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ABSTRACT

The reconfigurable intelligent surface (RIS) is widely recognized as an effective solution for enhancing security in wireless communications, owing to its passive reflective components and the ability to adjust signal phases. In light of this, this study investigates the physical layer security issues for a dual-hop RIS-aided system that makes use of both radio frequency (RF) and free space optical (FSO) connections, while taking into account three different eavesdropping scenarios: i) RF eavesdropping, ii) FSO eavesdropping, and iii) simultaneous RF and FSO eavesdropping. While Nakagami-m distributed fading affects the RF link, Málaga turbulence with pointing error affects the FSO link. The main goal of this research is to guarantee the confidentiality of information, preventing unauthorized access or disclosure. To this end, closed-form expressions are developed for the average secrecy capacity, secrecy outage probability, probability of strictly positive secrecy capacity, and effective secrecy throughput. Monte Carlo simulations are used to verify the precision of these expressions. To further explore the suggested model, the asymptotic formulations of various performance metrics are produced. The impact of different factors, such as fading severity, atmospheric conditions, and detection techniques, on the secrecy performance is analyzed through simulations. Numerical results highlight the significant role of the proposed model in ensuring the security of confidential information and emphasize the substantial impact of key factors on its secrecy performance.
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I. INTRODUCTION

A. BACKGROUND AND RELATED WORKS

Over the past few years, there has been a remarkable surge in wireless connectivity as well as mobile data traffic, which is likely to be sustained with the introduction of sixth-generation (6G) wireless communication networks [1], [2], [3]. These networks are anticipated to offer efficient and reliable wireless communication services to a vast number of devices [4]. However, the necessity for high data rates, reliability, and wide connection has created substantial challenges in the design of wireless systems, driving the investigation of novel physical layer technologies. Among these technologies, reconfigurable intelligent surfaces (RIS) have been established as an emerging solution to address the energy and spectrum efficiency limitations of current wireless networks [5]. The main idea underlying RIS is to alter wireless communication settings by intelligently
adjusting the phase shifts and amplitude of incident signals through reflection using multiple passive reflecting elements, such as low-cost printed dipoles [6]. In comparison to conventional wireless networks, the integration of RIS into wireless networks has the potential to offer a better level of flexibility and compatibility [7]. Therefore, RIS has gained significant attention from researchers and has become a focal point in wireless communication research.

Due to its appealing benefits, RIS technology has garnered a lot of interest from the academic and industrial sectors. For instance, [8], [9] the authors considered various system parameters, including the number of RIS elements, the distance between RIS and the receiver, and the phase shift and amplitude response of RIS, to provide a thorough analysis of the performance of RIS-aided systems. Another study in [10] obtained the analytical expressions of outage probability (OP) and demonstrated multi multi-RIS-aided systems can significantly enhance wireless communication system performance by increasing coverage, reducing the outage probability, and boosting the average SNR. In [11], by assuming Nakagami-m fading channels, the closed-form formulas of OP and bit error rate (BER) for the RIS-aided network were determined. The authors of [12] showed that incorporating statistical channel state information into RIS-assisted systems can significantly improve system performance. Moreover, the proposed optimization scheme demonstrates a higher capacity and lower OP than the existing schemes. In [13], the effect of co-channel interference on a wireless network supported by RIS was measured by the authors. Here, the authors compared the proposed model with other existing schemes, and the results indicated that the suggested scheme performs better than them in terms of the BER and OP. The researchers in [14] studied how RIS-assisted wireless communications perform in indoor and outdoor scenarios and found that indoor communication yields better outcomes because fewer obstacles scatter the signal compared to outdoor. Recent wireless systems have introduced the idea of RIS technology in non-orthogonal multiple-access wireless networks in [15], [16], and [17]. In a recent study [18], the authors assessed the impact of RIS on underwater optical communication, noting that an increased number of RIS elements positively contributes to system performance.

Dual-hop systems have been introduced as a potential architecture to integrate emerging wireless networks and extend the coverage area [19]. Several research papers have analyzed the performance of dual-hop networks [20], [21], [22], [23], but very few have taken into account the use of RIS technology in mixed dual-hop systems [24], [25], [26], [27]. The article [24] examined the performance of a combined radio frequency (RF)-free space optical (FSO) network and found that incorporating RIS technology in such a network can result in a significant improvement in performance, with potential practical implications. Another study, [25], studied the effects of different system characteristics including pointing errors and atmospheric turbulence conditions using an RIS in a hybrid FSO and RF communication system, providing essential insights into how these factors affect system performance. Additionally, [26] has performed a thorough investigation of how a RIS might affect the RF and underwater optical wireless communication (UOWC) paradigm. By concurrently optimizing the transmit power and the phase shifts of the RIS components in [27], a new technique for enhancing the functionality of hybrid RF-FSO communication systems was studied. The authors concluded that this optimization approach is a practical and effective solution for improving the system coverage and capacity. In [28], the authors examined the application of the signal space diversity (SSD) technique to enhance system performance. They showed that the implementation of the SSD technique leads to increased spectral efficiency within RF-FSO mixed systems. Therefore, a study in [29] scrutinized a full-duplex (FD) relaying-based RF-FSO mixed system, revealing substantial performance enhancements achieved through the utilization of parallel RF/FSO links within an FD relaying channel. In [30], it was demonstrated that the RIS-aided mixed downlink system clearly performs better than the hybrid downlink system without RIS.

The inherent broadcast nature of wireless networks provides wide coverage but also poses a risk of eavesdropping by unauthorized users [31], which has raised significant concerns regarding privacy and security in RIS-aided networks [32]. To address this issue, cryptographic protocols such as the advanced encryption standard have traditionally been employed at the upper layers. However, with the growing computational power of potential eavesdroppers, the effectiveness of cryptographic protocols is becoming increasingly uncertain. In order to improve the secured transmission of wireless communications, physical layer security (PLS) has been established, using an information-theoretic approach in conjunction with upper-layer cryptographic protocols [33]. In recent times, extensive research has been conducted exploring the security capabilities of RIS-aided wireless systems. A new model for the RIS-assisted system has been proposed at the work of [34] and the performance in terms of secrecy of the system is analyzed using two important metrics: average secrecy capacity (ASC) and secrecy outage probability (SOP). While [35] demonstrated that the RIS-aided communication model can be a successful solution to mitigate the impact of randomly flying eavesdroppers and the secrecy performance of wireless systems can be improved, [36] propose that using a small number of quantization levels can optimize the system performance and energy consumption, thereby achieving a good balance between the two factors. In [37], the authors derived the SOP in closed form and presented a general framework of RIS-assisted vehicular wireless networks that can enhance the system’s secrecy performance. The PLS for NOMA systems were introduced in [38], [39], [40], and [41] where the authors revealed that implementing a RIS between
the source and the receiver can lead to a considerable improvement in secrecy performance. On the other hand, assuming multiple interferes towards the destination, the effects of eavesdroppers on system performance are evaluated in [42]. Hence, in [43], the authors examined a RIS-assisted multi-user system, demonstrating the pivotal influence of atmospheric conditions and fading parameters in increasing secrecy performance.

B. MOTIVATION AND CONTRIBUTIONS

Since new wireless networks emerged, the physical properties of the wireless medium in order to design secure systems have been increasingly focused on by researchers. Based on the studies mentioned, the current literature mainly focuses on utilizing a single-hop RIS-assisted configuration and almost all previous research has used the Gamma-Gamma distribution in the FSO path, which is only suitable for certain aperture sizes. While extensive research has been conducted on PLS analysis in RIS-assisted wireless networks [34], [35], [36], [37], [38], [39], [40], the majority of studies have predominantly concentrated on single-hop wireless systems. Different from the current literature, in this study, the secrecy performance of a novel RIS-aided combined RF-FSO system employing the variable gain relaying mechanism is investigated, where confidential information is transmitted from a source in the presence of an unexpected eavesdropper to the receiver. A relay is positioned between the source and destination receiver, which accepts RF signals from the source and turns them into signals in optical form, re-transmitting them via the FSO link to the destination. It is worth pointing out that the eavesdropper can intercept information from the same RIS which is used to transmit data toward the relay. Nakagami-\(m\) fading distributions are assumed to be followed by all the RF links, while the FSO link experiences Málaga turbulence with pointing error. We assume the Nakagami-\(m\) distribution in our model as it has the ability to represent a large range of fading conditions, from severe fading to non-fading channels. On the other hand, the generalized Málaga distribution is considered due to the ability to explain the atmospheric turbulence effects on the FSO communication network perfectly. Finally, we may shorten the main contribution of this research as follows,

1) In this research paper, the security performance of a RIS-aided RF-FSO combined system is investigated in the presence of three eavesdropping scenarios (i.e., RF eavesdropper, FSO eavesdropper, and simultaneous RF and FSO eavesdroppers), with the goal of enhancing secrecy. To the best of our knowledge, this study is the first to examine the impact of RIS technology on the secrecy performance for such configurations. It is noteworthy that prior studies [44], [45] have investigated the secrecy performance within RF-FSO mixed models. However, it is essential to highlight that these analyses did not encompass the integration of RIS, a crucial distinction from our proposed model. Conversely, the authors of [46] focused on underwater optical communication in the second hop, thus deviating from the scope and application of our suggested model.

2) Firstly, a new cumulative distribution function (CDF) for the suggested RF-FSO combined system is developed by using the individual probability density functions (PDFs) and CDFs. From the newly derived CDF, the closed-form expressions for various secrecy performance metrics are obtained, including the secrecy outage probability (SOP), average secrecy capacity (ASC), probability of strictly positive secrecy capacity (SPSC), and effective secrecy throughput (EST). It is worth noting that these expressions are said to be novel and any of the existing literature has not addressed them, as our proposed model is significantly different from previous ones.

3) To evaluate the performance of potential eavesdropper attacks, numerical results are presented from the obtained expressions for the ASC, SOP, SPSC, and EST metrics. Our findings indicate that factors such as fading parameters, weather conditions, and receiver detection techniques play a crucial role in ensuring secure mixed RF-FSO configurations. Finally, Monte Carlo simulations are employed in order to verify the precision of our analytical and asymptotic expressions.

C. ORGANIZATION

This paper is organized into several sections. The mixed RF-FSO system model is presented in Section II, while Section III discusses the statistical characteristics of each link. The performance metrics such as ASC, SOP, probability of SPSC, and EST analysis are derived in Section IV. Section V presents the results of Monte Carlo simulations and numerical analysis. Finally, the paper concludes by summarizing our findings in Section VI.

II. SYSTEM MODEL

As shown in Fig. 1, a conventional dual-hop RIS-assisted RF-FSO system is comprised of a source \(S\) (i.e., ground control station, smartphone, etc.), an interim relay \(R\), one RIS \(I_S\), and a destination user \(D\) (i.e., drone, satellite ground station, smartphone, etc.) who is distant from the source. We may assume that the distance between \(S\) and \(R\) is very far, and because of the way the environment is set up between these two nodes, there is no exact connection between \(S\) and \(R\). So, the signal directed from \(S\) first goes to \(I_S\), which then sends it towards \(R\). It is assumed that the \(I_S\) can obtain the channel phases related to \(S - I_S\) and \(I_S - R\) links, which is then utilized to boost the SNR at \(R\) by inducing proper phases at the meta-surfaces of \(I_S\). The unauthorized users are known as eavesdroppers (i.e., drones, smartphones, etc.) denoted by \(E_r\) and \(E_d\) attempt to overhear the confidential data which is being forwarded from the \(S\) to \(D\). Such types of mixed models demonstrate their versatility and advantages in a range of applications, including industrial sensor networks [47], aerial surveillance.
drones [20], military and satellite communications [48], and IoT-enabled smart buildings [12], [47]. This underscores the effectiveness of integrating RF and FSO technologies to address various communication requirements. In accordance with the location of eavesdroppers, three distinct cases are considered.

- In Scenario-i, the FSO link (i.e., \( R - D \)) is thought to be extremely secure. As a result, eavesdropper, \( E_d \) uses the RF link only to intercept. Similar to the \( S - I_S - R \) link, the \( S - I_S - E_r \) link is subjected to Nakagami-\( m \) fading channels.

- In Scenario-ii, the RF link (i.e., \( S - I_S - R \)) is considered as secured whereas eavesdropper, \( E_d \) attempts to eavesdrop exclusively over the FSO link (i.e., \( R - D \)). It is assumed that \( R - E_d \) link undergoes Málaga turbulence same as \( R - D \) link.

- In Scenario-iii, neither of the links is safe from eavesdropping. Hence, both eavesdroppers (i.e., \( E_r \) and \( E_d \)) simultaneously attempt to intercept the \( S - I_S - R \) and \( R - D \) links, respectively.

It is assumed that \( D \) has only one photodetector for reception of optical wave, while the \( I_S \) has \( N \) reflecting elements. Due to the fact that \( S \) is not strictly linked to \( D \), we consider that transmission takes up two hops. The system model offers that in the initial hop, \( S \) will transfer signals to \( R \) empowered by \( I_S \) by means of the \( S - I_S - R \) link. The \( S - I_S - R \) and \( S - I_S - E_r \) links (all the RF links) are subjected to Nakagami-\( m \) fading distribution. In the last hop, the incoming RF signal is transformed by the relay \( R \) into optical form before forwarding it towards \( D \). The FSO links (\( R - D \) and \( R - E_d \)) undergo Málaga turbulence.

### A. SNRs of RIS-AIDED RF (Main and Eavesdropper) Links

Let’s the channel gains of \( S - I_S \), \( I_S - R \) and \( I_S - E_r \) links are denoted as \( h_{s,i}, g_{i,r} \) and \( n_{i,er} \), respectively. So, the expressions of the signals received at \( R \) and \( E_r \) are given as

\[
y_r = \sum_{i=1}^{N} h_{s,i} e^{j\phi} g_{i,r} x_s + z_r, \tag{1}
\]

\[
y_{er} = \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{N} h_{s,i} e^{j\phi} n_{i,er} \right] x_s + z_{er}, \tag{2}
\]

respectively, where \( h_{s,i} = \alpha_{s,i} e^{-j\phi_{s,i}}, g_{i,r} = \beta_{i,r} e^{-j\phi_{i,r}} \) and \( n_{i,er} = \delta_{i,er} e^{-j\phi_{i,er}} \), the Nakagami-\( m \) distributed random variables (RVs) are \( \alpha_{s,i}, \beta_{i,r} \), and \( \delta_{i,er} \). \( \phi_{s,i}, \phi_{i,r} \) and \( \phi_{i,er} \) denote the channel phases, adjustable phases induced at the \( i \)-th reflecting element of \( I_S \) are denoted as \( \zeta_{i,er} \) and \( \theta_{i,er} \). The transmitted signal from \( S \) is symbolized as \( x_s \), having power \( P_s \), and the Additive White Gaussian Noise (AWGN) samples are denoted as \( z_r \sim \mathcal{N}(0,N_r) \), \( z_{er} \sim \mathcal{N}(0,N_{er}) \) with \( N_r, N_{er} \) presenting the noise powers. The maximum instantaneous SNRs at \( R \) and \( E_r \) are obtained considering the optimal choice of \( \zeta_{i,er} \) and \( \theta_{i,er} \) by eliminating the channel phases as \( \zeta_{i,er} = \phi_{s,i} + \phi_{i,r} \) and \( \theta_{i,er} = \phi_{s,i} + \phi_{i,er} \). Hence, the corresponding SNRs are given by

\[
y_r = \bar{\gamma}_r \left( \sum_{i=0}^{N} \alpha_{s,i} \beta_{i,r} \right)^2, \quad \text{and} \quad y_{er} = \bar{\gamma}_{er} \left( \sum_{i=0}^{N} \alpha_{s,i} \delta_{i,er} \right)^2, \tag{3}
\]

where the average SNR of \( S - I_S - R \) link is defined as \( \bar{\gamma}_r = \frac{P_r}{N_r} \) and the average SNR of \( S - I_S - E_r \) link is defined as \( \bar{\gamma}_{er} = \frac{P_r}{N_{er}} \). Again, gain, of the channels \( R - D \) and \( R - E_d \) links are given as \( h_{r,d} \in \mathbb{C}^{1 \times 1} \) and \( h_{r,ed} \in \mathbb{C}^{1 \times 1} \), respectively. So, the signal received at \( D \) and \( E_d \) is stated as

\[
y_d = h_{r,d} y_r + z_d, \tag{4}
\]

\[
y_{ed} = h_{r,ed} y_{er} + z_{ed}, \tag{5}
\]

where \( z_d \sim \mathcal{N}(0,N_d) \) and \( z_{ed} \sim \mathcal{N}(0,N_{ed}) \) illustrates optical noises arriving at \( D \) with a power \( N_d \) and \( N_{ed} \), respectively. The related SNR is expressed as

\[
y_d = \frac{P_r}{N_d} \| h_{r,d} \|^2 = \bar{\gamma}_d \| h_{r,d} \|^2, \tag{6}
\]

\[
y_{ed} = \frac{P_r}{N_{ed}} \| h_{r,ed} \|^2 = \bar{\gamma}_{ed} \| h_{r,ed} \|^2. \tag{7}
\]
where the average SNR of $\mathcal{R} - \mathcal{D}$ and $\mathcal{R} - \mathcal{E}_d$ links are expressed as $\bar{\gamma}_d$ and $\bar{\gamma}_d$, respectively. The power transmitted from $\mathcal{R}$ is denoted by $P_r$.

The SNR expression for the combined RIS-aided RF-FSO system when employing the AF variable gain relaying scheme is given as [49, Eq. (4)]

$$\gamma_{Eq} = \frac{\gamma_r \gamma_d}{\gamma_r + \gamma_d + 1} \approx \min \{\gamma_r, \gamma_d\}. \quad (8)$$

### III. CHANNELS REALIZATION

#### A. PDF AND CDF OF RF LINKS

The PDF of $\gamma_j$, where $j \in \{r, e_r\}$ is expressed as [50, Eq. (2)]

$$f_{\gamma_j}(\gamma) = \frac{(\frac{\gamma}{\alpha})^{a_j-1} e^{-\frac{\gamma}{\alpha}}}{2b_j a_j^a_j + 1}, \quad (9)$$

where

$$a_j = \frac{m_j m_j N \Gamma (m_j)^2 \Gamma (m_j)^2}{m_j m_j N \Gamma (m_j)^2 \Gamma (m_j)^2 - \Gamma (m_j + 1)^2 \Gamma (m_j + 1)^2},$$

$$b_j = \frac{m_j m_j N \Gamma (m_j)^2 \Gamma (m_j)^2}{\sqrt{m_j m_j N \Gamma (m_j)^2 \Gamma (m_j)^2}}.$$

$m_j$ and $\Omega_j$ denote the fading severity and scale parameters of $\mathcal{S} - \mathcal{I}_j$ link, for $\mathcal{I}_j - \mathcal{R}$ and $\mathcal{I}_j - \mathcal{E}_d$ links, those are denoted as $m_j$ and $\Omega_j$, respectively, and the Gamma operator is signified by $\Gamma(.)$. The CDF of $\gamma_j$ is stated as

$$F_{\gamma_j}(\gamma) = \frac{\Gamma (a_j + 1, \frac{\gamma}{\alpha})}{\Gamma (a_j + 1)}, \quad (10)$$

where $\gamma_{(\cdot, \cdot)}$ indicating the lower incomplete Gamma function as given in [51, Eq. (8.350.1)].

#### B. PDF AND CDF OF FSO LINKS

We assume the FSO links undergo Málaga turbulence with pointing error impairments. Fundamentally, this turbulence model is a physical system made up of a line-of-sight (LOS) communication ($\mathcal{U}_L$), a component distributed by edges on the propagation axis and coupled to the LOS ($\mathcal{U}_S^G$), and a free component dissipated with the aid of off-axis eddies ($\mathcal{U}_F^G$). Moreover, it is regarded as one of the popular generalized optical turbulence models that can incorporate several conventional turbulence models, e.g. Lognormal, Rice-Nakagami, Gamma, etc. as special cases. The PDF of $\gamma_k$, where $k \in \{d, ed\}$ is expressed as [44, Eq. (12)]

$$f_{\gamma_k}(\gamma) = \frac{\epsilon_k^2 A_k}{2\pi^2} \sum_{q_k=1}^{\beta_k} c_{q_k} G_{1,3}^{3,0} \left[ B_k \left( \frac{\gamma}{\mu_k, r} \right)^{\frac{1}{\mu_k}} \left( \epsilon_k^2 + 1 \right)^{\frac{1}{\mu_k}} \alpha_k, q_k \right], \quad (11)$$

where

$$A_k = \frac{\epsilon_k^2}{\mu_k^2} \left( \frac{\beta_k}{\mu_k + \Omega_k} \right)^{\beta_k + \frac{1}{\mu_k}},$$

$$B_k = \frac{\epsilon_k^2 \alpha_k \beta_k (g + \Omega_k)}{(\epsilon_k^2 + 1)(g \beta_k + \Omega_k)},$$

$$b_{q_k} = a_k \left( \frac{\alpha_k \beta_k}{g \beta_k + \Omega_k} \right),$$

$$a_k = \left( \frac{\beta_k - 1}{\mu_k} \right) \left( \frac{g \beta_k + \Omega_k}{\mu_k} \right)^{\frac{\mu_k - 1}{\mu_k}} \left( \frac{\Omega_k}{g} \right)^{\frac{1}{\mu_k}} \left( \frac{\alpha_k \beta_k}{\mu_k} \right)^{\frac{1}{\mu_k}}.$$

Here, the number of large-scale cells spread over the whole process is denoted by $\alpha_k$, the fading parameter is $\beta_k$, and $\epsilon_k$ is the pointing error at the destination for $\mathcal{R} - \mathcal{D}$ or $\mathcal{R} - \mathcal{E}_d$ links. $r$ indicates the detection technique used on the receiver side, i.e., the heterodyne detection(HD) technique is denoted for $r = 1$ and the intensity modulation/direct detection (IM/DD) approach is defined by $r = 2$ where $\mu_k, r (\mu_k, 1 = \bar{\gamma}_k$ and $\mu_k, 2 = a_k \epsilon_k (\epsilon_k^2 + 1)^{\frac{\mu_k - 1}{\mu_k}} (\epsilon_k^2 + 2)(\epsilon_k + \Omega_k)$). The scattering component’s average received power by-off-axis eddies is given by $g = \mathbb{E}[(U_S^G)^2] = 2 b_k (1 - \rho)$, the average power of all scattered components are denoted as $2b_k = \mathbb{E}[|U_S^G|^2 + |U_F^G|^2]$, the measure of scattering power to the LOS component is expressed as $\rho (0 \leq \rho \leq 1)$, the average power of coherent contributions is defined as $\Omega^2 = \Omega^2 + 2b_k \rho + 2\sqrt{2b_k \rho} \Omega \cos(\phi_d - \phi_b)$, the average power of the LOS component is denoted by $\Omega = \mathbb{E}[|U_L|^2]$, $\phi_d$ and $\phi_b$ are the phases of the LOS communication, and $G[\cdot]$ is the Meijer’s $G$-function defined in [51, Eq. (9.301)]. Therefore, the CDF of $\gamma_k$ is expressed as

$$F_{\gamma_k}(\gamma) = D_k \sum_{q_k=1}^{\beta_k} c_{q_k} G_{r+1,3}^{3,1} \left[ \frac{\chi_k}{\mu_k, r} \right]^{\frac{1}{\mu_k}, s_k, t_k, 0}, \quad (12)$$

where

$$D_k = \frac{\epsilon_k^2 A_k}{2\pi^2 (\gamma_k)^2},$$

$c_{q_k} = b_{q_k} \epsilon_k^{q_k} + q_k - 1, \chi_k = \frac{b_k}{\gamma_k}, s_k = \Delta(r, \epsilon_k^2), t_k = \Delta(r, \epsilon_k^2), \Delta(\gamma, \epsilon_k)$ that incorporates $3r$ number of terms, and $\Delta(x, a) = a^x + a^{x+1} + \ldots + a^{x+z}$.}

### C. CDF FOR DUAL-HOP RF-FSO LINK

According to (8), we can express the CDF of $\gamma_{Eq}$ as

$$F_{\gamma_{Eq}}(\gamma) = \Pr \{ \gamma_r, \gamma_d < \gamma \} = F_{\gamma_r}(\gamma) + F_{\gamma_d}(\gamma) - F_{\gamma_{Eq}}(\gamma). \quad (13)$$

Substituting (10) and (12) into (13), the CDF of $\gamma_{Eq}$ can be deduced finally as

$$F_{\gamma_{Eq}}(\gamma) = \frac{\gamma_{(\alpha_r + 1, \sqrt{\gamma_{(x_r)}})}}{\Gamma (\alpha_r + 1)} + D_d \sum_{q_d=1}^{\beta_d} c_{q_d} \times G_{r+1,3}^{3,1} \left[ \frac{\chi_d}{\mu_d, r} \right]^{\frac{1}{\mu_d}, s_d, t_d, 0} \times \left( 1 - \gamma_{(\alpha_r + 1, \sqrt{\gamma_{(x_r)}})} \right). \quad (14)$$
IV. PERFORMANCE METRICS

In this section, we illustrate how the different performance metrics, such as the lower bound of SOP and the probability of SPSC, ASC, and EST, can be expressed analytically and asymptotically.

A. SECRECY OUTAGE PROBABILITY (SOP)

Scenario—ii: The probability that instantaneous secrecy capacity ($C_s$) falls below a predetermined threshold known as the target secrecy rate ($T_s$) is defined as SOP. However, it is mathematically stated as [19, Eq. (22)]

$$SOP = \Pr \{ C_s \leq T_s \}$$

where $T_s > 0$. However, the computation of the SOP using (15) can be challenging, as it involves complex mathematical derivations that may not be feasible in certain scenarios. Therefore, to address this issue, we may demonstrate the lower bound of SOP which can be mathematically expressed as

$$SOP_{lb} = \Pr \{ y_{\text{eq}} \leq \Theta(y_{\text{er}} + 1) - 1 \} \geq SOP$$

where $\Theta = 2T_s$ and the predefined rate, $T_s > 0$. However, the computation of the SOP using (15) can be challenging, as it involves complex mathematical derivations that may not be feasible in certain scenarios. Therefore, to address this issue, we may demonstrate the lower bound of SOP which can be mathematically expressed as

$$SOP_{lb} = \Pr \{ y_{\text{eq}} \leq \Theta(y_{\text{er}} + 1) - 1 \} \geq SOP$$

The lower bound of SOP may eventually be expressed by substituting (9) and (14) into (16) as

$$SOP_1 = \sum_{n_1=0}^{\infty} J_1 y_1 + \sum_{q_1=1}^{\infty} J_2 y_2 - \sum_{n_1=0}^{\infty} \sum_{q_1=1}^{\infty} J_3 y_3,$n_1=0$$

where

$$J_1 = \frac{-\frac{1}{\theta} y_{\text{er}}^2}{(2^m_{\text{er}})^2} \sum_{a_r + n_1 + 1}^\gamma \frac{\gamma_{\text{er}} - \gamma_{\text{er}}}{\gamma_{\text{er}}^2}$$

and

$$J_2 = \frac{-\frac{1}{\theta} y_{\text{er}}^2}{(2^m_{\text{er}})^2} \sum_{a_r + n_1 + 1}^\gamma \frac{\gamma_{\text{er}} - \gamma_{\text{er}}}{\gamma_{\text{er}}^2}$$

and

$$J_3 = \frac{-\frac{1}{\theta} y_{\text{er}}^2}{(2^m_{\text{er}})^2} \sum_{a_r + n_1 + 1}^\gamma \frac{\gamma_{\text{er}} - \gamma_{\text{er}}}{\gamma_{\text{er}}^2}$$

Here the three integral parts are $y_1$, $y_2$, and $y_3$ that are deduced as follows.

1) DERIVATION OF $y_1$

$y_1$ is given as

$$y_1 = \int_0^{\infty} y_{\text{er}}^2 e^{-\left(\frac{1}{\theta} y_{\text{er}}^2 \right)} dy.$$

Utilizing the integration as [52, Eq. (3.326.2)], $y_1$ may be stated as

$$y_1 = \Gamma(F_1) \left( \theta \sqrt{\theta} \right)^{-F_1},$$

where $F_1 = a_r + a_r + n_1 + 1.$

2) DERIVATION OF $y_2$

$y_2$ is written as

$$y_2 = \int_0^{\infty} y_{\text{er}}^2 \left( e^{-\left(\frac{1}{\theta} y_{\text{er}}^2 \right)} \right) \frac{x_{\text{er}}}{\mu_{\text{er}}} \left( 1, s_d \right) dy.$$
Scenario—ii: The SOP can be expressed in the presence of an eavesdropper at the FSO link as [55]

\[
SOP_2 = \Pr \{ C_s \leq T_3 \} = \Pr \{ Y_{Ed} \leq \Theta_{Yrd} + \Theta - 1 \} \\
= \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty F_{Y_{Ed}}(\gamma)F_{Y_{del}}(\gamma)d\gamma d\gamma \\
= \int_0^\infty F_{Y_{Ed}}(\Theta)F_{Y_{del}}(\gamma)d\gamma \\
\times (1 - F_{Y_{ed}}(\Theta - 1) + F_{Y_{ed}}(\Theta - 1). (25)
\]

It is difficult to compute the closed-form equation for exact SOP. As a consequence, we have the lower bound of SOP, which can be written as [54, Eq. (18)]

\[
SOP_2 \geq SOP_2^L = \Pr \{ Y_{Ed} \leq \Theta_{Yrd} \} \\
= \int_0^\infty F_{Y_{Ed}}(\Theta)F_{Y_{del}}(\gamma)d\gamma \\
\times (1 - F_{Y_{ed}}(\Theta - 1) + F_{Y_{ed}}(\Theta - 1). (26)
\]

With the substitution of (10), (11) and (12) into (26) and also using [53, Eq. (24.1.1)] results in

\[
SOP_2 = 1 - \mathcal{J}_4 \left( \mathcal{J}_5 \sum_{q, d = 1}^{r} \sum_{d = 1}^{3} c_{qd} b_{qd} \right) \\
\times G_{3r+1,4r+2}^{3r+3,4r+1} \left[ \frac{\mu_{d,r}}{\mu_{ed,r}} \left( 1 - t_{ed}, 1, s_{d} \right) \right] + \mathcal{J}_4, (27)
\]

where

\[
\mathcal{J}_4 = \frac{\gamma(a_{r} + 1) \Gamma(a_{r} + 1)}{\Gamma(a_{r+1})}, \quad \mathcal{J}_5 = \frac{D_{er}^2 A_{ed} \gamma_{s}}{2}, s_{ed} = \Delta(r, \epsilon_{ed}^2 + 1) \text{ that includes } r \text{ number of terms, } t_{ed} = \Delta(r, \epsilon_{ed}^2), \Delta(r, \alpha_{ed}), \Delta(r, q_{ed}) \text{ which includes } 3r \text{ number of terms.}
\]

Asymptotic Analysis: With the use of [54, Eq. (20)], the Meijer’s G function can be expanded at the higher SNR using [54, Eq. (20)]. Therefore, the asymptotic representation for the lower bound of SOP can be expressed as (29), shown at the bottom of the next page, where \( \Psi_{p,q} \) illustrates the \( q \)-th term of \( \Phi_{p} \), \( \Psi_1 = [1 - t_{ed}, 1, s_{ed}] \), \( \Psi_2 = [t_{ed}, 0, 1 - s_{ed}] \).

Scenario—iii: The lower bound of SOP for RIS-assisted mixed RF-FSO network under simultaneous eavesdropping attack across both the RF and FSO links is defined mathematically as

\[
SOP_3 = 1 - (P_1 \times P_2). (30)
\]

where

\[
P_1 = 1 - \int_0^\infty F_{Y_{Ed}}(\Theta)F_{Y_{del}}(\gamma)d\gamma, (31)
\]

\[
P_2 = 1 - \int_0^\infty F_{Y_{Ed}}(\Theta)F_{Y_{del}}(\gamma)d\gamma. (32)
\]

Now, replacing (9) and (10) into (31) and implementing the identity of [52, Eq. (3.326.2)] to obtain \( P_1 \) as

\[
P_1 = 1 - \mathcal{J}_6 \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} (-1)^m \left( \frac{\sqrt{\gamma}}{b_{er} \sqrt{\gamma_{er}}} \right)^{U_1} \left( b_{er} \sqrt{\gamma_{er}} \right)^{U_2} \Gamma(U_2), (33)
\]

where \( \mathcal{J}_6 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{a_{r+1}}^{b_{er}} \Gamma(a_{r+1}) \), \( U_1 = a_{r} + m + 1 \) and \( U_2 = a_{r} + b_{er} + m + 2 \). Similarly, \( P_2 \) is derived by placing (11) and (12) into (32) and employing [53, Eq. (24.1.1)] to solve the integration as

\[
P_2 = 1 - \left( \mathcal{J}_5 \sum_{q, d = 1}^{r} \sum_{d = 1}^{3} c_{qd} b_{qd} \\
\times G_{3r+1,4r+2}^{3r+3,4r+1} \left[ \frac{\mu_{d,r}}{\mu_{ed,r}} \left( 1 - t_{ed}, 1, s_{d} \right) \right] \right). (34)
\]

Asymptotic Analysis: The Meijer’s G function can be expanded at the higher SNR using [54, Eq. (20)]. Therefore, the asymptotic representation for the lower bound of SOP can be expressed as (29), shown at the bottom of the next page, where \( \Phi_{p,q} \) illustrates the \( q \)-th term of \( \Phi_{p} \), \( \Phi_1 = [1 - t_{ed}, 1, s_{ed}] \), \( \Phi_2 = [t_{ed}, 0, 1 - s_{ed}] \).

**B. STRICTLY POSITIVE SECRECY CAPACITY (SPSC)**

To prevent eavesdropping, the secrecy capacity must be positive, and the probability of achieving a positive secrecy capacity is referred to as the SPSC. The mathematical expression for the probability of SPSC is [56, Eq. (25)]

\[
SPSC = \Pr(C_s \geq 0) = 1 - \Pr(C_s \leq 0) = 1 - SOP|_{T_3=0}. (35)
\]

SPSC can be demonstrated by the substitution of SOP formulations from (17), (27), and (30) into (35). Therefore,

\[
SPSC = 1 - SOP_1 |_{T_3=0}. (Scenario – i) (36)
\]
\[ SPSC_2 = 1 - SOP_2 |_{T_e = 0}, \text{ (Scenario−ii) } \]  
\[ SPSC_3 = 1 - SOP_3 |_{T_e = 0}, \text{ (Scenario−iii) } \]

### C. EFFECTIVE SECRECY THROUGHPUT (EST)

The EST is a crucial secrecy measure that takes into account the reliability as well as security restrictions of eavesdropper channels and quantifies the average rate at which confidential data can be transmitted from the source to the destination without being intercepted. As a result, the EST may be mathematically defined as [44, Eq. (50)]

\[ EST = T_e(1 - SOP). \]  \( (39) \)

With the substitution of (17), (27), and (30) into (39), we can demonstrate the analytical expression of EST analysis. Hence,

\[ EST_1 = T_e(1 - SOP_1), \text{ (Scenario−i) } \]  \( (40) \)
\[ EST_2 = T_e(1 - SOP_2), \text{ (Scenario−ii) } \]  \( (41) \)
\[ EST_3 = T_e(1 - SOP_3), \text{ (Scenario−iii) } \]  \( (42) \)

### D. AVERAGE SECRECY CAPACITY (ASC)

ASC is a performance metric used in wireless communication systems that quantifies the average amount of secure information that can be transmitted over a communication channel while maintaining a certain level of secrecy. Because wireless channels are time-varying in nature, ASC is calculated as the average value of instantaneous secrecy capacity and may be expressed mathematically as [57, Eq. (31)]

\[ ASC = \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{1 + \gamma} f_{\gamma_{se}}(\gamma) \left[ 1 - F_{\gamma}(\gamma) \right] d\gamma. \]  \( (43) \)

With the substitution of (9) and (14) into (43), ASC is expressed as

\[ ASC = \sum_{n_2=0}^\infty \sum_{n_3=0}^\infty \sum_{n_4=0}^\infty \sum_{q_d=1}^\infty \sum_{n_5=0}^\infty \mathcal{L}_1 \mathcal{H}_1 - \mathcal{L}_2 \mathcal{H}_2 + \mathcal{L}_3 \mathcal{H}_3, \]

where

\[ \mathcal{L}_1 = \frac{(-1)^n_2 a_{er} \gamma_{er}}{n_2! (a_{er} + n_2 + 1) \Gamma(a_{er} + 1)}, \]
\[ \mathcal{L}_2 = \frac{(-1)^n_3 b_{ed} b_{er} \gamma_{er}}{n_3! (a_{er} + n_3 + 1) n_4! (a_{er} + n_4 + 1) \Gamma(a_{er} + 1) \Gamma(a_{er} + 1)}, \]
\[ \mathcal{L}_3 = \frac{(-1)^n_4 b_d c_{q_d} b_{er} \gamma_{er}}{n_4! (a_{er} + n_4 + 1) \Gamma(a_{er} + 1)}, \]
\[ \mathcal{L}_4 = \frac{(-1)^n_5 b_d c_{q_d} b_{er} \gamma_{er}}{n_5! (a_{er} + n_5 + 1) \Gamma(a_{er} + n_5 + 1) \Gamma(a_{er} + 1) \Gamma(a_{er} + 1)}. \]

Here, the integral parts are \( \mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2, \mathcal{H}_3, \) and \( \mathcal{H}_4 \) which are computed as follows.

1) DETERMINATION OF \( \mathcal{H}_1 \)

\( \mathcal{H}_1 \) is written as

\[ \mathcal{H}_1 = \int_0^\infty \gamma\frac{1}{1 + \gamma} f_{\gamma_{se}}(\gamma) \left[ 1 - F_{\gamma}(\gamma) \right] d\gamma = \int_0^\infty \gamma\frac{1}{1 + \gamma} g_{1,1}^{1,1} \left[ \gamma \bigg| 0 \right] d\gamma. \]

Making use of the identity of [58, Eq. (24)], \( \mathcal{H}_1 \) can be derived finally as

\[ \mathcal{H}_1 = \Gamma\left(\frac{z_2}{2} + 1\right) \Gamma\left(-\frac{z_2}{2} - 1\right), \]  \( (46) \)

where \( z_2 = a_{er} + n_2. \)

2) DETERMINATION OF \( \mathcal{H}_2 \)

\( \mathcal{H}_2 \) is expressed as

\[ \mathcal{H}_2 = \int_0^\infty \gamma^{z_2+2} (1 + \gamma)^{-1} d\gamma = \int_0^\infty \gamma^{z_2+2} g_{1,1}^{1,1} \left[ \gamma \bigg| 0 \right] d\gamma. \]

\[ (47) \]

### \( SOP_2(\infty) \)

\[ SOP_2(\infty) = 1 - J_4 \left[ \sum_{q_d=1}^{\beta_d} \sum_{q_d=1}^{\beta_d} c_{q_d} \frac{\beta_d}{\mu_{ed,r}} \prod_{l=1;l\neq k}^{3r+1} \prod_{l=1+l=3r+1}^{3r+1} \prod_{l=1}^{3r+1} \prod_{l=1+l=3r+1}^{3r+1} \prod_{l=1}^{3r+1} \prod_{l=1+l=3r+1}^{3r+1} \left( \psi_{1,k}^{-1} \right) \right] + J_4, \]

\[ (28) \]

### \( SOP_3(\infty) \)

\[ SOP_3(\infty) = 1 - \left[ P_1 \times \left( 1 - \left( \sum_{q_d=1}^{\beta_d} \sum_{q_d=1}^{\beta_d} c_{q_d} b_{ed} \prod_{l=1;l\neq k}^{3r+1} \prod_{l=1+l=3r+1}^{3r+1} \prod_{l=1}^{3r+1} \prod_{l=1+l=3r+1}^{3r+1} \prod_{l=1}^{3r+1} \prod_{l=1+l=3r+1}^{3r+1} \left( \phi_{1,k}^{-1} \right) \right) \right) \]  \[ \times \left( \frac{\mu_{d,r}}{\mu_{ed,r}} \right) \right] \right] \right] + J_4, \]

\[ (29) \]
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where $z_3 = a_r + a_{er} + n_3 + n_4$. Now, similar to $\mathcal{H}_1$, using [58, Eq. (24)], $\mathcal{H}_2$ can be obtained as

$$\mathcal{H}_2 = \Gamma \left( \frac{z_3}{2} + 1 \right) \Gamma \left( - \frac{z_3}{2} - 1 \right).$$

(48)

3) DETERMINATION OF $\mathcal{H}_3$

$\mathcal{H}_3$ is stated as

$$\mathcal{H}_3 = \int_0^\infty \left( 1 + \gamma \right)^{-1} \gamma^{\frac{1}{2}(z_4+1)}$$

$$\times G_{r+1,3r+1} \left[ \frac{\Gamma_d}{\mu_d, r} \left[ 1, s_d \right] \right] d\gamma$$

$$= \int_0^\infty \gamma^{\frac{1}{2}(z_4+1)} G_{1,1}^{1,1} \left[ 0 \right]$$

$$\times G_{r+1,3r+1} \left[ \frac{\Gamma_d}{\mu_d, r} \left[ 1, s_d \right] \right] d\gamma,$$

(49)

where $z_4 = a_r + n_5$. Now, with the help of [53, Eq. (8.4.2.5)] and [53, Eq. (24.1.1.1)], $\mathcal{H}_3$ can be expressed as

$$\mathcal{H}_3 = G_{r+1,2r+3} \left[ \frac{\Gamma_d}{\mu_d, r} \left[ 1, w_1, w_1 \right] \right],$$

(50)

where $w_1 = \Delta \left( 1, - \frac{z}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \right)$.

4) DETERMINATION OF $\mathcal{H}_4$

$\mathcal{H}_4$ is expressed as

$$\mathcal{H}_4 = \int_0^\infty \left( 1 + \gamma \right)^{-1} \gamma^{\frac{1}{2}(z+2)}$$

$$\times G_{r+1,3r+1} \left[ \frac{\Gamma_d}{\mu_d, r} \left[ 1, s_d \right] \right] d\gamma$$

$$= \int_0^\infty \gamma^{\frac{1}{2}(z+2)} G_{1,1}^{1,1} \left[ 0 \right]$$

$$\times G_{r+1,3r+1} \left[ \frac{\Gamma_d}{\mu_d, r} \left[ 1, s_d \right] \right] d\gamma,$$

(51)

where $z_5 = a_r + a_{er} + n_6 + n_7$. Now, similar to $\mathcal{H}_3$, using [53, Eq. (8.4.2.5)] and [53, Eq. (24.1.1.1)], $\mathcal{H}_4$ can be written finally as

$$\mathcal{H}_4 = G_{r+1,2r+3} \left[ \frac{\Gamma_d}{\mu_d, r} \left[ 1, w_2, w_2 \right] \right],$$

(52)

where $w_2 = \Delta \left( 1, \frac{z}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \right)$.

Asymptotic Analysis: The Meijer’s $G$ function is expanded in (44) with the help of [54, Eq. (20)]. The final expression of the asymptotic expression of $ASC$ may be seen in (53), shown at the bottom of the page, where $\Xi_{x,y}$ defines the $y$th term of $\Xi_x$, $\Xi_1 = [1, w_1, s_d]$, $\Xi_2 = [1, d_1, 0]$, $\Xi_3 = [1, w_1, s_d]$, and $\Xi_4 = [t_d, w_2, 0]$.

Significance of the Derived Expressions: In this paper, the derived expressions pertaining to metrics such as ASC, SOP, SPSC, and EST serve as precise quantitative benchmarks for evaluating the system’s secrecy performance. These analytical formulations not only corroborate the accuracy of the proposed theoretical framework but also impart a more profound comprehension of the intricate interplay between secrecy metrics and system parameters. Through these expressions, it is apparent how factors such as fading characteristics, turbulence conditions, pointing errors, and attack scenarios exert discernible influences on the system’s secrecy performance. This comprehensive analysis yields invaluable insights into the system’s capacity to uphold secure communication, thereby enhancing its practical viability. Moreover, these expressions furnish pertinent guidance for network architects, proffering clear directives for design considerations and optimization strategies.

V. NUMERICAL RESULTS

This section highlights how various system parameters such as the number of reflecting elements, fading, electrical SNR, detection techniques, atmospheric turbulence, pointing error, etc., can affect the RIS-assisted RF-FSO system’s performance in terms of security. This is demonstrated through numerical examples and figures generated using expressions from (17), (27), (30), (36)-(38) and (40)-(42). Besides, secrecy performance is also examined at a high SNR regime using (24), (28), (29) and (53) to gain more useful insights. It is noteworthy that the derived expressions include infinite series, but after a few terms, all of those series converge quickly. To get the analytical results, we take into account the first 100 terms. By averaging 100,000 random channel samples with MATLAB, Monte Carlo simulations are used to further verify the correctness of the generated analytical results. The following system parameters are chosen: $m_t = m_r = m_{er} = 2$, $N = 2$, $\Omega_i = \Omega_r = \Omega_{er} = 1$, $r = 1$, $\gamma_{er} = 0$dB, $\gamma_d = \gamma_{ed} = 10$dB, $\epsilon_d = \epsilon_{ed} = 1.1$, unless specified otherwise.
A. IMPACT OF RF LINK PARAMETERS

1) FADING SEVERITY

To examine the impact of the shape parameters on secrecy performance, the ASC, EST, and SOP are plotted against \( \gamma_r \) for chosen values of \( m_i \), \( m_r \), and \( \gamma_d \) in Figs. 2, 3, and 4. Any equal rise in \( m_i \) and \( m_r \) raises the ASC as well as the EST for scenario-i and scenario-ii as the fading parameters (i.e., \( m_i \) and \( m_r \)) having a larger value of \( S-I_S-R \) link causes the entire fading of the associated connection to be reduced by improving the received SNR at \( R \), as said in [59]. The absolute similarity between the simulated and analytical outcomes assures that our generated expressions are flawless. Figure 4 is depicted to experience the effect of \( m_i \) and \( m_{er} \). It was clearly observed in Fig. 5 that equal increases in \( m_i \) and \( m_r \) improve the EST performance as a fact of the main RF link being better due to a reduction in fading. Figure 6 displays almost similar results about the effects of scale parameters as the previous figure which reveals that an equal decrease in \( m_i \), and \( m_{er} \) enhances the SPSC value, hence better secrecy output is obtained. This occurs since lower \( m_i \) and \( m_{er} \) signifies a weaker \( S-I_S-E_r \) link.

2) SCALE PARAMETERS

Scale parameters (i.e., \( \Omega_i \) and \( \Omega_r \)), in addition to the fading parameters, as shown in Figs. 5 and 6 (scenario-i) also play an important role in improving the system’s secrecy performance and functioning, where EST and SPSC is plotted versus \( \gamma_r \). It was clearly observed in Fig. 5 that equal increases in \( \Omega_i \) and \( \Omega_r \) improve the EST performance as a fact of the main RF link being better due to a reduction in fading. Figure 6 displays almost similar results about the effects of scale parameters as the previous figure which reveals that an equal decrease in \( \Omega_i \), and \( \Omega_{er} \) enhances the SPSC value, hence better secrecy output is obtained. This occurs since lower \( \Omega_i \) and \( \Omega_{er} \) signifies a weaker \( S-I_S-E_r \) link.

3) NUMBER OF REFLECTING ELEMENTS

To determine the influence of the total number of reflecting elements (i.e., \( N \)) in \( I_S \), the ASC (scenario-i) and the SPSC (scenario-iii) are plotted against \( \gamma_r \) in Fig. 7 and Fig. 8. It can be seen that with a greater value of \( N \), system performance in terms of ASC and SPSC improves reasonably as \( N \) helps to reflect the incoming signals in a manner that enhances the signal strength, making it possible to extend the range of communication or improve the signal quality enabling the formation of directional beams. System security cannot be improved until a sufficient amount of reflecting elements are present in \( I_S \) for \( S - I_S - R \) link. It is noteworthy that, the RIS system is passive, hence it does not need any
additional power supply, making it highly energy efficient for our suggested model.

B. SECURITY AND EFFICIENCY TRADE-OFF

The $EST_1$ and $EST_3$ are plotted against $T_s$ in Fig. 9 and 10 for scenario—i and scenario—iii respectively, to observe the impact of target secrecy rate changes on the secrecy performance of the system which also represents the trade-off between the resources required to maintain security and the rate at which secured information may be transmitted over a communication system. It is observed in Figs. 9 and 10 that the curve has a concave down shape, i.e., EST may increase or decrease with $T_s$. When $T_s$ is lower, the desired secrecy level can be maintained with lower resources which results in a higher EST. As $T_s$ rises, the desired degree of security will need more resources to encounter increasing secrecy threats, causing the EST to fall. To sum up, the graph represents the reduced benefits from stronger security measures and the decreasing gain in terms of EST.

C. IMPACTS OF FSO LINK PARAMETERS

1) POINTING ERROR

In order to conduct secure communication, the directional property of the beam must be maintained so that the transmitted beam can be prevented from spreading in an unintended propagation path. Since the transmitting and receiving apertures are misaligned, there occurs a pointing error, the beam deviates from its actual propagation direction, leading to a portion of the information being leaked to eavesdroppers, which is observed in Fig. 11-12 by plotting $SOP_1$, $SOP_2$ and in Fig. 13 by showing $EST_1$ against $\gamma_d$. It is noted that the secrecy performance is greatly influenced by a strong pointing error ($\epsilon = 1.1$) compared to a weaker pointing error ($\epsilon = 6.7$) which is also testified in [44]. As the pointing error increases, more information
is leaked, thereby the secrecy performance is degraded of the system. Asymptotic SOP analysis is also carried out in Fig. 12, demonstrating significant agreement between all of the outcomes at high SNR ranges when the signal power dominates over the noise power.

2) DETECTION TECHNIQUES
The impacts of the two detection techniques are also shown in Fig. 11, 12 and 13 in terms of SOP1, SOP2 and EST1 which indicate a better-achieved secrecy capacity utilizing the HD technique \((r = 1)\) as opposed to the IM/DD technique \((r = 2)\). It can be said that the HD method is capable of shifting the frequency of the signal to a higher frequency range for which the received SNR at \(D\) will also be high with reduced interference effects and a lower bit error rate. Hence, eavesdroppers will find it hard to wiretap confidential information. However, the IM/DD method includes the transmission of the signal at the original frequency, thereby wiretapping becomes much easier for the eavesdroppers.

3) ATMOSPHERIC TURBULENCE
The impact of different turbulence conditions (i.e. strong \((\alpha = 2.29, \beta = 2)\), moderate \((\alpha = 4.2, \beta = 3)\), and weak \((\alpha = 8.1, \beta = 4)\)) turbulence are respectively shown in Figs.14, and 15 by plotting SOP1 and SPSC1 against \(\gamma_{r}\) and \(\gamma_{d}\). We may notice that the security of the system degrades with the growing turbulence level. This is due to atmospheric turbulence being responsible for the intensity and phase changes of the transmitted light signal resulting in significant degradation of the SNR at \(D\). Furthermore, the transmitted signal spreads and scatters around a wide area, and eavesdroppers can easily wiretap this portion of the signal followed by a deteriorated secrecy performance. In addition to analytical and simulation results, asymptotic
FIGURE 13. The EST versus $\bar{\gamma}_d$ for certain values of $\epsilon$ and $r$.

FIGURE 14. The SOP over $\bar{\gamma}_r$ showing different turbulence conditions.

SOP analysis is also performed in Fig. 14 indicating a good agreement between all of the results at high SNR regime where the signal power dominates over the noise power, and the noise contribution becomes relatively insignificant. As a result, the system behavior is mainly determined by the signal characteristics. Such analysis can focus on the dominant signal components, leading to simpler and more accurate approximations. Besides, at high SNR, the impact of the channel fading or impairments can be diminished and the channel conditions become more deterministic, allowing the use of simplified channel models.

Comparison with Existing Related Literature: By plotting the SOP against $\bar{\gamma}_r$ using the generalized properties of our considered channel models, as shown in Figure 16, we can observe that our proposed model provides a unified performance analysis for a wide range of RIS-aided RF-FSO mixed models under various fading distributions. Our models can also transform various other popular channels as shown in Fig. 16. To analyze the complete security of a RIS-aided system, we consider three different scenarios. For this reason, the novel asymptotic and closed-form expressions of key performance metrics including ASC, the lower bound of SOP, the probability of SPSC, and EST are deduced. These expressions, different from existing literature, reflect our deepened understanding of the system. Utilizing these expressions, a fair comparison of scenario $-i$, scenario $-ii$ and scenario $-iii$ in terms of SOP for our suggested system model are demonstrated in Fig. 17. An FSO link is typically believed to be more secure and less vulnerable to eavesdropping than an RF link [60]. This conclusion is justified by our research in Fig. 17, where SOP$_2$ (scenario $-ii$) has the lowest outage probability in between the three scenarios. Given that an RF link tends to be more susceptible to eavesdropping than an
VI. CONCLUSION

The study assessed the security performance of a dual-hop RIS-aided system using both RF and FSO connections in an effort to prevent any unauthorized access. To accomplish this task, the asymptotic and closed-form expressions for the ASC, lower bound SOP, probability of SPSC, and EST are derived by the authors. Monte-Carlo simulations confirmed the accuracy of these expressions. The influence of the system parameters being carefully observed, such as fading severity, atmospheric conditions, detection techniques at the receiver, etc., on the system performance, revealed that the proposed model can effectively secure confidential information. The numerical results also give significant insight into the efficiency of RIS in enhancing security in wireless communications which is useful for the design engineers in modeling RIS-empowered secure networks.
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