



The Scientific Pages of Heart

Editorial

Open Access

Digital Eyewear in Cardiology-Looking Forward

Stephen J Leslie^{1,2*} and Charles Knoery¹

¹Cardiac Unit, Raigmore Hospital, Inverness, United Kingdom

²Department of Diabetes & Cardiovascular Science, Centre for Health Science, University of the Highlands and Islands, Inverness, United Kingdom

Keywords

Wearable technology, Cardiology, Telehealth, Augmented reality

Abbreviations

AR: Augmented reality; ECG: Electrocardiogram

Text

Cardiovascular disease (CVD) remains a major cause of morbidity and mortality worldwide [1] and consumes a large proportion of health care budgets due to acute admissions to hospital, hospital transfers, investigations, treatment and outpatient follow up. Managing CVD in remote areas may be particularly challenging (and expensive) as there are additional geographical barriers to patients gaining specialist care and advice. This has led to a feeling that the current situation is not sustainable and that we must change or adapt the way we provide healthcare.

Over the last 30 years, the use of established technologies (telephone, mobile phone, e-mail and internet) has greatly enhanced communication not only between health care providers [2,3] but also with patients [4,5], and their use is increasing. More recently, technology has been hailed as a potential solution to the health care crisis, for example, by facilitating enhanced self-management in patients with chronic conditions such as heart failure. While heavily supported by governmental policy and guidelines, technology enhanced care has so far failed to find a significant role in routine clinical practice. For example, despite a lack of consistent evidence, home based tele-monitoring for patients with chronic heart failure has featured in several UK government policy documents. There is a danger that this becomes a 'technology driven environment' rather than 'clinically driven environment' i.e. new technology is looking for a clinical problem to solve rather than a clinical problem looking for the best solution (which may include technology). This situation risks 'technology' losing credibility with clinical staff.

The concept of wearable computing has been around for some time but it is the recent confluence of cloud based data storage and analysis, high speed wireless connectivity and smartphone technology that has led to an expansion of wearable technology (e.g. fitness bands and digital eyewear). Fitness bands (fit bits) have been successful in the commercial markets but slower to be embraced in healthcare. There are several potential reasons for this. Generally healthcare providers are fiscally constrained and new interventions will compete

with established treatments for resource. In addition, clinical staff tends to be conservative and demand a high level of evidence before using new therapies and interventions. Therefore, speculative new technology, no matter how cheap or impressive, may be viewed as 'high risk'. Digital eyewear is a more recent development. In 2014 google glass was launched to the public, but quickly withdrawn from a market that appeared not ready for this development mainly due to public concerns about privacy and safety. Despite this, development of wearable technology continues and a range of digital eyewear devices are now available. In clinical medicine, and cardiology in particular, there is a need for clinical assessment and expert knowledge combined with visualisation and interpretation of test results (angiograms, ECGs, echocardiography). These clinical situations make digital eyewear a potentially useful professional tool to allow data to be brought closer to the patient-cardiologist interface or integrated into existing clinical procedures.

The future clinical uses of digital eyewear can be broadly divided into two areas; 'receiving data' and 'creating data'. Within 'receiving data', augmented reality (AR, superimposing an image on the view of the real world providing a composite view) is potentially the most exciting application of digital eye wear. AR has a wide range of potential uses including enhancing medical procedures to improving training [6] and even ongoing appraisal of clinician skills (e.g. cath lab skills, cardiac arrest scenarios etc). Medical training has always had a

***Corresponding author:** Stephen J Leslie, Professor, Consultant Cardiologist, Highland Heartbeat Centre, Cardiac Unit, Raigmore Hospital Inverness, IV2 3UJ, United Kingdom, Tel: +44 1463 705459/62/+44 1463 705460, E-mail: stephen.leslie@nhs.net

Received: September 16, 2016; **Accepted:** October 08, 2016; **Published online:** October 10, 2016

Citation: Leslie SJ, Knoery C (2016) Digital Eyewear in Cardiology-Looking Forward. Scientific Pages Heart 1:003e.

large degree of apprenticeship. Digital eyewear has the potential to enable 'over the shoulder' support without the need for co-location, which is a huge benefit in remote and rural settings or for low frequency complex procedure. The 'sexier' aspects of digital eyewear such as overlaying 3D imaging onto a patient using AR are getting much of the attention (and funding), but the more simple use cases in terms of receiving and generating very basic data can be applied to solve everyday problems using existing technology. Simply 'viewing data' via digital eyewear could bring data closer to the patient-cardiologist interface. Early research into using digital eye wear to view chest X-rays and ECG have shown that this is possible but that visualisation has less fidelity compared to computer screens or mobile phones [7,8]. An upgrade with hand free zoom and pan technology did seem to improve this functionality [9] but more development is required.

'Creating data' at the point of care also has several potential applications. For instance, in remote area, patients could be included in multidisciplinary team meetings or virtual clinics before potentially long or dangerous inter-hospital transfers. Creating visual records of a patient's functional abilities may allow clinicians to better assess referrals, particularly in elderly patients and better decide on the appropriate treatment. Such technology might also be used to support clinical staff (e.g. community based heart failure nurses whether admission to hospital is required for patients at home). One study used google glass to record coronary angiograms for remote display on an ipad and desk top [10]. Whether digital eye wear used for the above purpose will result in better care or more appropriate decision making is currently unknown. The largest potential barrier to widespread adoption is the huge concern around privacy and the handling of patient confidential data. Solutions to this need to be developed alongside establishing the clinical benefits.

What is certain is that there are an increasing number of digital 'solutions'. However, to determine if these will improve outcomes for patients will require high quality clinical trials. Industry, academia, clinicians and patient must work together for this to happen.

In summary, the array of new technology is sleek, tactile and the functionality beguiling.... cardiologists may want it but do our patients need it?

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank Chris Bryson (summit wearables) and Alan Whiteside (NHS Highland) for their guidance in preparing this editorial.

References

1. WHO global status report on non-communicable disease as a potential reference?.
2. Barclay JL, MacFarlane P, Potts S, et al. (2008) Evaluation of a new device for the transmission of electrocardiograms by e-mail. *J Telehealth Telecare* 14: 219-220.
3. Rushworth GF, Bloe C, Diack HL, et al. (2014) Pre-hospital ECG E-transmission for patients with suspected myocardial infarction in the highlands of Scotland. *Int J Environ Res Public Health* 11: 2346-2360.
4. Gandiya T, Dua A, King G, et al. (2012) Self-reported "communication technology" usage in patients attending a cardiology outpatient clinic in a remote regional hospital. *Telemed J E Health* 18: 219-224.
5. Jones J, Cassie S, Thompson M, et al. (2014) Delivering healthcare information via the internet: cardiac patients' access, usage, perceptions of usefulness, and web site content preferences. *Telemed J E Health* 20: 223-227.
6. Knight HM, Gajendragadkar PR, Bokhari A (2015) Wearable technology: Using Google Glass as a teaching tool. *BMJ Case Rep* 2015.
7. Jeroudi OM, Christakopoulos G, Christopoulos G, et al. (2015) Accuracy of remote electrocardiogram interpretation with the use of Google Glass technology. *Am J Cardiol* 115: 374-377.
8. Spaedy E, Christakopoulos GE, Tarar MN, et al. (2016) Accuracy of remote chest X-ray interpretation using Google Glass technology. *Int J Cardiol* 219: 38-40.
9. Stetler J, Resendes E, Martinez-Parachini JR, et al. (2016) Hands-free zoom and pan technology improves the accuracy of remote electrocardiogram interpretation using Google Glass. *Int J Cardiol* 204: 147-148.
10. Duong T, Wosik J, Christakopoulos GE, et al. (2015) Interpretation of Coronary Angiograms Recorded Using Google Glass: A Comparative Analysis. *J Inv Cardiol* 27: 443-446.