The establishment, maintenance, and adaptation of high- and low-impact chronic pain: a framework for biopsychosocial pain research


1. Introduction

We present a framework for the study of states of chronic pain and transitions between those states. We capture in the framework the dynamic nature of pain: people live with pain that changes over time. First, we offer definitions of both acute and chronic pain and explore the contextual considerations related to the common use of this temporal dichotomy. Second, we promote the importance of incorporating the impact pain has on a person’s life. Finally, we discuss the challenges and opportunities inherent in implementing this common approach. Our goal is to produce a framework for the study of the development, maintenance, and resolution of chronic pain.

2. A person’s pain status

Pain is defined as “an unpleasant sensory and emotional experience associated with, or resembling that associated with, actual or potential tissue damage.” There is a logical case of a state of “no pain,” but to have no pain is a rare occurrence, only recently made possible by the advent of anaesthesia/analgesia. A state of the continuous absence of any pain at all is profoundly abnormal, appearing only as congenital nociceptor deficiency or dysfunction. It is far from adaptive, notably leading to major clinical problems associated with the absence of defensive responding and learning with a consequent severe shortening of life expectancy.

2.1. Acute and chronic

The terms “acute” and “chronic” represent a temporal dichotomy, with “acute” meaning short lived or immediate, and chronic meaning long term. Colloquially, however, both can be used interchangeably to mean “bad,” causing confusion in clinical encounters when patients use these as terms for severity or impact and clinicians use them for temporality.

In this article, we use “acute pain” to mean pain of short duration, without any implication of severity or urgency. The pragmatic challenge in its use is the length of this short duration. Acute pain is typically defined as lasting from onset to 3 months in duration and so encompasses everything from a momentary muscular “cramp” to postoperative pain. Therefore, acute pain is both common and a normal part of everyday life. The few studies that establish the base rate of everyday pain generally report high incidence. For example, in a observational study of everyday pain in 3- to 7-year-olds, the event rate was 0.33 incidents per hour per child. Painful bumps and
scrapes in the playground are normal. For adults, episodes of naturally occurring acute pain are also common. In a European study of 8506 patients, 70% of adults reported at least 1 pain event a month (such as headache, menstrual pain, and muscle pain). Acute pain can also occur deliberately as part of a social process (e.g., body adornment, contact sport, or ritual). However, most everyday acute pain does not require clinical intervention; it is self-limiting.

Clinical studies often focus on pain related to medical procedures or that occurs as the result of illness, disease, or injury (accidental, self-induced, or medically induced), and although acute pain can be relatively straightforward to manage, in particular when the timing and extent of trauma are controlled, it is not always so simple. Acute pain can be complicated for healthcare professionals to assess and manage when there are concurrent symptoms or conditions, it occurs in the presence of chronic pain, or when communication about pain is difficult, for instance in an emergency.

Furthermore, we recognise that the 3-month limit is an arbitrary distinction that can lead to problems. For example, it does not account for life stage. Consider the case of new-born babies who receive repeated needle sticks for diagnostic tests: Each pain may be acute, but the pain has been present for most of the child’s life. For a baby, less than 3 months is not a “short” duration. These and other concerns have led some to question the focus on duration in definitions, suggesting instead a focus on presumed mechanism.

Pain of longer duration is known as “chronic pain.” Typically, chronic means having lasted for 3 months or more, as captured in the IASP definition and more recently included in ICD-11. Historically, chronic pain was considered to start at 6 months for adults and 3 months for children. The problem of definitions that privilege duration has been discussed, and 3 months for adult and child is now thought more clinically relevant. Given the consensus on the use of a 3-month definition, this serves as the dividing boundary that differentiates chronic from acute pain.

This definition has some difficulties. As with acute pain, 3 months is an absolute cutoff: 3 months for a 6-month-old is 50% of a life, whereas for a 60-year-old, 3 months is 0.4% of a life. If a child of 2 months has lived their life in pain, this definition of chronic would not apply, which in some circumstances would be more clinically meaningful. Furthermore, many people report pain that changes in quality or location and which fluctuates and/or is episodic. Consider that the International Headache Society classifies a number of chronic headaches as episodic with different decisions about the number, frequency, and extent of episodes, as do the Rome IV Diagnostic Criteria for Functional Gastrointestinal Disorders, which are less exact and use phrases such as “continuous,” "nearly continuous,” and “intermittent.” Including frequency in the definition of chronic low back pain may be useful and lead to a more accurate identification of treatment responders. In short, a category of chronic pain needs to be useful and lead to a more accurate identification of treatment responders. 22 Given the consensus on the need for a 3-month limit, this serves as the dividing boundary that differentiates chronic from acute pain.

Duration is not a good predictor of impact. A momentary pain of an accident, incident, or procedure can have drastic effects on a person. One example is the cumulative effects of repeated exposure to acute pain in new-born babies on brain development. Another is the potential role of discrete painful events as psychologically traumatic, leading to major impact. And, a third is of uncontrolled pain near end of life, which may last less than 3 months but which can be devastating for a person and significant others.

Discriminating by impact is more common in considerations of chronic pain. In particular, “high-impact chronic pain” has been defined in the United States as activity limitation47 and later as activity and participation limitation, contrasted with a category of chronic pain without limitations. This thinking was more recently captured in the Graded Chronic Pain Scale Revised as high impact compared with mild or bothersome pain. These categories allow for greater discrimination when trying to bridge between population-based studies of prevalence of chronic pain and clinical studies with adults expressing healthcare needs. The prevalence of adults with high-impact chronic pain is more typically estimated conservatively as at least 5%, in contrast to the headline population figures for all chronic pain, conservatively estimated at 20%.

The idea that chronic pain can have low or no impact is an interesting one. Indeed, the potential for the existence of pain without impact is at the heart of the biopsychosocial model and a treatment goal in psychological rehabilitation. Although the complete resolution of chronic pain is desirable as a treatment objective, the transition to a state of lower impact chronic pain is often more realistic and still an important objective for individuals, healthcare providers, and society. An example is in the context of normal ageing with accommodation to life with increasingly unreachable goals achieved by altering those goals. For our purposes, high impact is defined by the extent of difficulties in function and disability (self-care, occupational engagement, and social activities) in line with the WHO. Again, we propose an informed and context-dependent use, with the need to look beyond simple labels when combining data or insights. It is a useful starting point to explore the specific features of how high impact can be determined from the available measurement.

### 3. States and transition

Taking duration and impact together, we propose a transitional framework for the study of 5 categorical “states” (Table 1 and Fig. 1), which include acute low-impact pain, acute high-impact pain, chronic low-impact pain, chronic high-impact pain, and a “resolved” no chronic pain state.

Although we refer here to pain duration (acute, chronic) and impact (high, low) as dichotomies, we recognise the continuous, overlapping and dynamic aspect of the pain experience. For many people, pain is an additional burden to other diseases. Our choices here are illustrative not ontological providing a framework for investigation—placing an emphasis on measurement and its use within individual investigations. In line with the US pain strategy, we recognise that introducing categories creates...
opportunities for research, in particular population-based research, but can under some circumstances lead to a statistical loss of information.

Table 2 outlines 10 possible trajectories of change in states, representing transitions (or absence of transition) in a person’s pain state. We are interested in the onset of chronic pain, whether it is low or high impact, and its starting point of low- or high-impact acute pain. We are also interested in no change, or the maintenance of chronic pain, whether low or high impact, and the factors that lead to people becoming “stuck” in their pain state. Finally, we are interested in change in state, worsening from low impact to high impact, or improving from high impact to low impact, or a resolution from chronic low- or high-impact pain back: transition to a new normal state in which the specific pain(s) meeting the criteria for chronicity has/have resolved, but the natural rate of everyday pain resumes. These states and transitions are outlined in Figure 1.

4. Further considerations

Our focus on duration and impact raises several issues for consideration:

(1) Pain can be described by its pathological cause, mechanism, intensity, location, frequency, duration, or as a collection of features in a measure of severity. Such features are important, but, in this framework, they would be held in analyses as potential predictors, correlates, or process variables in an examination of impact and duration rather than part of their definition.

(2) The premise that a person can have chronic pain with low impact clashes with the ICD-11 definition of primary chronic pain.
Table 2
Possible transitions between chronic pain states.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chronic pain status</th>
<th>1st observation</th>
<th>2nd observation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Onset</td>
<td>Acute low impact</td>
<td>Chronic low impact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Onset</td>
<td>Acute low impact</td>
<td>Chronic high impact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Onset</td>
<td>Acute high impact</td>
<td>Chronic low impact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change (worsening)</td>
<td>Chronic low impact</td>
<td>Chronic high impact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change (improving)</td>
<td>Chronic high impact</td>
<td>Chronic low impact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change (resolution)</td>
<td>Chronic low impact</td>
<td>Chronic pain resolution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maintenance</td>
<td>Chronic low impact</td>
<td>Chronic low impact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maintenance</td>
<td>Chronic high impact</td>
<td>Chronic high impact</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(1) Chronic pain has an impact beyond the individual to other individuals, to society and to the economy.
(2) The duration-impact framework has been developed in the context of a major UK research programme investigating the psychosocial determinants of high-impact chronic pain funded by the Advanced Pain Discovery Platform. The APDP has a focus on duration and impact, refractory pain, chronic pain, and treatment resistance. Chronic pain can co-occur, but in this framework, we focus on the onset to/from chronic pain.
(3) Related is the assumption that chronic pain is pain that is refractory to treatment. There is a need to establish evidence for refractory chronic pain monitoring treatment(s) and the outcomes of these unsuccessful treatments. We acknowledge that at any time point, acute and chronic pain can co-occur, but in this framework, we focus on the transition to/from chronic pain.
(4) Similarly, Figure 1 is a static representation of changes in pain state. We recognise that one can move between high- and low-impact pain, and that pain can resolve and then relapse.
(5) Living with longstanding pain can have a broad lasting impact on life that might endure past pain resolution.
(6) A focus on duration and impact privileges the individual. Chronic pain has an impact beyond the individual to other individuals, to society, and to the economy.
This duration-impact framework has been developed in the context of a major UK research programme investigating the psychosocial determinants of high-impact chronic pain funded by the Advanced Pain Discovery Platform. The APDP has a focus on duration and impact. Chronic pain has an impact beyond the individual to other individuals, to society, and to the economy.

5. Conclusion
We propose a framework for studying the biopsychosocial influences on the onset, maintenance, and change in chronic pain state. In accepting and interrogating the common dichotomies of duration (acute, chronic) and impact (high, low), we propose the recognition of the challenges inherent in dichotomizing continuous and dynamic experience. Pragmatically, however, this allows us to propose 5 unique states of pain and 10 transitions. This framework promotes a consideration of impact over time on the person with pain and will enable investigation of the causal determinants of states and changes in state.
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