

REALIZING HOMOLOGY CLASSES UP TO COBORDISM

MARK GRANT, ANDRÁS SZÚCS, AND TAMÁS TERPAI

ABSTRACT. It is known that neither immersions nor maps with a fixed finite set of multisingularities are enough to realize all mod 2 homology classes in manifolds. In this paper we define the notion of realizing a homology class up to cobordism; it is shown that for realization in this weaker sense immersions are sufficient, but maps with a fixed finite set of multisingularities are still insufficient.

1. INTRODUCTION

In 1949 Steenrod [4] posed the following question: given a homology class h of a space X , does there exist a closed manifold V and a continuous map $f : V \rightarrow X$ such that $f_*[V] = h$, where $[V]$ is the fundamental class of V ? Thom's famous result answers the question affirmatively if h is a \mathbb{Z}_2 -homology class, and shows that for integral homology the answer in general is negative. It is a natural further question whether f can be chosen to be “nice” if X itself is a smooth manifold. For example, can it be always an embedding or an immersion? If not, then can f be chosen to have only mild singularities?

For embeddings Thom himself gave some necessary and sufficient conditions. From these conditions it is not hard to deduce that there are \mathbb{Z}_2 -homology classes of codimension 2 not realizable by embeddings in some manifolds.

In [5] it was shown that for any $k > 1$ there is a manifold M (of dimension approximately $4k$) and a cohomology class $\alpha \in H^k(M; \mathbb{Z}_2)$ such that the Poincaré dual of α cannot be realized by an immersion. Moreover it was shown there that for any $k > 1$ singular maps of finite complexity (see Section 3 for the precise definition) are insufficient to realize all codimension k homology classes in manifolds.

Therefore in order to obtain positive answers it is natural to relax the notion of “realization of a homology class”. The relaxed version we use will be “realization up to cobordism”. For this purpose we define the cobordism group of pairs (M^n, α) where M^n is a closed smooth n -manifold and $\alpha \in H^k(M; \mathbb{Z}_2)$ for a fixed k .

DEFINITION: Given two pairs (M^n, α) and (N^n, β) we say that they are *cobordant* if there is a pair (W^{n+1}, γ) such that W^{n+1} is a compact $(n+1)$ -manifold with boundary $\partial W = M \sqcup N$ and $\gamma \in H^k(W; \mathbb{Z}_2)$ is a cohomology class such that $\gamma|_M = \alpha$ and $\gamma|_N = \beta$.

REMARK: The obtained group of pairs is clearly isomorphic to $\mathfrak{N}_n(K(\mathbb{Z}_2, k))$, the n th bordism group of the Eilenberg-MacLane space $K(\mathbb{Z}_2, k)$.

DEFINITION: Let \mathcal{F} be a class of smooth maps (for example, embeddings, immersions, or singular maps of some given complexity). We say that a pair (M, α)

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 55N22, 57R95, 57R42, 55P47, 57R19.

Key words and phrases. immersions, cobordism, infinite loop spaces, realizing homology classes, singular maps.

is \mathcal{F} -realizable if there exist a closed manifold V and a map $f : V \rightarrow M$ such that $f \in \mathcal{F}$ and $f_*[V]$ is Poincaré dual to α . We say that (M, α) is \mathcal{F} -realizable up to cobordism if there is an \mathcal{F} -realizable pair (N, β) cobordant to (M, α) .

We show that this relaxation allows to give a positive answer in the case of immersions but for singular maps of finite complexity the answer remains negative.

2. REALIZATION BY IMMERSIONS

Theorem 1. *Any pair (M, α) is realizable by immersions up to cobordism.*

For conciseness, (co)homology coefficients \mathbb{Z}_2 will be omitted and K will stand for $K(\mathbb{Z}_2, k)$.

In what follows, $MO(k)$ denotes as usual the Thom space of the universal vector bundle over $BO(k)$, and for any space X we denote by ΓX the space $\Omega^\infty S^\infty X = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \Omega^N S^N X$. Recall that $\Gamma MO(k)$ is the classifying space of codimension k immersions, in particular, the group of cobordism classes of codimension $k > 0$ immersions into a fixed closed manifold P (where cobordisms are codimension k immersions into $P \times [0, 1]$) is isomorphic to the group of homotopy classes $[P, \Gamma MO(k)]$.

It is well-known that $\Gamma MO(k)$ is stably equivalent to a bouquet that contains $MO(k)$ (i.e. there is a space Y such that $\Gamma MO(k) \underset{\text{stably}}{\cong} MO(k) \vee Y$). Hence

$H^*(MO(k))$ embeds naturally into $H^*(\Gamma MO(k))$. In particular the Thom class $u_k \in H^k(MO(k))$ can be considered (uniquely, since Y is known to be $2k - 1$ -connected) as a cohomology class of $\Gamma MO(k)$. Denote by u the corresponding map into K , that is, $u : \Gamma MO(k) \rightarrow K$ has the property that $u^*(\iota_k) = u_k$, where $\iota_k l \in H^k(K)$ is the fundamental class.

Alternatively, we may use the universal property of the functor Γ that is as follows ([2, p. 39.], [6, pp.42–43.]): for any map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ from a compactly generated Hausdorff space X to an infinite loop space Y there is a homotopically unique extension $\hat{f} : \Gamma X \rightarrow Y$ that is an infinite loop map. Applying this property to u_k yields the map u .

For any P the map $u_*^P : [P, \Gamma MO(k)] \rightarrow [P, K]$ induced by u associates to (a cobordism class of) an immersion the Poincaré dual of the homology class represented by the immersion.

This shows that Theorem 1 has the following equivalent reformulation:

Theorem 1'. *The map $u : \Gamma MO(k) \rightarrow K$ induces an epimorphism of the bordism groups in any dimension. That is, for any n*

$$u_* : \mathfrak{N}_n(\Gamma MO(k)) \rightarrow \mathfrak{N}_n(K)$$

is onto.

PROOF: It is well-known ([3]) that there is an isomorphism $H_*(X; \mathbb{Z}_2) \otimes \mathfrak{N}_* \rightarrow \mathfrak{N}_*(X)$, natural in X , defined by taking a representative $[\hat{\alpha} : M_\alpha \rightarrow X] \in \mathfrak{N}_*(X)$ for all elements α of a basis of $H_*(X)$ and mapping $\sum_j \alpha_j \otimes [N_j]$ to $\sum_j [\hat{\alpha}_j \circ pr_j : M_{\alpha_j} \times N_j \rightarrow X]$, where $pr_j : M_{\alpha_j} \times N_j \rightarrow M_{\alpha_j}$ is the projection. Hence a map induces epimorphism of the (unoriented) bordism groups if and only if it does so in the \mathbb{Z}_2 -homology groups.

For later use, recall that for any space X the ring $H_*(\Gamma X)$ is a polynomial ring (multiplication being the Pontryagin product) in variables $x_\lambda, y_{I, \lambda}$, where $\{x_\lambda\}_\lambda$ is

a homogeneous basis of $H_*(X)$ and $y_{I,\lambda}$ are further variables defined using Kudo-Araki operations as $y_{I,\lambda} = Q^I x_\lambda$ (their precise description will be unimportant in our argument).

In order to show that

$$u_* : H_*(\Gamma MO(k)) \rightarrow H_*(K)$$

is onto it is enough to show that the composition

$$\varphi \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \overline{H}_*(MO(k)) \xrightarrow{(u_k)^*} \overline{H}_*(K) \xrightarrow{p} Q(H_*(K)) = \overline{H}_*(K)/\mu(\overline{H}_*(K) \otimes \overline{H}_*(K))$$

is onto, where $\mu : H_*(K) \otimes H_*(K) \rightarrow H_*(K)$ is the multiplication map and p is the natural projection onto the quotient group of indecomposables. Indeed, assume that φ is onto and for all j choose elements in $H_j(K)$ such that they form a (linear) basis in $\overline{H}_j(K)/\mu(\overline{H}_j(K) \otimes \overline{H}_j(K))$. It is easy to see by induction on j that the chosen elements generate $\overline{H}_*(K)$ multiplicatively and hence the subring of $H_*(\Gamma MO(k))$ generated by the preimages of these elements is mapped onto the entire $H_*(K)$ (here we use that u_* is a ring homomorphism, since u is an infinite loop map).

Hence to prove Theorem 1 we have to show that $\varphi : H_*(MO(k)) \rightarrow QH_*(K)$ is onto. This is equivalent to the dual homomorphism φ^* being injective. By [7, Proposition 3.10.], the dual of $QH_*(K)$ is $PH^*(K)$, the submodule of primitive elements of the Hopf algebra $H^*(K)$. This latter group is known to be

$$PH^*(K) = \mathbb{Z}_2 \langle Sq^I \iota_k : I \text{ admissible of excess } e(I) \leq k \rangle,$$

the vector space over \mathbb{Z}_2 freely generated by the $Sq^I \iota_k$ (see eg. [1, p. 23.]). The dual of $H_*(MO(k))$ is $H^*(MO(k))$ and can be identified with the ideal generated by w_k in $\mathbb{Z}_2[w_1, \dots, w_k]$ (w_k corresponds to the Thom class u_k). The map φ^* maps ι_k to u_k and then to w_k , and commutes with the action of the Steenrod algebra, allowing to calculate the image of φ^* .

Finally, we need to show that the set $\{Sq^I(w_k) : I \text{ is admissible with } e(I) \leq k\}$ is linearly independent in the ideal $(w_k) \subset \mathbb{Z}_2[w_1, \dots, w_k]$. This is the immediate consequence of [8, Remark 2.4.] that shows that the Steenrod algebra acts freely unstably on w_k in $H^*(BO(k))$, and this finishes the proof of Theorem 1. \square

3. NON-REALIZABILITY UP TO COBORDISM BY SINGULAR MAPS OF FINITE COMPLEXITY

Recall some definitions from singularity theory that are necessary for the formulation of Theorem 2.

DEFINITION: Fix a natural number $k \geq 1$ and consider equivalence classes of germs $\eta : (\mathbb{R}^{n-k}, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^n, 0)$, $n \geq k$, up to left-right equivalence and stabilization, that is, we consider η to be equivalent to $\eta \times id_{\mathbb{R}^1} : (\mathbb{R}^{n-k+1}, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^{n+1}, 0)$. An equivalence class is called a (codimension k) *local singularity* (even if its rank is maximal).

DEFINITION: A *multisingularity* is a finite multiset (set with elements equipped with multiplicities) of local singularities.

DEFINITION: Let $f : M \rightarrow N$ be a smooth map such that for any $y \in N$ the preimage $f^{-1}(y)$ is a finite set. For $y \in N$ and $f^{-1}(y) = \{x_1, \dots, x_m\}$ let $[f_{x_j}]$ denote the local singularity class of the germ f at x_j . The multiset $\{[f_{x_1}], \dots, [f_{x_m}]\}$ is called the *multisingularity of f at y* .

DEFINITION: Let τ be a set of multisingularities. The map f is said to be a τ -map if its multisingularity at any point $y \in N$ belongs to τ .

Theorem 2. *Let τ be any finite set of multisingularities of codimension $k > 1$ stable maps and let \mathcal{F} be the class of τ -maps. Then the class \mathcal{F} is insufficient for realizing up to cobordism all codimension k homology classes in manifolds. That is, for any $k > 1$ there is a pair (M, α) with M a smooth manifold and $\alpha \in H^k(M)$ such that (M, α) is not \mathcal{F} -realizable up to cobordism.*

PROOF: The proof given in [5, Theorem 1.3.] for non-realizability of homologies by τ -maps also proves the stronger statement of Theorem 2. In that proof there was a classifying space X_τ for τ -maps (analogously to $\Gamma MO(k)$ being the classifying space for immersions). X_τ has a single nonzero element in its first nontrivial (reduced) cohomology group, $H^k(X_\tau)$, which can be called the Thom class $u_\tau : X_\tau \rightarrow K$. If any pair (M, α) could be realizable up to cobordism by τ -maps, then the map u_τ would induce an epimorphism $(u_\tau)_* : \mathfrak{N}_*(X_\tau) \rightarrow \mathfrak{N}_*(K)$ between the unoriented bordism groups or, equivalently, between the homology groups (using the same argument as in the proof of Theorem 1). But [5] shows that for any sufficiently high dimension j (under the assumption that $k > 1$) we have $\dim_{\mathbb{Z}_2} H_j(X_\tau) < \dim_{\mathbb{Z}_2} H_j(K)$, hence $(u_\tau)_* : H_j(X_\tau) \rightarrow H_j(K)$ cannot be surjective. \square

REMARK: In particular, embeddings or immersions with self-intersection multiplicity bounded by a fixed number are insufficient for realizing all homology classes in manifolds even up to cobordism.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Clement: *Integral Cohomology of Finite Postnikov Towers*, Thèse de doctorat, Université de Lausanne, 2002
- [2] F.R. Cohen, T.J. Lada, J.P. May: *The homology of iterated loop spaces*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics **533**, Springer, 1976
- [3] P.E. Conner, E.E. Floyd: *Differentiable periodic maps*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **68** (2) (1962), 76–86.
- [4] S. Eilenberg: *Problems in topology*, Ann. Math. **50** (1949), 246–260.
- [5] M. Grant, A. Szűcs: *On realising homology classes by maps of restricted complexity*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **45** (2) (2013), 329–340.
- [6] J.P. May: *The geometry of iterated loop spaces*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics **271**, Springer, 1972
- [7] J.W. Milnor, J.C. Moore: *On the structure of Hopf algebras*, Annals of Math. **81** (2) (1965), 211–264.
- [8] D.J. Pengelley, F. Williams: *Global structure of the mod two symmetric algebra, $H^*(BO; \mathbb{F}_2)$, over the Steenrod Algebra*, Alg. Geom. Topol. **3** (2003), 1119–1139.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF ABERDEEN, FRASER NOBLE BUILDING, ABERDEEN AB24 3UE

E-mail address: mark.grant@abdn.ac.uk

ELTE ANALYSIS DEPARTMENT, 1117 BUDAPEST, PÁZMÁNY PÉTER SÉTÁNY 1/C, HUNGARY

E-mail address: szucs@math.elte.hu

ALFRÉD RÉNYI INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, 1053 BUDAPEST, REÁLTANODA U. 13-15., HUNGARY

E-mail address: terpai@math.elte.hu