layer for <20-year-old forest, which is consistent with the
520 conclusion reported by Shi et al. (2016). There were no significant changes for forest age of >20 years at
521 any soil depth, however, implying that P may be taken up by the growing forests as the nutrient demand

522 of mature forests increases. Unlike C and N, there is no exchange of P with the atmosphere and thus
523 increasing P requires its extraction from the mineralogy of the soil parent material, which is strongly
524 affected by weathering and biology.

525

526 *4.3. Impacts of afforestation on selected soil properties (i.e. BD and pH).*

527 Land use change generally leads to a change in soil BD (Poeplau et al., 2011). Indeed,
528 this study showed that the change in soil BD following afforestation, at soil depths down to
529 100 cm, had a significant negative relationship with SOC stock changes (Fig. 4). This is in
530 accordance with the findings reported by Don et al. (2011), who showed that positive SOC
531 stocks changes at 0-30 cm soil depth following afforestation on cropland and grassland,
532 resulted in negative soil BD changes. The reason for this inverse relationship could be the
533 development of root networks (biopores developed) and leaf litter following afforestation.
534 The greater amount of soil organic matter can aggregate soil, leading to a decrease in soil BD
535 as humus has a density of $\sim 1\text{ g cm}^{-3}$ compared to minerals like quartz with a density of 2.65 g
536 cm^{-3} (Prévosto et al., 2004; Ritter, 2007). Additionally, we found that changes in soil BD had
537 a significant relationship with TN stock at soil depths down to 100 cm following afforestation,
538 indicating decreased soil BD could promote nutrient supply (i.e. TN stock) (Wu et al., 2018),
539 and potentially increase carbon inputs from enhanced net primary productivity (Goll et al.,
540 2012 ; Cleveland et al., 2013). Moreover, the development of root networks following
541 afforestation could increase the partial pressure of CO_2 due to high root respiration and
542 decomposition of dead roots. The greater levels of CO_2 , together with water in the soil and
543 SO_4^{2-} , forms carbonic acid (H_2CO_3) and sulphuric acid (H_2SO_4), which reduce soil pH (Singh
544 et al., 2012). Reducing pH tend to reduce decomposition rate and may leach organic material
545 into the lower layers forming a podzol (Laganierie et al., 2010). Furthermore, our analysis indeed
546 found that afforestation significantly decreased soil pH (Table 1).

547

548 *4.4. Implications of the interaction between SOC and nutrients dynamics*

549 The long-term soil carbon sequestration process is regulated by the dynamics of N and P
550 cycles in terrestrial ecosystems (Yang et al., 2011; Deng et al., 2017). Indeed, we found
551 significant positive relationships between relative changes of SOC and TN stocks at depths
552 down to 100 cm soil depth under all forest age groups (Fig. 5). The increase of TN stocks
553 following afforestation could offset progressive N limitation (Luo et al., 2006), and maintains
554 SOC accumulation as forests aged (Luo et al., 2006; Yang et al., 2011). A similar conclusion
555 regarding an increase in TN stock accompanied by an increase in SOC stock following
556 afforestation was reported by other studies (Yang et al., 2011; Shi et al., 2013; Liu et al.,
557 2018). Our results also show that there was a significant positive relationship between
558 relative changes of SOC and TP stocks in topsoil under all forest age groups, but no
559 significant relationship in sub-soils. We found no change in TP stock with increasing forest
560 age, which may become a limiting factor for further soil carbon sequestration following
561 afforestation. Therefore, a greater TP concentration may be required compared with TN
562 concentration during long-term forest stand development.

563

564 **5. Conclusions**

565 This global systematic analysis and review revealed that overall, afforestation significantly
566 increased SOC stock for each soil layer down to 100 cm and TN stock down to 60 cm, but had no
567 significant impacts on TP stock throughout the investigated soil profile. Changes in SOC, TN and TP
568 stock following afforestation for all soil layers between 0 and 100 cm were significantly affected by
569 previous land use system, climate zone, forest type and forest age. Previous land use system was the
570 most influential factor for all changes in SOC, TN and TP stocks following afforestation. Afforestation
571 on barren land and cropland significantly increased SOC and TN stocks for each soil layer down to 60

572 cm. By contrast, at 0-20 cm, afforestation significantly increased TP stock only for barren land, whilst
573 significantly decreased it for cropland. Afforestation on grassland had no significant effects on SOC, TN
574 and TP stock for any soil layer. Moist cool climate zones had greater potential for SOC, TN and TP
575 stock accumulations below 20 cm soil depth, compared to other climate zones. Broadleaf forests were
576 better than coniferous forests for increasing SOC, TN and TP accumulations throughout the investigated
577 soil profile (0-100 cm). Afforestation for <20 years significantly increased both SOC and TN stocks
578 only at 0-20 cm soil depth, but afforestation for >20 years caused significant accumulation of
579 SOC and TN stocks down to 100 cm soil depth. Changes to SOC and TN stocks were
580 positively correlated at depths down to 100 cm under all forest age groups. By contrast, there
581 was a significant positive relationship between relative changes of SOC and TP stocks in
582 topsoil, but no significant relationship in sub-soils under all forest age groups. TP stock
583 decreased significantly at a soil depth of 0-20 cm following afforestation for <20 years and
584 did not change after 20 years in any soil layer, suggesting that TP may become a limiting
585 factor for further soil carbon sequestration following afforestation. A higher TP than TN
586 concentration would be required during long-term forest stand development. Following
587 afforestation, soil BD decreased alongside significant increases in SOC and TN stocks to 100
588 cm depth, but had no relationship with the TP. We suggest that future studies should measure
589 soil changes to at least 100 cm depth and forms of P to assess its mobilisation and uptake.

590

591 **Declaration of competing interest**

592 The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships
593 that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

594

595 **CRedit authorship contribution statement**

596 **Yang Guo:** Conceptualization, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Writing – original
597 draft. **Mohamed Abdalla:** Conceptualization, Methodology, Writing – review & editing. **Mikk**
598 **Espenberg:** Formal analysis, Methodology, Writing – review & editing. **Astley Hastings:**
599 Conceptualization, Methodology, Writing – review & editing. **Paul Hallett:** Writing – review &
600 editing. **Pete Smith:** Writing – review & editing.

601

602 **Acknowledgments**

603 This work contributed to the following projects: UK NERC ADVENT (NE/1806209), Soils-R-
604 GGREAT (NE/P019455/1), FAB-GGR (NE/P019951/1) and EU Horizon 2020 programme
605 (SuperG). The first author gratefully acknowledges financial support from China Scholarship
606 Council (CSC).

607

608 **References**

- 609 Abdalla, M., Hastings, A., Chadwick, D.R., Jones, D.L., Evans, C.D., Jones, M.B., Rees,
610 R.M., Smith, P., 2018. Critical review of the impacts of grazing intensity on soil organic
611 carbon storage and other soil quality indicators in extensively managed grasslands.
612 *Agric. Ecosyst. Environ.* 253, 62–81.
- 613 Akima, H., Gebhardt, A., Petzold, T., Maechler, M., 2016. akima: Interpolation of irregularly
614 and regularly spaced data. R Packag. version 0.6-2.
- 615 Archer, E., 2018. rfPermute: Estimate Permutation p-Values for Random Forest Importance
616 Metrics (2013). URL <https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=rfPermute>.
- 617 Bárcena, T.G., Kiær, L.P., Vesterdal, L., Stefánsdóttir, H.M., Gundersen, P., Sigurdsson,
618 B.D., 2014. Soil carbon stock change following afforestation in Northern Europe: a
619 meta-analysis. *Glob. Chang. Biol.* 20, 2393–2405.

620 Baritz, R., Seufert, G., Montanarella, L., Van Ranst, E., 2010. Carbon concentrations and
621 stocks in forest soils of Europe. *For. Ecol. Manage.* 260, 262–277.

622 Bates, D., Mächler, M., Bolker, B., Walker, S., 2015. Fitting linear mixed-effects models
623 using lme4. *J. Stat. Softw.* 67, 1–48.

624 Berthrong, S.T., Jobbágy, E.G., Jackson, R.B., 2009. A global meta-analysis of soil
625 exchangeable cations, pH, carbon, and nitrogen with afforestation. *Ecol. Appl.* 19,
626 2228–2241.

627 Berthrong, S.T., PIneiro, G., Jobbágy, E.G., Jackson, R.B., 2012. Soil C and N changes with
628 afforestation of grasslands across gradients of precipitation and plantation age. *Ecol.*
629 *Appl.* 22, 76–86.

630 Bouwman, A.F., Leemans, R., 1995. The role of forest soils in the global carbon cycle.
631 Carbon forms *Funct. For. soils* 503–525.

632 Cerri, C.E.P., Easter, M., Paustian, K., Killian, K., Coleman, K., Bernoux, M., Falloon, P.,
633 Powlson, D.S., Batjes, N.H., Milne, E., 2007. Predicted soil organic carbon stocks and
634 changes in the Brazilian Amazon between 2000 and 2030. *Agric. Ecosyst. Environ.* 122,
635 58–72.

636 Chang, R., Fu, B., Liu, G., Wang, S., Yao, X., 2012. The effects of afforestation on soil
637 organic and inorganic carbon: A case study of the Loess Plateau of China. *Catena* 95,
638 145–152.

639 Cleveland, C.C., Houlton, B.Z., Smith, W.K., Marklein, A.R., Reed, S.C., Parton, W., Del
640 Grosso, S.J., Running, S.W., 2013. Patterns of new versus recycled primary production
641 in the terrestrial biosphere. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 110, 12733–12737.

642 Del Galdo, I., Six, J., Peressotti, A., Francesca Cotrufo, M., 2003. Assessing the impact of

643 land-use change on soil C sequestration in agricultural soils by means of organic matter
644 fractionation and stable C isotopes. *Glob. Chang. Biol.* 9, 1204–1213.

645 Delignette-Muller, M.L., Dutang, C., 2015. *fitdistrplus*: An R package for fitting distributions.
646 *J. Stat. Softw.* 64, 1–34.

647 Deng, L., Liu, G., Shangguan, Z., 2014. Land-use conversion and changing soil carbon stocks
648 in C hina’s ‘Grain-for-Green’Program: a synthesis. *Glob. Chang. Biol.* 20, 3544–3556.

649 Deng, Q., McMahon, D.E., Xiang, Y., Yu, C., Jackson, R.B., Hui, D., 2017. A global
650 meta-analysis of soil phosphorus dynamics after afforestation. *New Phytol.* 213, 181–
651 192.

652 Don, A., Schumacher, J., Freibauer, A., 2011. Impact of tropical land-use change on soil
653 organic carbon stocks—a meta-analysis. *Glob. Chang. Biol.* 17, 1658–1670.

654 Ellert, B.H., Bettany, J.R., 1995. Calculation of organic matter and nutrients stored in soils
655 under contrasting management regimes. *Can. J. Soil Sci.* 75, 529–538.

656 Gelman, A., Hill, J., 2006. *Data analysis using regression and multilevel/hierarchical models.*
657 Cambridge university press.

658 Goll, D.S., Brovkin, V., Parida, B.R., Reick, C.H., Kattge, J., Reich, P.B., Van Bodegom,
659 P.M., Niinemets, Ü., 2012. Nutrient limitation reduces land carbon uptake in simulations
660 with a model of combined carbon, nitrogen and phosphorus cycling. *Biogeosciences* 9,
661 3547–3569.

662 Guo, L.B., Gifford, R.M., 2002. Soil carbon stocks and land use change: a meta analysis.
663 *Glob. Chang. Biol.* 8, 345–360.

664 Harrison, X.A., Donaldson, L., Correa-Cano, M.E., Evans, J., Fisher, D.N., Goodwin, C.E.D.,
665 Robinson, B.S., Hodgson, D.J., Inger, R., 2018. A brief introduction to mixed effects

666 modelling and multi-model inference in ecology. *PeerJ* 6, e4794.

667 He, J.-Z., Zheng, Y., Chen, C.-R., He, Y.-Q., Zhang, L.-M., 2008. Microbial composition and
668 diversity of an upland red soil under long-term fertilization treatments as revealed by
669 culture-dependent and culture-independent approaches. *J. Soils Sediments* 8, 349–358.

670 Hedges, L. V, Gurevitch, J., Curtis, P.S., 1999. The meta-analysis of response ratios in
671 experimental ecology. *Ecology* 80, 1150–1156.

672 Hobbie, S.E., Ogdahl, M., Chorover, J., Chadwick, O.A., Oleksyn, J., Zytowskiak, R., Reich,
673 P.B., 2007. Tree species effects on soil organic matter dynamics: the role of soil cation
674 composition. *Ecosystems* 10, 999–1018.

675 Hooker, T.D., Compton, J.E., 2003. Forest ecosystem carbon and nitrogen accumulation
676 during the first century after agricultural abandonment. *Ecol. Appl.* 13, 299–313.

677 Hou, G., Delang, C.O., Lu, X., Gao, L., 2019. Soil organic carbon storage varies with stand
678 ages and soil depths following afforestation. *Ann. For. Res.* 62, 3–20.

679 IPCC, 2007. IPCC special report on land use, land-use change, and forestry. Cambridge Univ.
680 Press. Cambridge.

681 Jo, I., Fridley, J.D., Frank, D.A., 2019. Rapid leaf litter decomposition of deciduous
682 understory shrubs and lianas mediated by mesofauna. *Plant Ecol.* 1–6.

683 Jobbágy, E.G., Jackson, R.B., 2001. The distribution of soil nutrients with depth: global
684 patterns and the imprint of plants. *Biogeochemistry* 53, 51–77.

685 Johnson, D.W., 1992. Nitrogen retention in forest soils. *J. Environ. Qual.* 21, 1–12.

686 Johnston, A.S.A., 2019. Land management modulates the environmental controls on global
687 earthworm communities. *Glob. Ecol. Biogeogr.*

688 Kaur, R., Kumar, S., Gurung, H.P., 2002. A pedo-transfer function (PTF) for estimating soil
689 bulk density from basic soil data and its comparison with existing PTFs. *Soil Res.* 40,
690 847–858.

691 Knops, J.M.H., Bradley, K.L., Wedin, D.A., 2002. Mechanisms of plant species impacts on
692 ecosystem nitrogen cycling. *Ecol. Lett.* 5, 454–466.

693 Kursa, M.B., Rudnicki, W.R., 2010. Feature selection with the Boruta package. *J Stat Softw*
694 36, 1–13.

695 Kuznetsova, A., Brockhoff, P.B., Christensen, R.H.B., 2017. lmerTest package: tests in linear
696 mixed effects models. *J. Stat. Softw.* 82.

697 Laganriere, J., Angers, D.A., Pare, D., 2010. Carbon accumulation in agricultural soils after
698 afforestation: a meta-analysis. *Glob. Chang. Biol.* 16, 439–453.

699 Lal, R., 2018. Land use and soil management effects on soil organic matter dynamics on
700 Alfisols in Western Nigeria, in: *Soil Processes and the Carbon Cycle*. CRC Press, pp.
701 109–126.

702 Lal, R., 2005. Forest soils and carbon sequestration. *For. Ecol. Manage.* 220, 242–258.

703 Li, D., Niu, S., Luo, Y., 2012. Global patterns of the dynamics of soil carbon and nitrogen
704 stocks following afforestation: a meta-analysis. *New Phytol.* 195, 172–181.

705 Li, J., Li, Z., Wang, F., Zou, B., Chen, Y., Zhao, J., Mo, Q., Li, Y., Li, X., Xia, H., 2015.
706 Effects of nitrogen and phosphorus addition on soil microbial community in a secondary
707 tropical forest of China. *Biol. Fertil. soils* 51, 207–215.

708 Liu, X., Yang, T., Wang, Q., Huang, F., Li, L., 2018. Dynamics of soil carbon and nitrogen
709 stocks after afforestation in arid and semi-arid regions: A meta-analysis. *Sci. Total*
710 *Environ.* 618, 1658–1664.

711 Luo, Y., Field, C.B., Jackson, R.B., 2006. Does Nitrogen Constrain Carbon Cycling, or Does
712 Carbon Input Stimulate Nitrogen Cycling? 1. *Ecology* 87, 3–4.

713 MacDonald, G.K., Bennett, E.M., Taranu, Z.E., 2012. The influence of time, soil
714 characteristics, and land-use history on soil phosphorus legacies: a global meta-analysis.
715 *Glob. Chang. Biol.* 18, 1904–1917.

716 Nave, L.E., Swanston, C.W., Mishra, U., Nadelhoffer, K.J., 2013. Afforestation effects on
717 soil carbon storage in the United States: a synthesis. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* 77, 1035–1047.

718 Paul, K.I., Polglase, P.J., Nyakuengama, J.G., Khanna, P.K., 2002. Change in soil carbon
719 following afforestation. *For. Ecol. Manage.* 168, 241–257.

720 Poeplau, C., Don, A., Vesterdal, L., Leifeld, J., Van Wesemael, B.A.S., Schumacher, J.,
721 Gensior, A., 2011. Temporal dynamics of soil organic carbon after land-use change in
722 the temperate zone—carbon response functions as a model approach. *Glob. Chang. Biol.*
723 17, 2415–2427.

724 Post, W.M., Kwon, K.C., 2000. Soil carbon sequestration and land-use change: processes and
725 potential. *Glob. Chang. Biol.* 6, 317–327.

726 Prévosto, B., Dambrine, E., Moares, C., Curt, T., 2004. Effects of volcanic ash chemistry and
727 former agricultural use on the soils and vegetation of naturally regenerated woodlands in
728 the Massif Central, France. *Catena* 56, 239–261.

729 Richards, M., Pogson, M., Dondini, M., Jones, E. O., Hastings, A., Henner, D. N., Tallis M.J.,
730 Casella E., Matthews R.W., Henshall, P. A., 2017. High-resolution spatial modelling of
731 greenhouse gas emissions from land-use change to energy crops in the United Kingdom.
732 *GCB Bioenergy*, 9(3), 627–644.

733 Ritter, E., 2007. Carbon, nitrogen and phosphorus in volcanic soils following afforestation

734 with native birch (*Betula pubescens*) and introduced larch (*Larix sibirica*) in Iceland.
735 *Plant Soil* 295, 239–251.

736 Shi, S., Peng, C., Wang, M., Zhu, Q., Yang, G., Yang, Y., Xi, T., Zhang, T., 2016. A global
737 meta-analysis of changes in soil carbon, nitrogen, phosphorus and sulfur, and
738 stoichiometric shifts after forestation. *Plant Soil* 407, 323–340.

739 Shi, S., Zhang, W., Zhang, P., Yu, Y., Ding, F., 2013. A synthesis of change in deep soil
740 organic carbon stores with afforestation of agricultural soils. *For. Ecol. Manage.* 296,
741 53–63.

742 Silver, W.L., Ostertag, R., Lugo, A.E., 2000. The potential for carbon sequestration through
743 reforestation of abandoned tropical agricultural and pasture lands. *Restor. Ecol.* 8, 394–
744 407.

745 Singh, K., Singh, B., Singh, R.R., 2012. Changes in physico-chemical, microbial and
746 enzymatic activities during restoration of degraded sodic land: Ecological suitability of
747 mixed forest over monoculture plantation. *Catena* 96, 57–67.

748 Smith, P., 2004. How long before a change in soil organic carbon can be detected? *Glob.*
749 *Chang. Biol.* 10, 1878–1883.

750 Smith, P., Martino, D., Cai, Z., Gwary, D., Janzen, H., Kumar, P., McCarl, B., Ogle, S.,
751 O'Mara, F., Rice, C., 2007. Greenhouse gas mitigation in agriculture. *Philos. Trans. R.*
752 *Soc. B Biol. Sci.* 363, 789–813

753 Solomon, D., Lehmann, J., Kinyangi, J., Amelung, W., Lobe, I., Pell, A., Riha, S., Ngoze, S.,
754 Verchot, L.O.U., Mbugua, D., 2007. Long-term impacts of anthropogenic perturbations
755 on dynamics and speciation of organic carbon in tropical forest and subtropical
756 grassland ecosystems. *Glob. Chang. Biol.* 13, 511–530.

757 Song, X., Peng, C., Zhou, G., Jiang, H., Wang, W., 2014. Chinese Grain for Green Program
758 led to highly increased soil organic carbon levels: A meta-analysis. *Sci. Rep.* 4, 4460.

759 Strickland, M.S., Callaham Jr, M.A., Davies, C.A., Lauber, C.L., Ramirez, K., Richter Jr,
760 D.D., Fierer, N., Bradford, M.A., 2010. Rates of in situ carbon mineralization in relation
761 to land-use, microbial community and edaphic characteristics. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 42,
762 260–269.

763 Strobl, C., Boulesteix, A.-L., Kneib, T., Augustin, T., Zeileis, A., 2008. Conditional variable
764 importance for random forests. *BMC Bioinformatics* 9, 307.

765 Strong, W.L., Roi, G.H. La, 1983. Root-system morphology of common boreal forest trees in
766 Alberta, Canada. *Can. J. For. Res.* 13, 1164–1173.

767 Team, R.C., 2019. A language and environment for statistical computing. Vienna, Austria: R
768 Foundation for Statistical Computing; 2012. URL <https://www.R-project.org>.

769 Wu, Y., Wang, W., Wang, Q., Zhong, Z., Pei, Z., Wang, H., Yao, Y., 2018. Impact of poplar
770 shelterbelt plantations on surface soil properties in northeastern China. *Can. J. For. Res.*
771 48, 559–567.

772 Yang, Y., Luo, Y., Finzi, A.C., 2011. Carbon and nitrogen dynamics during forest stand
773 development: a global synthesis. *New Phytol.* 190, 977–989.