Measuring Peak Inspiratory Flow in Patients with Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease

Abstract: Dry powder inhalers (DPIs) are breath actuated, and patients using DPIs need to generate an optimal inspiratory flow during the inhalation maneuver for effective drug delivery to the lungs. However, practical and standardized recommendations for measuring peak inspiratory flow (PIF)—a potential indicator for effective DPI use in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD)—are lacking. To evaluate recommended PIF assessment approaches, we reviewed the Instructions for Use of the In-Check™ DIAL and the prescribing information for eight DPIs approved for use in the treatment of COPD in the United States. To evaluate applied PIF assessment approaches, we conducted a PubMed search from inception to August 31, 2021, for reports of clinical and real-life studies where PIF was measured using the In-Check™ DIAL or through a DPI in patients with COPD. Evaluation of collective sources, including 47 applicable studies, showed that instructions related to the positioning of the patient with their DPI, instructions for exhalation before the inhalation maneuver, the inhalation maneuver itself, and post-inhalation breath-hold times varied, and in many instances, appeared vague and/or incomplete. We observed considerable variation in how PIF was measured in clinical and real-life studies, underscoring the need for a standardized method of PIF measurement. Standardization of technique will facilitate comparisons among studies. Based on these findings and our clinical and research experience, we propose specific recommendations for PIF measurement to standardize the process and better ensure accurate and reliable PIF values in clinical trials and in daily clinical practice.
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Plain Language Summary
Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) is a lung condition associated with cough and breathlessness, which worsens over time. COPD treatment includes inhaled medicines that can be given using pressurized metered-dose inhalers (pMDIs) or by dry powder inhalers (DPIs). The basic difference between pMDIs and DPIs is how the medicine gets to the lungs. pMDIs use a propellant to deliver the medicine into the airways, while DPIs do not contain propellants. DPIs need considerable patient effort while breathing, and each DPI has different internal resistance and breathing method. Peak inspiratory flow (PIF) measures the maximum amount of air that can be inhaled during one deep breath and is an important measure of effective DPI use. Suboptimal PIF is common and occurs in the most vulnerable COPD patients. The In-Check™ DIAL measures PIF for DPIs. There is lack of guidance for measuring PIF; we reviewed the Instructions for Use of the In-Check™ DIAL, prescribing information for eight approved DPIs, and evidence from 47 studies.
We found differences in instructions related to DPI use and large differences in how PIF was measured in clinical versus real-world application studies. Differences in measurement may affect PIF results and standardization of methods will help to distinguish optimal versus suboptimal PIF. Exhaling fully, as recommended by most instruction manuals, is a challenge for COPD patients, leading to possible complications. Based on these findings and our clinical and research experience, we propose that patients breathe out slowly and fully to avoid fatigue and help recover from complications.

**Introduction**

Inhaled medications for chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) can be administered using a pressurized metered-dose inhaler (pMDI), soft-mist inhaler (SMI), nebulizer, or dry powder inhaler (DPI). Each inhalation device is unique and has associated advantages and disadvantages. pMDIs deliver a fixed drug dose as aerosol droplets from a pressurized canister and require coordination between inhalation and actuation, which can be challenging for some patients and may lead to reduced drug deposition in the lungs. The SMI generates a slow-moving aerosol cloud from an aqueous drug solution using mechanical energy. The slow-moving aerosol provides more time for better inhalation-actuation coordination, which may enhance drug delivery. However, some patients may find loading the cartridge into the inhaler challenging. Nebulizers use an external power source to generate a continuous aerosol from a liquid drug formulation and require minimal coordination and effort during inhalation; however, most nebulizers are bulky, time consuming to use, and need cleaning after use. Furthermore, the drug formulation (viscosity and surface tension) may influence aerosol production. DPIs depend on the patient’s inspiratory flow (IF) to deaggregate drug and carrier particles and to disperse and deliver the aerosolized drug into the lungs. Unlike pMDIs and SMIs, which have minimal internal resistance, each DPI has a unique internal resistance and requires unique inhalation maneuvers. Furthermore, patients may struggle to remember directions associated with different inhaler types.

DPIs are breath actuated and, unlike most pMDIs and the SMI, rely on the patient’s IF through a resistor during the inhalation maneuver. Peak inspiratory flow (PIF) is defined as the maximal airflow (liters per minute) achieved during a forced inspiratory maneuver. Patients’ inspiratory effort depends on their respiratory muscle strength and the lung volume from which they initiate the inhalation (functional residual capacity [FRC], residual volume [RV], or somewhere in between). The patient’s inspiratory effort produces a pressure drop that determines the IF, depending on the inhaler’s specific internal resistance. Effective use of a DPI will depend on the patients’ IF (optimal PIF is preferred) as well as the turbulence generated by the specific internal resistance of the DPI. Sufficient effort, pressure drop, and consequent PIF are needed for effective release of the dry powder from the capsule, blister pack, or reservoir; disaggregation of drug-carrier agglomerates; and optimal dispersal and deposition of respirable drug particles (<5 μm in mass median aerodynamic diameter) into the lower Airways. Other factors that affect optimal drug delivery with DPIs include incorrect inhaler preparation, poor clinical status, and—because of the powder formulation—a humid environment.

Internal resistances vary substantially across DPIs; therefore, the degree of flow and force needed on behalf of the patient for effective drug dispersal and lung deposition also varies. “Optimal” PIF is the IF needed to generate a high fine-particle fraction, which enables an adequate proportion of the total drug (fine particle [1–5 μm] dose) to be delivered throughout the lungs. A PIF of <60 L/min is generally considered to be suboptimal (below the optimal threshold for the inhaler) for most DPIs, however, “optimal” PIFs ranging from 30 to 65 L/min have been reported for different DPIs depending on each DPI’s unique internal resistance.

A “suboptimal” PIF can result in inadequate drug-carrier disaggregation and insufficient drug deposition deep in the airways, which can lead to side effects from oropharyngeal deposition. In some studies, older age, female sex, short stature, and low forced vital capacity (FVC) and inspiratory capacity were associated with low PIFs. However, other studies have not shown any association between age, sex, height, or FVC and PIF. Inspiratory muscle weakness, hyperinflation, concurrent exacerbation, or cachexia may also decrease PIF. The patient’s clinical status should be considered when measuring PIF—if a minimal value is chosen when the patient is clinically stable, exacerbations may lead to a below minimally acceptable PIF.

Given that multiple patient and clinical factors can potentially impact PIF, direct measurement is necessary to confirm that patients are able to optimally use the selected DPI. Although inhalation parameters can be measured using
spirometry, assessment of PIF using conventional spirometry does not account for the internal resistance of DPIs and, thus, does not directly determine a patient’s ability to generate the device-specific PIF required. The In-Check™ DIAL inspiratory flow meter, however, is a device that can be used to assess PIF against the simulated internal resistance of a specific DPI; no (R0), low (R1), medium low (R2), medium (R3), medium high (R4), or high (R5) resistance (Table 1; https://editage.sharefile.com/share/view/s744a7fee41d1d49bf9f345fd8d59291a7). Results from measurements obtained using the device indicate whether a patient can achieve the optimal PIF for the selected DPI and can be helpful in guiding patients’ inspiratory effort for the specific DPI. However, all clinicians—especially those in developing countries—may not have access to the In-Check™ DIAL. In addition to the In-Check™ DIAL, other measurement devices (attachments for DPIs or built-in devices) are in development or available to determine inhalation parameters through DPIs (Supplementary Methods). Alternatively, PIF can be measured using an inhalation profile recorder.

PIF is a potential indicator for effective DPI use; however, the approach for measuring PIF is not standardized. Therefore, an accurate assessment of the prevalence of suboptimal PIF and its impact on clinical outcomes cannot be ascertained. Variables in PIF assessment include the device used for assessment, instructions for exhalation before inhalation (eg, from RV; after forced expiration or slow exhalation vs FRC; after passive end-tidal expiration), number of resistance settings tested, physical position of the patient with the inhaler, and PIF calculation (one measurement vs average of multiple measurements vs best of multiple measurements). Notably, the intensity of inspiratory effort (eg, “sharp,” “quick,” “maximal,” “forceful,” and “full”) differs based on patients’ perceptions and varies for each inhaler according to the respective Instructions for Use. For example, a “sharp, maximal” effort will produce a different PIF versus a “full, deep” breath.

Herein, we describe findings from a systematic evaluation of recommended and applied PIF assessment approaches and, accordingly, propose a practical and standardized method of PIF measurement.

**Methods**

**Consensus Development**

An international panel of experts was convened under the leadership of J.A.O., D.A.M., G.T.F., and O.S.U. We agreed that a standardized approach to measuring PIF in clinical trials and daily clinical practice was needed and posed the following three questions that needed to be addressed: (1) How should patients and the PIF assessment device be positioned during assessment?, (2) How should patients be instructed to exhale before assessment?, and (3) How should patients be instructed to inhale during assessment? We devised an approach to collect relevant information from published sources (Instructions for Use of In-Check™ DIALs and applicable DPIs, as well as

---

**Table 1** Inhaler Classification Based on Internal Resistance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inhaler Classification Based on Internal Resistance</th>
<th>Inhalers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Zero R0 Multiple pMDIs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zero R0 Respinak® (SMI)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low R1 Brezhaler® (Neohaler®a in the United States)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium low Accuhaler®/Diskus®a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium low Diskhaler®</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium low Elipta®a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium low Inhup®</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium R3 Genuair®® (Pressair®a in the United States)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium R3 Spiromax®® (RespiClick®a in the United States)/Digihaler™</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium R3 Clickhaler™®</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium R3 Turbuhaler®® (Symbicort®)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium high Tubuhaler®® (Pulmicort®) (Flexhaler® in the United States)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium high Easyhaler®® C (combination)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium high Twistrhaler®</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium high NEXThalera®</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High R5 Easyhaler®® M (monotherapy)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High R5 HandiHaler®a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: *Included in the present analysis.**

**Abbreviations:** pMDI, pressurized metered-dose inhaler; SMI, soft-mist inhaler.
published literature), reviewed the information, and drafted recommendations based on the findings, as well as our clinical and research experience. Consensus on the recommendations was achieved through iterative discussion and review of available literature.

**In-Check™ DIAL and DPIs**

Instructions for Use of the In-Check™ DIAL and In-Check™ DIAL G16 (Clement Clarke International Ltd., Essex, UK) for the assessment of PIF were obtained from the Instructions for Use brochures. Information regarding daily use of the following eight DPIs approved by the United States Food and Drug Administration (FDA) for use in the treatment of COPD and prescribed in the United States at the time of the analysis was obtained from the Instructions for Use of the respective DPIs: Diskus® (GlaxoSmithKline, Research Triangle Park, NC, USA), Ellipta® (GlaxoSmithKline, Research Triangle Park, NC, USA), HandiHaler® (Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Ridgefield, CT, USA), NeoHaler® (Sunovion Pharmaceuticals Inc., Marlborough, MA, USA), Pressair® (AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals LP, Wilmington, DE, USA), RespiClick® (Teva Respiratory, LLC, Horsham, PA, USA), Digitalhaler™ (Teva Respiratory, LLC, Frazer, PA, USA), and Inhub® (Mylan Pharmaceuticals Inc., Morgantown, WV, USA).

**Clinical and Real-Life Studies**

To identify how PIF was measured in various clinical and real-life studies, we conducted a PubMed search from inception to August 31, 2021, using the following search string: (“Peak inspiratory flow” OR PIF) AND (“dry powder inhaler” OR DPI). Search results were imported into an EndNote (Clarivate Analytics, Philadelphia, PA, USA) library. Abstracts and full texts were reviewed independently verified by all authors. Reports of PIF measurement in patients with COPD using DPIs were used in the analysis. In addition, authors contributed reports from their personal libraries that were not captured during the PubMed search as applicable.

**Results**

We documented basic directions included in the Instructions for Use of the In-Check™ DIAL (Table 2), daily use sections of the Instructions for Use of the eight DPIs approved by the FDA for use in COPD (Table 3), and the methodology sections of published reports of studies where PIF was assessed among patients with COPD using DPIs (Supplementary Table 1), as well as the thresholds for optimal and/or suboptimal PIFs from published reports of studies and the mean PIF value measured, where available (Supplementary Table 2). Instructions on how to exhale before the inhalation maneuver, the inhalation maneuver itself, and post-inhalation breath-hold varied (Tables 2, 3, and Supplementary Table 1). In many instances, instructions seemed vague and/or incomplete, and no clear guidance as to whether to measure PIF from RV or FRC was provided. Likewise, specific details regarding how PIF was measured in clinical and real-life studies were often vague, incomplete, or absent.

**In-Check™ DIAL**

How to assess whether a patient can achieve PIF within the clinically effective range of a particular DPI is outlined in the Instructions for Use of the In-Check™ DIAL (Table 2). A notable difference between the 2010 (In-Check™ DIAL) and 2016 (In-Check™ DIAL G16) versions of the device is the instruction recommended by the manufacturer to “exhale slowly and deeply” (2010) versus “exhale fully” (2016) before inhalation. No additional direction is provided in either instance; however, the instructions accompanying the In-Check™ G16 more closely mimic patient instructions for most DPIs. No instruction regarding the physical position of the patient’s head or body or the inhaler/In-Check™ DIAL is provided in the Instructions for Use. However, a video demonstrating the use of the In-Check™ DIAL, which shows that the device should be held horizontally during PIF measurement after exhalation, is available on the manufacturer’s website.

**Prescribing Information of DPIs**

Steps for daily use of the eight DPIs are summarized in Table 3. Instructions for exhalation included “breathe out (exhale) as long as you can” (Diskus® and Inhub®), “breathe out (exhale) fully” (Ellipta® and NeoHaler®), “breathe out completely in one breath, emptying your lungs of any air” (HandiHaler®), “breathe out completely” (Pressair®), and breathe out (exhale) through your mouth and push as much air from your lungs as you can (RespiClick® and Digitalhaler™). Instructions for inhalation included “breathe in quickly and deeply” (Diskus®, Inhub™, RespiClick®, and Digitalhaler™); “take a long, steady, deep breath” (Ellipta®); “breathe in deeply until your lungs are full” (HandiHaler®); “breathe in rapidly but steadily, as
Table 2 Instructions for Use of the In-Check™ DIAL

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>In-Check™ DIAL 10 2010</th>
<th>In-Check™ DIAL G16 16 2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Reset the In-Check™ DIAL: hold the instrument vertically with the mouthpiece uppermost, so that the rounded end of the meter can be tapped against the other hand or a horizontal surface, such as a table. A gentle tap will dislodge the magnetic resetting weight, which will return the red cursor to a start position. When this has happened, the meter must turn through 180 degrees to return the magnetic weight to its resting position.</td>
<td>1. Reset the In-Check™ DIAL G16: hold the instrument vertically with the mouthpiece uppermost, so that the rounded end of the meter can be tapped against the other hand or a horizontal surface, such as a table. A hard tap will dislodge the magnetic resetting weight, which will return the red cursor to a start position.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Align the scale with the desired inhaler device—an audible “click” should be heard</td>
<td>2. Align the dial selector with the desired colored icon—an audible “click” should be heard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Attach a clean mouthpiece (small mouthpieces can be used with the supplied adaptor)</td>
<td>3. Attach a clean mouthpiece. Disposable 1-way inspiratory mouthpieces are preferred</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Ask the patient to exhale slowly and deeply</td>
<td>4. Ask the patient to exhale fully</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Seal lips around the mouthpiece. According to the inhaler chosen, instruct the patient to inhale in the manner recommended by the manufacturer</td>
<td>5. Ask the patient to seal their lips around the mouthpiece. According to the inhaler chosen, instruct the patient to inhale in the manner recommended by the manufacturer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Record the inspiratory flow from the position of the red cursor against the scale. Reset, and repeat 2 or more times</td>
<td>6. Record the inspiratory flow from the position of the red cursor against the scale. Reset, and repeat 2 more times, ensuring correct technique each time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Compare values achieved with target flows for that device. To operate an inhaler device optimally, the patient should be able to achieve a flow rate within the optimal range. If other inhalers are used, then repeat steps 1 to 7</td>
<td>7. Compare values achieved with target flows for that device. To operate an inhaler device correctly, the patient should be able to achieve a flow rate within the clinically effective range (eg, Accuhaler®, clinically effective flow rate 30–90 L/min)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. If after repeated training the patient is not able to achieve these values, then the healthcare professional may wish to assess the patient’s ability to use an alternative type of inhaler</td>
<td>8. If after repeated training the patient is not able to achieve these values, then the healthcare professional may wish to assess the patient’s ability to use an alternative type of inhaler</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Consult the Instructions for Use and manufacturer’s website for cleaning/hygiene instructions.40

deeper as you can” (Neohaler®),43 and “take a strong, deep breath” (Pressair®).44

Instructions for patients’ head positioning were included for HandiHaler® (“hold your head in an upright position while you are looking straight ahead”)42 and Pressair® (“hold your head upright”).44 Instructions for patients’ body positioning were not included for any other DPI. Most Instructions for Use included the following statement: The actual amount of drug delivered to the lung will depend on patient factors, such as IF profile.40–47

Instructions for inhaler positioning were provided for all DPIs.

PIF in Published Studies

Of the 128 articles retrieved, 40 were reports of studies where PIF was measured through a DPI or using the In-Check™ DIAL in patients with COPD.7,8,12,20–24,26,32,34,35,48–75 Reports of asthma studies (n = 30); review articles (n = 10); and non-English language articles, editorials, and articles involving healthy subjects or patients with other respiratory diseases; or reports from modeling/simulations (n = 48) were excluded. An additional seven articles were included from the authors’ personal libraries,33,76–81 therefore, a total of 47 reports were included in the analysis.

PIF was measured using DPIs and/or inhalation profile recorders in 25 (53.2%) studies and the In-Check™ DIAL or other devices in the remaining 22 (46.8%) studies (Supplementary Table 1). In most published reports of studies where PIF was measured using the In-Check™ DIAL, thresholds for optimal and/or suboptimal PIF were reported (Supplementary Table 2).

In a few studies, patients were reportedly seated during inhalation.12,22,24,52,64,72 The position of the inhaler was reported in three studies to be vertical (Pulvinal™ and Turbuhaler®)62 or upright (NEXThaler®70 and Turbuhaler®74). The position of the patient’s head during inhalation was not reported in any study. Overall, the PIF measurement details, including inhalation instructions, were provided or participants were referred to patient information leaflets in 35 of the 47 studies (74.5%; Supplementary Table 1)7,12,21,22,26,32,34,48,51–66,68,70–72,74–76,78,79 Instructions for exhalation before the inhalation maneuver were provided in 14 (29.8%) studies and included “exhale gently to FRC,”12,26,60,66 “complete exhalation,”21,23,57,74 “exhale to RV,”60,75 “deeply
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DPI (Medication)</th>
<th>Inhalation Maneuver Instructions (Based on Prescribing Information)</th>
<th>Peak Inspiratory Flow (Range) Through Inhaler as Reported in the Applicable Prescribing Information</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Diskus® (salmeterol)** | ● Always use the Diskus® in a level, flat position with the mouthpiece toward you  
● Before you breathe in your dose from the Diskus®, **breathe out (exhale) as long as you can** while you hold the Diskus® level and away from your mouth. Do not breathe into the mouthpiece  
● Put the mouthpiece to your lips. **Breathe in quickly and deeply** through the Diskus®. Do not breathe in through your nose  
● Remove the Diskus® from your mouth and hold your breath for about 10 seconds, or for as long as is comfortable for you  
● Breathe out slowly as long as you can | Mean: 82.4 L/min (46.1–115.3 L/min) in adult subjects with obstructive lung disease and severely compromised lung function (mean FEV₁ 20%–30% of predicted) |
| **Ellipta® (vilanterol and umeclidinium)** | ● While holding the inhaler away from your mouth, **breathe out (exhale) fully**. Do not breathe out into the mouthpiece  
● Put the mouthpiece between your lips and close your lips firmly around it. Your lips should fit over the curved shape of the mouthpiece  
● **Take a long, steady, deep breath** in through your mouth. Do not breathe in through your nose  
● Do not block the air vent with your fingers  
● Remove the inhaler from your mouth and hold your breath for about 3 to 4 seconds (or for as long as is comfortable for you)  
● Breathe out slowly and gently | Mean: 66.5 L/min (43.5–81.0 L/min) in adult subjects with COPD with FEV₁/FVC <70% and FEV₁ <30% of predicted or FEV₁ <50% of predicted plus chronic respiratory failure |
| **HandiHaler® (tiotropium)** | ● **Breathe out completely in one breath, emptying your lungs of any air**. Do not breathe into your HandiHaler® device  
● Hold your head in an upright position while you are looking straight ahead  
● Raise your HandiHaler® device to your mouth in a horizontal position. Do not block the air intake vents  
● Close your lips tightly around the mouthpiece  
● **Breathe in deeply until your lungs are full**. You should hear or feel the Spiriva® capsule vibrate (rattle)  
● Hold your breath for a few seconds and, at the same time, take your HandiHaler® device out of your mouth  
● Breathe normally again  
● To get your full daily dose, you must again breathe out completely, and for a second time, breathe in from the same Spiriva® capsule | Median: 30.0 L/min (20.4–45.6 L/min) in adult patients with COPD and severely compromised lung function (mean FEV₁: 1.02 L [range: 0.45–2.24 L]; 37.6% of predicted [range: 16%–65%]) |

*(Continued)*
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DPI (Medication)</th>
<th>Inhalation Maneuver Instructions (Based on Prescribing Information)</th>
<th>Peak Inspiratory Flow (Range) Through Inhaler as Reported in the Applicable Prescribing Information</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Neohaler® (indacaterol and glycopyrrolate) | - Hold the inhaler as shown in the figure in the prescribing information  
- Before placing the mouthpiece in your mouth, breathe out fully. Do not blow into the mouthpiece  
- Before breathing in make sure that the piercing buttons are to the left and right of the inhaler (not up and down)  
- Place the mouthpiece in your mouth and close your lips firmly around the mouthpiece  
- Breathe in rapidly but steadily, as deeply as you can. Do not press the piercing buttons  
- As you breathe in through the inhaler, the capsule spins around in the chamber and you should hear a whirring noise  
- Continue to hold your breath for at least 5 to 10 seconds or for as long as comfortably possible while removing the inhaler from your mouth. Then breathe out | Mean: 95 L/min (52–133 L/min) in adult patients with COPD of varying severity |
| Pressair® (aclidinium) | - Hold the inhaler horizontally with the mouthpiece facing you and the green button on top  
- Hold the inhaler away from your mouth and breathe out completely. Never breathe out into the inhaler  
- Hold your head upright, put the mouthpiece between your lips, and close your lips tightly around the mouthpiece  
- Take a strong, deep breath through your mouth. Keep breathing in for as long as possible  
- Take the inhaler out of your mouth. Hold your breath for as long as possible. Slowly breathe out, away from the inhaler | Mean: 63 L/min by in vitro testing |
| RespiClick® (albuterol sulfate) and Digihaler™ (albuterol sulfate) | - Hold the inhaler upright and open the red cap fully until you feel and hear a “click”  
- Each time you open the red cap and it “clicks”, a dose of ProAir RespiClick®/Digihaler™ is ready to be inhaled  
- Before you inhale, breathe out (exhale) through your mouth and push as much air from your lungs as you can  
- Do not exhale into the inhaler mouthpiece  
- Put the mouthpiece in your mouth and close your lips tightly around it  
- Do not block the vent above the mouthpiece with your lips or fingers  
- Breathe in quickly and deeply through your mouth, to deliver the dose of medicine to your lungs  
- Remove the inhaler from your mouth  
- Hold your breath for about 10 seconds or for as long as you comfortably can | Mean: >60 L/min (31–110 L/min) |

(Continued)
Table 3 (Continued).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DPI (Medication)</th>
<th>Inhalation Maneuver Instructions (Based on Prescribing Information)</th>
<th>Peak Inspiratory Flow (Range) Through Inhaler as Reported in the Applicable Prescribing Information</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Inhub® (fluticasone propionate and salmeterol) | • Hold the Inhub® in the vertical position  
• Before you breathe in your dose from the Inhub®, breathe out (exhale) as long as you can while you hold the Inhub® away from your mouth. Do not breathe into the mouthpiece  
• Put the mouthpiece to your lips. Breathe in quickly and deeply through the Inhub®. Do not breathe in through your nose  
• Remove the Inhub® from your mouth and hold your breath for about 10 seconds, or for as long as is comfortable for you  
• Breathe out slowly for as long as you can | 60 L/min under standardized in vitro test conditions |

Abbreviations: COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; DPI, dry powder inhaler; FEV₁, forced expiratory volume in 1 second; FVC, forced vital capacity.

Discussion

The ability to generate an optimal IF is essential for effective aerosol drug delivery from a DPI. Therefore, standardization of PIF measurements is critical to making uniform decisions in clinical practice. In this systematic evaluation of recommended and applied PIF assessment approaches, we observed considerable variations in the way patients were instructed to use their inhalers, as well as how PIF was measured in clinical and real-life studies.

First, the Instructions for Use for the In-Check™ DIAL lacked specific guidance regarding the positioning of the patient’s head and body or inhaler. Likewise, steps for daily use of the eight analyzed DPIs varied in many respects and lacked in-depth instruction. Furthermore, instructions provided to patients during PIF assessments in clinical and real-life studies were diverse and often vague. In a few studies, instructions were in line with patient information leaflets/Instructions for Use brochures. In other studies, the instructions were not consistent with the Instructions for Use leaflet for the DPI being evaluated. The contents of the Instructions for Use of the In-Check™ DIAL were consistent with instructions provided to patients using DPIs in one study but not in others. In clinical studies, patients were often provided rather straightforward, but inconsistent, instructions for the inhalation maneuver; however, they were seldom clearly instructed on how to exhale beforehand. Therefore, patients were not optimally preparing for the PIF assessment.

Factors such as the position of the patient’s head such that the chin is slightly upward affect drug deposition and, subsequently, outcomes in asthma. The effect of posture on aerosol delivery from DPIs has not been reported. However, in a study evaluating regional lung deposition using a nebulized drug (median mass aerodynamic diameter of 4.9 µm), aerosol delivery was shifted to the bronchial airways rather than the alveolar region when the drug was administered in the supine versus seated position. Differences in FRC and regional changes in...
ventilation accounted for these findings. We recommend that patients maintain an upright seated or erect standing position—with the chin pointed slightly upward—during the PIF assessment process.

In the Instructions for Use of the In-Check™ DIAL and DPIs and in clinical and real-life studies, the definition of “full exhalation” varied. In a large observational prospective study, the ability of patients with COPD to breathe out completely in one breath was reported to be one of the most problematic steps with inhaled medication use. Although exhaling completely is recommended in the Instructions for Use for most DPIs, expert opinion suggests that patients who exhale “fully and completely” (down to RV) could collapse their small airways at very low lung volumes. There are, however, no published data that support this widely held opinion other than the textbook by Bouhuys that notes that breathing at low lung volumes for prolonged periods results in atelectasis. Therefore, during the subsequent inhalation phase, the “energy” generated by the inhaled breath/volume must overcome the “opening” of the collapsed small airways caused by exhaling “fully and completely.” The resultant energy available during inhalation to overcome airway collapse may be (not yet proven) inadequate to generate the required inspiratory acceleration and energy necessary to optimally activate the DPI. Although RV is a desirable measurement point, it is often difficult to assess and obtain. Furthermore, patients who are hospitalized or frequently ill are unable to exhale to RV. Therefore, considering these variabilities and potential challenges with complete exhalation, we recommend “breathing out slowly and fully,” which is generally consistent with the Instructions for Use for DPIs and can be realistically performed by a patient in a clinical setting.

Although patients were generally provided with the actual direction about the inhalation maneuver in clinical studies, instructions varied. Patients were instructed to breathe in “rapidly/forcefully and deeply” in some studies, but in others, they were told to take “long/hard and fast” or “quick” breaths. The inhalation maneuver is one of the problematic steps that could potentially lead to errors with inhaled medication use, and its effect on drug deposition has been reported in several studies. In studies using Diskus® and Aerolizer®, a “hard and deep” inhalation resulted in higher urinary salbutamol excretion and was recommended in patients with poor inspiratory effort, such as the elderly. In a separate study, patients using indacaterol Breezhaler® (Neohaler®) were instructed to “inhale as hard and fast as they can from the start of the inhalation maneuver and for as long as possible” to maximize drug delivery. PIF needs to be reached almost immediately (approximately 1 second) after the inhalation maneuver begins, and the achieved level of inspiration needs to be maintained during the entire inhalation maneuver (inspiratory profile) for effective drug release. A limitation of the In-Check™ Dial is that only PIF is measured, not the flow over the entire inspiratory profile or the timing in the inspiratory cycle when PIF is reached. Hence, at what time the patient is achieving PIF—in the first few seconds, when needed, or later—is not known. We recommend that patients should “inhale as fast, and as forcefully and deeply” as they can once their lips are sealed around the mouthpiece and should maintain this level of inhalation for as long as possible.

Finally, we recommend that a maximum of three consecutive measures should be used to determine the highest PIF versus the average of two or three measures; appropriate hygiene instructions should be followed for the In-Check™ DIAL; and the decision to assess PIF should be made by the healthcare provider based on patient-level information (eg, health status) and guidance set forth by organizations such as the American Thoracic Society regarding pulmonary function testing.

We aimed to provide recommendations that are aligned with real-world experience and directions for performing spirometry so that they are useful, practical, and ultimately beneficial to patients (Table 4). Our recommendations are largely based on the In-Check™ DIAL; recommendations for other PIF measurement devices may differ. Suboptimal PIF (ie, PIF below the optimal threshold for the selected DPI) has been widely reported, can result in less than favorable outcomes, and may be associated with hospital readmissions. With an optimal PIF, sufficient dose of medication is more likely to be delivered, which should contribute to better clinical outcomes. Of note, however, the definition of “optimal” PIF for each individual DPI and the methods to measure PIF continue to be investigated.

Limitations to our evaluation include extrapolation from in vitro data and difficulty in providing a precise cutoff for the “suboptimal” PIF for each DPI. Findings from studies attempting to correlate suboptimal PIF with clinical outcomes were inconsistent. Furthermore, our recommendations are based on evidence in clinical practice and...
require further evaluation. Studies in which the impact of different inspiratory techniques (breathing out completely vs as much as possible, sitting vs standing) on PIF measurement are lacking, and additional studies are warranted.

Conclusions
Our analysis of recommended and applied PIF assessment approaches revealed considerable variations in the way PIF is measured for the use of DPIs. Standardization of PIF measurements is needed because a patient’s ability to generate an optimal IF is essential for effective drug delivery from a DPI. Based on current evidence, we recommend that while in a seated position, patients comfortably exhale slowly and fully, then inhale through the mouthpiece as fast and as forcefully and deeply as they can and maintain that level of inhalation for as long as possible. If adopted, these recommendations should yield PIF measurements that are conducted in a reproducible, standardized manner, which will help clinicians select the appropriate inhaler for each patient and, if selecting a DPI, help patients achieve the optimal PIF needed for effective drug dispersal and deposition.

Abbreviations
COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; DPI, dry powder inhaler; FDA, Food and Drug Administration; FRC, functional residual capacity; FVC, forced vital capacity; IF, inspiratory flow; PIF, peak inspiratory flow; pMDI, pressurized metered-dose inhaler; RV, residual volume; SMI, soft-mist inhaler.

Table 4 Summary of Main Recommendations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Recommendations</th>
<th>Rationale</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Position</td>
<td>Maintain an upright seated or erect standing position—with the chin pointed slightly upward—during the PIF assessment process</td>
<td>Positioning the patient’s head to have the chin slightly upward has been shown to affect drug deposition and, subsequently, outcomes in asthma.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exhalation</td>
<td>Breathe out slowly and fully</td>
<td>Patients who exhale “fully and completely” could cause collapse of their small airways at low lung volumes. During the subsequent inhalation phase, the “energy” generated by the inhaled breath/volume has to overcome the “opening” of the collapsed small airways that have been induced by exhaling “fully and completely.” The resultant energy available during inhalation to overcome airway collapse may be (not yet proven) inadequate to generate the required inspiratory acceleration and energy necessary to optimally activate the DPI.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inhalation</td>
<td>Inhale as fast, forcefully, and deeply as you can once your lips are sealed around the mouthpiece and maintain this level of inhalation for as long as possible</td>
<td>PIF needs to be reached almost immediately (approximately 1 second) after the inhalation maneuver begins, and the achieved level of inspiration needs to be maintained during the entire inhalation maneuver (inspiratory profile) for effective drug release.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: DPI, dry powder inhaler; PIF, peak inspiratory flow.
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