High-resolution in situ stable isotope measurements reveal contrasting atmospheric vapour dynamics above different urban vegetation
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Abstract

We monitored stable water isotopes in liquid precipitation and atmospheric water vapour (\( \delta_v \)) using in situ cavity ring-down spectroscopy (CRDS) over a 2 month period in an urban green space area in Berlin, Germany. Our aim was to better understand the origins of atmospheric moisture and its link to water partitioning under contrasting urban vegetation. \( \delta_v \) was monitored at multiple heights (0.15, 2 and 10 m) in grassland and forest plots. The isotopic composition of \( \delta_v \) above both land uses was highly dynamic and positively correlated with that of rainfall indicating the changing sources of atmospheric moisture. Further, the isotopic composition of \( \delta_v \) showed significant differences, with more enrichment in heavy isotopes indicative of evaporative fractionation especially after rainfall events. Further, disequilibrium between \( \delta_v \) and precipitation composition was evident during and right after rainfall events with more positive values (i.e., values of vapour higher than precipitation) in summer and negative values in winter, which probably results from higher evapotranspiration and more convective precipitation events in summer. Our work showed that it is technically feasible to produce continuous, longer-term data on \( \delta_v \), isotope composition in urban areas from in situ monitoring using CRDS, providing new insights into water cycling and partitioning across the critical zone of an urban green space in Central Europe. Such data have the potential to better constrain the isotopic interface between the atmosphere and the land surface and to thus, improve ecohydrological models that can resolve evapotranspiration fluxes.
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1 | INTRODUCTION

Urban green spaces mediate trade-offs between “green” (which sustain vegetation growth and transport water back to the atmosphere) and “blue” (which sustain streamflow and groundwater recharge) water fluxes (Falkenmark & Rockström, 2006). Green spaces have the potential for high evapotranspiration (ET) rates via soil evaporation (E) and plant transpiration (T) (Kool et al., 2014). They potentially mitigate the Urban Heat Island (UHI) effect, but on the other hand might reduce groundwater recharge and stream flow generation (Schirmer et al., 2013). Understanding, quantifying and optimizing this partitioning of ET/T across urban critical zones is increasingly important in the face of increased urban growth and climatic warming. In addition, wider benefits of urban green spaces—or green infrastructure—are increasingly recognized; these include the potential to enhance infiltration, and ameliorate urban storm runoff (Ahiablame et al., 2012; Keesstra et al., 2018), to increase local biodiversity (Grimm et al., 2008; Kowarik, 2011), to provide social functions through improved health for local residents (Shashua-Bar et al., 2011; Willis & Petrokovsky, 2017) and to improve water security in terms of sufficient provision of good water quality (Aboelnga et al., 2019; Bichai & Cabrera Flamini, 2018). Consequently, as one component of an evidence base for wider urban planning, the trade-offs between higher ET rates (Gunawardena et al., 2017; Wang et al., 2018) and groundwater recharge (Gillefalk et al., 2021), as well as the linked uncertainties, are an increased focus for research (e.g., BMUB (2018)).

Water stable isotopes have proved valuable tools that can help resolve the partitioning of incoming precipitation into different components of ET fluxes or constrain biosphere-atmosphere feedbacks between atmospheric vapour and ET, and thus have high potential to contribute to a scientific evidence-base for managing urban green spaces (Ehleringer et al., 2016; Kuhlmann et al., 2021). Water isotopes have also been shown to be a useful tracer to understand processes and linkages across the soil–plant–atmosphere continuum in different geographic regions (Dubbert & Werner, 2018; Tetzlaff et al., 2021; Yakir & Sternberg, 2000) although critical zone studies in urban areas are still relatively rare (Marx et al., 2022). The use of isotopes includes tracking the effects of evaporation in isotopic fractionation and identifying the effects of seasonality of water sources for different vegetation types (Oerter & Bowen, 2017; Tetzlaff et al., 2015). Numerous isotope studies have used soil water or river water isotopes to assess evaporative effects (Benettin et al., 2018; Kuhlmann et al., 2021), while others have related the composition of xylem water to potential sources of root water uptake (Marx et al., 2022). However, there is still limited knowledge on subdaily dynamics of ET fluxes (Coenders-Gerrits et al., 2014; Singer et al., 2021), especially from urban vegetation (Meli et al., 2021). These processes can now be quantified with innovative high-resolution in situ measurements of stable water isotopes (Beyer et al., 2020; Rothfuss et al., 2021). However, studies using such high-resolution data to investigate how evaporation and/or transpiration affect the isotopic composition of atmospheric vapour (δv) at the surface boundary layer (Berkelhammer et al., 2013; Dubbert et al., 2014; Griffis et al., 2016; Laonamsai et al., 2021; Li et al., 2023; Wei et al., 2019) are especially rare for urban areas (Gorski et al., 2015) and also for elevation profiles above vegetation (Berkelhammer et al., 2013).

The onset of relatively inexpensive cavity ring-down spectroscopes (CRDS) has revolutionized the field of isotope studies allowing efficient tracing of isotopic transformations across the atmospheric water cycle (Galewsky et al., 2016), quantifying ecohydrological interactions (Lee et al., 2005; Werner et al., 2012) and the origin of atmospheric moisture (i.e., evaporation or condensation; Gao et al., 2019). Recent developments in using in situ measurements of stable water isotopes are making use of non-destructive online monitoring techniques and are increasingly advanced (Landgraf et al., 2022; Rothfuss et al., 2021). In terms of analysing δv, grab samples or refrigerated traps for offline analysis in the laboratory were already used in the 1990s (Moreira et al., 1997; Walker & Brunel, 1990) with rapidly accelerating progress in recent years (Herbstrit et al., 2022; Magh et al., 2022). Today, CRDS techniques have been shown to be useful for measuring δv at continuously high-resolution and thus, enabling real-time analysis of δv (Aemisegger et al., 2012; Tremoy et al., 2011; Wei et al., 2015) which can give advanced insights than precipitation alone (Lee et al., 2006). For example, the technique has been successfully deployed for monitoring sub-tropical sub-cloud raindrop evaporation (Li et al., 2020); for testing vapour equilibrium assumption for δ18O cellulose estimates (Penchenat et al., 2020); for investigating partitioning of evapotranspiration over a rice paddy field (Wei et al., 2015); diurnal and intra-seasonal variations in evaporative signals at different heights above the Greenland ice sheet (Steen-Larsen et al., 2013); and to characterize variation in δv and their controlling factors during extreme precipitation events (Xu et al., 2022). To date, however, to our knowledge hardly any in situ studies have assessed δv dynamics in the atmospheric boundary of urban green spaces in Central Europe.

Previous isotopic studies have reported contrasting ecohydrological partitioning under different land use types in urban green spaces (Kuhlmann et al., 2021). A study in Scotland assessed land use influences on isotopic variability revealing that urbanization, intensive agriculture and responsive soils caused rapid cycling of precipitation to stream water (Stevenson et al., 2022). Others found higher ET and older groundwater recharge beneath urban trees, but more marked soil evaporative losses under grassland (Gillefalk et al., 2021). By integrating simple modelling and observational water isotope data, Stevenson et al. (2023) quantified the heterogeneities in urban ecohydrological partitioning and found that median ET increased from grassland, to evergreen shrub, to larger deciduous forest through to larger conifer trees, with groundwater recharge behaving contrary. Mixing models applied to different green spaces in Berlin showed that trees were more dependent on deeper, older soil and groundwater sources, whereas grass very probably recycled shallow, younger soil water in transpiration (Marx et al., 2022). Such isotopic information of water fluxes through the critical zone can be used in ecohydrological models that can resolve ET into its component parts. However, to do this, the isotopic gradient at the atmospheric-land surface interface is usually defined in models assuming δv is in equilibrium with current or recent rainfall (Gat, 1996; Gat, 2000).
Despite now being logistically possible, monitoring $\delta_v$ in situ at different heights and above vegetation canopies is still relatively rare. Braden-Behrens et al. (2019) demonstrated the value of direct in situ eddy covariance measurements of $\delta_v$ in the surface boundary layer. Despite standard model assumptions of an equilibrium between $\delta_v$ and precipitation, $\delta_v$ can be out of equilibrium with local water sources (Fiorella et al., 2019) and can show gradual depletion with altitude (Horita et al., 2008). High-resolution in situ monitoring of $\delta_v$ allows testing of such equilibrium assumptions, but so far, very few studies have tested this with in situ ambient data (Mercer et al., 2020; Penchenat et al., 2020).

Here, we conducted a ‘proof of concept’ study to assess the changing isotopic composition of $\delta_v$ and evaporation dynamics over a 2.5 months period in an urban green space with contrasting landcover. We deployed a laser spectrometer in the field for continuous in situ monitoring of $\delta_v$ in the urban surface boundary layer. Our overarching research question was whether we can generate data with in situ real-time sequential monitoring to increase our understanding of origins of atmospheric moisture and its link to ET dynamics of contrasting urban vegetation. Our specific objectives were to:

i. Investigate dynamics in $\delta_v$ within two contrasting urban vegetation types to understand what types of landcover enhance moisture fluxes back to the atmosphere.

ii. Investigate these changes in relation to related ecohydrological dynamics of soil moisture storage, sap flow rates and biomass accumulation.

iii. Assess the extent of equilibrium between vapour and precipitation.

Based on these assessments, we discuss the future value, challenges and potential in gaining and processing such high-resolution data to improve understanding of ET partitioning at different heights in the atmosphere above different types of landcover in urban green spaces, which would be important for increased process understanding across urban critical zones.

## 2 STUDY SITE

The study was carried out in an urban green space in the SE of Berlin, Germany (Figure 1). Berlin is located on the flat North European Plain where the topography and geology are dominated by deposits from the Pleistocene glaciation (Stackebrandt & Manhenke, 2010). The climate is continental temperate with long-term (1991–2020) mean annual rainfall of 579 mm ranging between stations and mean annual air temperatures of 9.6–10.7 °C (DWD, 2023b). Berlin covers 891 km², with a population of 3.75 million (Amt für Statistik Berlin-Brandenburg, 2023; Figure 1b). The majority of the city is covered by residential areas and streets (~59%), but there are large amounts of green and blue spaces: vegetation covers ~34% (forests, parks, agriculture) plus ~7% surface waters (SenUVK, 2019a).

Our study site is located at the grounds of the Leibniz-Institute of Freshwater Ecology and Inland Fisheries (IGB), roughly 220 m north of Lake Müggelsee (Berlin's largest lake) (Figure 1b). The geology is characterized by sand and gravel deposits of the Berlin-Warsaw glacial spillway, (Geological Atlas Berlin, 2007 SenUVK online). The surrounding district (Figure 1b) is characterized by residential areas and roads (38%), forest (40%), water bodies (12%) and public green space (0.06%; SenUVK, 2019b). The study site is a park-like space with older trees (~30–100 years old) surrounded by brick buildings of former 19th-century water works and extensive rough grassland above subsurface slow sand filter systems, which were used for drinking water treatment until the beginning of the 1990s (SenUVK, 2018, online). Within a 100 m radius of the study site center (Figure 1c), premises are covered by buildings (10%), different types of non-irrigated urban green spaces, including grassland (49%), shrubs (8%) and trees (17%); and streets, semi-permeable or sealed pathways and parking spaces (16%).

The experiment focused on two small areas: one tree dominated, the other grassland dominated and both ~16 m apart (Figure 1c). The grassland site was covered by grass (e.g., *Lolium perenne*).

---

**FIGURE 1** Location of Berlin within Germany and map of Berlin (a, b); and the study site at IGB Berlin (c) with the two sampling sites (75 m radius from centre) grassland with flagmast and tree site with *Acer platanoides*; and installations of atmospheric water vapour in situ measurements, sap flow and soil moisture measurements and precipitation sampler. **Source:** Basemap: Google Satellite.
Arrhenatherum elatius) and herbs (e.g., Trifolium pratense, Achillea millefolium) of 30–50 cm in height. It was mowed twice a year and can be referred to as an urban meadow (Norton et al., 2019). The tree site was dominated by black locust, lime, oak, birch and maple trees. We selected one dominant maple tree (Acer platanoides) with a stem diameter of 550 mm (measured in August 2021) and height of ~16 m. In other studies, Acer platanoides has been shown to have a high drought tolerance (Kunz et al., 2016) and that it can maintain low leaf gas exchange rates (Gillner et al., 2015).

The soils reflect anthropogenic impacts, such as partly backfilled ground after construction work. They are classified as Anthrosols (SenUVK, Aey et al., 2017, online), which consist of debris, sandy materials and a shallow humus layer from extensive gardening.

### 3 | DATA AND METHODS

#### 3.1 | Monitoring

The study period focused on 20 August to 3 November 2021 (though several variables were measured for longer to provide context of antecedent conditions; see details below). Meteorological data (air temperature, precipitation amount, wind speed and direction, relative humidity, air pressure, global radiation (using a weather station, Thies T107 (Campbell Scientific, Inc. Logan; tolerance ±0.2°C (over 0°–50°C)), with a CR300 Datalogger (Campbell Scientific, Inc. Logan). Precipitation and temperature data were verified against data from the German Weather Service (DWD) of the ‘Berlin-Marzahn’ station (DWD, 2023a) (Station ID: 420), ~12 km north of the study site.

Precipitation for stable water isotope analysis was collected using a HDPE deposition sampler (100 cm² opening; Umwelt-Geräte-Technik GmbH, Müncheberg, Germany). Overall, 32 daily and 15 bulk (interval ~ weekly) samples with precipitation >1 mm (to minimize evaporation effects) were collected between July and November 2021. Further, daily precipitation samples were collected ~350 m away from the study site with an autosampler (ISCO 3700, Teledyne Isco, Lincoln) at a 24 h interval. All autosampler bottles were filled with a paraffin layer of >0.5 cm in thickness (after IAEA/GNIP, 2014) to avoid evaporative effects. Additionally, groundwater samples were taken weekly with a subsurface pump (COMET-Pumpen Systemtechnik GmbH & Co. KG, Pfaffschwende, Germany) from a well on the IGB grounds ~300 m away from the site.

For isotope analysis of the liquid water samples at the IGB laboratory, samples were filtered (0.2 μm cellulose acetate) and decanted into 1.5 mL glass vials (LLG LABWARE). They were analysed by cavity ring-down spectroscopy (CRDS) with a L2130-i Isotopic Water Analyser (PICARRO, INC., Santa Clara, CA) using four standards for a linear correction function and which were referenced against three primary standards of the International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA) for calibration [VSMOW2 (Vienna Standard Mean Ocean Water 2), GRESP (Greenland Summit Precipitation) and SLAP2 (Standard Light Antarctic Precipitation 2)]. Liquid samples were injected six times and the first three injections discarded. To screen for interference from organics, the ChemCorrect software (Picarro, Inc.) was applied and contaminated samples were discarded. After quality-checking and averaging multiple samples for each sample, the results were expressed in δ notation with Vienna Standard Mean Ocean Water (VSMOW). Analytical precision was 0.05‰ standard deviation (SD) for δ18O and 0.14‰ SD for δD.

Stable isotopes of atmospheric water vapour (δv) were measured in situ at the tree-dominated and grassland sites, respectively at 0.15, 2 and 10 m height to capture the effects of vegetation heterogeneity and potential turbulence within an urban surface boundary layer. To monitor the elevation profile above the grassland, a 10 m flag mast with ~100 cm long perpendicular poles at the required sample points was set up (Figure 2). At the tree site, we measured directly at the trunk within the canopy of the maple tree. Due to logistical reasons, the measurement campaign could start on 20 August 2021 above the grassland and on 03.09.2021 at the tree site.

![Conceptual diagram of the general in situ isotope measuring setup. Ambient vapour inlets, which were installed at the tree, at the mast above grassland and in the CRDS box are shown as yellow dots with corresponding temperature probes marked as 'T'.](image-url)
We performed in situ real-time sequential measurements of water vapour via CRDS (Picarro L2130-i, Picarro Inc., Santa Clara, CA) placed in a box between the sampling sites. Air inlets and CRDS were connected with polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) tubing (1.6 mm x 3.2 mm). We used PET bottles covered with aluminium foil to prevent the inlets from rain and sun exposure. Each tube inlet (Figure 2) was sampled for 20 min in resolution of seconds. Then sampling was switched automatically to the next one; resulting in a 2-hourly resolution for each inlet. Such sequential monitoring of stable water isotopes is a common technique for longer-term (over several weeks) measurements that include different inlet locations to collect powerful data sets using one CRDS (cf. Rothfuss et al., 2015; Steen-Larsen et al., 2013). We only used the data when a measurement showed stable values (i.e., ranges of 2% for $^2$H and 0.3% for $^{18}$O). In addition, the first 5 min of data after switching inlets were always discarded to avoid memory effects. Prior the vapour entering the CRDS unit, a preceding sub-micron particulate filter was connected to prevent liquid water from entering by creating a low dew point by lowering the air pressure. The sample flow rate was at 0.04 L/min. Water vapour concentrations were always above 6000 ppm (this is where the concentration-dependent deviation becomes low and thus measurement precision is not compromised).

To allow for later conversion of $\delta_v$ measurements into liquid water isotope values, temperature probes were installed at all heights near the tube inlets at both sites with BetaTherm 100K6A11A Thermistors T107 (Campbell Scientific, Inc. Logan; tolerance ±0.2°C (over 0°–50°C)), with a CR300 Datalogger (Campbell Scientific, Inc. Logan) logging mean values every 5 min from second-resolved data.

Condensation can be a significant issue during in situ measurements (Beyer et al., 2020). To avoid tube condensation resulting from temperature differences, heating cables (Llvw.CT/Qx, Quintex GmbH, Lauda-Königshofen, Germany) were installed and wrapped with the tube in insulation material (cf. Cai et al., 2016). The cables were controlled via an automatic multi-socket (Gembird 235 EG-PM52, Gembird Software Ltd., Almere, The Netherlands) to prevent overheating in summer. The PTFE-tubes were flushed weekly with dry air or when condensation was detected for 10 min per probe to remove any water (Beyer et al., 2020). To minimize condensation effects, the measurements were checked daily. Condensation was detected via unstable measurement sequences, when there was no stable plateau of water concentration [ppm] and isotope ratios. In addition, we also checked for condensation at the multiport valve which would be reflected in high and stable water concentrations and sudden drops after the sequence starts together with a gradual rise of isotopic signatures and a sudden drop when the water bubble runs through the tube. Data were discarded when condensation inside the system was identified in the respective tube until normal measurements, that is, without signs of condensations, have been established. Relative humidity at the tube inlets was calculated from water concentration [ppm], temperature and atmospheric pressure with the ‘R’-Package ‘humidity’ (Cai, 2019).

By combining $\delta_v$ and temperature data from each inlet, we derived the values for all heights of temperature-dependent equilibrium fractionation from vapour to liquid (i.e., vapour-liquid equilibrated values) with the correction formulated by Majoube (1971):

$$\alpha = \exp\left(\frac{a}{10^3} + \frac{b}{10^3} + c\right), \quad (1)$$

where $\alpha$ is the isotopic fractionation factor, $T_k$ is the temperature (in K), and $a$, $b$, and $c$ are empirical parameters that vary depending on the isotopologue. All values of isotopic compositions are given in liquid phase and relative to Vienna Standard Mean Ocean Water (VSMOW).

To investigate the local evaporative effects, the line-conditioned excess (short lc-excess) (see Landwehr & Coplen, 2006) was calculated. The lc-excess describes the deviation of the sample from the local meteoric water line (LMWL):

$$lc - \text{excess} = \delta^2H - a \cdot \delta^{18}O - b, \quad (2)$$

where $a$ is the slope and $b$ is the intercept of the weighted isotopic composition of the local precipitation. The LMWL was calculated by amount-weighted least square regression (Hughes & Crawford, 2012) from daily precipitation isotopes measured at IGB from July until November 2021.

In order to ensure stable and reliable values to offset variability in the field, the stability of the CRDS was tested in the lab before installing the setup outside. In addition, during the sampling campaign, we calibrated once a week (cf. calibration periods Steen-Larsen et al., 2013) with two standards. Stored in sealed glass containers, the standards were connected to the CRDS for two-point calibrations via linear regression of the $\delta_v$ measurements (liquid values: light: $^{2}$H $- 109.91$‰/18O $- 17.86$‰; medium: $^{2}$H $- 56.76$‰/18O $- 7.68$‰). To account for times between calibrations, we linearly interpolated the functions between calibration dates.

We also monitored sap velocities and stem circumference of the maple tree to gain further insights into the ecohydrological dynamics of the tree (e.g., transpiration patterns, stem growth). Two sap flow sensors (SFM-4, Umwelt-Geräte-Technik GmbH, Münchingen, Germany; ±0.1 cm/h heat velocity precision) were installed at breast height (1.3 m) at the north and south side of the tree stem. The sap flow sensors work according to the heat ratio method by Marshall (1958). Potential evapotranspiration (PET) was estimated using the FAO Penman-Monteith method (Allen, 1998) with ‘R’-Package ‘Evapotranspiration’ (Guo, 2022). To investigate dynamics during the growing season, both daily and hourly mean sap velocity (cm/h) and PET were then normalized (to sapvelocitynorm and PETnorm respectively) by feature scaling; this allowed identification of periods where sapflow was reduced relative to atmospheric demand. One dendrometer (DR Radius Dendrometer, Ecomatik, Dachau, Ger170; accuracy max. ± 4.5% of the measured value (stable offset)) was also installed to measure stem diameter dynamics [mm] at high temporal resolution to identify tree growth as well as swelling/shrinking patterns. Sap velocity and stem increments were logged as 15 min intervals using a
CR300 Datalogger (Campbell Scientific, Inc. Logan). To get insights into the moisture dynamics underneath canopy, throughfall amount was also sampled manually at a height of 30 cm above ground using four rain gauges (Rain gauge kit, S. Brannan & Sons, Cleator Moor, UK) which were installed 1 and 3 m, respectively, north and south of the tree’s stem.

Volumetric soil water content and soil temperature were measured at both sites (Figure 2) by soil moisture temperature probes (SMT-100, Umwelt-Geräte-Technik GmbH, Müncheberg, Germany) in the upper soil at 6 cm depth. Recording took place with a CR800 Datalogger (Campbell Scientific, Inc. Logan) with a 15 min frequency and a precision of ±3% for volumetric soil water content and ±0.2°C for soil temperature. Groundwater level in one well was monitored with an automatic datalogger (groundwater level probe) at an interval of 15 min (see location in Figure 1c).

3.2 Testing differences, correlations and the equilibrium assumption

In order to analyse differences and correlations between the different data sets to understand ecohydrological interactions, we performed several statistical tests. Each data set was tested for normality using Shapiro–Wilk (Shapiro & Wilk, 1965). If normally distributed, we performed simple t-statistics (Student, 1908) to test for significant differences. If data were skewed or non-normal, we performed non-parametric alternatives: Wilcoxon signed-rank test for two groups (Wilcoxon, 1945) and Kruskal-Wallis test by ranks for more than two groups (Kruskal & Wallis, 1952). To test correlations of non-linear data we applied Spearman’s rho statistic (Spearman, 1904).

Due to the nature of the high-resolution data set of δv, we could also test the equilibrium assumption of δv and δ precipitation for the sampling period using the following equation:

$$\Delta R_{\text{atm}} = R_v - R_p,$$

where $R_v$ and $R_p$ are the liquid Majoube-corrected isotope ratios of $\delta_v$ and liquid isotope ratios of precipitation and $\Delta R_{\text{atm}}$ is the difference in isotope ratios of water vapour and precipitation in atmosphere. If $\Delta R_{\text{atm}} = 0$, a perfect equilibrium between precipitation and $\delta_v$ isotope ratios prevails. We used the daily means of $\delta$ precipitation and of $\delta_v$ at 2 m height for the tree site and grassland site separately to compare both types of landcover. $\Delta R_{\text{atm}}$ was calculated for days when precipitation occurred.

4 RESULTS

4.1 Hydrometeorological conditions

Figure 3 shows the variability in hydrometeorological variables during the study period (20 August–03 November 2021) in the context of a longer 5-month period. Preceding conditions in July were hot with mean temperatures of 21.4°C and with high precipitation amounts.
(120 mm) exceeding the long-term average for July in Berlin-Marzahn [19.9°C, 75.3 mm; (DWD, 2023b)], including a large storm event in July (> 40 mm in 1 h on 25 July). During the study period, precipitation amounts at the study site (72.6 mm) showed drier conditions compared to the seasonal average in Berlin-Marzahn [147 mm; long-term precipitation August–October (DWD, 2023b)]. Mean air temperature during the study period (14.8°C) reflected radiation and was similar to the long-term seasonal average (August–October). Relative humidity was lower in summer, when temperatures were highest, and increased in autumn. Wind speeds were low (<1 m/s) through most of the sampling, (max value 4.75 m/s on 21 October).

4.2 | Ecohydrological dynamics

Throughfall >1 mm only occurred during three major precipitation events and varied between the sampling points underneath the canopy as follows: 2.8–5.5 mm on 15 September (cf. Figure 7), 9–15 mm on 29 September and 2.5–4.8 mm on 22.10 (events marked in Figure 4).

Soil moisture in the sandy upper soils rapidly responded to rainfall at both sites, though any rain signal after the events was quickly lost until more persistent rewetting towards November (Figure 4b). The tree site soil was more responsive to wetting following the heavy rainfall on 29 September (14.4 mm in 1 h). Autumn rewetting was steady from end of September until November. The average groundwater level (Figure 4b) was -2.3 m b.g.l. and very stable, varying only by 2 cm as it was primarily controlled by the water levels in the lake.

Stem size growth during the study period was insignificantly small (0.2 mm), which is typical for the end of the growing season. Still, swelling of the stem during rainfall and shrinking in the days after events could be detected (Figure 4c). Daily mean sap velocity ranged from 0 to 9.2 cm/h (0–0.92 m/h; Figure 4c,d). The north and south side of the stem showed similar dynamics in sap velocity. Sap velocity signals dropped during rainfall events because of declining atmospheric moisture demand. Normalized for mean sap velocity, sapvelocity<sub>norm</sub> mostly showed the same ranges as mean ET<sub>norm</sub> (i.e., also normalized against the mean). During some periods in September, sapvelocity<sub>norm</sub> exceeded ET<sub>norm</sub> implying there was a limit on the

![Figure 4](https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/hyp.14989)  
**Figure 4** Ecohydrological dynamics during the study period: (a) Daily precipitation (mm) (three major throughfall events (on 15 September, 29 September and 22 October) marked in grey bars). (b) Soil moisture [VWC (% h<sup>−1</sup>)] under both land uses and groundwater levels (measured every 2 weeks with horizontal line marking average level). (c) Daily mean sap velocities measured at the maple tree and daily stem size variation as cumulative increments (measured for the stem-radius). (d) Daily normalized sap velocity (sapvelocity<sub>norm</sub>) of the maple tree (North and South facing side of stem) and normalized PET (PET<sub>norm</sub>).
trees meeting atmospheric moisture demand. As the leaf senescence and fall progressed in the middle of October, $ET_{\text{norm}}$ exceeded $sapvelocity_{\text{norm}}$.

### 4.3 Stable water isotope dynamics

Figure 5 shows that stable water isotopes in precipitation were highly variable and more negative (i.e., higher depletion in heavier isotopes) for events in late August and early November. $\delta_v$ at the tree and grassland sites (both shown as examples for 2 m height) was often influenced in varying magnitude by rainfall inputs depleted in heavy isotopes. For example, $\delta_v$ at the grassland sites (prior to tree monitoring commencing) showed particularly high depletion in heavy isotopes in response to depleted rainfall values at the beginning of September (Figure 5a). Summary statistics of measured stable water isotope values and ranges of precipitation, groundwater and atmospheric vapour $\delta_v$ (liquid values) are given in Table 1.

The amount-weighted LMWL of the sampling period (July–November 2021) (Figure 6) was $\delta^2H = 7.71 \pm 0.11 \cdot \delta^{18}O + 7.42$

![Figure 5](https://example.com/figure5.png)

**Figure 5** Daily precipitation amount, daily stable water isotope ratios of precipitation and hourly $\delta_v$ of the grassland and tree site (both shown here for 2 m height, monitoring started 20 August and 3 September for the grassland and tree site, respectively) for (a) $\delta^2H_v$ and (b) lc-excess of $\delta_v$ (the precipitation event from Figure 7 is marked with grey line).
TABLE 1 Summary statistics of measured stable water isotope values of precipitation, groundwater and atmospheric vapour $\delta$ (δ values in liquid phase).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>%</th>
<th>Min</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Max</th>
<th>Median</th>
<th>SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Precipitation</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\delta^{2}H$</td>
<td>-145.22</td>
<td>-46.13</td>
<td>-10.16</td>
<td>-40.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\delta^{18}O$</td>
<td>-19.25</td>
<td>-6.94</td>
<td>-1.44</td>
<td>-6.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lc-excess</td>
<td>-13.6</td>
<td>-0.06</td>
<td>10.93</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Groundwater</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\delta^{2}H$</td>
<td>-55.83</td>
<td>-51.17</td>
<td>-47.99</td>
<td>-49.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\delta^{18}O$</td>
<td>-7.63</td>
<td>-6.68</td>
<td>-5.94</td>
<td>-6.44</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lc-excess</td>
<td>-9.58</td>
<td>-7.05</td>
<td>-4.41</td>
<td>-7.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Vapour</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grass 0.15 m</td>
<td>-163.91</td>
<td>-116.39</td>
<td>-87.17</td>
<td>-32.53</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\delta^{2}H$</td>
<td>-21.01</td>
<td>-15.35</td>
<td>-11.43</td>
<td>-4.81</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\delta^{18}O$</td>
<td>-23.36</td>
<td>-5.49</td>
<td>8.38</td>
<td>5.07</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tree 0.15 m</td>
<td>-164.3</td>
<td>-119.43</td>
<td>-92.33</td>
<td>-34.97</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\delta^{2}H$</td>
<td>-22.59</td>
<td>-16.05</td>
<td>-12.1</td>
<td>-5.38</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\delta^{18}O$</td>
<td>-20.93</td>
<td>-4.19</td>
<td>8.58</td>
<td>4.11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Grass 2 m</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\delta^{2}H$</td>
<td>-165.96</td>
<td>-119.82</td>
<td>-94.9</td>
<td>-35.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\delta^{18}O$</td>
<td>-22.3</td>
<td>-15.88</td>
<td>-12.06</td>
<td>-5.22</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tree 2 m</td>
<td>-169.91</td>
<td>-120.14</td>
<td>-85.61</td>
<td>-36.13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\delta^{2}H$</td>
<td>-22.59</td>
<td>-16.05</td>
<td>-12.1</td>
<td>-5.38</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\delta^{18}O$</td>
<td>-23.42</td>
<td>-4.78</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>4.58</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Grass 10 m</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\delta^{2}H$</td>
<td>-169.92</td>
<td>-120.52</td>
<td>-85.61</td>
<td>-36.13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\delta^{18}O$</td>
<td>-22.59</td>
<td>-16.05</td>
<td>-12.1</td>
<td>-5.38</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tree 10 m</td>
<td>-170.32</td>
<td>-120.38</td>
<td>-89.67</td>
<td>-36.26</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\delta^{2}H$</td>
<td>-23.48</td>
<td>-16.04</td>
<td>-11.84</td>
<td>-5.41</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\delta^{18}O$</td>
<td>-24.21</td>
<td>-4.15</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>4.03</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**FIGURE 6** Dual isotope plot of $\delta$ (shown as daily mean) for the three different heights and two vegetation types as well as precipitation (daily) and groundwater (weekly) samples; including relative humidity for the different heights calculated from water concentrations in the vapour tube inlets. Data shown here were sampled between 4 September and 3 November 2021, when sampling was active on both sites. Boxplots show the sample distribution of the data sets.
Lc-excess in precipitation increased from late summer to late autumn, though variability remained high especially in October (Figure 5b). The lc-excess was generally negative at both sites until mid-September, reflecting high energy for evaporation. From Mid-September until November, lc-excess of δv was generally positive but more variable. Spearman rank correlation coefficients between precipitation and δv of grassland were 0.55 for δ²H and 0.43 for lc-excess indicating positive correlations for the full study period from 20 August–3 November 2021.

The dual isotope plot (Figure 6) clearly shows that precipitation isotopes were characterized by large ranges (δ²H = -145.2‰ to -10.2‰; δ¹⁸O = -19.3‰ to -1.5‰). Groundwater in comparison showed little variation with mean isotopic signatures (Table 1). Beneath the tree canopy, δv was more homogenous across the elevation profile than above grass. Grassland showed a higher variance of δv within the elevation profile, with a tendency of near-surface air (0.15 m height) to be more enriched in heavy isotopes in comparison to the tree-site, but attenuating with height (Table 1). Overall, the boxplots and median values of grassland δv showed a slightly higher range compared to the tree site (Figure 6, Table 1). The Kruskal-Wallis-Test showed significant differences of δv (p-values <0.05) between ground-level (0.15 m) and higher elevations (2 m, 10 m) at the grassland, while there were no significant differences (p-values >0.05) between different heights underneath the tree canopy and also no significant differences between both sites at 2 and 10 m. However, the Wilcoxon signed-rank test indicated a p-value of 0.0507 (α = 0.05) between the sites for 0.15 m height showing they were significantly different. To assess the relationship between δv and corresponding relative humidity at the elevation profiles, we show relative humidity distributions of the vapour inlets in Figure 6. Humidity rates were highest above surface at both sites with a decline with height for grassland. At the tree site relative humidity was higher in the canopy at 10 m height than beneath canopy at 2 m.

We also tested for relationships between δv and soil moisture in order to further assess surface evaporation dynamics during the whole study period. After testing Spearman’s rank correlation between δv for different heights and soil moisture at both sites, only the grassland site indicated a significant positive correlation of soil moisture with δ²Hv (0.3 at 0.15 m; 0.24 at 2 m; 0.22 at 10 m), but...
none for $\delta^{18}O_v$. The tree site showed no significant correlations between $\delta_v$ and soil moisture for either isotope.

We also investigated higher resolution dynamics of $\delta_v$ during different precipitation events, and we display here the event on the afternoon of 15 September 2021 (where 6.6 mm fell between 15:00–18:30; and 2.8–5.5 mm of throughfall occurred) (Figure 7). Both sites showed high $\delta_v$ values at night corresponding to the signature of precipitation, with uniform distribution at different heights. The next day, $\delta_v$ above grassland reflected clear evaporative losses by enrichment in heavy isotopes just above the surface, when PET and windspeed were higher (Figure 7b,d), which was also observed during the other events (cf. Figures S1, S2). In the tree canopy, no differences of $\delta_v$ with height occurred even with increasing sap velocity. During the event, soil water content of the grassland was 4.2% and increased to 5.6% 24 h after the rainfall; whereas soil underneath tree canopy showed greater response to rainfall increasing from 5.0% to 8.7% (Figure 7c).

### 4.4 Equilibrium between vapour and precipitation

The difference in isotope ratios of $\delta_v$ and precipitation in the atmosphere, $\Delta R_{atm}$, generally deviated from zero (i.e., the equilibrium) at both sites, with higher deviation from the equilibrium between mid-August and mid-September (Figure 8). Responses of $\Delta R_{atm}$ after precipitation events showed heterogenous patterns, reflecting varying deviations from equilibrium throughout the monitoring period. In August, grassland showed mostly positive $\Delta R_{atm}$, but also higher deviations of the daily mean, depicting higher values of $\delta_v$ compared to precipitation and variations in summer when radiation is high. On an event basis, grassland and tree sites differed slightly in $\Delta R_{atm}$ and the timeseries of $\Delta R_{atm}$ for $\delta^{18}O$ and $\delta^2H$ showed similar patterns. Boxplots showed higher $\Delta R_{atm}$ values at the grassland site (though note that grassland included more data, Figure 9). T-tests did not show significant differences between grassland and tree site for $\Delta R_{atm}$ $\delta^{2}H$ ($p = 0.055$) but showed significance for $\Delta R_{atm}$ $\delta^{18}O$ ($p = 0.012$), again showing a difference between the two vegetation types in their variation from equilibrium. Median $\Delta R_{atm}$ values of $\delta^2H$ were 2.95‰.

**FIGURE 8** Daily rain event $\Delta R_{atm}$ of $\delta^2H$ exemplary shown at 2 m height for grassland (green; monitoring start 20 August) and tree site (brown; monitoring start 3 September) and daily precipitation amount. Error bars indicating standard deviation of daily mean of $\delta^2H_v$.

**FIGURE 9** $\Delta R_{atm}$ distributions of $\delta^{18}O$ and $\delta^2H$ of the grassland and tree site exemplary at 2 m height during the whole sampling period.
and 0.51% for δ¹⁸O at the grassland, and 0.51% for δ³H and 0.36% for δ¹⁸O at the tree site. Maximum and minimum ΔR atm values at the grassland ranged between −30 and 46% and between −3.7 and 7.7% for δ³H and δ¹⁸O, respectively. At the tree site they varied between −31 and 26% and −4 and 2.7% for δ³H and δ¹⁸O, respectively. Thus, the deviation from equilibrium of δv and δ precipitation was greater at the grassland than at the tree stand. The higher and more abundant positive values of ΔR atm at grassland reflect a higher isotopic enrichment of δv.

5 | DISCUSSION

5.1 | Vapour stable water isotopes in different urban vegetation

This study showed that a relatively extended (i.e., >2 months) period of continuous sequential in situ monitoring of δv could produce novel continuous timeseries for urban green space environments in Berlin. Our distributed network of inlet ports sampling the atmospheric boundary at different heights above contrasting urban green space vegetation produced reliable high-resolution data with a 2-h resolution for each inlet. However, there is no doubt that the method is very labour intensive and requires almost daily maintenance including checking the correct operation of the CRDS, ventilation systems and pumps. Detailed, biweekly data checks of the different inlets are also necessary to detect condensation in the tubes or other unwanted memory effects in the CRDS. In particular, the in situ setup requires a secured environment for the CRDS and vapour tubing (e.g., a locked and fenced box and securing pipes adapted to the study site). Overall, however, we found that in situ monitoring of δv needs less regular maintenance than in situ soil water monitoring due to greater condensation issues from temperature contrasts in the deep soil compared to atmospheric vapour (cf. Landgraf et al., 2022).

Monitored δv data fluctuated around the LMWL (Figure 6) in equal distribution over the entire study period indicating no dominant influence of non-equilibrium fractionation (Dansgaard, 1964), but disequilibrium occurred at shorter time scales. We found a limited difference between the two vegetation covers reflecting a generally well-mixed boundary. δv of grassland showed a slightly higher temporal variability and also higher variance along the height profile compared to the tree site. The only significant difference was that the surface air (at 0.15 m height) above the grassland was more enriched in heavy isotopes, though this was rapidly attenuated with height, corresponding with the humidity elevation profiles at the vapour inlets. This indicates tendencies of grassland and tree canopy to lose or store moisture, respectively, which explains the homogenous profile of δv at the tree from lower moisture losses. An in situ study by Griffiths et al. (2016) found similar effects of surface evaporation enriching surface boundary layer water vapour and atmospheric loss of light vapour fraction above grassland through the underlying process of kinetic fractionation during evaporation (Craig & Gordon, 1965), while tree canopy protects from such loss.

At the event scale, δv showed clear isotopic responses after rain. The response timing was dependent on the time of day being more marked around noon when radiation input is elevated. This is due to the fact that δv, at hourly timescale is controlled by airmass advection which increases with higher solar radiation (Lee et al., 2006). At the seasonal scale, lc-excess was low in summer and higher in autumn reflecting higher ET in warmer months. A common aspect for all the precipitation events was a close link between the signatures of precipitation and δv resulting in positive correlations (0.55 for δ³H and 0.43 for lc-excess) indicating the influence of rainout and rain-vapour exchange (Bowen et al., 2019). The seasonal amplitude of δv can be explained by vertical mixing across the top of the planetary boundary layer (Angert et al., 2008) and Rayleigh processes that are strongly modulated by evaporation and entrainment (Griffis et al., 2016), that is, inflow of an air parcel to another. This shows the importance on testing the assumption of equilibrium between vapour and precipitation.

5.2 | Insights into high-resolution urban ecohydrology

Our results indicated that the urban grassland surface is contributing to the atmospheric moisture affecting water partitioning with the main drivers being high surface evaporation and possibly high transpiration of the grass, high surface temperatures as well as low atmospheric mixing (Figure 10). The measurements from beneath canopy give useful insights for turbulent mixing parameterization of urban canopy layer vertical transport, but direct transpiration imprint could not be measured underneath the canopy.

Additional insights into the processes controlling isotopic composition of δv in an urban green space were leveraged by having simultaneous ecohydrological monitoring, that is, measurements of soil moisture, throughfall, sap velocity and tree diameter. At both sites, the overall low soil moisture in the sandy top layer (6 cm depth) increased in response to precipitation events and then decreased rapidly with time reflecting drainage and lateral flow. Grassland showed no response to the major event on 29 September 2021, which is probably due to lateral flows. Soil moisture did only partly respond to smaller events reflecting low infiltration. The low responses to small events indicate evaporative losses from soil and plant interception that contribute—at least in the grass plot—to increased evaporation that affects the isotopic signal at 0.15 m. Further, groundwater levels did not change during the study period indicating that precipitation water was either stored in the soil profile or lost to ET processes. Potential normalized ET norm largely did not exceed total sapvelocity norm of the maple tree during the phase of active leaves indicating the tree was not under drought stress. Additionally, dendrometer data revealed normal stem growth for late summer and autumn reflecting healthy tree vitality, including swelling with precipitation events (cf. Chan et al., 2016). Considering the low top soil moisture and constant sap flux, our results match the findings of Kuhlemann et al. (2021) from another urban green space in Berlin in that urban tree transpiration rates show a certain resilience to drought (which is of course highly dependent on tree ages and species). Further, the investigated site comprised a group of trees which probably makes a
difference compared to individual urban trees in another study that showed considerably higher sap flux densities (Ponte et al., 2021).

Interestingly, despite interception evaporation and transpiration from the urban tree canopy after events, there was no imprint on δv captured at 10 m compared to lower heights (cf. Berkelhammer et al., 2013). Generally, δv variabilities did not correlate with certain measured ecohydrological parameters (e.g., soil moisture) throughout the whole sampling period, which means the isotopic signals from precipitation and boundary layer mixing processes were most influential, though δv responded to changes in potential ET during the warmer period until the end of September.

Such insights into high-resolution dynamics of ecohydrological fluxes and partitioning can contribute to improved strategies of urban green space management in the future, for example, via improved parametrization of ecohydrological models to quantify ET fluxes (e.g., Smith et al., 2022). There is great potential for more detailed monitoring of urban canopy ET by more distributed networks in canopies, for example, it would be interesting to measure at least 5 m over an urban canopy or even higher—similar to Braden-Behrens et al. (2019).

5.3 Testing the assumption of equilibrium between vapour and precipitation

Previous studies (Lekshmy et al., 2018; Penchenat et al., 2020; Vimeux & Risi, 2021) showed that the equilibrium assumption is more robust at subseasonal, longer time scales than for individual rain events. Lee et al. (2006) found that during rain events, vapour in the surface layer developed in general a state of equilibrium with the falling raindrops. In our study, this assumption was not robust at the subseasonal scale and did not confirm an establishment of an equilibrium.

ΔR atm (i.e., difference in isotope ratios of water vapour and precipitation in atmosphere) was greater and showed more positive values in summer reflecting that vapour was more enriched in heavy isotopes than precipitation during summer. Potential reasons for this are (as discussed by Penchenat et al., 2020) that raindrops formed at high elevation (Dansgaard, 1964), precipitation came from convective events with big raindrops or high tree transpiration rates from deeper sources prevented vapour from equilibrium with precipitation. Additionally, high transpiration rates lead to isotopic enrichment of δv (Gonfiantini et al., 1965) and could generate higher deviation from δv with precipitation.

Testing the equilibrium assumption is especially important for areas with a distinct microclimate like cities as previous studies showed that equilibrium estimates can be biased (Fiorella et al., 2019). Further, different regions of the World show diverging results for ΔR atm depending on climate, altitude and latitude. For example, Mercer et al. (2020) showed that the equilibrium assumption does not hold in continental mountain environments. This underlines the importance of these data, as by going beyond the standard assumption of equilibrium in urban ecohydrology, we could improve simple mixing models, complex process-based, isotope-aided ecohydrological models like Ech2o-iso (Kuppel et al., 2018), estimations in keeling plot
(Keeling, 1958) and the Craig and Gordon (1965) approach (cf. Rothfuss et al., 2021). In particular, in isotope-aided ecohydrological models, this could allow more robust estimates of resolving ET into its E and T components.

6 | CONCLUSION

We monitored stable water isotopes in liquid precipitation and atmospheric water vapour ($\delta_v$) using in situ CRDS over a two-month period in an urban green space area in Berlin, Germany. $\delta_v$ was monitored at multiple heights (0.15, 2 and 10 m) in different vegetation: grassland and forest plots. Our distributed sampling network of inlet ports produced novel, reliable and stable high-resolution data with a 2-h resolution for each inlet.

We have shown that the isotopic composition of $\delta_v$ above both land uses was highly dynamic and positively correlated with that of rainfall indicating the changing sources of atmospheric moisture. The isotopic composition of $\delta_v$ was similar across most heights of the 10 m profiles and between the two plots indicating limited aerodynamic mixing. Only the surface at ~0.15 m height above the grassland showed significant differences in $\delta_v$, with pronounced enrichment in heavy isotopes indicative of evaporative fractionation immediately after rainfall events.

We combined this isotope monitoring with hydroclimatic monitoring and measurements of ecohydrological variables such as sap flow, stem size, soil moisture, throughfall. At both sites, the overall top soil moisture increased in response to precipitation and then decreased after the events reflecting drainage and evaporative losses, with evaporation being more pronounced at the grassland. During some days in September, normalized PET$_{norm}$ did exceed total sapflow$_{norm}$ of the maple tree during the phase of active leaves potentially indicating periods of drought stress on the tree. Dendrometer data revealed normal stem growth for late summer and autumn showing no drought stress. Despite interception evaporation and transpiration from the tree canopy after events, there was no imprint on $\delta_v$ captured at 10 m compared to lower heights. Our results indicate occasional dis-equilibrium between water vapour and precipitation isotopes.

Our set up provided novel insights into high-resolution dynamics of water cycling and partitioning in an urban green space. Such data has also the potential to better constrain the isotopic interface between the atmosphere and the land surface. Importantly, it can be incorporated into tracer-aided ecohydrological models that can resolve evapotranspiration fluxes and improve these estimations.

However, more research is needed to upscale these findings to the canopy and city scales. More detailed monitoring of urban canopy ET by more distributed networks in and above canopies will benefit further investigations.
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